```
1
2
3
4
5
       SULLIVAN'S ISLAND DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
6
7
8
9
10
                Wednesday, March 19, 2008
11
   DATE:
12
   TIME:
                6:00 p.m.
13
   LOCATION:
                    Town of Sullivan's Island
           1610 Middle Street
14
             Sullivan's Island, South Carolina 29482
                      Janice D. Hayward, RMR
15
   REPORTED BY:
           NCRA Registered Merit Reporter
             Clark & Associates, Inc.
16
           Post Office Box 73129
             Charleston, South Carolina 29415
17
           843.762.6294
18
             www.clark-associates.com
           jan@clark-associates.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0002
              APPEARANCES
1
                   G. Trenholm Walker
  For the Board
              Pratt-Thomas Walker
3
              16 Charlotte Street
              Post Office Drawer 22247
              Charleston, South Carolina 29413-2247
4
5
6
           BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT
   Duke Wright
  Pat Ilderton, Chairman
```

0001

```
8 Betty Harmon
  Fred Reinhard
9 Cyndy Ewing
  Billy Craver
10
11
12
              ALSO PRESENT
13 Kent Prause, Zoning Administrator
  Randy Robinson, Building Official
   Kat Kenyon, Administrative Assistant
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0003
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: This is the
1
2
         March 19th, 2008 meeting of the Sullivan's
3
         Island Design Review Board. It is now
4
         6 o'clock. Members in attendance are Duke
5
         Wright, Pat Ilderton, Betty Harmon, Fred
6
         Reinhard, Cyndy Ewing and Billy Craver.
7
              The Freedom of Information
8
         requirements have been met for this
9
         meeting.
10
               The items on tonight's agenda
11
         are the approval of the minutes. Do I
12
         hear a motion to approve?
13
            MR. CRAVER: So moved.
14
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 2008 February
15
         minutes.
16
            MR. REINHARD: Second.
17
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion?
18
         (No response.)
19
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
20
         favor?
21
         (Ayes.)
```

22 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. All 23 right. Number two, scheduled dates for 24 hearings of the 14 properties being 25 considered for historic designations. 0004 1 There was discussion, or Duke 2 mentioned that maybe we ought to just, at 3 least the first thing we ought to do is 4 all visit these en masse maybe one day, 5 agree on one day. 6 I think Randy said we can 7 probably get the bus from the fire 8 department and we can just visit all 14 9 properties. 'Cause I think we have to 10 review the ones, even the ones that still 11 want to -- have agreed to be on, or said 12 they want to be on the historical list, we 13 still have to look at those too. 14 MR. REINHARD: Great idea. 15 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: And then we 16 can -- now, that can be an official meeting, I guess. I mean, it can be 17 18 announced. But whether we want to 19 actually call a session after that or 20 whether we want to do that and then, you 21 know, on a more formal session, you know, 22 talk about them, or whether we want to do 23 it at the end of the bus tour. 24 MR. CRAVER: It is a meeting, I mean, 25 because we're getting together, so, I 0005 1 guess we have to tell the public what 2 order we're going in and give them an idea 3 of when we'll be at each house. 4 MR. REINHARD: And what time we'll 5 start the meeting. 6 MR. CRAVER: Yeah. MR. WRIGHT: Do we have to visit all 7 8 14 or is it just the ones that want to be 9 added? 10 MR. ROBINSON: Do not want to be 11 added, you mean? 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: From what Kent 13 said, we have to decide even on the ones 14 that have said, yeah, it's okay, the 15 designation, we still should review that; 16 right? 17 MR. PRAUSE: Yes. But I don't think 18 there's any requirement that you actually 19 have to go to the site. That's just 20 something you all have just kind of taken 21 upon yourselves that you do as a matter of 22 course. 23 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: We can all look 24 at those on our own and look at the 25 others, maybe what we ought to do is look 0006 1 at all of them on our own and just have a 2 formal meeting right here. 3 Just like we do on these 4 properties, we all visit this, these 5 properties before we get to this meeting 6 tonight, and so. 7 MR. WRIGHT: It's going to take 8 awhile to visit 14 properties in one 9 swoop; isn't it? 10 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, the thing 11 is I don't see how we're going to like 12 inform Mr. and Mrs. so and so we're going 13 to be there at 5:30 when the next one might be 15 minutes down the line. I 14 15 think that's kind of impossible. 16 I think maybe we need to inform 17 them that we're going to discuss their 18 properties on a given day at the town hall 19 after we've all looked at them. MR. REINHARD: Is there a requirement 20 21 that we go inside? 22 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I don't think 23 there's a requirement. 24 MR. REINHARD: Then we can do it on 25 our own. 0007 1 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: And primarily 2 that's our purview is the exterior. 3 MR. REINHARD: We had one meeting

4 where we had 14 items on the agenda so it 5 shouldn't be anything new to it us. 6 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: No. I think we 7 can hit them all in one meeting. Whether 8 that's going to be a special meeting, we 9 may have about 25 at one meeting, would be like tonight plus 14 items. So we may 10 11 need to call a special meeting for just 12 these. 13 MR. REINHARD: I'm okay with the 14 special meeting. 15 MR. WRIGHT: Do we need to shotgun 16 some kind of announcement that these seven 17 people are going to be tramping around in on their properties? I feel uncomfortable 18 19 going up to these houses, anybody's house 20 without knocking on their door, and here's seven of us at different times, going to 21 22 be a lot of traffic. 23 Sometimes maybe just an 24 announcement that the board will 25 individually be visiting these properties 0008 1 over the next 30 days or something. 2 MS. KENYON: When you decide when 3 you're going to have these, I have to give 4 them 30 days notice. What I can do is 5 when I send out that 30-day letter, tell 6 them that the board members will be 7 visiting during this period and the 8 hearing will be held on a certain date. 9 But I have to give them at least 10 30 days so you're going to have to give me a couple extra days to get all the letters 11 12 ready and out. 13 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Let's just shoot 14 for like in six weeks, in that range, I 15 mean. 16 MR. REINHARD: Sure. 17 MR. WRIGHT: Having visits? 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: The meeting. MS. KENYON: Do you want it on a 19

Saturday? Do you want it on a weeknight?

20

```
21
            MR. WRIGHT: The meeting?
22
            MS. KENYON: Um-hum.
23
            MR. WRIGHT: Whatever.
24
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Doesn't matter to
25
         me.
0009
           MR. REINHARD: I feel if the room is
1
2
         available on a Wednesday night, you know,
3
         in between, same time but in between the
4
         two meetings, in other words, two weeks
5
         from --
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: If we have a
6
7
         space, if this place is vacant.
8
            MS. KENYON: And are you going to
9
         hear all of them at once?
10
            MR. REINHARD: Yes.
11
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. Because
12
         there's a lot of them we'll have very
13
         little discussion on just because they
14
         agreed they want it on and we want it on
15
         and, you know, there will be very
16
         little ---
17
            MS. KENYON: What time do you want to
18
         start this meeting?
19
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 6:00.
20
            MS. KENYON: Okay.
21
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I don't know if
22
         we have that Wednesday available for this
23
         spot, but.
24
            MR. ROBINSON: You can do the fourth
25
         Wednesday of the month, that would give
0010
1
         you a, almost a week to get the letters
2
         out.
3
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: The fourth
4
         Wednesday is empty?
5
            MR. ROBINSON: That's correct. So
6
         you all would have the meeting on the
7
         third Wednesday and then you'd have
8
         another meeting on the fourth Wednesday.
9
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.
10
            MR. WRIGHT: I think 14 in one
11
         meeting is too much. I mean, there are
```

12 going to be people in here arguing, 13 arguing in the legal sense, that they 14 don't want to be on the list, I mean, with 15 their lawyers probably and whatever. 16 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, we'll run 17 the meeting just like we'd run this, there will be a limited time, you're going to 18 19 have ten minutes to present your case just 20 like, you know, like has already been laid 21 out. 22 So we're not -- somebody's not 23 going to sit up there and talk for a half 24 hour because they don't have a half hour. 25 MR. CRAVER: You know, my feeling is 0011 1 if we're telling somebody that we're going 2 to put them on the list, I'm less inclined 3 to -- they're not coming asking us for 4 something where we're limiting their time, 5 we're telling them we're getting ready to 6 do you. 7 And I'm less inclined to limit 8 them on their ability to put their case in front of them. So, I mean, I wouldn't 9 want to tell somebody you got ten minutes 10 11 to take your best shot. 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, this is 13 what we do tonight. All these clients 14 here are the same thing, they're in the same boat, they've got ten minutes, what's 15 the difference? 16 17 MR. CRAVER: The difference is is 18 that they've come and asked for us to make 19 a decision on their behalf, they're asking 20 us for something, we're not telling them 21 we're doing something to them, which is 22 different. 23 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I don't know. I 24 think all these people are asking us for 25 something, to look favorably on their 0012 1 proposed project, but. 2 MR. CRAVER: They are. I agree with

```
3
         Duke, I'm hesitant to say let's do 14 in
4
         one night.
5
            MS. HARMON: We won't do 14 --
6
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I mean, you know,
7
         in times past, once the presentation is
8
         made of ten minutes or whatever, there's
9
         further discussion if there needs to be,
10
          and we allow that, I mean, we're not --
11
          you know, if there's further discussion to
12
          be had, it's not like we're going to be,
13
          you know, kicking people out of here.
14
             MR. CRAVER: I agree.
             MR. WRIGHT: Well, one bright side of
15
16
          that is we can get it all over in one
          night. It might be a marathon, we may be
17
          here till midnight. That's fine.
18
19
             MR. REINHARD: I'd rather do that
20
          than have two meetings.
21
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Less than half or
22
          about half applied negatively to this.
23
             MR. WRIGHT: That's what I mean,
          those are the people who are going to come
24
25
          in with a case as to why they should not
0013
         be added. And I think there will be a lot
1
2
         of dialog associated with that reviewing
3
         all the criteria.
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: It's going to be
4
5
         a sticky thing, I agree.
            MR. WRIGHT: I think we got to be
6
7
         very sure -- if we want to try, I mean,
8
         14, I'm willing to stay here as long as it
9
         takes. And I agree with Fred, get it over
10
          with in one meeting.
11
             MR. CRAVER: I'm concerned that we're
12
          shortchanging the last people because
13
          they're, you know, they're getting --
14
             MS. EWING: Tired.
15
             MR. CRAVER: Yeah, they're getting
16
          the tired people who are ready to get out
17
          of here making decisions.
18
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, what's the
19
          board want to do? Anybody want to make a
```

```
20
         motion?
21
            MS. HARMON: I make a motion we have
22
         a meeting including the 14 houses.
23
            MR. REINHARD: Second.
24
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion, more,
25
         about --
0014
1
           MR. REINHARD: We could possibly put
2
         a time limit on the meeting, say 6:00 to
3
         9:00, and then if we don't get -- if we
4
         don't finish by 9:00, that solves the
5
         problem of plenty of time, not getting
         tired, and we'll schedule another meeting
6
7
         for anything that we don't accomplish.
              Three hours is reasonable to sit
8
9
         here and be whopped for three hours, I
10
         think, I'll try.
11
            MR. CRAVER: That makes sense.
12
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Can you modify
13
         the motion just to limit that to three
14
         hours.
15
            MS. HARMON: Make a motion we have a
16
         meeting to review all 14 houses and
17
         schedule it from 6:0'clock until
18
         9 o'clock, and any that's left over, we'll
19
         reschedule the meeting.
20
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Second?
21
            MR. REINHARD: Second.
22
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Further
23
         discussion?
24
         (No response.)
25
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
0015
         favor?
1
2
         (Hands raised.)
3
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.
4
         Great.
5
            MS. KENYON: In what order do you
6
         want to take these in? As they are on
7
         this list?
8
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes.
9
            MR. REINHARD: Is that random?
10
            MS. KENYON: No, it isn't. The ones,
```

11 the first three are ones that have applied 12 that they want to be on the list; the 13 second set are ones that I've received 14 saying no, they do not want to; the third 15 section is I've got no response 16 whatsoever. MS. HARMON: I think the ones that 17 18 have requested that they want to be on the 19 list, let's do those first and they can 20 gets out of here. 21 MS. KENYON: That's how this is set 22 up. 23 MR. REINHARD: That looks good. 24 That's a good plan. 25 MR. WRIGHT: Is this a special 0016 1 meeting or a regularly scheduled meeting? CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Special meeting. 2 3 MS. HARMON: Which will be on the 4 last Wednesday of the month. 5 MS. KENYON: I'll email everybody the 6 date tomorrow. 7 MS. HARMON: Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. First 9 item is 2866 Middle Street. 10 addition/alteration. 11 Kent? 12 MR. PRAUSE: This is actually in 13 front of you for a final approval. It's 14 not in the district. In fact, it's 15 outside the historic district, not 16 classified historic. 17 The only reason they're here is 18 they're requesting DRB relief and the DRB 19 relief that they are requesting relates 20 solely to side yard setback, combined side 21 yard setback. 22 They're asking for 22 percent of 23 the 25 percent relief that you can grant 24 to allow them to have a 29-foot 6-inch 25 combined side yard setback instead of the 0017 1 required 38 feet.

2 And the proposal is for a new 3 one-story rear addition to the existing 4 one and a half story house with some other 5 incidental things that are spelled out on 6 their application. 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. 8 Johnny? 9 MR. JOHNNY TUCKER: I'm Johnny 10 Tucker, the architect. And I'm here 11 representing the Hayes family. John Hayes 12 is here present. 13 If you don't mind, I'd like to put this on the table. You've got the 14 15 drawings and I did bring some photos too 16 if you want to pass those around. But 17 it's something you all had a chance to 18 look at the site. 19 On the model the gray is the 20 existing structure and --21 MS. KENYON: Johnny, please speak up. 22 She's got to hear you. 23 MR. JOHNNY TUCKER: In the model the 24 gray is the existing structure and the 25 wood is the proposed addition. The house 0018 1 is unusual in that it's built on not a 2 skinny lot but it's less than 105 feet so 3 we have that initial reduction in the 4 setback, for the side setbacks. 5 And what makes this house sort 6 of interesting is it's built in the '70s 7 but it has a nice low profile. And it was 8 built just above the FEMA flood 9 requirements. I don't know if they were 10 set at that period but fortunately the 11 existing finished floor is above flood so 12 that means our proposal can match the 13 existing finished floor. It's a small house right now, 14 15 shouldn't say small but a modest house of 16 about twenty-one, 2200 square feet. It 17 has, I would say two and a half bedrooms. 18 One of them is really just about nine-foot

square with just tiny little windows.

1 2

 And what we're proposing is the owners, it's an extended family, and what they use it as is sort of the weekend beach house and the mother is moving back to town, it's going to be her primary residence.

So what we're doing is we're proposing what now is just a little galley kitchen, we're proposing an addition that will house a more conventional size kitchen and sort of a family playroom. Right now there's just sort of a smallish living area.

And I'll just sort of give you conceptually what I was attempting to do here.

From the front you can see it has the traditional sort of beach cottage look, but from the rear it was sort of never really resolved, almost looks like it's been cut off.

So what I'm proposing is to take what I think are the positive elements which is this sort of low porch with this classic gable roof and repeat this gable roof at this rear addition and sort of try and keep that low one-story porch that sort of wraps around, actually helps delineate between the existing and this proposed rear structure.

And this sort of little low,

one-story porch sort of wraps around this side too to form that new bedroom and second bedroom. So it will be total of four bedrooms plus that little, what I call the half bedroom which will become, it's sort of landlocked in this proposal so it becomes a little office.

So the reason I'm asking for a side setback is, obviously, I wanted to

keep the low profile 'cause I think it sort of speaks to Sullivan's Island, the traditional beach cottage look.

 And we do have a little bit of room, there's a 25-foot rear setback, but since the house is already set back so far from the street, I didn't want to feel like we were sort of pushing into that rear setback.

And the way the roofs are sort of spraying down, that I think that the form sort of does that in a creative way and that's why I'm asking for that side, just that one side setback. All the other requirements we fulfill. So I hope you look positively on that request.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you.

Duke?

MR. WRIGHT: I think it's a very nice solution to an addition to the house and I have no problem with it.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. I don't either. I know Carl Smith designed this about 25 years ago and I built it 25 years ago but it hasn't changed much in those 25 years.

But I think it's a nice complement to what's there already. It's a well-wooded lot. It's sort of protected on both sides as well as in the rear. So it's not like pushing up against any other structure strongly. So I think it's a complement.

Betty?

MS. HARMON: I agree with what they've showed. I like the way you've done it, the porch the way you've done it. And I would certainly like to encourage the architects to start bringing models in.

```
1
           MR. JOHNNY TUCKER: It's easier to
2
         see. The drawings can be hard to
3
         decipher.
4
           MS. HARMON: Maybe we can get that
5
         approved somehow.
6
           MR. JOHNNY TUCKER: Sorry.
7
           MR. REINHARD: I like it, it's a home
8
         run. Minimal impact on the street
9
         presence and very, very clever solution of
10
         how to deal with some interesting existing
11
         rooflines. I like it a lot.
12
            MS. EWING: It's good.
13
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Cyndy? Good?
14
            MS. EWING: Um-hum.
            MR. CRAVER: I'm good.
15
16
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: And I erred in my
17
         procedure, I didn't ask for public comment
18
         on this project. So, anybody has anything
19
         for or against to say, love to hear it.
20
         (No response.)
21
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment
22
         section is closed.
23
               And Kent, any final words, or
24
         Randy?
25
            MR. ROBINSON: None from me.
0023
1
           CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Well,
2
         do I hear a motion?
3
           MR. REINHARD: Move for approval.
4
           MS. HARMON: Second.
5
           CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion.
6
         (No response.)
7
           CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
8
         favor?
9
         (Ayes.)
10
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. Sir.
11
               1752 Central Avenue, Number 249,
12
         Island Resource in the Historic District,
13
         addition/alteration. We've seen this
14
         before.
15
               Kent? Where are we at?
            MR. PRAUSE: Yes, you have. They're
16
17
         applying for approval of railing details
```

```
18
          requested, railing to match existing front
19
          porch, and they've got a detail of it and
20
          also some pictures. That's it.
21
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you.
22
                Yes, ma'am?
23
             MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Yes. Hi. I'm
24
          Julie O'Connor. And as you may remember,
25
          we were here a couple months ago and you
0024
1
         approved the screened porch renovation to
2
         this house, but asked that we come back
3
         with a railing detail that was more in the
4
         tradition of these junior officer houses.
5
               So what we've decided and hope
6
         that you will approve is to match the
7
         existing railing from the front porch.
8
         And in fact, this railing detail is
9
         represented on eight out of the ten
10
          officer, junior officer houses.
11
                So we feel like it's a good
12
          solution that is definitely indicative of
          this house and all of the junior officer
13
14
          quarters. So basically the detail that's
15
          shown on the drawings is a detail of the
16
          existing front porch railing.
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank
17
18
          you.
19
                Is there any public comment to
20
          this application?
21
          (No response.)
22
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public common
23
          section is closed.
24
                Billy, you happy?
25
             MR. CRAVER: I'm fine with it.
0025
1
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Cyndy?
2
            MS. EWING: I'm okay.
3
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Fred?
4
            MR. REINHARD: Looks good. You
5
         tightened up the balusters, they're closer
6
         together.
7
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: To meet the
8
         code.
```

```
9
           MR. REINHARD: And to match what's up
10
         front.
11
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Yes. Yeah,
12
         actually I think it is pretty similar to
13
         up front.
14
            MR. REINHARD: Okay. Looks good.
15
         Nice detail.
16
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Thank you.
            MS. HARMON: I'm fine.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I'm fine with it
19
         also.
20
            MR. WRIGHT: It's done and it looks
21
         good.
22
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Do I hear
23
         a motion.
24
            MR. WRIGHT: What's the code on the
25
         spacing?
0026
           MS. HARMON: Four inches.
1
2
           MR. WRIGHT: Hasn't been changed?
3
           MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: No, sir. It
4
         hasn't been changed.
5
           MR. WRIGHT: I walked over there
        today and looked at -- what did I -- isn't
6
7
         this what I saw today?
8
           MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: No, it's still
9
         back to the old 1970s.
10
            MR. WRIGHT: The vertical pieces are
11
         in.
12
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Yeah, they were.
13
         That was from the actual original deck.
14
            MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
15
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Got your
16
         attention.
17
            MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, it did, it did.
18
         I do have a little question I want to
19
         bring up about this.
20
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes, sir.
21
            MR. ROBINSON: How is the screen
22
         going to be attached to this? Is it going
23
         to be inside this railing system, outside
24
         the railing system.
25
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Well, currently
```

```
0027
1
         the screen is on the exterior of the
2
         existing railing system and we would
3
         prefer to have it that way but we're very
4
         happy to put it on the inside if you would
5
         prefer, completely fine with that.
            MS. HARMON: Most of them are on the
6
7
         inside; aren't they?
8
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Well, when it's
9
         on the inside it looks like you sort of
10
          screened it after the fact and it was a
11
          porch before. Yeah. I mean, you can see
         it both ways. But we're happy to do it
12
13
          either way.
14
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Any preference?
            MR. WRIGHT: Screen looks okay. I
15
16
          went over there today and looked at it,
17
          I'm fine with it. It's hidden very much
18
          back in the shrubbery.
19
             MR. REINHARD: Was the screen on the
20
          inside now?
21
             MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: No, it's on the
22
          outside.
23
             MS. HARMON: I think it should be on
24
          the inside.
25
            MR. REINHARD: You'll be doing it
0028
1
         over, so.
2
            MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Right. And
3
         that's why I say, we have to take the
4
         screen down and we have to take the whole
5
         railing system down in order to replace it
6
         with this new railing system so it's
7
         really neither here nor there.
8
            MS. HARMON: Let's put it on the
9
         inside now.
10
             MR. CRAVER: How would you all like
11
          to do it? What's the owner's preference?
12
             MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Jonathan?
             MR. CRAVER: Let's find out.
13
14
            MR. REINHARD: You guys stick
15
         together.
             MR. JONATHAN YATES: Jonathan Yates
16
```

17 on behalf of Doctor Phillips. He really 18 likes the way it looks now. He's agreed 19 to the rail change. We obviously want to 20 put this whole situation behind us. 21 But if he had a preference, he 22 does like it for the reason Julie 23 described. We're not trying to -- almost 24 an after-effect effect by doing it on the 25 inside. He prefers it the way it is now. 0029 1 MS. HARMON: Well, I think if you 2 walk up Middle Street you'll see that 3 they're all done from the inside. And 4 this is a historic house and so I think it would be my preference to have the screen 5 6 done from the inside 'cause I live on that 7 street. 8 MS. EWING: Yeah, I just think 9 porches, the architectural features when 10 you're looking at it, you can see them 11 much more -- much better when the screen 12 is on the interior. It's also very easy 13 to fix. 14 MS. HARMON: It's very easy to do it 15 that way. 16 MS. EWING: So there's an added 17 practical benefit there. So I would be inclined to request that you put it on the 18 19 inside. 20 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do you think 21 that's a big deal to him? 22 MR. JONATHAN YATES: We can leave 23 with either. 24 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a 25 motion then? 0030 1 MS. HARMON: I make a motion that we 2 allow the changes and then put, the screen 3 to be on the inside. 4 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Second? 5 MR. REINHARD: Second. MS. EWING: Second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion?

8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in 10 favor? 11 (Hands raised.) 12 MR. JONATHAN YATES: Thank you all 13 very much. 14 MS. JULIE O'CONNOR: Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. 1908 16 I'On, Number 190 on the Traditional Island 17 Resource, and is located in the historic 18 district, addition/alteration. 19 Kent? 20 MR. PRAUSE: They're here for final 21 approval. It's been before you before and 22 I can't recall if it was conceptual or 23 preliminary. 24 But they are here for final 25 approval and also asking for the relief of 0031 1 a portion of the 50 percent disallowance 2 that you all can do for existing principle 3 building coverage area for an historic 4 property under section 21-43. 5 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. 6 MS. LAYNE NELSON: This was before 7 you in January and received conceptual 8 approval. We did discuss the principle 9 building coverage at that time. Those 10 numbers have not changed and it was 11 conceptually approved. 12 I do have two adjustments though 13 to the submittal that you have. In your 14 packet it says that we are compliant with 15 the first floor building heights. And 16 we've not changed any of the heights on 17 this since we brought it to you 18 conceptually. 19 We did not, however, though, 20 just subtract and realize that we are 21 actually three feet four inches above 22 flood with our first floor. 23 The way that we got there was 24 that grade is at eight feet, we considered

bringing in about eight feet of fill just

1 2

to get drainage, and then we took eight feet six inches under the house which is the minium required to get a seven-foot overhead garage door to operate, and then a one-foot two-inch floor system which is very typical, puts us four inches, our first floor height four inches above what the zoning ordinance allows for.

And so we would be requesting relief from the board, the board can grant us a foot of relief there, we would be requesting that four inches of height.

Again, the elevations have not changed. If you go back to the conceptual submittal you'll still see that all of those numbers are the same. We just checked that the building foundation was in compliance and just realized actually today that we are four inches above.

The other change that is a change to what you have in your packets is regarding the existing house. We continued to study the existing house in an attempt to try to figure out what is original and what is more true to its

existing -- original nature.

And we know that the windows that are in that house are all replacement windows, there aren't any original windows in it.

And so what we showed you were windows that had a two over two grid in them and we thought that that worked well with the renovation, we thought it looked nice with the house and the portions were good, but as we began to investigate more, we started to go through the Sullivan's Island book and just look at all of the houses in that book that are in this area.

Majority of them do have a six

over six grid in those windows. I know that some of those are replacement windows as well, some may be original in there.

 But in light of the fact that the majority of them in that book showed a six over six window grid, we thought that for the existing house, we should keep those as a six over six grid.

I redid the elevations and have a set with six over six grids in the

existing house for the board and wanted to submit to the town so that if it's approved, you will have it on file.

Other than those two things, we've made very, very minimal changes to the plans. In any area that we increased any square footage, we reduced it in another area so that we kept the numbers the same as you had in the conceptual submittal.

Most of that was done -- there was no change whatsoever to the heated square footage in the house, we're still under on that. And we haven't reconfigured any of that. Most of it had to do with ground floor space.

We reduced the size of the pool. We reduced the deck a little bit. We took out some doors here that had a patio and some planters and simplified the planters around this side, little adjustments like that.

We did reconfigure the stair coming down here so that it made a nicer entry for those that might be parking on

the I'On side to come up to the main house rather than the bedrooms over here. But not really much bigger, just reconfigured.

We are showing on these plans a fire pit fireplace at the ground level by that pool that was not on the conceptual

plans. 8 We do have one window change in 9 the master bedroom here. We had two 10 windows and realized as we were kind of 11 going farther with it, that the one window 12 didn't center in the master bedroom from 13 the interior so we changed it to be three 14 windows so that it worked on the exterior 15 as well as on the interior. This was 16 originally two windows, we changed it to 17 three here. 18 I think we showed vertical pickets in the landings instead of what 19 20 the cross railing -- changed it to the cross railing just at the landings. 21 22 Originally we had the cross railings 23 everywhere. I think that's basically it. 24 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Thank 25 you. 0036 1 MS. LAYNE NELSON: Happy to answer 2 any questions. 3 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Is there any 4 public comment for or against on this 5 project? 6 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment 7 8 section's closed. 9 Kent, anything to add, or? 10 MR. PRAUSE: No. 11 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Randy? 12 MR. ROBINSON: You know, my concern 13 with this is with the original structure, 14 the massive amount of work that's going to 15 be done to it and how to get under the 16 50 percent rule to do that. Of course, 17 that's between us. 18 But my other thing is, and I've 19 heard of them before, from me, as far as 20 the height of this structure in the rear. 21 I don't believe you need seven feet 22 something or whatever to get garage doors 23 in.

24	MS. LAYNE NELSON: We can do outswing
25	garage doors.
0037	66
1	MR. ROBINSON: There's other ways to
2	do that. And those are my only comments.
3	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Thank
4	you.
5	Fred, what do you think?
6	MR. REINHARD: I don't care for the
7	six over six. The reason I don't, when
8	you look at the drawings, the panes in the
9	window, instead of being in a portrait
10	format, they're in a landscape format.
11	They look a little odd.
12	MS. LAYNE NELSON: The two over two
13	originally, we liked it better on the
14	house, we just were worried, your comments
15	last time were keeping it true to the
16	neighborhood and the existing so we
17	changed it.
18	MR. REINHARD: Well, you changed it
19	to embrace the concept of six over six,
20	but in reality, because of the size of the
21	windows, the orientation of the panes is
22	incorrect. Otherwise it's fine.
23	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Cyndy, what do
24	you think?
25	MS. EWING: You don't want me to talk
0038	
1	about windows; do you?
2	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: No. Eliminate
2 3	the windows.
4	MS. EWING: Ix-nay on the windows.
5	So Randy, I just kind of wanted
6	to have a conversation, you're saying
7	to your recommendation would be to
8	let's keep the addition lower? Is that
9	what you're saying?
10	MR. ROBINSON: No. I'm just saying
11	it's not necessarily necessary to give
12	relief on that extra foot. You know, they
13	have three feet to work with already.
14	This isn't a property that really needs

15 that extra foot, in my opinion. 16 MS. EWING: Yeah, it's just, when you 17 look at this, it's really overwhelming. 18 And I know you've tried so hard with this 19 addition but it's just gigantic in 20 relation to the little house. And so 21 that's a concern that I would have as 22 well. 23 And as far as the windows go, it 24 has six over six in it now but they're 25 oriented sideways so they --0039 MS. LAYNE NELSON: They're a big 1 2 hodgepodge right now, the windows that are there. They have some that are vertical 3 4 and some that are horizontal, some that are low and some that are high. There's 5 6 not a lot of rhyme and reason to them 7 currently. I just think that's a process 8 of the additions. 9 MS. EWING: I don't know, I feel pretty strongly, this is on the 10 11 Traditional Island Resource list and, I mean, if -- generally homes that were 12 13 built before 1900 have the two over two. 14 but this doesn't, this dates afterwards so 15 most likely would have the six over six. 16 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy? 17 MR. CRAVER: I don't have a problem 18 with the four inches. I mean, if we're 19 allowed to go a foot, I don't, I mean, 20 four inches isn't a big deal. 21 The windows, I agree with Fred, 22 I think that having them landscape 23 oriented doesn't look right so I'd rather 24 see the two over twos. But otherwise I 25 don't have a problem with the changes that 0040 1 you all have made. 2 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Duke? 3 MR. WRIGHT: No, I have no problem 4 with it. 5 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I have no

problem. From the preliminary approval, I don't think too much change. I do like the two over two, I agree with Fred also.

1 2

MS. HARMON: What's the total height of the house?

MS. LAYNE NELSON: I believe it's 29 feet 3 inches total. This is the maximum building height allowable. This is where we are.

And again, the three feet that Randy is talking about, three feet is from flood until your first floor and normally that's to try and get your structure in and not really our issue.

Our issue is that grade is high enough here that grade is at eight feet and flood is at 15 feet so trying to keep a parkable space and garage doors underneath there.

And we can do outswing garage

doors so is it an absolute necessity? No. But for four inches to be able to do the minimal seven-foot overhead garage door, we felt that it was worth asking for the four inches of relief.

MS. HARMON: I remember last time you said that the height on conceptual was 27 1/2 feet.

MS. LAYNE NELSON: In the minutes I had said that I thought it was around 27 feet but that I had not actually done the calculations and wasn't sure. If you will look back and look at what we do have noted on the conceptual, it's exactly the same, we've not changed anything on it, we've just come back and put all the elevations on or all the dimensions on it for final submittal.

We went the day of the meeting to do a site visit and we had gone out there and talked about it and, you know, looked at where it might be in relation to 23 the houses next-door, and I had thought it 24 was about 27, 27 1/2 feet. I think that's 25 what you said at the last meeting. 0042 1 But I did say that we were not a 2 hundred percent sure, had not actually 3 worked everything out. 4 MS. HARMON: That bothers me a little 5 bit because that's a good two feet higher 6 than what you had proposed at conceptual. 7 MS. LAYNE NELSON: The drawing is exactly the same, it's just that my 8 9 estimation of it was different. 10 MS. HARMON: I understand that, but 11 if you draw it that high, then you ought 12 to know how high it is on the conceptual. It comes from you exactly how it is. So 13 14 I'm a little bit -- I don't like that part 15 of it. 16 I think if you don't know, then 17 say you don't know and you don't give a 18 lower estimate. That's all I have. 19 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a 20 motion? 21 MR. WRIGHT: I move that we approve 22 it as submitted with the, back to the 23 original window configuration. 24 MR. CRAVER: With the four inches 25 over the --0043 MR. WRIGHT: With the four inches. 1 2 MR. CRAVER: I'll second that. 3 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? 4 MS. EWING: Well, I just feel that 5 it's -- more work could be done to add on 6 to the house in a much more sensible 7 manner. And my concern is it's going to 8 end up looking like the homes across 9 Middle Street where the existing historic 10 structure is completely overwhelmed by the 11 new structure. So that's -- and that's 12 what I have to say. 13 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.

```
14
          Everybody in favor of the motion?
15
          (Hands raised.)
16
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody
17
          opposed?
18
          (Hands raised.) (Two opposed).
19
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, ma'am.
20
                1741 Middle Street in the
21
          historic district, new construction.
22
                What do you think, Kent?
23
             MR. PRAUSE: As you mentioned, let's
24
          see, they want final approval on this.
25
          Submittal is within the historic district.
0044
1
         It's not designated as an historic
         resource. Apparently the existing
2
3
         structure was approved for demolition and
         this is a new construction project.
4
5
               They are asking for some relief
6
         from some of the requirements: Principle
         building coverage, principle building
7
8
         square footage, principle building side
         facade, and additional front yard setback.
9
10
                They also mention something
          about relief on a front yard setback but I
11
12
          don't see any provision that allows any
13
          relief on the front yard setback.
14
                And I don't have a site plan.
15
          There's a survey, but I assume this was
16
          for the previous house on the lot as it
17
          was done May 5th of '05. But there's no
18
          site plan for the new one unless I'm just
19
          missing it. Those are my basic comments.
20
             MR. ROBINSON: Page A7.
21
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah, is that not
22
          one there? Second one from the end? Is
23
          that not it? We'd have a hard time
24
          approving this without a site plan.
25
             MS. EWING: I don't think I have a
0045
1
         site plan.
2
            MR. PRAUSE: Oh, excuse me, I've got
3
         one, A7.
4
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: It's small, it's
```

6 the last page. 7 MS. EWING: Oh. 8 MR. TIM HARRELL: I have a big one. 9 MR. PRAUSE: It doesn't have any 10 dimensions on it but I assume that's 11 25 feet front and rear, I guess, it will 12 need to be. 13 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: You said they 14 were asking for relief on the front yard 15 setback but it looks like they don't need 16 it? This is the way it's drawn? 17 MR. PRAUSE: I don't think that 18 there's a provision that it can be given. 19 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Right. I don't 20 think we have front yard relief. 21 MR. ROBINSON: The relief they're 22 asking for up front I believe is one of the gables up in the front and it sits 23 24 into that 45-degree angle, is actually 25 what it is. Am I correct? 0046 MR. TIM HARRELL: Correct. 1 2 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Thank 3 you. 4 Yes, sir. 5 MR. TIM HARRELL: My name is Tim 6 Harrell. My wife and I, we own 1741. And 7 we spent the last couple years trying to 8 figure out what would be a good design for 9 the lot being mindful that it is in the 10 historic district. 11 We've lived on Sullivan's off and on for the last 20 years. We wanted 12 13 to do something that was historically 14 appropriate and would fit with the 15 existing homes in the area. 16 I think everybody probably knows 17 where the property is and it's across from 18 the First Baptist Church so we wanted to 19 keep it in the same vernacular of the 20 officers quarters that are located across 21 the street.

a reduced one, but it's on the second to

5

So the packet that you have, I brought a full-size set of drawings. The requirements were to reduce it down to that size but I brought a full-size set.

I don't know if it will set up here but it will give you a better view.

What we tried to do was to make it appropriate with the historic district. We tried to give it the traditional beach look and we tried to use traditional materials so it would match the surrounding homes.

We originally spent a lot of time and energy trying to come up with a solution to keep it on the ground. We really liked the idea of being two feet off the ground.

But after lots of looking at and lots of professional opinions, everyone came to the conclusion that the house really was in such poor shape that it really wasn't worth trying to do the 50 percent rule to keep it on the ground. So therefore the decision was made to go ahead and elevate the house.

So what we tried to do was we tried to use the matching materials to match the area which I used a metal roof, we're using natural wood siding and

shingles on top, we tried to match the windows, we also tried to use transoms over the doorways here.

We also tried to use as many porches as possible on the front. I think that's one of the design criteria you guys are looking for.

We tried to design the square footage to be right at the allowable for the lot size. When the final drawing came out, I think it was, what is it, 20, is that what it is on the relief page? It's

13 just a very few square footage over that 14 amount. 15 But the actual lot coverage I 16 think is okay. It's the total square 17 footage was only like 20 square feet over. 18 We also requested relief, I 19 don't believe this is in your packet but 20 this was, this is what Randy was talking 21 about, when you go 20 feet up from the 22 property line and do your 45-degree angle, 23 it does flit the corner of the house right 24 there. 25 That's a side elevation. 0049 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 1 2 you. 3 Is there any public comment on this application? 4 5 (No response.) 6 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment 8 section then is closed. 9 Kent, Randy, any other comments? 10 MR. PRAUSE: No. 11 MR. WRIGHT: I think it's a very nice solution to the lot and certainly an 12 13 improvement over the house that's there. 14 So I have no trouble with it. 15 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. I don't 16 have a problem with it. It's very 17 traditional. And it's an unusually shaped 18 lot, it is an unusual shaped lot so I 19 think granting these conditions is not a 20 bad thing. And the setback, unusual 21 setback. So I don't have any problem with 22 it. 23 Betty? 24 MS. HARMON: I think the design is fine. The only problem is I don't like 25 0050 1 the cedar shakes. That's a personal 2 opinion on this house especially in the 3 district that you're in because I live

```
across the street in one of the officers'
4
5
         quarters houses and there really are no
6
         cedar shakes.
7
               I know that's the in thing now
8
         but, I mean, I would not not pass it for
9
         that but that certainly isn't something I
10
         would recommend. That's personal.
11
            MR. TIM HARRELL: Okay.
            MR. REINHARD: Looks good. Glad
12
13
         you're using a metal roof on it. Exposed
14
         rafter tails look nice.
15
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Cyndy?
16
            MS. EWING: Beautiful design, really
17
         nice.
18
            MR. TIM HARRELL: Thank you.
19
            MR. CRAVER: Well done.
20
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Motion?
21
            MR. REINHARD: Move for approval.
22
            MR. WRIGHT: Second.
23
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
24
         favor.
25
         (Hands raised.)
0051
1
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir.
2
            MR. TIM HARRELL: Thank you.
3
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 1856 Central
4
         Avenue, Number 232, Traditional Island
5
         Resource in the Historic District,
6
         additions/alterations, 1856.
7
            MR. PRAUSE: For final approval.
8
         What they're asking for is to replace the
9
         existing front step height handrail with a
10
         wood post rail and picket to match the
11
         porch and for safety reasons.
               We're shown a drawing that shows
12
13
         the existing one-inch metal pipe rail and
14
         also with the proposed front step
15
         handrail.
16
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank
17
         you.
18
               Yes, sir?
            MR. SINOR: I'm Cyrus Sinor, I'm the
19
20
         owner of the property. I'm looking for
```

```
21
         approval.
22
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank
23
         you.
24
               Is there any public comment?
25
         (No response.)
0052
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment
1
2
         sections' closed.
3
                No other comments from Kent or
4
         Randy?
5
         (No response.)
6
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy?
7
            MR. CRAVER: It looks fine. I would
8
         approve it.
9
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Cyndy?
10
            MS. EWING: I would not approve it.
11
         I think it goes with the house and it's
12
         historic, and because it is an historic
13
         home, you need the --
14
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: -- the pipe
15
         rails.
            MS. EWING: Yeah. It's one of those
16
17
         quirky Sullivan's Island handrails. I
18
         have one on my house.
19
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great.
20
               Fred?
21
            MR. REINHARD: Question about the
22
         pickets, what's the size of the pickets?
23
         It says one-by-one but does that mean
         three-quarter by three-quarter?
24
25
            MR. SINOR: It's the standard
0053
1
         pressure-treated one-by-one picket, I
2
         think it's three-quarter by three-quarter.
3
         It's just the standard picket that you
4
         find in Lowe's.
5
            MR. REINHARD: One-and-a-half by
6
         one-and-a-half; is that right? Standard
7
         picket?
8
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes,
9
         one-and-a-half by one-and-a-half real
10
         dimension, yes.
            MR. REINHARD: Are there two of these
11
```

```
12
          or just one?
13
             MR. SINOR: No, there will be two,
14
          one on each side of this house.
15
             MR. REINHARD: And Randy, they have
16
          to have the four-inch spear rule passed?
17
          Pickets have to be that close together?
18
          There's no dimension on the picket
19
          spacing.
20
             MR. ROBINSON: Yeah. There's no
21
          dimension on that.
22
             MR. SINOR: We were going to match
23
          the existing handrails on the porch, the
24
          existing spacing.
25
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: How high of a
0054
1
         crown is it?
2
            MR. ROBINSON: Right. That's what
3
         I'm asking. I really don't know how high
4
         this is off the ground. If it's less than
5
         30 inches above grade, they don't have to
6
         have that specified spacing. They can
7
         space it any way they want to. But if
8
         it's more than 30 inches above grade, then
9
         they have to have it no more than a
10
          four-inch space.
11
             MS. HARMON: Do you know how high it
12
         is?
13
             MR. SINOR: I believe it exceeds
14
          that.
15
             MS. HARMON: It exceeds 30 inches.
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: And also
16
          something that's going to have to be a
17
18
          graspable handrail, that is, you're going
          to have to be able to put your hand around
19
20
          a two-by-six --
21
             MR. ROBINSON: There's really no
22
          dimensions on here to be able to tell
23
          what's going on.
24
                I don't know if it meets the 34
25
          to 38-inch height, minimum 36 for
0055
1
         guardrail.
2
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Fred?
```

MR. REINHARD: Yeah, I just think that it needs a little more thought. It's not -- it's a little crude as shown on this photo, little more development. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Betty? MS. HARMON: I agree. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I know probably one of the things you're going to want to do because really it is going to look bad, the handrails that I sometimes have, you end up having to have another rail, one that hangs off the side, it really does look bad, because you have two rails then, whereas if you could design it with a rail that you can actually put your hand around which is within code, it's going to be cheaper, it's going to look better, and it's going to be useful, I mean, it's going to be safer and everything else. So it would be nice to have that. I think -- I don't think there's probably any problem passing this. I think probably though you need a little

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

0056

bit more detail in showing that kind of thing and pickets could be a little bit closer together, just something, you know, a little bit more detail.

I personally hate to put homeowners to this difficulty of having to go, having professional drawings and I don't think you need to do that, I think just a little more detail showing this or put a little handrail on your house. I think that's a bit of a position to have to hire an architect or whatever else.

But I think it needs a little bit more, showing a thinner handrail that you can put your hand around and maybe closer pickets. I don't think it's going to be an issue myself but that's me speaking.

MR. REINHARD: Let me add to that,

```
20
         you don't have to spend a lot of time
21
         drawing it, if you just bring a piece in,
22
         a piece of handrail, a piece of picket,
23
         and then the bottom rail, so we can look
24
         at it and say, yeah, that looks good, that
25
         will work fine. But this right here is
0057
         difficult for us to make a decision.
1
2
            MR. SINOR: I can understand.
3
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: If we're moving
4
         that way, I don't want to jump the gun
5
         here on you but, I mean, maybe we could
6
         hear a motion to postpone, or.
7
            MR. REINHARD: Well, I would like
8
         something like this to be approved by
9
         staff, I don't think he needs to come back
10
         here.
11
            MR. CRAVER: I agree.
12
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Right. I love
13
         it.
14
            MR. WRIGHT: That was my idea, you
15
         stole my idea. I don't know why we're
16
         even sitting here.
17
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Do I hear
18
         a motion?
19
            MR. WRIGHT: I move that the
20
         submission be approved by staff.
            MR. CRAVER: How about, can I suggest
21
22
         a little change to that, that we approve
23
         the replacement of the metal pipe rail and
         that the staff approve the details of it?
24
25
            MS. HARMON: The code.
0058
1
            MR. CRAVER: To make sure it complies
2
         with all code requirements?
3
            MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Fine.
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a
4
5
         second?
            MR. REINHARD: Second.
6
7
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion?
8
         (No response.)
9
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
10
         favor?
```

```
11
          (Hands raised.)
12
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody
13
          opposed?
14
          (One hand raised.)
15
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir.
16
             MR. SINOR: Thank you very much,
17
          sir.
18
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. 1002
19
          Middle Street, Number 344, Traditional
20
          Island Resource/alteration.
21
             MR. PRAUSE: I assume they're here
22
          for final approval. It is within the
23
          historic district, it's designated as an
24
          historic resource. Historic survey
25
          number, looks like L248. Is that what
0059
1
         that says?
2
            MS. KENYON: Where?
3
            MR. PRAUSE: In their application.
4
         But at any rate, they've been here before,
5
         and I can't recall exactly what transpired
6
         the previous time, but.
7
            MR. ROBINSON: Want me to take over?
            MR. PRAUSE: Yeah.
8
9
            MR. ROBINSON: Let me give you all a
          little background on Mr. Rittenburg's
10
11
          project. He came to you all prior, you
          all gave him approval to raise this house
12
13
          minimally. After a lot of talk with
14
          Mr. Rittenberg and his builder, we came up
15
          with a, maybe what we felt was a better
16
          solution and that solution is to leave the
17
          house existing where it is, to just repair
18
          the structure without altering the height
19
          or anything.
20
                What they've done is gone in and
21
          put a rat slab down below the house. And
22
          they're going to condition the space below
23
          the structure which will preserve the
24
          floor joists underneath or it will be
25
          dehumidified underneath and have a sump
0060
1
         pump in it. If any water did seep in
```

there it would be taken out by the sump pump.

 So what he's done is he's actually just going to repair the structure in its existing location and height. And I think it's a great solution.

And what he's coming to you tonight is asking about some changes in some window locations and some modifications to the front of the home to bring it into code and make everything work.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great.

MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: I'm Charles

Rittenberg, I'm the homeowner, and I've got Kevin Reed from New Traditions Homebuilders, contractor is here with me. When I came here before, there were a couple of windows that I had asked to change that you all had asked me to come back for approval.

The building was previously a duplex and I'm renovating it into a single

family two- or three-bedroom unit.

And if you'll look at the pictures I passed out, on the top left, that window that I'm pointing the arrow at, that was where one of the kitchens previously was and they had, if you look at the picture at the right you can see, it appears that there was previously a larger window there where they cut out and replaced the siding.

That's now going to be a bedroom instead of a kitchen so we'd like to return that window to being what it was originally.

And then on the bottom left picture there, you can see on the rear of the house, there are just the two windows on the back. And we'd like to add two more windows identical to the ones that are existing on the edges.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

0063

0062

The main reason is because on the, what's your right side of that picture is going to be a bedroom that's just pretty dark otherwise.

And actually the bottom right

picture, if you look, is, the inside view of that bedroom or the box that I've drawn in is where that window that we've added on the back would go.

You can see that there was actually a window that was covered up inside the bathroom. It was in the original submission that I made to you. What I'd like to do also is put a window here where there had been one when the house was built originally.

Really just doing it on the one side, it's asymmetric but you wouldn't be able to see it because they're opposite sides of the house, 'cause on the other side, it would be inside of a closet.

And then the only other -- one other thing that I didn't ask about last time, finally on the last page -- that picture on the left is just showing you the inside of that window where you could see where the old window had been before.

But on the front of the house where the columns are, the columns and railings don't appear to be original. And

I took this picture standing immediately in front of that left-hand column. And you can see that the column sits in front of the window.

And what I'd like to do is move those columns sort of halfway between the window and the door which seems architecturally more appropriate so that it's not blocking the view. 10 So if you're looking at the 11 house straight on, the column is against a 12 dead space rather than blocking a window. 13 That's all. If anybody has any questions? CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir. 14 15 Public comment on this 16 application? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment 19 section's closed. 20 Kent, Randy, anything to add? 21 MR. PRAUSE: No. 22 MR. ROBINSON: The only other thing, 23 I believe another change was going to be made as far as the soffits were going to 24 25 be taken back to what was original on this 0064 1 house. We have pictures of it from --2 MR. KEVIN REED: I'm Kevin Reed, I'm 3 with New Traditions. Our plan is, 4 Charles, when he bought the property, put 5 a metal roof on the house so we have to 6 leave, you won't see it but we have to 7 leave about an inch just to catch the drip 8 edge of the metal roofing, and then we're 9 going to move the front fascia board and 10 the rear fascia board. 11 So if you were to stand in the 12 street, it would be appear as an open 13 rafter tail which it would be. The only 14 placement of wood will actually be behind 15 the metal drip edge to support that drip 16 edge. 17 And I know one of the concerns 18 from reading through the previous notes 19 was the width of the front stairs. We're 20 only looking at bringing those two columns 21 in about 12 to 18 inches on each side 22 which will still give us a six-foot wide 23 set of stairs for this property. And it will just align those 24 25 columns between the windows and the door 0065

1 on the dead space of the siding. 2 From reading through your 3 previous notes, before I became the 4 contractor, I know one of the concerns 5 with bringing the house up and back was 6 keeping the width of the stairs and that 7 open porch feeling which we plan on doing 8 and we'll still have just shy of six feet 9 on the front stairs. But we are planning 10 on opening up the rafter tails. 11 The only thing I'd like to add 12 is there were some notes in there about 13 how the front handrails and pickets would 14 be determined. And we're not sure what 15 was original to the house. I hadn't seen any pictures. 16 17 What's there now is a two-by-two 18 nominal picket and your traditional 19 one-piece rounded top rail and your 20 L-shaped bottom rail which is pretty 21 traditional to Charleston renovation. But 22 I'm not sure what was original to the 23 house. 24 MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: I've ridden 25 around looking at similar cottages and 0066 1 there's everything from X-shaped 2 two-by-fours to some like I have that have 3 no rail at all. I'm content with what's 4 there. 5 MR. REED: We're willing to look at 6 the board's, obviously, the board's 7 recommendation. I don't think a turn 8 picket really fits this cottage but I'm 9 not sure if you want us to go back with a 10 straight picket or if there was any 11 discussion on that in the previous 12 meetings. 13 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. 14 Cyndy, what do you have think? 15 MS. EWING: Well, I think it's a great building. I think it's a very 16

important building to the island and it's

17

18 really truly unique. You've got a 19 building that, if anything happens to it, 20 it's the only one. 21 Based on Secretary of Interior 22 standards, I just have concerns and I 23 think we need to -- you know, this talk about whether something is original to the 24 25 building and not based on any factual 0067 1 evidence, if there's photos, we'd want to 2 see what the photographs are, and so that 3 we can make sure this building ends up 4 looking as it did. 5 I don't think we should be 6 changing anything unless you can come 7 forward with some photos. 8 MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: That's where 9 I tried to show you, there are lines where 10 you can see where the siding has been 11 repaired or where the windows had been. 12 MS. EWING: That would be a huge 13 window there. 14 MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: It would be 15 the same size as the window that's to its 16 left is what we're replacing it with. 17 MR. REED: The window size isn't 18 changing, ma'am, it's just the height of 19 the window because there used to be two 20 kitchens and now there will only be one. 21 So the width that we're doing is 22 the same as the existing window, we're 23 just asking for a five-two window instead 24 of a three-oh in height because we're 25 eliminating a kitchen. 0068 1 MS. EWING: Well, I think we need to 2 be careful. I would like for us to go out 3 there and go through this and really look 4 at it hard. 5 When Richard Sidebottom 6 (phonetic) was down here from Columbia,

the SHPO office, one of the things that he

stressed in his talk was the importance of

7

8

9 windows in keeping the historic integrity 10 of the building. 11 And, you know, this building is 12 a Traditional Island Resource. It 13 doesn't -- well, there's only, Landmark is 14 the only thing that's better than this on 15 the island. 16 And this board makes a lot of 17 decisions to change window structures and 18 make changes, and what we do a lot of 19 times, not meaning to, is we alter the 20 structure so much that it then becomes not 21 historic. And I'm -- I would like to not 22 do that with this building. 23 So I'd like to -- I would 24 suggest going out and looking at this and 25 I would like to see some kind of 0069 1 documentation, there's got to be some old 2 photos. 3 MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: I bought the 4 house in 2004 so I don't have any older 5 photos. I've seen one from the Tailmans 6 (phonetic) from 1988 that looks the same 7 except for the exposed rafter tails. 8 MS. EWING: Have you checked Historic 9 Charleston or the library or Preservation 10 Society? MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: I have not. 11 12 MS. EWING: There's lots and lots of 13 resources and, you know, Sanborn maps, 14 there's lots of ways to tell what this 15 building looked like and so that you can 16 make good decisions. 17 MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: I am neither 18 an architect nor a historian. It's just 19 when I see the lines cut around the 20 window, I assume there had been a window 21 there, and I don't know why else there 22 could be gaps in the board. 23 MS. EWING: It could have been a 24 porch, it could have been a door, there's 25 lots of reasons when you see cuts in these

```
0070
1
         old houses.
2
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy?
3
            MR. CRAVER: Yeah. Doctor
4
         Rittenberg, let me make sure I understand,
5
         so on this front page where the little
6
         window is, you want to replace it with a
7
         window that fits what was previously
8
         there.
9
            MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: Yeah,
10
          replace it with a window that's the same
11
          size as that double window that's to its
12
          right.
13
             MR. CRAVER: Okay. And then you want
14
          to put two windows on these two places?
             MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: Right. I
15
16
          want to put -- this is where one of those
17
          windows would be and then you can see
18
          where there was a window that had been
19
          covered up.
20
             MR. CRAVER: And you'd like to put --
21
             MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: And I'd like
22
          to put that window back in.
23
             MR. KEVIN REED: I don't know if this
24
          will help you. This was the original
          submittal that came in prior to tonight
25
0071
1
         right here, shows the back elevation of
2
         the house, this is the addition of the two
3
         singles, and then this is the removal of
4
         the second kitchen, and replaced with a
5
         full-sized window that would now be a
6
         bedroom.
7
            MR. CRAVER: And then other than that
8
         you want to move those columns. Okay. I
9
         think that all of that enhances the
10
          usability of the house. I don't think it
11
          alters the historic character of the house
          at all and I would approve it.
12
13
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank
14
          you.
15
                Duke?
16
             MR. WRIGHT: I walked through this
```

again today and I don't find the proposed 17 18 window changes significantly alter the 19 historic value of this cottage. 20 And I'm very happy that it's not 21 going to be raised. We had a lot of 22 discussion about that. And I'm glad it 23 turned out this way. I'm okay with this. 24 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I also am real 25 pleased that it didn't have to be raised. 0072 It's a great solution to dehumidify the 1 limited space you have underneath the 2 3 house. And I think it's going to be --4 it's great. I think it's going to be a 5 nice thing. 6 This house historically has 7 been, I mean, you know, ever since I've 8 been here, it's been a couple of 9 apartments so it's been hacked on and done 10 inside and out and everything else ever 11 since I've been on the island. 12 And in a way that's part of the 13 charm of this particular structure, that it's not -- it's never, in my view, it's 14 15 never been pristine. There were 16 surfboards, old bikes and all kinds of 17 stuff out in front. And that was okay, 18 that was healthy, it was not perfect. 19 And in my view that is 20 Sullivan's Island too. That's fine for 21 these houses not to be perfect back into 22 some whatever they might have been or may 23 not have been. 24 But I think it's great that 25 you're saving it. I would -- the only 0073 1 thing about the windows, I think it's 2 great, you can add the windows as long as 3 they're far enough over the corners so if 4 you ever did want to use shutters, they 5 wouldn't crowd the corners and things like

that. But I don't have a problem with

what you're proposing to do.

6

7

8 Betty? 9 MS. HARMON: I agree that this has 10 been added on over the years and it's 11 really hard to say. I can say I'm just so 12 excited that you aren't going to move it, 13 that I would let you do the windows. 14 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Fred? 15 MR. REINHARD: I'm not as passionate 16 about the windows as I am that front 17 porch. I think that the even intervals 18 between columns from one corner of the 19 house across the front to the other which 20 results in a wide set of steps actually 21 works pretty well. It's part of the charm 22 of that front porch. 23 I personally would not change 24 that. I don't think it's that important 25 that that column try to land in between 0074 1 the windows and the doors. 2 And, of course, it's all in the 3 eyes of the beholder. When you're walking 4 down the street, the column moves. It's 5 only when you're standing in front of the 6 column in front of that space that it's 7 really in between the window and doors. 8 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah, like you 9 say --10 MR. REINHARD: I think the spacing 11 here is really quite nice. 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: You're right, you 13 got a good point there, and if you did move them in, you don't want to add two 14 15 more columns. 16 MR. REINHARD: That's right, and then 17 that would ruin it. 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: It would be so 19 busy. 20 MR. REINHARD: What's so nice about 21 this porch is its openness and the steps 22 are very inviting. You just want to sit there, watch people go by. I wouldn't 23 24 change that. I wouldn't.

25	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Well,
0075	
1	do I hear a motion?
2	MS. HARMON: I'd like to make a
3	motion that we approve the windows but not
4	the front porch, leave the front porch as
5	is.
6	MR. REINHARD: I'll second that.
7	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Point of
10	discussion that I'll bring up is that, is
11	the rail. I mean, when we say we want to
12	leave it like it is, the rail may or may
13	not I mean, is the rail fine? Do we
14	want to leave is it a two-by-two rail
15	or, I'm not exactly sure.
16	MR. REINHARD: I don't know what it
17	is but it looks good from this distance.
18	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: So the type of
19	balusters and
20	MS. HARMON: I think it's perfect the
21	way it is.
22	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.
23	That's a point. I just want to make that
24	point, that's all.
25	MR. KEVIN REED: It is different on
0076	
1	each side so maybe it's something we can
2	do on a staff level. One of the railings
3	is different size pickets and different
4	spacing on the right than the left. We'll
5	be happy to marry whatever side.
6	MR. REINHARD: I see what you mean,
7	thanks. I like the ones on the left
8	better.
9	MR. REED: You see what I mean? You
10	don't see it till you really go back and
11	look at it.
12	MR. REINHARD: Yeah. But don't you
13	agree the ones on the left, are they
14	five-quarter?
15	MR. REED: I believe one's

```
16
         five-quarter and one's a true one-by-one.
17
            MR. REINHARD: True two-by-two.
18
            MR. KEVIN REED: I'm sorry, true
19
         two-by-two on one side and a five-quarter
20
         on the other.
21
            MR. REINHARD: Always looks too
22
         contemporary. That left side looks
23
         perfect.
24
            MR. REED: Okay.
25
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. We've
0077
1
         got a motion on the floor and it was to
2
         approve it with -- except the front porch,
3
         leaving the front porch like it is. Do we
         want to amend the motion to speak to what
4
5
         we've just ---
6
            MS. HARMON: Yes. Amend the motion
7
         to say that the front porch will stay the
8
         same and correct the pickets facing the
9
         house on the right-hand side to match the
10
         one on the left.
11
            MR. CHARLES RITTENBERG: Can I just
12
         clarify so it's in the minutes, it's okay
13
         to put that window back where it was that
14
         wasn't in the original submission?
15
            MR. CRAVER: Yeah.
            MR. REED: That's the left side of
16
17
         the railing.
18
            MS. HARMON: Left side is what we
19
         want to keep.
20
            MR. REINHARD: And the open rafter
21
         tails.
22
            MR. REED: Absolutely. We'll be more
23
         than happen to do that.
24
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.
25
         Everybody in favor?
0078
1
         (Hands raised.)
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody
2
3
         opposed?
4
         (One hand raised.)
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir.
5
6
               All right. Are we done.
```

```
UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: This won't take
8
         long.
9
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Speak
10
          fast. 2320 I'On, Number 149, Traditional
11
          Island Resource, not in district,
12
          accessory building.
13
                Kent, what have we got?
14
             MR. PRAUSE: Hopefully an easy one,
15
          construct an eight-by-ten storage shed in
16
          the rear yard.
17
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. I
18
          like that.
19
                Yes, ma'am.
20
             UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: And it's just
21
          that simple, we are asking to put in an
22
          eight-by-ten storage shed in the rear
23
          yard. We do not disturb any setbacks, we
24
          do not disturb any site numbers. And
25
          because we left the historic cottage like
0079
1
         we did, they just need some storage.
2
               And its as simple that's as
3
         that. It's an accessory structure which
4
         is why we're here talking to you all about
5
         it.
6
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank
7
         you.
8
               Is there any public comment on
9
         this application.
10
          (No response.)
11
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment
12
          section's closed.
13
                Kent?
14
                Randy?
15
          (No response.)
16
             CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Fred?
17
             MR. REINHARD: One quick comment.
18
          Would you consider, this is not -- I'm not
19
          going to make this contingent on my vote,
20
          but would you consider putting an
21
          inexpensive louver at each end of the
22
          gable just so that thing doesn't heat up
23
          like an oven and just give it a little
```

```
more detail? Think about it.
24
25
            UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: I think we
0080
1
         could do that.
2
           MR. REINHARD: You close that up and
3
         the sun beats down it, it's like an oven.
4
           UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: We definitely
5
         don't want to put windows in for security
6
         reasons since they don't live here but I
7
         think the louvered gable end, we could do
8
         that, close up to the eave.
9
              Looks like something you didn't
         buy at Lowe's but like something an
10
11
         architect designed.
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Betty, do you
12
13
         want to say anything.
14
            MS. HARMON: No.
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I'm fine with it.
15
16
               You fine with it?
            MR. WRIGHT: (Mr. Wright nodded.)
17
18
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy, I know
19
         you're fine with it.
20
            MR. CRAVER: Oh, yeah.
21
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Do I
22
         hear a motion?
23
            MR. REINHARD: Move for approval.
24
            MR. CRAVER: Second.
25
            CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All in favor.
0081
1
         (Ayes.)
2
           CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, ma'am.
3
         You're approved.
4
           UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you very
5
         much.
6
           CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. We
7
         are adjourned.
8
         (The hearing was adjourned at 7:20.)
9
               ---oOo---
10
11
12
13
14
```

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0082 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DORCHESTER) 3 I, Janice D. Hayward, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true record of the proceedings. 8 I further certify that the same was reduced to typewritten form from my original stenograph notes by computer-aided transcription. I further certify that I am not of 11 counsel or kin to any of the parties to this cause 12 of action, nor am I interested in any manner in 13 14 its outcome. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set 16 my hand and seal this the 24th day of March 2008. 17 18 19 Janice D. Hayward, RMR Notary Public, South Carolina 20 My Comm. Expires Oct. 9, 2012 21 22 23 24 25