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6:00 p.m.
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P.O. Box 73129

North Charleston, SC 29415
(843) 762-62941319
A P P E A R A N C E S

DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS:
PAT ILDERTON - Chair
5 STEPHEN HERLONG - Vice Chair
DUKE WRIGHT - Secretary
BETTY HARMON - Member
JON LANCTO - Member
FRED REINHARD - Member
ALSO PRESENT:
Kat Kenyon - Permit Tech/DRB Applications
Randy Robinson - Chief Building Official/
Acting Zoning Administrator

MR. ILDERTON: This is the March 16, 2011 meeting of the Sullivan's Island Design Review Board. It is now 6:00.

Members in attendance are Duke Wright, Pat Ilderton, Steve Herlong, Betty Harmon, Fred Reinhard and Jon Lancto. The Freedom of Information requirements have been met for this meeting. I would now ask for all cell phones to be turned off.

> The items on tonight's agenda are
approval of the minutes.
MR. HERLONG: I move that we approve the minutes as submitted.

MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a second?
MR. REINHARD: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? All in favor?
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MS. HARMON: I'm abstaining because I wasn't here.

MR. WRIGHT: I, also. I was not here.
MR. ILDERTON: Okay. We have enough, though.

All right. 402 Station $18-1 / 2$, removal from
historic map. Randy?
MR. ROBINSON: Okay. In front of you you have the Jones property. I was asked to look to see if this property was a historic property. When I looked it up I couldn't find it on the historic list; but, yet, when I looked at the map it was on the map.

The way our ordinance reads is that if a property is on the map or the list, either one, then it is categorized as a historic property. And so the applicants are coming to you tonight to ask that it be removed from the map. And I will let them present, and if there is anything $I$ will --

MR. WRIGHT: Randy, it is on the list. I think it is on the list. Just a second. I just went -MR. ROBINSON: It's on the list as an altered structure.

MR. WRIGHT: Altered structure.
MR. ROBINSON: Correct. It's on the list as an altered structure, which kind of means it's not on the list because it isn't historic according --

MR. WRIGHT: But it's in the Category 3, altered.

MR. ROBINSON: I stand corrected. That is correct.

MR. ILDERTON: Yes, sir?

MR. BARR: Bill Barr for the applicant. Brent Jones is here with me tonight.

Everett actually called me -- and I
believe we handed it up with the package. Everett had been in contact with David Schneider when he first discovered this, and that is that there is a discrepancy between the map. I believe that the map shows this is Parcel Number 380, and it shows -- it has a little yellow dot on it.

And then if you go all the way back to the original historic designation study list that was done by Schneider way back when, and you go over to Parcel Number 380 on the altered list, you will find that it is Station 18-1/2, southeast corner of Back Street.

In your package I attached a copy of an e-mail from Mr. Schneider to Everett Presson regarding the property. And, in essence -- and attached to that document was a copy of the actual survey that they had done on the property showing that this was an altered structure.

So I think it was always intended that the list is correct; that is, it is an altered structure and not a traditional island resource as it is shown on the map.

So what we are trying to do is make the two coincide so that the map reflects the property as an altered structure, not as a traditional island resource, and it's all based upon Mr. Schneider's survey of the property showing it as altered. And that's all I have. MR. ILDERTON: All right, sir. Thank you. Anything -- well, let's see. Is there any public comment on this application?

MR. FAVA: I have a question, if I might. MR. ILDERTON: Yes, sir.

MR. FAVA: If it's removed off the list -and it's not something that is affecting me personally. I lived across the street from it for quite awhile.

MR. ILDERTON: Could you identify yourself?
I know you, but --
MR. FAVA: Eddie Fava at 2424 Myrtle. But if it's removed from the list, what are the implications of that? Does that mean it doesn't have to come back before you-all, or the board, or it can be totally removed or demolished?

MR. ILDERTON: Well, first of all, I think we are being told that it's not on the list. It's on the map, but it's not on the list that we have control over. I think that is what we are being told. It's on the map, though, and there is a --

MR. FAVA: A request to get it --
MR. ILDERTON: So it is on the map, so it is under our purview because, as Randy said, the zoning says if it's on the map or the list, one or both. So your question is --

MR. FAVA: Is the applicant's request that it's removed from the list, or the map or both? And, if that is the case, what does that mean as far as design review implications, that it can be --

MR. ILDERTON: Well, I think it means that, first of all, number one, it could be demolished or moved on the lot or whatever else, or moved off the lot. Secondly, that we would still have -- well, we would likely have, not necessarily have, I guess control or influence over the architecture depending -- I guess someone could design a house and we would not have to approve -- it would not come before us, right?

MR. ROBINSON: That's correct.
MR. REINHARD: Because it's not in the zone.
MR. ILDERTON: It's not in the historic
district, is that correct?
MR. ROBINSON: Right.
MR. ILDERTON: So I guess, essentially, that is the thing.

MR. FAVA: Because, again, $I$ think it's a
wonderful property. And, I mean -- and I'm not necessarily saying the house. I understand that. But I do think that the safeguard of it having to come before you-all would be important. That's all.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Any other public comment? The public comment section then is closed.

Randy, anything to add?
MR. ROBINSON: I don't have anything to add. MR. ILDERTON: Jon, do you want to start on this one?

MR. LANCTO: Well, I'm still not quite clear. If it is on the list -- it's currently on the list, right?

MR. ROBINSON: It is currently on the list. It's currently in an altered category.

MR. LANCTO: So it would stay on the list regardless of what we did with the map?

MR. ROBINSON: Correct. It would stay in an altered category.

MR. LANCTO: Right. And the list that we are referring to, is it the Historic Resources of Sullivan's Island, South Carolina, and Field Evaluation Map?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, it is.
MR. LANCTO: So changing it off the map
changes it from being a traditional island resource to just being on the list, is that correct?

MR. ROBINSON: It would still be on the list, but it would not be under you-all's purview over what could be done there. Does that make sense?

MR. BARR: Simplistically, what we want to happen is that the list and the map match, and they don't match right now. Everett reminded me that there is a new map that shows it as an altered structure, but the new map has not been adopted by Council yet. Is that correct, Randy?

MR. ROBINSON: Correct. There was a map that was never adopted by Council.

MS. HARMON: Did this not come before us in 2007 when Mr. Schneider was here and we went through all of those --

MR. ROBINSON: No, it did not.
MS. HARMON: It did not?
MR. LANCTO: Can I just read this out loud, what I'm reading here, and maybe you can explain to me what --

MR. ROBINSON: What section are you reading? MR. LANCTO: This is under Section 2194(c), Paragraph 1, and it says, "If the Town Council Planning

Commission or Design Review Board proposes an addition to the list of historic properties, the property proposed shall be subject to and controlled by the Town's ordinances governing designated historic properties from the time of such proposal until the final determination by the Design Review Board."

I don't see where we would lose control of the property changing it off the map to the list. Is there something else I'm missing here?

MR. ROBINSON: Probably up in A, and I would have to read it just a little bit. Yes, up in A, if you go down about halfway, it says, those historic properties where those -- those depicted as Sullivan's Island landmarks and traditional island resources on the map entitled Historic Resources, Sullivan's Island, Field Evaluation, blah, blah, blah, June of 2003. Also, those identified on the list of properties entitled Sullivan's Island, Historic Designation, it's dated, as Number 1, Sullivan's Island landmarks; and, Number 2, traditional island resources. It doesn't reference Number 3, altered structures. MR. LANCTO: Okay. All right. So once it drops to the Number 3 is -MR. ROBINSON: That's right. We have no control once it hits Number 3.

MR. HERLONG: Can I ask a question? Because I think we are all just trying to figure out what the status is.

But, typically, over the years when we have had a property that has been listed in the altered status and it's not in a historic district, someone could come to you tomorrow, ask for a permit for demolition and you would grant that, is that correct?

MR. ROBINSON: That is correct.
MR. ILDERTON: So being on that list as altered is not a hardship to a property. But it, also, I am just thinking, could be a benefit to staying in that category because someone in the future that may want to purchase the property and do something, and they find that they would like to request that it be put higher up on the list, because there could be some benefits to having a historic property and having it -if it was under a certain square footage, you could then add another structure.

So it's not always -- it's not a bad thing to be on that list in the altered state. It might be a very good position to be in on that property.

MR. ROBINSON: If a property were to remove the alterations, then it may be designated -MR. HERLONG: And it would be up to the
board to determine that in each case.
MR. ROBINSON: Right.
MR. ILDERTON: Well, and if the resulting square footage would be, what, under 1200 square feet? MR. ROBINSON: Yes.

MR. ILDERTON: Is that what -- that is where we are going with this.

MR. HERLONG: So, really, is what you are asking to be -- to make it -- to either have this taken off the list or to be sure that it's in the altered category on the list?

MR. BARR: The latter.
MR. HERLONG: The altered category.
MR. BARR: Because right now there is an ambiguity. We have a list that says altered. We have a map that says traditional island resources. Under the statute, you have the jurisdiction over traditional island resources or landmark structures, but not altered structures. So it's one or the other.

Based upon Mr. Schneider's survey, it
should be altered. And I believe, based upon the new map, it's shown altered. But, unfortunately, that map has not been adopted by Council; otherwise, we wouldn't be here.

I contacted Larry Dodds and said
couldn't we just do this administratively, because it's a scrivener's error somewhere. Somebody put the wrong color dot on the map when they did it.

So essentially what we are asking is we are asking the list, which says it's altered, which coincides with Schneider's survey, and the map to coincide. So we are asking the green dot or the yellow dot on the map to be changed to an altered structure. I can't remember what the color is.

MR. WRIGHT: White dot. MR. BARR: White dot. MR. LANCTO: I would say it sounds clearly like a clerical error, and $I$ would have to agree that that correction would be made in this case.

MR. ILDERTON: Fred, do you want to go next? MR. REINHARD: It makes sense to me. It should be a white dot. MR. ILDERTON: Betty? MS . HARMON: I agree. MR. ILDERTON: Steve? MR. HERLONG: I would agree. MR. ILDERTON: Well, I agree, also. It could be a real benefit if this property gets sold and somebody buys it. Just like Steve mentioned, they could buy it, take off the, you know, the altered stuff, have
a house of less than 1,200 square feet, and they could build another brand-new house of a certain square footage over here and still have this house the way our ordinance is written. Correct?

MR. ROBINSON: Correct.
MR. ILDERTON: So it's not necessarily an
automatic tear-down, and it wouldn't necessarily -- if it is sold, which I think it could be, it wouldn't necessarily be marketed strictly as a demolition project, in which case, you know, it may bring back something -- if, whoever decided to do that, it could be a real advantage, especially the way that lot is configured. It's so wide. It has such linear views, because it's such a wide lot with view oriented. So it could be a real advantage to that person who may ultimately end up with the property, just to put this on record.

But $I$ agree it's an administrative error and $I$ think it ought to be a white dot. Duke?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I agree with what has been said.

MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion? MR. LANCTO: I move that we allow the removal from the map and retain its position on the Number 3 list. Is that the correct term?

MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a second?
MR. WRIGHT: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody in
favor?
MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. BARR: Thank you, sir.
MR. ILDERTON: Thank you.
1514 Middle Street, alteration.
MR. HERLONG: I'm recusing myself for this
discussion.
(Mr. Herlong recused himself.)
MR. ILDERTON: What do you think, Randy?
MR. ROBINSON: This property has been to you-all last month. They asked for a preliminary. You-all looked at it and approved it.

It is a historical structure. It is in the historical district. And I don't think there has been any changes to it since it came to you-all, so I will let the applicants present. But, as I say, I don't think there has been any changes since this came to
you-all originally.
MR. ILDERTON: Yes, ma'am?
MS. COCHRAN: I'm Sabrina Cochran with Herlong \& Associates, representing the Penningtons who do own the property next door at 1514 Middle Street. Like Randy said, most of you did see this last month. I know there are two of you that weren't here, so $I$ would kind of like to briefly recap what we did, but it did get a unanimous preliminary approval with the relief we requested at that time.

Like I said, we were granted preliminary approval for the demolition of an existing garage that is on the property. It's an old, not very nice structure. It encroaches the setback.

And the other things were minor second floor alterations that extend this dormer a little bit, the addition of two accessory garage structures on the property.

As you can see, they can't park under the house. It's very low. It's a historic structure. So they needed some parking, some storage, so we did add those two accessory structures.

And they needed a nice covered entryway into the house, so we have added this new entryway and made this porch a little deeper so they have a nice
entryway into their house from the garage.
Another big part of the renovation is there is a large spanse of concrete back here, and they are going to remove that, add in a lot of nice landscaping, just really soften up the property and make it look nicer from Thompson.

We were granted some height and setback relief on the accessory structures last month. And we haven't made any changes. We have just been continuing with the drawings moving forward, and we were required to come back for final approval. So I'm happy to answer any questions. And, Betty and Duke, you weren't here. MR. WRIGHT: No, but $I$ have read the minutes. I'm okay with what transpired last month. MR. ILDERTON: I'm, also, okay. Betty? MS. HARMON: I am, too. MR. REINHARD: I am. MR. LANCTO: Good here. MR. ILDERTON: All right. Randy? MR. ROBINSON: Nothing else. MR. ILDERTON: I jumped the gun. I didn't ask for public comment either. Public comment? Sorry about that.

Do I hear a motion?
MR. LANCTO: I move we approve as submitted.

MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a second?
MR. WRIGHT: I will second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody in
favor?
MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am.
MS. COCHRAN: Thank you.
(Mr. Herlong re-entered the room.)
MR. ILDERTON: 2213 Atlantic Avenue, new construction.

MR. ROBINSON: This one was also before you-all last month. You-all approved some principal building square footage increases to ten percent. I don't think -- there hasn't been any changes, that $I$ can see either to this plan, just some details.

MR. ILDERTON: All right.
MR. FERRICK: Justin Ferrick with Beau Clowney Design. Essentially, we are bringing back the same application that was enthusiastically supported at last month's meeting.

The only really change that has occurred
is we moved the house one foot to the south, left to right on the property, and that was to accommodate the HVAC stand up against the house because we only had three feet, and we really needed four feet to get that within the setback. But, essentially, it's the exact same application, so $I$ will answer any questions.

MR. ILDERTON: Any public comment on this application? The public comment section is closed. Randy, anything to add?

MR. ILDERTON: Nothing else.
MR. WRIGHT: No, I'm fine. I don't have any questions. I'm fine with it.

MR. ILDERTON: I'm good with it.
MR. HERLONG: So it's here for final?
MR. FERRICK: Yes.
MR. HERLONG: I'm fine with it.
MS. HARMON: I am fine with it.
MR. ILDERTON: Fred?
MR. REINHARD: I love that one foot to the south.

MR. LANCTO: Yes, I'm good with it, too. MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion? MR. REINHARD: I move for approval. MR. LANCTO: Second. MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? All in favor?

MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Thank you.
2420 Jasper, addition/alteration.
Randy?
MR. ROBINSON: 2420 Jasper, this is the Kitchin residence. They are asking for conceptual approval.

When I looked at this I noticed that on the second page of the application form they talk about accessory structure, demolition, relocation and new construction.

I believe that is just -- they just wanted to talk to you-all about the concept of doing those three things, because there really isn't any plan for the new home or the accessory structure, just some reductions that they want to make to the historical structure. This is a historical structure. It is within the historical district.

Typically, in these kinds of situations the board has done site visits to these houses before.

They have been -- parts have been taken off. And my suggestion, if anything, is just to take a site visit out there and look at it.

But from there I will just let the applicant present. She has some more information. MS. KING: I am Anita King with Ink Architecture, and we are here primarily for the guesthouse, but we did want to keep you informed of what the overall scheme of the plan is going to be. The original house is a traditional island resource. The assessor states -- says it's a Circa 1940s. There is some evidence that maybe it's a little bit older. It does have a nonconforming structure in the back that you can kind of see highlighted there.

This existing structure up here is
vinyl-sided. It has got replacement windows. It has got asphalt shingles. There are some flat roofs -- this is the existing -- flat roofs as well that are asphalt, and it has some porch infills and later additions that you can certainly see with some of the photos where the roof pitches change and such near the rear of the house. You probably have a photo somewhere in there just showing you some of that. So it has some porch infills and later additions.

The goal is to actually reduce this house down to 1,200 square feet, take off the later additions, make it more symmetrical. This part has been infilled, so we would be taking out this little section here to make it more symmetrical from the front, and taking off these in the back and carrying the porch around, but leaving these little infills here.

This would maintain the infill on this side, and this would just make it match on this side. We would be removing the vinyl siding and replacing it with Artisan Series Hardi siding, which is the thicker Hardi siding to look more like the historic profile, to go back with double-pane SDL windows, the simulated divided lights, maintain the asphalt shingles. And some of the porch infills would remain, as I showed you.

And one of the things that I think I had mislabeled on yours is that the front actually remains the same. So I had actually reversed the label.

The only change is we are trying to add a little roof structure in the back to take off some of those later additions that wouldn't overwhelm the front elevation. So we are trying to maintain the front as much as possible. So really the only change is kind of in this back roof. So, any questions?

MR. ILDERTON: We will probably have some.

Is there any public comment to this application?
MR. FAVA: Yes, sir. Eddie Fava again. We live across the street and welcome you-all to the neighborhood and look forward to it.

And just respectfully, I would agree with Randy. I think -- a couple of questions I had. I know there are some significant trees on the lot that look like, by the site plan, you may be trying to take them down, and just curious about if that were going to happen.

MS. KING: There were some clusters.
MR. FAVA: And then, secondly, I don't necessarily have any objections to what is being proposed there as far as the removal of additions, but, to be perfectly honest, would like to see the whole rest of it before this was signed off on. It would be nice to see how it all comes together before we say okay with that.

MR. ILDERTON: Is there any other public comment? The public comment section is closed.

Anything else to add, Randy?
MR. ROBINSON: Nothing else.
MR. ILDERTON: All right. Let's open it up. Fred?

MR. REINHARD: Well, I like the new floor
plan, but $I$ love adding the new -- I guess those are porches under those shed roofs, right?

MS. KING: Correct.
MR. REINHARD: Open porches? According to the elevations, that is what it looks like. That is a great concept. So in terms of undoing the infills of the past that kind of ruin the house, this is a great step towards what is essentially some type of restoration, or at least a nod to the type of house that could have been built there a long time ago, so I really like that. I would -- since this is just opinion at this time, right?

MR. ILDERTON: Yes.
MR. REINHARD: I would like to see more
historic materials used so that it really did look like an older beachhouse, which would mean wood instead of Hardiplank. I'm not even sure we are allowed to use Hardiplank in that area, are we, Randy?

MR. ROBINSON: You are allowed, but you-all have not allowed it on any historic houses. MR. REINHARD: So I would not like the Hardiplank. And most of the truly traditional historic houses have 5 V crimp rather than asphalt shingles. MS. KING: Can I comment on that? MR. ILDERTON: Sure.

MS. KING: Actually, the owners would prefer to go with the wood and the 5V crimp. The reason they are starting at this kind of level is to make sure that it all comes in within cost. And then, you know, they figured you would certainly approve upgrades, but we would hate to come back and ask for asphalt shingles later. But if that --

MR. REINHARD: You would be surprised.
MS. KING: -- is the way the board wants us to go, we would certainly do that.

MR. REINHARD: You would be surprised when you get your estimates.

MS. KING: Yes. So the owners are certainly open to those changes.

MR. REINHARD: I understand. That is all I have.

MR. ILDERTON: Jon?
MR. LANCTO: Yes. I like returning this house to this. There is just something that bothers me on this south elevation, though. I can't really put my finger on what it is, but $I$ think that that could be improved to be a little more in character with the island.

Maybe it's just that the detailing on it at this point is not up to what I'm looking for. And,
again, I would really like to go out and take a look.
MR. ILDERTON: Have a site visit?
MR. LANCTO: Yes. But the concept is good, and I would support it.

MR. ILDERTON: Great. Betty?
MS. HARMON: I am good with it except for the Hardiplank. It's in the historic district, and I don't believe we allow Hardiplank in the historic district. But, other than that, it's great. MR. ILDERTON: Steve? MR. HERLONG: One of the things, as we have looked at these types of homes in the past, I think to be true to the history of that home it would be good for the actual submittal to see something that supports the era of these various additions that have happened, some of them fairly recently, and then the determination of what is the actual core of the historic portion, just so that we can all feel comfortable that we have studied the house.

And probably the board is going to want to go walk through the house to confirm and get their own impression of what is the essence of the historic part and how does that compare to the square footage maybe. I think that would be helpful to see. But, no, I think something of that scale
on the property, along with an addition or another house, would be very appropriate.

MR. ILDERTON: Yeah. I mean, I think it's a good -- initial good attempt. I do think we need a site visit. And speaking to what Eddie mentioned, to see the whole thing. That could be problematic for anybody because if it's, you know, as we all -- money is an issue.

But what is hoped to be done in two years or five years or down the line, if it's affordable to the future of the property, nobody really knows, I guess.

I mean, we are meeting on this one particular structure, where it sits on this lot, and to try to project or even impose an idea on what the future might be of the rest of the property or for -- that would be difficult to do, I would think, because really nobody knows -- nobody can guarantee the future, I wouldn't think.

So I am fine with what is presented. I do think we need a site visit, but $I$ think it definitely can be worked out. Duke?

MR. WRIGHT: Well, I agree with everything that has been said. I think what $I$ heard is we like what we have seen so far, and I certainly like the
improvement. The integrity of the cottage has been certainly preserved, if not improved, in my judgment.

And I think I heard you say, Pat,
that -- and a site visit, I think, is appropriate. But can you give us, in the next iteration, an idea of the new structure and what --

MS. KING: Yes. We were planning to submit that on Friday if we kind of got the board --

MR. WRIGHT: I think you are on course, and I think your concept should certainly be blessed.

MR. ILDERTON: So, I mean, revisiting this, the idea is to reduce this 1,200 square feet or less so another structure -- a newer, free-built structure can be put on the back of the lot, just to make it perfectly plain to me. So, okay.

And that is a projection of what -- and that would be oriented towards Myrtle probably? MR. ILDERTON: Correct. MR. ILDERTON: All right. MR. WRIGHT: I think it looks great. MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion? MR. REINHARD: I move for conceptual approval.

MR. ILDERTON: Second? MR. LANCTO: Second. that.

MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody in
favor?
MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. WRIGHT: Before we leave this subject, do you want to talk about a site visit time? Is that appropriate now or later? I do think we --

MR. HERLONG: We should have added that to

MS. HARMON: We need to add to the -- amend

MR. HERLONG: I guess we need to state we want to have a visit prior to the --

MR. ILDERTON: Before the next submittal.
MR. HERLONG: Before the next sulomittal, and come earlier, whatever we do, meet there.

MS. KENYON: Meet there. That is what you were doing, meet before the meeting. Is that what you want to do?

MR. ILDERTON: Meet before that meeting, the site visit.

MS. KENYON: 5:00?
MR. REINHARD: Sounds good.
MR. WRIGHT: How about 5:30?
MR. ILDERTON: 5:30 is plenty of time.
MR. ILDERTON: 5:00, before the next
meeting.
MS. KING: Before the next DRB?
MR. ILDERTON: Before the next meeting. If it's next month, then next month.

MS. KING: All right. Perfect.
MR. ROBINSON: I was also thinking that Jennifer might come from the State, come in. So if it was possible for you-all to do it at 5:00. Is that --

MR. ILDERTON: You mean Jennifer would meet us before the meeting?

MR. ROBINSON: That is correct.
MR. ILDERTON: Well, it would be fine with me.

MR. ROBINSON: Maybe if we could do that at 5:00, it might get her started a little bit earlier. She is going to have to drive all the way back to Columbia.

MR. ILDERTON: That is a good idea.
MR. WRIGHT: I didn't realize that. Sure.
MS. HARMON: That is good.

MR. ILDERTON: And we limit it to 6:00. That would be good. Okay, great. We will do the site visit at 5:00.

MR. WRIGHT: That has a lot of potential. It reminds me, in many ways, of the project on Station 18 and Atlantic. Remember that cottage that was renovated at I'on and 18? That really turned out nice. MR. ILDERTON: Yes. All right. I think we are done. This meeting is adjourned.
(The meeting was adjourned at 6:35 p.m.)
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I, Nancy Ennis Tierney, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the hearing was taken at the time and location therein stated; that the hearing was recorded stenographically by me and was thereafter transcribed by computer-aided transcription; and that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of the hearing.

I further certify that $I$ am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, $I$ have hereunto affixed my official seal this 25 th day of March, 2011, at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

```
Nancy Ennis Tierney
CSR (IL)
My Commission expires
April 6, 2014
```
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understand 8:2
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$\begin{array}{llll}13 & 8: 11 & 12: 3 & 13: 22\end{array}$
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { White } 13: 10,11,17 \\ & 14: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| wide 14:13, 14 |
| windows 21:17 |
| 22:13 |
| Witness 32:10 |
| wonderful 8:1 |
| wood 24:16 25:2 |
| worked 27:22 |
| WRIGHT 2:5 3:4, |
| 22 4:13, 17, 21 |
| 13:10 14:20 15:2, 5 |
| 17:13 18:2, 5 19:11 |
| 20:1 27:23 28:9, 20 |
| 29:3, 9 30:3, 24 |
| 31:4 |
| written 14:4 |
| wrong 13:2 |
| < Y > |
| Yeah 27:3 |
| years 11:4 27:10, 10 |
| yellow 5:9 13:7 |
| < Z > |
| zone 7:19 |
| Zoning 2:11 7:3 |

酉

controlled (1)
copy (2)
core (1)
corner (1)
Correct (17)
corrected (1)
correction (1)
cost (1)
cottage (2)
Council (4)
counsel (1)
COUNTY (2)
couple (1)
course (1)
covered (1)
crimp (2)
CSR (2)
curious (1)
currently (3)
< D >
DATE (1)
dated (1)
David (1)
day (1)
decided (1)
deeper (1)
definitely (1)
demolished (2)
demolition (4)
depending (1)
depicted (1)
DESIGN (8)
designated (2)
designation (2)
detailing (1)
details (1)
determination (2)
determine (1)
difficult (1)
discovered (1)
discrepancy (1)
Discussion (6)
district (6)
divided (1)
document (1)
Dodds (1)
doing (2)
door (1)
dormer (1)
dot (8)
double (1)
drawings (1)
DRB (2)
drive (1)
drops (1)
DUKE (5)
< E >
earlier (2)
Eddie (3)
either (4)
elevation (2)
elevations (1)
encroaches (1)
ENNIS (3)
entered (1)
enthusiastically (1)
entitled (2)
entryway (3)
era (1)
error (3)
especially (1)
essence (2)
essentially (5)
estimates (1)
Evaluation (2)
events (1)
Everett (4)
Everybody (3)
evidence (1)
exact (1)
existing (3)
expires (1)
explain (1)
extend (1)
< F >
fairly (1)
far (3)
FAVA (10)
favor (5)
feel (1)
feet (6)
FERRICK (3)
Field (2)
figure (1)
figured (1)

| final (3) | Hardiplank (5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| find (3) | hardship (1) |
| fine (6) | HARMON (15) |
| finger (1) | hate (1) |
| first (3) | hear (7) |
| five (1) | heard (2) |
| flat (2) | hearing (3) |
| floor (2) | height (1) |
| foot (2) | helpful (1) |
| footage (5) | hereunto (1) |
| foregoing (1) | HERLONG (22) |
| form (1) | higher (1) |
| forward (2) | highlighted (1) |
| four (1) | historic (24) |
| FRED (5) | historical (5) |
| free (1) | history (1) |
| Freedom (1) | hits (1) |
| Friday (1) | home (2) |
| front (5) | homes (1) |
| full (1) | honest (1) |
| further (1) | hoped (1) |
| future (4) | house (19) |
|  | houses (3) |
| < G > | HVAC (1) |
| garage (3) |  |
| give (1) | < l > |
| go (9) | idea (4) |
| goal (1) | identified (1) |
| going (6) | identify (1) |
| good (13) | IL (2) |
| governing (1) | ILDERTON (77) |
| grant (1) | implications (2) |
| granted (2) | important (1) |
| Great (7) | impose (1) |
| green (1) | impression (1) |
| guarantee (1) | improved (2) |
| guess (6) | improvement (1) |
| guesthouse (1) | increases (1) |
| gun (1) | infill (1) infilled |
| < H > | infills (5) |
| halfway (1) | influence (1) |
| HALL (1) | Information (2) |
| hand (1) | informed (1) |
| handed (1) | initial (1) |
| happen (2) | Ink (1) |
| happened (1) | integrity (1) |
| happy (1) | intended (1) |
| Hardi (2) | interested (1) |

I'on (1)
ISLAND (18)
issue (1)
items (1)
iteration (1)
its (1)
< J >
Jasper (2)
Jennifer (2)
JON (4)
Jones (2)
judgment (1)
jumped (1)
June (1)
jurisdiction (1)
Justin (1)
< K >
Kat (1)
keep (1)
Kenyon (3)
kind (7)
kinds (1)
KING (11)
Kitchin (1)
know (7)
knows (2)
< L >
label (1)
LANCTO (23)
landmark (1)
landmarks (2)
landscaping (1)
large (2)
Larry (1)
leave (1)
leaving (1)
left (1)
level (1)
lights (1)
limit (1)
line (1)
linear (1)
list (35)
listed (1)
little (10)
live (1)
lived (1)
LOCATION (2)
long (1)
look (8)
looked (5)
looking (1)
looks (2)
lose (1)
lot (9)
loud (1)
love (2)
low (1)
< M >
ma'am (2)
mail (1)
maintain (3)
map (30)
March (3)
marketed (1)
match (3)
materials (1)
mean (8)
means (2)
meet (5)
MEETING (12)
Member (3)
MEMBERS (2)
mentioned (2)
met (1)
Middle (3)
minor (1)
minutes (3)
mislabeled (1)
missing (1)
money (1)
month (7)
month's (1)
motion (4)
move (5)
moved (3)
moving (1)
Myrtle (2)
< N >
NANCY (3)
near (1)
necessarily (6)
need (4)

|  | PAT (3) <br> pending (1) <br> Penningtons <br> (1) <br> percent (1) <br> Perfect (1) <br> perfectly (2) <br> Permit (2) <br> person (1) <br> personally (1) <br> phones (1) <br> photo (1) <br> photos (1) <br> pitches (1) <br> plain (1) <br> plan (5) <br> Planning (2) <br> plenty (1) <br> point (1) <br> porch (5) <br> porches (2) <br> portion (1) <br> position (2) <br> possible (2) <br> potential (1) <br> prefer (1) <br> preliminary (3) <br> PRESENT (4) <br> presented (1) <br> preserved (1) <br> Presson (1) <br> primarily (1) <br> principal (1) <br> prior (1) <br> Probably (5) <br> problematic (1) <br> profile (1) <br> project (3) <br> projection (1) <br> properties (4) <br> property (29) <br> proposal (1) <br> proposed (2) <br> proposes (1) <br> public (11) <br> purchase (1) <br> purview (2) <br> put (5) <br> <Q > |
| :---: | :---: |

question (3) questions (5) quite (2)
< R >
Randy (14)
read (3)
reading (2)
reads (1)
real (3)
realize (1)
really (13)
rear (1)
reason (1)
recap (1)
record (2)
recorded (1)
recused (1)
recusing (1)
reduce (2)
reductions (1)
reference (1)
referring (1)
reflects (1)
regarding (1)
regardless (1)
REINHARD (22)
related (1)
relief (2)
relocation (1)
remain (1)
remains (1)
remember (2)
reminded (1)
reminds (1)
removal (3)
remove (2)
removed (5)
removing (1)
renovated (1)
renovation (1)
replacement (1)
replacing (1)
REPORTED (1)
Reporter (1)
representing (1)
request (3)
requested (1)
required (1)
requirements (1)
residence (1)
resource (4)
Resources (6)
respectfully (1)
rest (2)
restoration (1)
resulting (1)
retain (1)
returning (1)
reversed (1)
REVIEW (6)
revisiting (1)
right (20)
Robinson (31)
roof (3)
roofs (3)
room (1)
ruin (1)
< S >
Sabrina (1)
safeguard (1)
saying (1)
says (7)
SC (2)
scale (1)
scheme (1)
Schneider (4)
Schneider's (3)
scrivener's (1)
SDL (1)
seal (1)
second (12)
Secondly (2)
Secretary (1)
section (6)
see (14)
seen (1)
sense (2)
Series (1)
setback (3)
shed (1)
shingles (4)
Shorthand (1)
showed (1)
showing (3)
shown (2)
shows (3)

| side (2) | suggestion (1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| sided (1) | SULLIVAN'S (9) |
| siding (3) | support (1) |
| signed (1) | supported (1) |
| significant (1) | supports (1) |
| Simplistically (1) | sure (5) |
| simulated (1) | surprised (2) |
| sir (5) | survey (4) |
| site (10) | symmetrical (2) |
| sits (1) |  |
| situations (1) | < T > |
| soften (1) | take (6) |
| sold (2) | taken (3) |
| Somebody (2) | talk (3) |
| Sorry (1) | tear (1) |
| sounds (2) | Tech (1) |
| South (7) | ten (1) |
| southeast (1) | term (1) |
| spanse (1) | terms (1) |
| speaking (1) | Thank (7) |
| square (9) | thereof (1) |
| stand (2) | thicker (1) |
| start (1) | thing (3) |
| started (1) | things (4) |
| starting (1) | think (34) |
| state (5) | thinking (2) |
| stated (1) | Thompson (1) |
| states (1) | three (2) |
| Station (3) | TIERNEY (3) |
| status (2) | TIME (8) |
| statute (1) | told (2) |
| stay (2) | tomorrow (1) |
| staying (1) | tonight (2) |
| stenographically (1) | tonight's (1) |
| step (1) | totally (1) |
| STEPHEN (1) | TOWN (2) |
| Steve (4) | Town's (1) |
| storage (1) | traditional (9) |
| Street (6) | transcribed (1) |
| strictly (1) | transcription (1) |
| structure (23) | transpired (1) |
| structures (6) | trees (1) |
| studied (1) | true (2) |
| study (1) | truly (1) |
| stuff (1) | try (1) |
| subject (2) | trying (5) |
| submit (1) | turned (2) |
| submittal (3) | two (5) |
| submitted (2) | type (2) |

types (1) typically (2)
< U >
ultimately (1)
unanimous (1)
understand (2)
undoing (1)
unfortunately (1)
upgrades (1)
use (1)
< V >
various (1)
Vice (1)
view (1)
views (1)
vinyl (2)
visit (9)
visits (1)
$<\mathrm{W}$ >
walk (1)
want (10)
wanted (1)
wants (1)
way (7)
ways (1)
welcome
well (11)
went (2)
White (4)
wide (2)
windows (2)
Witness (1)
wonderful (1)
wood (2)
worked (1)
WRIGHT (23)
written (1)
wrong (1)
< Y >
Yeah (1)
years (3)
yellow (2)
< Z >
zone (1)
Zoning (2)


