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MR. ILDERTON: This is the June 15th, 2010 -- 2011 meeting of the Sullivan's Island Review Board. That's a typo there. It is now 6:00, or close. And members in attendance are Pat Ilderton, Steve Herlong, Fred Reinhard, Betty Harmon and Billy Craver. The Freedom of Information requirements have been met for this meeting. I would now all cell phones to be turned off -- ask all cell phones to be turned off.

The items on tonight's agenda are approval of minutes. Do I hear a motion to approve?

MR. CRAVER: So move.
MR. ILDERTON: Second?
MR. HERLONG: I second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody
in favor?
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
2424 Middle, accessory structure. Kat wants me to make an announcement about Betty's grand service to the board, and we all thank you
and appreciate your service to the board, Betty, and we'll miss you so much.

MS. HARMON: Thank you very much. I'll miss you guys too.

MR. ILDERTON: I've just been informed that this is Fred's last meeting also.

MR. REINHARD: Correct.
MR. ILDERTON: I didn't realize that. I knew you -- I didn't realize you were moving that fast.

MR. REINHARD: I didn't either. I thought my house would sit on the market till the end of the year.

MR. ILDERTON: Yeah. So really, I think you-all have really helped form the ethic of this board. I really do. It's been a good association. I will miss both of you personally. I really will. So anyway, we all appreciate that. On the record with that.
(Applause.)
MS. KENYON: And I'm Randy tonight, so I'm the boss.

MR. ILDERTON: All right. 2424 Middle, accessory structure.

MS. KENYON: It is a fence. It went to
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the BZA. The BZA has approved it. Randy asked that you please pay special attention to where its location is.

MR. HERLONG: I have one question. Why
did it have to go to the BZA?
MS. KENYON: Because of the height.
MR. HARGETT: We have basically -- I'm sorry. Andrew Hargett for 2424 Middle Street.

MR. ILDERTON: So let's just try to do this in order. Randy's presentation is over.

MS. KENYON: That was me.
MR. ILDERTON: Yes, that was you. Yes,
sir.
MR. HARGETT: We have --
MR. REINHARD: Who are you?
MR. HARGETT: Andrew Hargett for --
MS. KENYON: Please, everybody has to say who you are.

MR. HARGETT: Sorry about that. What we have is utilities behind the residence. You know, basically there's that structure, the water tower, the water main, and this is some more utilities and storage behind the building. These are -- this is a copy of the fence that you-all approved previously for the power substation on --

I forget the name of that road.
MR. CRAVER: Thompson.
MS. KENYON: No. It's off Thompson. It's not Goldbug. Down --

MR. HERLONG: It's about a block away.
MR. HARGETT: Exactly. So what we have is that. And we're asking for eight foot because that's what the ordinance requires for the utility substructures and substations and all of that. So by Town ordinance, it needs to be eight foot, but -- so I don't know legally. I guess we have to get BZA to sign off.

MS. KENYON: Because it's residential.
MR. HARGETT: Right. In theory, the city or the town is supposed to have an eight-foot fence around these things, but that's -- so what we're asking for is an eight-foot fence along the rear and to turn the corners, because the water tower extends over the line and also the water main down here is under the line.

There is an existing shadowbox. It's six feet tall. It comes within about 16 feet, and there's nothing on this side except chain link. So I think that's why Randy asked you to pay special attention to the corners.

MR. ILDERTON: Okay. Thank you. Is there any public comment on this application? Public comment section then is closed. Anything to add, Randy?

MS. KENYON: No. He only told me one sentence for each one. That's all you get.

MR. ILDERTON: All right. We will deliberate. I'll just start here. There was some serious cutting that was -- clearing that was done, I guess, by -- at the behest --

MR. HARGETT: By the City.
MR. ILDERTON: -- of the City and water department, and it really did expose the back of this house quite a bit. Quite frankly, I'm not sure why it had to be so extensive myself, but anyway, they really did clear out a lot in there. And I don't have a problem with the application considering they really did expose a lot of that back area to this house. Steve?

MR. HERLONG: Well, one question. Will the fence be a dark color like that fence a block from here?

MR. HARGETT: Yes.
MR. HERLONG: So, again, I think that's a good idea so that it will just recede back into
the landscape as it grows back, and I think it's actually an improvement to have that.

MR. HARGETT: My understanding is that there was some tree intrusion in the utilities there. That's why our fence is actually five foot off the property line, because Steve or Greg, the utilities manager out here --

MS. KENYON: Greg.
MR. HARGETT: Greg -- said that he wanted us ten feet off the centerline of the utilities with the fence, so that -- and he said that they were having problems with intrusion from tree roots and so forth and so on. That's why they cut all that.

MR. HERLONG: So I have no trouble with it. I think it's a good idea.

MR. REINHARD: Is there an access way behind there for trucks and vehicles, service vehicles or --

MR. HARGETT: Not on to the property.
MR. REINHARD: Behind the fence?
MR. HARGETT: Right. I guess they can
get on --
MR. REINHARD: But there's no access, no formal access behind it.

MR. HARGETT: No, sir.
MR. REINHARD: No service drive, per
se.
MR. HARGETT: Not that I've seen.
MR. REINHARD: Which side -- is this a all boards on one side or the other or is it alternating boards?

MR. HARGETT: Actually it's going to be both.

MR. REINHARD: Boards on both sides?
MR. HARGETT: Yeah. Because they're concerned about sound so they want to also use it as a sound-deadening device.

MR. REINHARD: All right. I'm all right with it. I think it's appropriate.

MR. ILDERTON: Betty?
MS. HARMON: I think it's appropriate also.

MR. ILDERTON: Jon?
MR. LANCTO: Sorry. I missed your presentation, but I looked when it came across my computer, and I didn't have any problem with it.

MR. ILDERTON: Billy?
MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it.
MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion?

MR. REINHARD: Move for approval. MR. HERLONG: Second.

MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody
in favor.
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
Thank you, sir.
MR. HARGETT: Thank you.
MR. ILDERTON: 2408 I'on, alteration.
(Mr. Herlong recused himself from the Board.)

MS. KENYON: This is 2408 I'on. It's for conceptual. They want a front porch, foundation improvements to existing. They want to reduce the -- make it reduce the height of the structure.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Yes.
MS. LURKIN: Hi. I'm Bronwyn Lurkin of Herlong and Associates. I'm presenting 2408 I'on Avenue. It is a historic resource. Approximately 10 years ago this property owner underwent a major
renovation and expansion. The house was pushed back from the street, angled more to more closely align with the property line, and in addition you'll notice from one of the photographs that it was raised quite a bit. And much of the character of the cottage was lost.

The property was recently purchased by Jeff and Wesley Kennedy, and they're interested in restoring some of that character and detail back to the property in the neighborhood. Our site plan and our footprint are going to remain unchanged at this point, and our submission just involves the changing of the aesthetic character of the facade.

By studying some drawings of that renovation, it appears to us that the foundation was built higher than intended, and our objective is to visually lessen the height of the foundation through design elements, as well as adding detail to the front porch to restore the coastal cottage appearance.

And to do that, what we're proposing is to widen the stucco piers to a more uniform width of 20 inches, rebuild the existing lattice to horizontal louvers, add a panel detail between the deepened band board and the piers, change the
vertical pickets here to a crossbar with cabled railing, add detail to the existing columns, rebuild the fascia to include open rafter tails and also add shutters to the porch doors and windows.

MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you. Is there -- is there any public comment to this application? Public comment section is closed. Anything else to add, Kat?

MS. KENYON: No.
MR. ILDERTON: All right. Billy?
MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it.
MR. ILDERTON: Okay. Jon?
MR. LANCTO: I'm good with it.
MS. HARMON: Great presentation. I'm fine with it.

MR. ILDERTON: Fred?
MR. REINHARD: It improves it a lot.
MR. ILDERTON: I remember when this
house was raised, and I thought it was raised too high when it was done several years ago. And so I think bringing it down like you-all are trying to do visually is going to be a big improvement in the house because it just seemed too high off the ground. But it's going to be a great addition. So do I hear a motion?

MR. CRAVER: Move we approve.
MS. HARMON: Second.
MR. LANCTO: Wait a second. Can we modify that? That was a conceptual. Can we modify that? When Kat read it she said it was conceptual. Can we change that to final?

MR. ILDERTON: If -- I think it just depends on how far -- I mean how far the architect thinks that -- I mean do they think this is it, their submittal is going to be it? We can consider that. That is, there's not going to be any more change. If you think you're still going to be working with it --

MS. LURKIN: I think the owners would like this to be it for this phase. We might be coming back to you for different submittals for different things such as landscaping and just different upgrades.

MR. ILDERTON: Well, that's fine then. MR. CRAVER: I modify my motion to be final.

MS. HARMON: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody
in favor?
MR. REINHARD: Aye.

MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
Great. Moving right along. 2668
Bayonne.
MS. KENYON: Okay. We're now at 2668 Bayonne, which is an accessory structure. They're asking for a final. It's a pool -- the pool is not 20 foot from the front facade, and any recreational accessory structure must be at least 20 foot rearward of the front facade.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you.
MS. KENYON: And I did give you a handout that has Randy's writing on what -- what he's talking about.

MR. ILDERTON: Great. Yes, ma'am.
MS. MESSIER: I'm Kelly Messier,
landscape architect on this project, and you have a copy of this site plan, but this is the color plan. This is an existing house that was recently purchased by Michael Doty, who's back here. Due to that we're working with an existing condition, this is really the only logical place for them to add a swimming pool. The house is a street-to-street lot
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on -- this is Bayonne and this is Atlantic. There's already an existing driveway back here. If you were to count the 20 feet from the front facade, it would come from here. I think the way we worded this submittal was that to make it work we wanted to count Atlantic Street as the front for purposes of this submittal. And this has been discussed with Randy, and I thought it was acceptable to him. I don't know what he's written in his notes.

MS. KENYON: That's what --
MS. MESSIER: But if you were to count this as the front, where this actually is the front door of the house and is used as the front entrance already and will be as it is renovated, this does fall 20 feet from the front. We are also asking for relief on the side setback. The 40 feet -there's 12 feet existing over here, and this line right here is the 28 feet that would make the 40 feet. We're asking to reduce this to 21 feet, which I believe is a 17 percent reduction, and the DRB can reduce this up to 25 percent to allow this pool to come in here.

The pool is only 14 feet wide. We've got very minimal pavement on either side. This is
an area under the existing porch and deck where there would be some shaded area. I want to reiterate that as far as the side setback, we're really talking about a flat pool area.

The lot's very heavily vegetated on all sides with mature plants that we will want to keep and maintain, so there's lots of privacy, and you really won't even see the pool from the neighbors or the street.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application? Public comment section is closed. Anything to add, Kat?

MS. KENYON: Yes, the front facade is the street that faces the ocean. That's how we address them.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Fred, do you want to start this?

MR. REINHARD: Yes. I'd like to just avoid the issue of what is the front facade and the rule that applies to the front facade and look at the landscape drawing. Can we see that again, Kelly? Look at the landscape drawing -- or you can share it with the audience if you want -- and then look at the vegetation on the end of the house where this is going to go. Common sense would tell
you that you're not going to see it.
MS. MESSIER: You aren't.
MR. REINHARD: You're not going to see it from Bayonne Street, which is the address of the house, and I think the intent of that was to not have pools in the front yard. And this is really a pool in the side yard, which is heavily landscaped, so I think it's fine.

MR. ILDERTON: Betty?
MS. HARMON: I totally agree, and I think it's fine.

MR. ILDERTON: Jon?
MR. LANCTO: I was looking to see if we actually had a definition for front facade within our code, and I don't see that. I realize what Kat said about the ocean side is the front facade, but I think if this house's primary front facade is the side of the driveway, then that's -- unless someone can find something else, then $I$ think that we could look at it in those terms and approve it. So --

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Billy?
MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it. I look at this house all the time.

MR. ILDERTON: I am also good with it. Do I hear a motion?

MR. CRAVER: Move we approve it.
MS. HARMON: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody
in favor?
MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
(Mr. Herlong rejoined the Board.)
MR. ILDERTON: Great. 2668 Jasper.
All right. Kat, what do we have?
MS. KENYON: It is an addition and alteration. It is historic, but it is outside of the district, and he says, check it out for the design.

MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you. Yes, ma'am.

MS. WILSON: My name is Heather Wilson. I'm the architect. I'm representing Wes and Betsy Fuller. They have a contract on the house. They have not purchased it. This is the house -- I don't know if you're -- it faces Jasper. Yellow cottage. It has been altered, renovated, mostly on the inside. They took away the central hall
cottage door and put a small French door on the side. But other than that, there's a small nonhistoric addition on the back that we're asking for permission to remove. And the model does not show the dormers on the cottage, but they would remain. The only thing we want to do to the cottage is actually restore the original
four-foot-wide center hall cottage door that was typical of these cottages.

The stairs and little canopy peak are centered over what was the door. Beyond that, all the work is in the addition. And I think what we're looking to ascertain from you, from the board, is how you feel about a second -- this is not a true two-story. It's a one story, one-and-a-half. Everything on the second floor is under the eaves.

In order to make the numbers work for them, the family to move into it -- they are local. This is going to be their full-time residence. They have three children. And they would like to have their master bedroom on the second floor of the addition, which means that the addition is about 42 to 48 inches taller than the front house, which in working with them thus far in the design
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was the only concern $I$ had as to how you would feel about that being a historic cottage and how it looks from Jasper, et cetera.

This is a screen porch. I know it looks very opaque from the model, but the house is not in flood. We're not altering the elevation of it. So the first floor of the addition will match the first floor of the cottage. There are lots of details to be worked out that's very schematic, but they have priced this amount of building construction, square footage, et cetera, and feel really good about this floor plan.

The original cottage is 1,820 and they're looking to add about 2,000. Again, like I said, this is a screen porch, so it's misleading. And this is obviously a porch on Jasper. This is what they're hoping to do.

They hope to have -- this is future.
They hope to have a porch and a screened porch. They can't afford to do that right now. The lot is large enough, we're not asking for a variance. In total I think this is going to be -- my numbers -I think 3,600 square feet. Even after they do the porch and potential screen porch, they still would not need any sort of a size variance. They're not
looking to build a huge house. So, again, it's really the issue of levels.

When we looked at doing a single-story addition on behind the cottage, it just began to spread out the house too much primarily from a cost perspective, and also lot coverage. They're interested in a big yard, eventually a pool, family of three young kids. And, yeah, 2,606, plus 400-square-foot garage for building coverage area. MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application?

MR. FAVA: I just have a quick comment. In looking at what's been presented, I think what the applicant's addressing is typically that addition does defer to the smaller house, but the way that's been dealt with with the links, one story, and the broken down massing, I think it's very nicely done and treats the historic cottage well, neighborhood well, and is very well done.

MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you. Is there any other public comment? This public comment section is closed. Anything to add?

MS. KENYON: No.
MR. ILDERTON: All right. Steve, do you want to start?

25 good.

MR. HERLONG: No. I think it's a great solution to break up the massing. Those links are basically recessed off of the main three segments. Just a great way to handle the property, I think. It's an excellent solution.

MR. ILDERTON: All right. Fred.
MR. REINHARD: Yeah, it's always hard to make a relatively small historic house bigger without messing up the historic house, and I like the way this has been done. I think it will even be more successful when you're standing on the street. You won't see -- you won't see that second gable when you're walking down the street or driving down the street, and the lot's narrow enough. There's enough on both sides. I think it's well done, and I congratulate the architect for bringing a model, because the model really helps get your point across.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Betty?
MS. HARMON: I agree. I think the model is superb. It just gives you visual views. And I think it's wonderful.

MR. ILDERTON: Jon?
MR. LANCTO: I'm good with it. Very

MR. ILDERTON: Billy?
MR. CRAVER: You know, I'm trying to think of this great legal term or architectural term, and it comes to me, two of them. It's snazzy and lovely all at the same time. I mean it just -you like nailed it. And you raised the bar for all the other architects, because the model -- the model really does -- I mean -- you won it on the model hands down. I mean it's just not hard to visualize it. I'm all for it. It's great.

MR. ILDERTON: I also think it's a great design, and you won't be able to really see the addition substantially from Jasper. And it's going to fit in well with the way it's going to fit in off of -- the other street's Goldbug? Is that correct or is it --

MR. HERLONG: Yes.
MR. CRAVER: Yes, Goldbug.
MR. ILDERTON: It's a great design. Do I hear a motion?

MR. CRAVER: So moved to approve.
MS. HARMON: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion? Everybody
in favor?
MR. HERLONG: Aye.

MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
MS. KENYON: I just want to say if you have not signed the sign-in sheet, please do before you leave.

MR. ILDERTON: 2420 Jasper, new construction. Kat, what do we have?

MS. KENYON: 2420 Jasper.
MR. ILDERTON: That's it? That's your presentation? Thank you very much.

MS. KENYON: No. No. No. Okay. I don't do the BZA. This plan came -- was it last week? Last Thursday to the BZA. It was not approved, it was not turned down. They just stated that they wanted clearer plans. That's all.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. All right.
MS. KING: Okay. I'm Anita King with Inc. Architecture here to present 2420 Jasper. This house has come before the board twice. We got final approval for the design of the historic structure fronting Jasper. And we got preliminary approval of the new structure located behind the
historic structure. The DRB previously provided us with a certificate of appropriateness for the two houses on the lot. However, as Kat mentioned, the Board of Zoning Appeals has postponed their decision on this property because they have asked for additional information. Therefore, we are asking for final approval contingent on BZA approval.

Previously you reviewed the elevations and the $3-D$ renderings, and $I$ brought those renderings back for you again today --

MS. KENYON: Can you tell them what you were asking the BZA for?

MS. KING: We were asking for a special exception for two houses on the lot.

MR. HERLONG: Is that something that anybody that -- everybody that's had a historic structure smaller has had to go get that, right? That's a pretty typical thing.

MS. KENYON: Yes.
MS. KING: The last time we spoke, the neighbors had some concerns about the formality of the window design on Myrtle Street, so I wanted to start with that elevation. As you can see, we have simplified the window design. Originally it was
full-height windows with transoms above, so we took a look at that and took in consideration the neighbors' concerns. Other than that, the elevation is virtually the same, and I've brought in our previous submittal if you'd like to take a look at that.

The design is a simple gabled roof over the main mass of the house with dormers and exposed rafter tails, typical of many Sullivan's Island homes. The materials relate directly back to the historic house that we are taking down to 1,200 square feet. It has wood siding, 5-V crimped roof, coordinating window and door designs, railing designs and shutter designs.

Let me show you the side elevations. Again, this elevation is toward the interior courtyard. Again, we broke down the mass closest to the historic house to kind of relate back and not make the massing too big. So this is an L-shaped design.

The other elevation, again, we have kind of broken up the mass and related the handrails. We have a smaller version here showing the full extent with the historic structure on there, so you can get a better idea of how we are
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trying to relate the two structures together and how we really liked the historic structure standing on its own and kind of being its own identity.

We thought it was just a great little structure, and we didn't want to overpower it with the new house, but we did break up the mass in order to relate that to the structure and kind of keep them separated. Again, with an internal courtyard here.

The items we have addressed since the last DRB meeting are the finalization of the exterior elevations, the window design, the garage structure, the drive, the pool, the pool deck and the landscaping to provide the Board a better overall picture. We've brought some landscape plans for you to look at. These are conceptual. They've hired Kelly Messier to take a look at the landscape.

A few things that we'd like to point out is the drive location. We've tried to save the oak tree and brought in the drive from the Myrtle Street side. One thing I wanted to touch base on is because of the current location of the historic house we've got kind of a small driveway feeding through the site. When we relocate the driveway,
we can only have one entrance, so we've chosen Myrtle Street, because all of the houses on Jasper primarily use Myrtle, although a few have driveways all the way through, but there are no garage structures facing Myrtle. So that's one thing we've done.

As you can see too, I wanted to show you the pool design that Kelly came up with. This is slightly different from our preliminary. We've developed a little grill area behind the garage here, and we're going to talk a little bit about the setback for that pool as well, because she had a suggestion that kind of tied all that pool deck and grill area in together. That would be a separate DRB submittal, but we did want to get the Board's opinion on whether they would consider that side setback relief as well.

It's currently 15 feet to the edge of the basin, which is only 18 inches high, and 17 feet to the edge of the pool, which is 30 inches high. Kelly's here if you-all have any specific questions concerning the design. The owners haven't finalized that yet, but they would like some consideration on that relief area.

Also Mr. Fava is here tonight. He came
to the Board of Zoning Appeals meeting and addressed the new structure facing Myrtle in lieu of Jasper. Again, as previously submitted, we asked for that variance in both the conceptual and preliminary submittals.

This lot is particularly unique because we do have the historic structure facing Jasper that we are trying to maintain and would like to keep the character of that street as well intact. The only place we have to build is actually behind the current structure.

One thing we did is we definitely studied the neighborhood. When our clients came to us, the one thing they said is they really liked the house directly behind their property. Here on the map, A is our property. The house behind it, the Brewers' home, is this C-shaped configuration with a pool in the center and their garage facing Goldbug.

This is their historic house that they renovated, and they loved the design and they loved the concept that they too had kind of this two-facing configuration, and that we believe this sets precedence for the neighborhood. We have some other examples of houses in the neighborhood. We
like this example. We think they've done a great job, and we're kind of looking to this as our example.

There are several other examples of houses that either have two entrances or face away from the ocean. Again, we have a structure facing the ocean. Our property itself is not turning us back to the ocean or turning us back to Jasper, but we kind of have the situation where we have two houses at play. This is 2629 Myrtle facing Goldbug, this is 2618 Myrtle facing Goldbug, and this is 2523 Myrtle. Again, all of these houses are in the neighborhood and within the historic district. We, of course, like the Brewers' directly behind us in that vicinity. Did you have a question?

MR. HERLONG: Where is this -- where is this --

MS. KING: That's the Goldbug entrance.
MR. HERLONG: Goldbug entrance to --
MS. KING: To this house.
MS. KENYON: Michael Brewer's.
MS. KING: It is actually a Myrtle Street address. And this is our lot. So it's the house directly behind us, which is the one that my
clients saw first and really liked the configuration of that house.

I just wanted to point out that in Section 21.1.11, standards of neighborhood compatibility, where this ordinance grants the Design Review Board the discretion to modify a zoning standard or design standard, the board shall determine or not be -- the proposed modification is compatible with the neighborhood. In making this determination, the board shall consider with reference the adjoining lots, lots facing across the street and lots in the immediate vicinity, or, B, massing and orientation of the structure.

And we would clearly state that the precedence has already been set, and that we are doing something very similar that was just built recently. Our design meets all of the zoning requirements, and it is not atypical for additions to stretch from one street to the other on these longer lots. And as the street converges, the houses are even closer to Myrtle. We believe we are being sensitive to both Jasper and Myrtle by maintaining this historic house in its true form and keeping the main house low to the ground and only raising it enough to meet FEMA along with
designing a one-and-a-half story feature instead of two stories, and we are below the height restrictions.

Again, we are asking for final approval of the new structure on the site conditional of BZA approval of the special exception for two structures on the site. We would also like board input on the pool relief that we would address in a separate submittal.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Is there public comment for this application?

MR. FAVA: Yes, sir. Eddie Fava, and I live right across the street at 2424 Myrtle. I just have a question, because when we were at the BZA meeting the other night, the direction from their board was that this could not go to DRB final approval until it had zoning approval. And I think it would be putting the cart before the horse to approve something completely contingent on a zoning approval. And that's what they said at that meeting.

So I was -- it's my turn right now, just a moment. So $I$ was a little confused because I asked very specifically at that meeting is there going to be another meeting before, and they said,
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no, they have to come back to the zoning board before they go back to us. And to do it on a contingency I think is a little disingenuous and that should be established before it moves forward. Some of the things that were discussed at that meeting, and the zoning board did agree, is that, much as we said, the main facade of the buildings -- and it was said in previous applications in some that have been presented where the scale, I feel, was handled a lot better. The front facade is the street address that faces the ocean. And there's no doubt that there's the ability to do an addition to this house, do it attractively, have something that faces the rear to a certain extent, which is fine.

But first of all, I think it needs to be approved by zoning before this board gives it final approval, with all due respect. And I do think it needs to be very carefully looked at because along that whole length of Myrtle there's not one house that faces or address -- a main house that addresses Myrtle, that whole length. Raven is the same way. There are a couple on Goldbug and some of those that have been done. Mr. Brewer's -the rear on mine, $I$ mean has an addition that
faces, but it's removed back. It doesn't read as the front of the house.

This is a completely detached house, and with all due respect, looking at the elevation, the full elevation, in a sense, just kind of overwhelms the historic structure rather than respects it, and I feel like it does that to that element of the street. And to start that pattern where we're able to put houses that address both sides of that street, I think, a main house facing a main house, just does a disservice to this area of the neighborhood.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Yes, ma'am.
MS. ELLIOTT: I'm Amy Elliott, and my family owns 2320 Jasper Boulevard. And from where I was sitting I couldn't see any of the plans. So I'd like to see the plans before I make a comment.

MS. KING: This is the existing historic structure on Jasper, and this is the new structure.

MS. ELLIOTT: Okay. And this is the house on Jasper that has the heavy hedge along the sidewalk?

MS. KING: Correct.
MS. ELLIOTT: That needs trimming.

MR. FAVA: Can I look at the full
elevation so we can see that as well?
MS. KING: This is the elevation.
MR. FAVA: The side elevation. The
whole -- yeah.
MS. ELLIOTT: Now, these -- okay. Now, on the left-hand side is the existing house and then everything behind there is new.

MS. KING: Correct.
MS. ELLIOTT: And they're going to be attached to each other?

MS. KING: No. They're detached.
MS. ELLIOTT: Well, what is the little latticework --

MS. KING: This is a deck.
MS. ELLIOTT: Okay. Now, is the property going to be held by one owner or is it going to be a condominium situation in terms of --

MS. KING: Well, it wouldn't be a condominium situation, but with two structures you are allowed to rent the rear structure if you so choose.

MS. ELLIOTT: Rent one structure.
MS. KING: Rent one structure.
MS. ELLIOTT: And then I had a question
for the Board. It was my understanding that if you had an historic structure on the property it had to be under 1,000 square feet to have a second structure on the property. Is that --

MR. ILDERTON: I think it's 1,200.
MS. ELLIOTT: 1,200? All right.
That's all of my questions.
MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you. Any other public comment to this application? Public comment section is closed then. Anything to add, Kat?

MS. KENYON: No.
MR. ILDERTON: As far as whether this should even be before us, that's not for us to determine. I don't think -- I guess I can only assume it was determined by Randy and the powers that be.

So the fact that it is before us, I don't think we can say it shouldn't be, because I -- I mean $I$ couldn't make a call on that. I don't think it's for us to make a call. Randy made the call on it. He says -- by the fact that it is here, he's saying it's okay. Now, maybe it's not, but that would be another issue altogether. I don't think we can address whether it should be
before us or not, or BZA, or whatever else.
MS. KENYON: He said you could hear it. He just wanted you to know that they hadn't approved it.

MR. ILDERTON: So I just wanted to clear the air on that. We're talking about design here and whether it's good design or not, and so forth and so on. So that's what we're doing here. And I will say the fact that $I$ think we already permitted this house to be in the 1,200-square-foot range, I believe, through a previous meeting. So the fact that there are two houses on here -- and I don't think any -- I think it's written in the code -- I guess it's a standard that they have to go before BZA.

MS. KENYON: Yes. They have to have a approval for a second structure.

MR. ILDERTON: But I guess that's with anybody, but it is written in the code if I'm not miss -- if I'm not correct, that it's permissible to have another structure on here. So it's not like -- I don't know why it was written they still have to go before the BZA, but that's fine.

MS. KENYON: It's permissible if you get permission.

MR. ILDERTON: Okay. Okay. Anyway. Billy, you want to start with this?

MR. CRAVER: Sure. I like it. I think it breaks the massing up. You know, the second house on the lot is limited to being a smaller house than -- if they built one house on the lot, you could -- you know, you can ask for extra square footage. You can't do that on this so you end up with less square feet than you would building a single house. It's not a boxy structure. I don't have a problem with it fronting -- I mean you've got two houses. You've got to deal with it.

I don't have a problem at all with us approving it, giving final approval contingent on the BZA approving it. I don't think we need to set up a ping-pong game to go back and forth. If they give approval and we've given final approval, then that's fine. I'm not aware of anything in our ordinance that says we can't do that, and $I$ think we've done it before. So I'm good with it. I think they've put a lot of thought into it, and I applaud the design.

MR. ILDERTON: Jon? Thank you, Billy. MR. LANCTO: Yeah, I think that I hear what Eddie has to say about the facade -- the front
of that, you know, house facing Myrtle. And I think if they built a large house behind the historic structure facing Jasper, it would have a worse situation, that the -- that that would compete with the look of the smaller historic house. There might be some ways around that, but I don't think it's going to have that much of an impact on the Myrtle Street neighborhood to have a front facade there versus what could be just an access. So I think, thinking in terms of what could happen here, I think that it's a good design. MR. ILDERTON: Betty?

MS. HARMON: What did you say about the pool? Did you address that or did you forget that? MS. KING: The pool was just a secondary question that we wanted some feedback from the Board for a future submittal if we -MS. HARMON: This isn't included in this?

MS. KING: No. That's not included in this.

MS. HARMON: I tend to agree with Billy and Jon. It's massive, but it's similar to the one -- to this one. So I guess precedence has been set. So I'm okay with it.

MR. ILDERTON: Fred?
MR. REINHARD: I don't agree. I don't think it meets the neighborhood compatibility test, and $I$ don't think it is subordinate enough to the old house that it fits the way some of the houses that we've had presented to us do fit well. So I -- I do agree with Eddie.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you. Steve?
MR. HERLONG: On the back of the main house, there's something sort of like a link that's -- that looks like one story but also looks like two-story space. And I don't think that roof -- maybe that roof, if it's one story, could be lower and that would help reduce some of the mass.

MS. KING: This is the long portion you're saying --

MR. HERLONG: The one right behind that, yes. That's a -- it doesn't have to be that tall. Maybe it could come down. It doesn't show as much as on the side elevations, the mass that that projects. And I'm hearing all of the discussion.

Let me -- I do have a question. Which blocks along here are in the historic district? Is
it just this block? Where is the district?
MS. KING: It goes like this. These two houses are not --

MR. HERLONG: So it's all four blocks along Jasper are in it, the historic district? Do we know?

MS. KING: We don't have the map up close. Do you know?

MR. FAVA: I don't know exactly, but I think it's close in that range, yes, sir.

MR. HERLONG: I'm just kind of
wondering, is this a situation that only this house may have had this opportunity or are others -- is this just a first of others that may have that opportunity?

MS. KENYON: This does not include the National. I don't have those four, but --

MR. HERLONG: They're over in here. It sort of splits the two outer ones, I guess. Is that where we are here? It's like part of this one and part of that block here.

MS. KENYON: But you have to realize, because I don't have the National Register either. And there's four --

MR. HERLONG: Those are usually smaller
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than this.

MS. KENYON: Uh-huh.
MR. HERLONG: But I also -- I think part of the issue is that -- it could be a simpler facade. I don't really have trouble with it addressing Myrtle because it is a second residence on the lot. It's just that it's -- to use another term -- fancy -- it's a fancier house than what you would see in this district typically. Like the house across the street is very cottagey. And if it was as cottagey as that, I think it would be fine. It's just more -- it's more than that. That's my only issue.

I don't have any real issue with the massing of it. It's just maybe the detailing of that one facade could be simpler and it would not --

MS. HARMON: That's a good thought.
MR. HERLONG: -- come across as so different maybe.

MR. ILDERTON: All right. Thank you. This actually is not that different from what we've faced before $I$ think, but had the original structure, the 1,200 square foot structure, been on the back of the lot, it would have been easy to put

1 the front facade towards Jasper because it would have called for it.

If you took this house and just turned it completely around so that facade was facing the back of that house, $I$ mean it might ideally, you know, be good for somebody in the neighborhood but certainly not good for the people living in it, and it would look a little ridiculous to be facing the back of another house. I mean it's a unique situation.

These lots we have on Sullivan's Island are unique because they are street to street. We are saving the older structure. That's -- the whole idea behind these laws is to have -- to be able to keep the 1,200 square foot structure is to save these cottages. We're doing that, and on -and the Jasper facade of that little cottage is still going to be there when you drive by Jasper and see it.

I think with enough landscaping and consideration from the owners that the front facade is facing Myrtle is -- I think it just has to be that way perhaps with this particular design. And I don't know. I find this design fairly simple. I mean it's a story-and-a-half with dormers. I mean
it's -- I don't find it, you know, too heavy myself. So I don't -- I guess what I'm saying is I don't have a problem with it.

They've been before us -- I guess this is the third time, and we've added our comments the last time to the structure -- to the design. I think they were taken in earnest, and I feel like they've listened to the direction of the Board that we gave them last time. So $I$ feel fine with it.

MR. HERLONG: The detailing is clearly less formal than the last submittal.

MR. ILDERTON: Yeah.
MR. CRAVER: I would move for final approval conditioned on the BZA approving the second house on the lot, and that would be the approval that they need from the BZA.

MS. KING: Special exception for a second house?

MR. CRAVER: Special exception for a second house on the lot.

MR. ILDERTON: Do I hear a second?
MR. LANCTO: Second.
MR. ILDERTON: Discussion?
MR. LANCTO: I would just like to bring up the point about the pool, just try to --

MR. ILDERTON: Well, we can have that discussion, how people feel about it.

MR. CRAVER: That's not part -MR. ILDERTON: It's not part of the approval. But it can be part of our discussion in the discussion section, but it's not -- it's not part of our -- what we're approving.

MR. LANCTO: Right.
MR. ILDERTON: I mean how does anybody feel about the pool? I mean --

MS. KENYON: It's not in the application.

MR. ILDERTON: We can -- I don't -- I say $I$ don't have a problem with it, but that's not -- but I wouldn't say I'm voting for it, but personally I don't see a problem with --

MR. HERLONG: I think it's a nice space. I think by turning it you get more living space on either side of it. As long as that setback is allowed, $I$ don't --

MR. LANCTO: Yeah, I would concur on that too.

MR. ILDERTON: Okay. We've got a second. Discussion period still open. Is there anything else? All right. Call for a vote.
Everybody in favor?

MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.
MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. ILDERTON: Aye.
Everybody opposed?
MR. REINHARD: Opposed.
MR. ILDERTON: Great. Thank you,
ma'am.
MS. KENYON: Next is 2213-B, Taco
Mamacita.
MR. ILDERTON: I'm recusing myself, right?
(Chairman Ilderton was recused from the Board.)

MS. KENYON: This is final approval. They want to replace the new -- a new fence, the sidewalk, the signage. And Randy said providing it is not lit, neon is approved in our ordinance, but it's not -- it can't be like really lit.

MR. REINHARD: Not lit.
MR. HERLONG: Can you explain that?
MS. KENYON: This is what he says. Listen to me. He said, the signage, it's okay as
long as -- providing it is not lit. Then he said but neon is approved in our ordinance. So there you go.

MS. HARMON: Please, no neon lights. MR. CRAVER: So neon is not lit.

MS. HARMON: No neon lights.
MS. KENYON: Apparently neon is different than lit.

MR. HERLONG: As long as you don't turn them on.

MR. THOMAS SWEENEY: Can I add
something to that or should I wait?
MR. HERLONG: Let's just go ahead and be formal. Is there anything you want to say?

MS. KENYON: No.
MR. HERLONG: It's all yours.
MR. THOMAS SWEENEY: I'm just going to go down the list on the items that we applied for. And I would -- I haven't introduced myself. I'm Thomas Sweeney from Stumphouse Architects representing Taco Mamacita and the Monens, the owners who are here with us tonight.

The first point was demoing the raised patio for proper drainage at the front of the site. I actually ran through this with Randy, and he
wound up approving it. He asked that it be put in this for review. I'm not sure why. Just because it's -- he already approved it. So we can just run through it so you guys are up to speed with it.

MS. KENYON: He gave you staff
approval.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MS. KENYON: But the Board still has to know about it.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: I got you.
MR. CRAVER: It's already been demoed, hasn't it?

MR. THOMAS SWEENEY: It has.
MS. KENYON: That's because Randy said okay.

MR. REINHARD: Approved.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: The next point was the fence, the existing fence. We are maintaining the same fence line. You can see it in the model here. And you saw this model previously on the approval of the roof structure.

The change we'd like to make to the fence are horizontal slats. We're code compliant per -- I don't know what section, 2126, I believe -- with the opening, but the section is on
sheet A2.3 where the lattice actually runs horizontally one-by-six on the bottom side and one-by-four at the top.

And what we're doing is we're addressing the front side, the front and the side elevation with an eating table, a table rail essentially, for the fence.

MS. HARMON: So the rail is -- this is the rail in here?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct, yes. And the third point on this review is the sidewalk between the fence and the street. I've been talking with Randy about this quite a bit, and DOT. The condition that occurs at the property line where the fence occurs gives us roughly 10 feet out to the street front, and per page 27 and 61 laid out in the ordinance, we're required to have a six-foot sidewalk there. And the sidewalk, the current condition with the sidewalk, that that 10-foot condition that's flush with the street, Randy wants something done with that.

We had talked to DOT about a 10-foot
curb there. I don't have the paperwork back from them on encroachments, but my question is -- my question to Randy was if that's compliant, if --
and it's represented on these two lines on the model, so this -- this line is actually the street, Middle Street, right here. And this line is the required six-foot path, and currently it's painted out there on the street.

So really the -- obviously we want to maintain code compliance with the ordinance. I just don't -- I don't know if it's necessary to put the curb in.

MR. HERLONG: Raising the sidewalk and using the curb.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct, yeah. Simply because in the ordinance we are in compliance with the condition that it's in right now.

MR. HERLONG: I wish we had Randy to know what his suggestion -- what was Randy's suggestion on that.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: He likes the idea of the curb, but he said that he couldn't require us to put it in. And this came up on a previous meeting when we were discussing the patio roof, and I don't think there was any conclusion for it, which really is why we're back here tonight to get --

MS. HARMON: It was a DOT thing, wasn't it?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Yeah, it was.
MS. HARMON: The South Carolina DOT, and I think it still is.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: And apparently there is a plan to make that curb -- the DOT has a plan to put that curb in. I just don't -- I don't know the schedule on it. And that was the question $I$ was asking, because what happens now, there is a curb. There's an existing handicap access at the corner of Dunleavy's, and then it just drops off. So they stopped at Dunleavy's, and then it's flush with the street for 10 feet in front of our property line to Middle Street.

MR. LANCTO: And that's a no parking zone now, right?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct. Yes.
MR. LANCTO: So changing the elevation
-- well, let me ask you, will changing the elevation affect your plans on grade levels going into the building?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, it would have to be a condition similar to this, really similar to what's going on at Dunleavy's. There's a

1 handicap ramp at either end. It's butted up against our property line and that fence. So that curb would occur here. And when $I$ was talking to DOT, they were talking about a 10-foot curb. Randy was talking about the required six-foot curb and letting a four-foot section remain, which would still be the exact same problem, where people are parking there all the time.

MR. HERLONG: Down at the bottom where the end of Dunleavy's -- the raised section at Dunleavy's is, is it just a step off right -that's raised; is that correct?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: It is. And there's
handicap access on this side --
MR. HERLONG: On the corner --
MR. TOM SWEENEY: -- and this side it's
not.
MR. HERLONG: Right. There it's just a step down?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Yeah. It's a slope. I didn't get good photos of it.

MR. REINHARD: It's showing a ramp there. The arrow down?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: On the Dunleavy side.
MR. REINHARD: It slopes down.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Yes.
MR. REINHARD: You need to put the grade on this, slopes this way. So everything sort of slopes to that -- this slopes this way --

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MR. REINHARD: -- to go grade of the street. This slopes down to that.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MR. REINHARD: And then there's another slope here that's not shown on this drawing, but on this one.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Yes.
MR. REINHARD: But don't you have to have DOT's approval to do that 10-foot-wide sidewalk with curb and handicap ramps at each end? Do you have to have their approval?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: We do have to have, yeah, and get encroachment approval from them as well.

MR. REINHARD: So you can't do that until you have that approval.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct. And really what -- I was confused after this last meeting because that wasn't resolved. And when we spoke about it, the Board said you weren't sure

1 that you could ask us to do that. And my confusion stems from the fact that in talking to DOT there is apparently plans to keep that curb coming down past Dunleavy's. I just don't know when that's going to happen.

MR. REINHARD: Well, the real question is if we said it's okay and you move forward and did it that way, and DOT came back and said, we don't like it --

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, I mean we would go to DOT first. I mean that's -- I was hoping to have --

MR. REINHARD: So are you saying that if DOT approves it, will we approve it? Why are you bringing it to us? It's a DOT issue.

MS. HARMON: I think he wants us to approve it, and he wants to take it to the DOT. MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's what I was asking Randy the whole time, and Randy asked me to present it to you guys. My point is we right now are in compliance with the condition that exists right now. My clients want to do the right thing by this property, by this establishment. And if that's what it takes to put that curb in there, we're willing to go that route. DOT is very

1 difficult to pin down on anything. And this process has been pretty remarkable just to get somebody on the phone. Andy Benke helped me out quite a bit putting me in touch with the proper people, but I still -- I don't have any solid answer from DOT, and I think -- I think after that last meeting and the conversations with DOT, I got ahead of myself simply because I think we're in compliance with the condition that's there now.

It's too bad that it's not the best-case scenario, that people are parking there, that they just ignore the painted condition on the street. I just don't know that it's my client's responsibility to put a curb in.

MS. HARMON: I wouldn't think so.
MR. CRAVER: I don't know that you have the right to unless you get that encroachment permit.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Absolutely.
MR. HERLONG: But in many communities you're responsible for the curb in front of your house, so I think it could or couldn't be. I don't know.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: I think the gray area occurs because we're building -- the fence comes to

1 the property line, and then, per the ordinance, I 2 have to have a six-foot path. I happen to have a

MR. HERLONG: An interesting thought on that is we would be ruling on something that's outside of the property line. Is that our -MS. HARMON: I don't think we can do that.

MR. HERLONG: -- purview or not? I don't really know.

MS. HARMON: I think -- if you're in compliance, work with DOT.

MR. HERLONG: It's not a design issue. MS. HARMON: It's not a design issue. MR. HERLONG: I don't know.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Okay.
MR. REINHARD: I have a question.
There's no -- I don't -- I can't see it. It's a small drawing, but spot elevations, and it goes back to what Jon asked. Your patio, which has your fence on the property line, is that raised up six inches?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: No. Now, what we did is we took that patio out to get positive drainage

1 down.

MR. REINHARD: Okay. So now you're down.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MR. REINHARD: So what happens if they build the sidewalk up six inches?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, there's a section -- and this is what the assumption was -that section occurs at the fence. So on A2.3, on drawing 2 on $A 2.3$, there is a six-inch curb that would allow me to get my positive drainage down off the site and then the curb occurs the length of the fence --

MR. LANCTO: So where does the water go then?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: -- with the handicap access. Well, right now, what we're doing is we're shedding water this way. How they shed water off this, it's essentially up to them. I mean it will be shedding this way and this way as well.

MR. REINHARD: But could it shed that way and still have enough elevation for a curb between the sidewalk and the street?

I mean you're showing one here. But is this -- is this a true condition? Is this a

1
condition with the new 10 -foot sidewalk in place? What does this represent?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That would represent the center of that curb. So as it pitches to one side and the other, that -- this whole street slopes this way. So that previous curb that we had there was at six inches at the entry and 10 inches at the far end. So there's an existing slope there. So essentially the entire curb is pitching toward the drain that is in the curb cut at the parking lot. Does that make sense?

MR. REINHARD: No. Let me make it a little clearer. If you build your patio and you build your fence with the bar top on it, and they come back in and put in a sidewalk, is the sidewalk not raised?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That sidewalk is raised, yes.

MR. REINHARD: So how does that marry
into your terrace?
MR. CRAVER: Is that sidewalk higher than the level of your --

MR. TOM SWEENEY: The sidewalk is higher than the level of our terrace, yes.

MR. CRAVER: So you have a swimming
pool at that point.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, there would be a drain. We would have to put a drain in here, essentially a French drain, that would tie in -MR. REINHARD: That's what I thought. That isn't apparent here, but intuitively you're going to have a problem if they put a sidewalk in. MR. TOM SWEENEY: Again -MR. REINHARD: Because the sidewalk's going to be higher than your terrace.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Right. This is why I wanted to talk to you about this before we actually --

MR. REINHARD: And you can't raise your terrace because it would be higher than the floor elevation in your building.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Which was the problem existing.

MR. REINHARD: All right. I understand it now.

MR. CRAVER: So what are you going to do?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, what I want to do is this condition with the slope going down this way, and then assume that at some point that curb
will be going in there and have a French drain on this side, on the far side of the --

MR. REINHARD: I don't know if they can
do that. I don't know if DOT can trap water on their side of the -- between their side of the sidewalk and your building. I don't know if they're allowed to do that.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Well, the other condition is similar to what happens down further down at -- on Middle Street, where it's essentially the curb just comes in two or three feet. So this -- this remains flush, the flush condition that it's in right now. Because all of this right now slopes this way and this way.

MR. REINHARD: Uh-huh.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: At each of the entries where the curb cuts, the future curb cut and this curb cut at -- between our property and Dunleavy's occur, there's positive drainage this way and positive drainage this way.

MR. REINHARD: I've seen this situation handled in Charleston. And what they do is they actually have a flush curb -- of course, they use granite there, but they sink the granite --

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Oh, I'm with you.

MR. REINHARD: So that the curb is flush as it would be as if you had a driveway. So it reads like a line of demarcation between the street and the sidewalk, and then they put a different material in to read as a sidewalk. So it's all still the same elevation. But it doesn't look like a parking spot. It looks like a crosswalk or a sidewalk.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Got you.
MR. REINHARD: All right. Beat that one to death.

MR. HERLONG: Well, I think it would -I think that the Town would want this to be attractive, as you're just describing, as opposed to what we see now. Just, you know, yellow painted stripes is very unattractive. And so I'm not really sure, however, what we can do to attempt to guide whoever's responsibility that is to do something attractive. Do we --

MR. CRAVER: What decision are you asking us for? I guess I'm -- am I missing something?

MR. HERLONG: I still don't know if we should even address that or not.

MR. REINHARD: I don't think we should.

MR. CRAVER: What were you asking of -what were you hoping to go away with from us tonight?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That answer, whether or not you had jurisdiction essentially past that property line, and this is where Randy, I think, was uncomfortable with giving me an answer on it and why he wanted this discussion to happen.

MR. HERLONG: Well, maybe we should say that we will defer to Randy on how he wants to handle that so that he knows --

MR. REINHARD: But that doesn't allow him to move forward.

MR. CRAVER: Right.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: I think really the question $I$ 'm asking is whether or not it's even appropriate to put this question to you guys. And it ties into the previous conversation when we were talking about the patio roof.

MS. HARMON: Well, you said you're in compliance right now?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
That's been my point all along.
MS. HARMON: Leave it alone.
MR. CRAVER: I think, if the
requirement is that there's a six-foot walk and there's 10 feet there in front of your property, and it isn't property that you have the right to do anything with --

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's it. I thought it was a moot point as well.

MR. CRAVER: -- I'm not sure what we can do, you know. Now, I mean, you have a potential mess if they build a four-inch high or five-inch high.

MR. REINHARD: I don't think they'll do that because there's a liability involved. Trapped water on their property.

MR. LANCTO: I like your idea, Fred, about changing the materials. There's lots of stuff that could be done there.

MR. REINHARD: And they can be creative too, if you force them.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: Okay.
MR. HERLONG: So you had three items total.

MR. TOM SWEENEY: There's one more. The signage. And it's what we were talking about at -- or Kat was talking about, the neon, because I first -- or my brother Dan actually discussed the
porch the last go around, and we had asked about an interior lit sign. And it clearly states that there's -- interior lit signs on Sullivan's are unacceptable. So what we would like to do is use the letters, which are channel letters, so it's essentially using the negative space of these letters rather than flipping it on the flat plane, and float them off the facade. And we are, again, in compliance with the square footage. We have to take into account the Dunleavy sign, and we still -- with this size signage it comes to right on 32 square feet. And the lights that we would have would be spots that are on the patio roof. Similar --

MR. REINHARD: So there's no neon?
MR. TOM SWEENEY: No neon. There's no
neon.
MR. REINHARD: You're going to have direct illumination on the channel there?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MR. REINHARD: Fine.
MS. HARMON: Good. No neon. Channel

> is good.

MR. HERLONG: Okay. So we've gotten through the presentation part. Is there any public

1 comment? Public comment section is closed. MR. DAN SWEENEY: I presented -- I'm Dan Sweeney. I presented each time previously. I think that the confusion about the sidewalk was that Randy at the last meeting really -- we all desire that sidewalk to be continuous, all the way from Dunleavy's through to High Thyme and all the way down. And right there we've had a problem area where it's a loading zone and it's striped right now.

So we all recognized at that last meeting that if we could have a curb there, it would be desirable to have a curb there, a full sidewalk right there. That's the most desirable and safest condition right there. We've not been able to get ahold of DOT. I don't think it's in your purview to rule on that because it's outside of the property line.

Randy has just continued to ask for it of us, which is fine. I think we all want it. We want it to happen, but we have to coordinate with DOT, and -- if we're going to put that in place, and --

MR. REINHARD: There's a keyword right there, coordinate. And it's not just coordinating

1
with DOT. It's coordinating that sidewalk and that curb the entire length of that block so it works continuously.

MR. DAN SWEENEY: I agree.
MR. REINHARD: Not just you fix a little bit in front of your store and then somebody else does something in front of your store. You just have a hodgepodge of stuff that won't work.

MR. DAN SWEENEY: What has happened here is that now time frames are not favorable to us working with DOT, and so we've not been able to get them to come to the table and figure out what they're going to do there. We're ready to move forward with what we're doing. So we'll just coordinate with them after we get our plane, when we find out what our outside plane is. That's all I was going to say. I don't think it's appropriate to be in front of you.

MR. HERLONG: Okay. So first item you mentioned was that you're demoing the raised patio which has already been demoed. Is there anything you need us to rule on regarding that patio?

MR. TOM SWEENEY: NO.
MR. HERLONG: So let's just strike the patio. So really it may just be the fencing and
the signage.
MR. TOM SWEENEY: That's correct.
MR. LANCTO: I move we approve both of those items.

MR. CRAVER: Second.
MS. HARMON: Two and four?
MR. TOM SWEENEY: Yes, ma'am.
MR. HERLONG: Okay. So is there any discussion about the approval?

MS. KENYON: Can I ask you -- maybe you should put something in there that you don't think that the sidewalk is your purview. Put something in there so we've got some kind of an answer.

MR. CRAVER: Okay.
MR. LANCTO: I move we approve the fence and the signage, and we don't feel like it's within our purview to make a determination on the sidewalk.

MR. CRAVER: Second.
MS. HARMON: Second.
MR. HERLONG: So any discussion on that motion? All in favor?

MR. REINHARD: Aye.
MS. HARMON: Aye
MR. CRAVER: Aye.

11 7:27 p.m.) appreciate it.

MR. LANCTO: Aye.
MR. HERLONG: Aye.
MS. KENYON: It's just so that he knows what he's got on the certificate of appropriateness.

MR. HERLONG: Very good. MR. TOM SWEENEY: Thank you. I

MR. HERLONG: We are adjourned.
(The hearing was concluded at
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master (1)
match (1)
material (1)
materials (2)
matter (2)
mature (1)
mean (19)
means (1)
meet (1)
MEETING (17)
meets (2)
MEMBER (4)
MEMBERS (2)
mentioned (2)
MERIT (1)
mess (1)
Messier (5)
messing (1)
met (1)
Michael (2)
Middle (6)
mine (1)
minimal (1)
minutes (1)
misleading (1)
missed (1)
missing (1)
model (11)
modification (1)
modify (4)
moment (1)
Monens (1)
moot (1)
motion (7)
move (11)
moved (1)
moves (1)
moving (2)
Myrtle (19)
< N >
nailed (1)
name (2)
narrow (1)
National (2)
NCRA (1)
necessary (1)
need (5)
needs (4)
negative (1)
neighborhood (12)
neighbors (3)
neither (1)
neon (11)
new (10)
nice (1)
nicely (1)
night (1)
nonhistoric (1)
NORTH (1)
Notary (1)
notes (2)
notice (1)
numbers (2)
< O >
oak (1)
objective (1)
obviously (2)
occur (2)
occurs (5)
ocean (6)
official (1)
Oh (1)
Okay (23)
old (1)
older (1)
ones (1)
opaque (1)
open (2)
opening (1)
opinion (1)
opportunity (2)
opposed (3)
oral (1)
order (3)
ordinance (10)
orientation (1)
original (3)
Originally (1)
outer (1)
outside (4)
overall (1)
overpower (1)
overwhelms (1)
owner (2)
owners (4)
owns (1)
< P >
p.m (1)
page (1)
painted (3)
panel (1)
paperwork (1)
parking (5)
part (8)
particular (1)
particularly (1)
party (1)
PAT (2)
path (4)
patio (10)
pattern (1)
pavement (1)
pay (2)
peak (1)
pending (1)
people (5)
percent (2)
period (1)
permissible (2)
permission (2)
PERMIT (2)
permitted (1)
personally (2)
perspective (1)
phase (1)
phone (1)
phones (2)
photographs (1)
photos (1)
pickets (1)
picture (1)
piers (2)
pin (1)
ping (1)
pitches (1)
pitching (1)
place (4)
plan (7)
plane (3)
plans (6)
plants (1)
play (1)
please (4)
plus (1)
PM (1)
PO (1)
point (13)
pong (1)
pool (22)
pools (1)
porch (12)
portion (1)
positive (4)
postponed (1)
potential (2)
power (1)
powers (1)
precedence (3)
preliminary (3)
PRESENT (3)
presentation (5)
presented (5)
presenting (1)
pretty (2)
previous (6)
previously (6)
priced (1)
primarily (2)
primary (1)
privacy (1)
problem (11)
problems (1)
proceedings (1)
process (1)

Professional
(2)
project (1)
projects (1)
proper (2)
property (27)
proposed (1)
proposing (1)
provide (1)
provided (1)
providing (2)
public (15)
purchased (3)
purposes (1)
purview (4)
pushed (1)
put (19)
putting (2)
<Q >
question (14)
questions (2)
quick (1)
Quite (5)
<R>
rafter (2)
rail (3)
railing (2)
raise (1)
raised (11)
raising (2)
ramp (2)
ramps (1)
ran (1)
Randy (21)
Randy's (3)
range (2)
Raven (1)
read (3)
reads (1)
ready (1)
real (2)
realize (4)
really (30)
rear (4)
rearward (1)
rebuild (2)
recede (1)
recessed (1)
recognized (1)
record (1)
recreational (1)
recused (2)
recusing (1)
reduce (5)
reduction (1)
reference (1)
regarding (1)
Register (1)
REGISTERED (3)
REINHARD (60)
reiterate (1)
rejoined (1)
relate (4)
related (2)
relatively (1)
relief (4)
relocate (1)
remain (3)
remains (1)
remarkable (1)
remember (1)
remove (1)
removed (1)
renderings (2)
renovated (3)
renovation (2)
rent (3)
replace (1)
REPORTED (2)
REPORTER (3)
REPORTER'S (1)
represent (2)
represented (1)
representing (2)
require (1)
required (3)
requirement (1)
requirements (2)
requires (1)
residence (3)
residential (1)
resolved (1)
resource (1)
respect (2)
respects (1)
responsibility (2)
responsible (1)
restore (2)
restoring (1)
restrictions (1)
REVIEW (6)
reviewed (1)
ridiculous (1)
right (47)
road (1)
roof (8)
roots (1)
roughly (1)
route (1)
RPR (1)
rule (3)
ruling (1)
run (1)
runs (1)
< S >
safest (1)
save (2)
saving (1)
saw (2)
saying (4)
says (4)
SC (2)
scale (1)
scenario (1)
schedule (1)
schematic (1)
screen (3)
screened (1)
se (1)
seal (1)
Second (25)
secondary (1)
section (14)
see (20)
seen (2)
segments (1)
sense (3)
sensitive (1)
sentence (1)
separate (2)
separated (1)
serious (1)
service (4)
set (3)
setback (5)
sets (1)
shaded (1)
shadowbox (1)
shaped (2)
share (1)
shed (2)
shedding (2)
sheet (2)
shorthand (1)
show (4)
showing (3)
shown (1)
shutter (1)
shutters (1)
side (28)
sides (4)
sidewalk (27)
sidewalk's (1)
siding (1)
sign (4)
signage (6)
signed (1)
signs (1)
similar (6)
simple (2)
simpler (2)
simplified (1)
Simply (2)
single (2)
sink (1)
sir (5)
sit (1)
site (7)
sitting (1)
situation (7)
six (12)
size (2)
slats (1)
slightly (1)
slope (4)
slopes (7)
small (5)
smaller (6)
snazzy (1)
solid (1)
solution (2)
somebody (3)
sorry (3)
sort (4)
sound (2)
South (3)
space (4)
special (6)
specific (1)
specifically (1)
speed (1)
splits (1)
spoke (2)
spot (2)
spots (1)
spread (1)
square (12)
staff (1)
stairs (1)
standard (3)
standards (1)
standing (2)
start (6)
state (2)
stated (1)
states (1)
stems (1)
step (2)
STEPHEN (1)
Steve (5)
stopped (1)
storage (1)
store (2)
stories (1)
story (9)
Street (41)
street's (1)
stretch (1)
strike (1)
striped (1)
stripes (1)
structure (39)
structures (4)
stucco (1)
studied (1)
studying (1)
stuff (2)
Stumphouse (1)
submission (1)
submittal (8)
submittals (2)
submitted (1)
subordinate (1)
substantially (1)
substation (1)
substations (1)
substructures (1)
successful (1)
suggestion (3)
SULLIVAN'S (7)
superb (1)
supposed (1)
sure (6)
SWEENEY (61)
swimming (2)
< T >
table (3)
Taco (2)
tails (2)
take (4)
taken (1)
takes (1)
talk (2)
talked (1)
talking (11)
tall (2)
taller (1)
TECH (1)
tell (2)
ten (1)
tend (1)
term (3)
terms (3)
terrace (4)
test (1)
testimony (1)
thank (25)
theory (1)
thereof (1)
thing (8)
things (4)
think (89)
thinking (1)
thinks (1)
third (2)
THOMAS (4)
Thompson (2)
thought (9)
three (5)
Thursday (1)
Thyme (1)
tie (1)
tied (1)
ties (1)
till (1)
TIME (12)
today (1)
told (1)
TOM (53)
tonight (5)
tonight's (1)
top (2)
total (2)
totally (1)
touch (2)
tower (2)
TOWN (4)
transcription (1)
transoms (1)
trap (1)
Trapped (1)
treats (1)
tree (3)
tried (1)
trimming (1)
trouble (2)
trucks (1)
true (4)
try (2)
trying (4)
turn (3)
turned (4)
turning (3)
twice (1)
two (19)
typical (3)
typically (2)
typo (1)
< U >
Uh (2)
unacceptable (1)
unattractive (1)
unchanged (1)
uncomfortable (1)
understand (1)
understanding (2)
underwent (1)
uniform (1)
unique (3)

| upgrades (1) | wish (1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| use (5) | Witness (1) |
| usually (1) | won (1) |
| utilities (5) | wonderful (1) |
| utility (1) | wondering (1) wood (1) |
| < V > | worded (1) |
| variance (3) | work (5) |
| vegetated (1) | worked (1) |
| vegetation (1) | working (4) |
| vehicles (2) | works (1) |
| version (1) | worse (1) |
| versus (1) | wound (1) |
| vertical (1) | writing (1) |
| VICE (1) | written (4) |
| vicinity (2) | WWW.CLARK (1) |
| views (1) |  |
| virtually (1) | < Y > |
| visual (1) | yard (3) |
| visualize (1) | Yeah (12) |
| visually (2) | year (1) |
| vote (1) | years (2) |
| voting (1) | Yellow (2) <br> young (1) |
| < W > |  |
| Wait (2) | < Z > |
| walk (1) | zone (2) |
| walking (1) | Zoning (9) |
| want (21) |  |
| wanted (12) |  |
| wants (5) |  |
| water (10) |  |
| way (25) |  |
| ways (1) |  |
| week (1) |  |
| Well (31) |  |
| went (1) |  |
| we're (31) |  |
| Wes (1) |  |
| Wesley (1) |  |
| We've (18) |  |
| whoever's (1) |  |
| wide (3) |  |
| widen (1) |  |
| width (1) |  |
| willing (1) |  |
| Wilson (2) |  |
| window (4) |  |
| windows (2) |  |

