1		
2		
3		WN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND
4		DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	MEETING BEFORE:	PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRMAN
13	DATE :	November 16, 2016
14	TIME:	6:00 PM
15	LOCATION:	Town of Sullivan's Island
16		2050-B Middle Street Sullivan's Island, SC
17		
18	REPORTED BY:	Priscilla Nay Certified Shorthand Reporter
19		
20	A. WILLI.	AM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES
21	Fas	t, Accurate & Friendly
22	Charleston, SC	—
23	(843) 722-8414	(843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376
24		Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC
25	(803) 731-5224	(864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919

AWR

		1	November 16, 2016
1	Page 2		Page 3
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1	THE CHAIRMAN: It just turned
	PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRMAN	2	six o'clock here. It is the November 16th
3	STEVE HERLONG, VICE CHAIRMAN	3	meeting 2016 meeting of the Sullivan's Island
4	BUNKY WICHMANN, BOARD MEMBER LINDA PERKIS, BOARD MEMBER	4	Design Review Board. It's six o'clock. Members
-	BEVERLY BOHAN, BOARD MEMBER	5	in attendance are Bunky Wichmann, Linda Perkis,
5	JOE HENDERSON, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR	6	Pat Ilderton, Steve Herlong, and Beverly Bohan.
6	RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL KAT K. KENYON, TOWN SECRETARY	7	The Freedom of Information requirements
7	KAI K. KENION, IOWN SECKETARI	8	have been met for this meeting. The items on
8		9	tonight's agenda are the approval of the October
9	ALSO PRESENT:	10	16, 2016 minutes. Do I hear a motion?
	JOEL ADRIAN	11	MR. WICHMANN: Motion to accept the
10	TAL AIKEN	12	matter as submitted.
11	HOWARD BROWN RODD HEINLEN	13	THE CHAIRMAN: Second?
111	BILL HUEY	14	MS. BOHAN: Second.
12	BRONWYN LURKIN		
13	EDWARD M. TAVEL, MD NICOLE VIETH	15	THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor?
13	ROSE MARIE WILLIAMS	16	(Board members stated aye.)
14	NICOLE VIETH	17	1820 I'ON AVENUE
15		18	MR. HERLONG: Great. 1820 l'On.
16 17	(INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)	19	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.
18		20	MR. HERLONG: I'm recusing myself.
19		21	MR. ILDERTON: All right.
20 21		22	MR. HENDERSON: Okay. This is
22		23	Agenda Item C-1. This is a certificate of
23		24	appropriateness request for a Sullivan's Island
24 25		25	landmark. This is identified by Survey Card 206.
1	Page 4 The applicants are requesting historic	1	Page 5 Like Joe said, it is a one-story
2	design review for essentially a detached garage.	2	structure. It is on the historic resource list and
3	They're requesting modification from the zoning	3	it has a very small amount of storage. You can see
4		4	in the in the in the back corner there are
	standards for accessory structure height and also	-	
5	the square footage allowed for accessory structures	5	two little accessory structures that come to about
6	on a given lot. And so those are let's see.	6	150 square feet, I think.
	For the height it's only an increase of 5 percent.	7	So we're asking to do a detached
8	The Board is allowed to grant 20	8	garage, two-car garage, and also a covered,
9	percent. For the square footage they're requesting	9	enclosed shed on the side for a golf cart.
10	a full 20 percent increase in the square footage.	10	MS. PERKIS: Can I ask a question?
11	So you're limited to 750 square feet in total,	11	MR. HEINLEN: Sure.
12	square footage for accessory structures, garages,	12	MS. PERKIS: Are those accessory
13	sheds. They're asking to go to 900 square feet.	13	structures going to remain?
14	Now, this is a historic structure	14	MR. HEINLEN: Yes. Yes. And so our
15	that's below the base flood elevation. So there is	15	garage is it is with those accessory
16	no storage on the lot. So that's why this is a	16	structures we're asking for a total amount of
17	typical request for historic properties.	17	(inaudible) square feet. We're also adding a side
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.	18	stair to the side porch.
19	MR. HENDERSON: I'll defer to you for	19	We're right here. We're adding the
20	any questions.	20	driveway and we're also adding a patio back here
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.	21	off the living space. We're going to modify the
22	MR. HEINLEN: I'm Rodd Heinlen with	22	fence slightly to accommodate for the garage.
23	Steve Herlong's office and we're presenting the	23	The garage will be entered off
24	residence at 1820 I'On. It's across from the	24	18 1/2 Street and what we have done on the
25	lighthouse.	25	elevations if you can see, if you could put
		20	sievatione in you can see, in you could put
Δ	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., &	AS	SOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Pages 2–5
$\Box I$	Schedule		
		•	

			November 16, 2016
	Page 6		Page 7
1	those up, Joe, we've tried to make break up the	1	MR. HENDERSON: Nothing to add, sir.
2	mass. The basic mass is a 24-by-24 hip roof with	2	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Beverly, you want
3	a small shed off the back that's also hipped at a	3	to start?
4	lower pitch. We've added three shed dormers	4	MS. BOHAN: I believe that the
5	okay on the roof to try to break up the roof and	5	materials are compatible to the existing home.
6	a couple of small gable pieces on the street side.	6	I think that the entire composition is the same
7	Both of these are elements that you'd	7	materials. I find I find it to be pleasant
8	find on the main house. The materials are going to	8	and actually a very nice addition.
9	match the main house, metal roof, lap siding,	9	It almost looks like part of the
10	either a painted garage door or possibly a mahogany	10	original house. When I was looking at it
11	door. I'm not sure about that.	11	previously, I think it's going to look lovely.
12	So anyway, we're trying to create a	12	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Yeah. This
13	little courtyard here. We've trying to give her	13	is an iconic house on Sullivan's Island for sure.
14	more storage and we're asking the Board for the	14	This house is always one I've noticed
15	20 percent relief on the total square footage for	15	and I think the addition is far enough removed from
16	the accessory structures and we're only asking for	16	the house proper to where the house itself is not
17	eight inches, I think, in height to accommodate the	17	going to the architecture and the being of the
18	eight to 12 pitched roof.	18	house is not going to be interrupted and the and
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.	19	the garage is certainly within within keeping of
20	MR. HEINLEN: Sure.	20	the design and within the keeping of the island as
21	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any public	21 22	well as the house.
23	comment to this application? (No response.)	22	I can see that's why the house would need storage. I mean, that is a problem with a
23	THE CHAIRMAN: Public comment section	23 24	house that low to the ground, but thank God that is
25	is closed. Joe, anything to add?	25	that low to the ground.
		20	
1	Page 8	1	Page 9
1	So that's what makes it look so good. So I'm in favor. Linda.	1 2	are both square lots with hip roofs and they fit in nicely with the way it is.
3	MS. PERKIS: I have one question. Is	3	They're only one is only 50 square
4	there going to be stairs? Is there a second floor?	4	feet and one is 100 square feet. So there's not
5	MR. HEINLEN: No.	5	much there.
6			
7	MS. PERKIS: Oh. It just gives you the	-	
1 1	MS. PERKIS: Oh. It just gives you the that illusion?	6	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in
8	MS. PERKIS: Oh. It just gives you the that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no	6 7	
1	that illusion?	6 7	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep
8	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no	6 7 8	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the
8 9	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed	6 7 8 9	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great.
8 9 10	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light.	6 7 8 9 10	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion?
8 9 10 11	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine.	6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we
8 9 10 11 12	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second.
8 9 10 11 12 13	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important.	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those? MR. HEINLEN: I think well	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda. However, this is more of a certificate of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those? MR. HEINLEN: I think well MR. WICHMANN: I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda. However, this is more of a certificate of appropriateness request.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those? MR. HEINLEN: I think well MR. WICHMANN: I MR. HENDERSON: I think they may have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda. However, this is more of a certificate of appropriateness request. This project had evolved from a special
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those? MR. HEINLEN: I think well MR. WICHMANN: I MR. HENDERSON: I think they may have added one and the one was original or goes close to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda. However, this is more of a certificate of appropriateness request. This project had evolved from a special exception to an addition to the historic homes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that illusion? MR. HEINLEN: Yeah. There is no storage there at all. We're just doing the shed dormers to bring in some light. MS. PERKIS: Okay. I think it's fine. MR. WICHMANN: I agree with Pat. I think it's a great one of the most important structures on the island. The main house is in keeping with the character and that is very important. Again, as Beverly said, keeping the materials the same is one question I had is the outbuildings that are existing there. Do you know the age of those? MR. HEINLEN: I think well MR. WICHMANN: I MR. HENDERSON: I think they may have added one and the one was original or goes close to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is in keeping it is obviously a great effort to keep this historically accurate and in touch with the main house. So I think it's great. THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? MS. PERKIS: I make a motion that we pass it. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) 2662 JASPER BOULEVARD THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2662 Jasper. MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you. This is Agenda Item D-1 on your on your agenda. However, this is more of a certificate of appropriateness request. This project had evolved from a special

 $A \| W \| R$

	conceptual approval for the special exception that	2	coverage.
3	allows the construction of the new home provided	3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. ADRIAN: So I reduced the
4	you preserve and renovate the existing historic	4 5	impervious part of the driveway to make sure that
6	cottage. They have changed that request. Now	6	we had (inaudible) that's all the second. If you
7	they're just asking for an addition to the historic	7	look at that driveway it will show
8	cottage. So this is the applicants are with	8	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I
9	requesting modifications or increases to the	9	can't hear you.
10		10	MR. ADRIAN: I was just saying the site
11	landmark by way of Survey Card Number 40.	11	plans just shows that I have adjusted the driveway
12		12	and reduced the impervious area I feel too
13		13	uncomfortable with that to make sure that we are
14	is allowed to grant 25 percent. They're asking	14	under our lot coverage requirement. But I will
15		15	answer any questions.
16		16	MR. WICHMANN: Tell us who you are.
17	principal building square footage of 24.8 percent.	17	MR. ADRIAN: I'm the designer.
18		18 19	MR. WICHMANN: Your name. MR. ADRIAN: Joel Adrian.
20		20	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any public
21	I will turn to the plans here and entertain any	20	comment on this application?
22		22	(No response.)
23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Public comment section
24		24	is closed. Joe, anything you would like to add?
25	you, too. This is just two sheets we adjusted.	25	MR. HENDERSON: I would just like to
	Page 12		Page 13
1	point out on your staff report I've referenced	1	gable is how we're going to connect that porch over
2	several Secretary of Interior standards. I've	2	to over to the frame. So we're going to
3	highlighted Section I and J related to the	3	overframe the new porch roof on top of the roof of
4	destroying of historic materials.	4	the existing structure.
5	Again, this is different from a	5	MR. HERLONG: Do you have any
6	conceptual presentation in that they're going to	6	photographs of the existing structure?
7	attach the addition to the back of that historic	7	MR. ADRIAN: Do we have any photos? I
8	structure. So I would request that you pay some attention to how that attachment is going to be	8	don't have any. I mean, I don't know if we can we can see it on the street view up here. It's an
9	0 0	9 10	elevated one-story. Gosh, I should have
11	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.	11	MR. WICHMANN: Joel, could you possibly
12	•	12	kind of give us a narrative on what the vision is
13		13	for this property? From where clearly, you've
14		14	been working on it for a while.
15	versus the existing?	15	MR. ADRIAN: Yes.
16	MR. ADRIAN: It's right here. This	16	MR. WICHMANN: It takes significant
17	5	17	structural improvements in that building to
18	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	18	preserve it and maintain it.
19		19	MR. ADRIAN: Correct. It's undergoing
20		20	right now.
21	can't hear. I can barely hear. I apologize.	21	MR. WICHMANN: It appears from my
22	, .	22 23	observation the property would be observed by and large in great and great things would be taken
	1 8		
	•		
23 24 25	from the elevation. This is the existing house	24 25 AS	at this point. And I'm just wondering if you could maybe give us a bit of a narrative to SOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Pages 10-

		November 16, 2016
Page 14		Page 15
1 MR. ADRIAN: Sure. And if I if I		it, there were existing ten-by-ten wood pylons
2 need any help I'll ask Bill to speak. But		basically that held it up and the termites had some
3 basically back in June the property was up for	3	fun with it and so those have been taken out and
4 sale. Tal purchased it. Before purchasing it he	4	replaced with new, ten-by-ten posts. Then there's
5 came to y'all to make sure we could renovate the	5	a slab that's underneath the house now. Other than
6 existing structure.	6	that all the work is interior. The exterior of it
7 The existing structure used to be	7	is remaining the same. And then we are going to
8 had a ground floor below base flood that was	8	we are designing an actual residence that's going
9 that had unusable heated potential footage. When	9	to connect to it.
10 you walk in that door probably 50 percent of the	10	Initially we thought, hey, it might be
11 subfloor was just missing and was dirt, but then	11	nice to have this potential rental and then the
12 there was a second floor which that structure is	12	house, but as they've talked about it they decided
13 about 907 square feet.	13	they don't want the rental part. So it's just
14 MR. HENDERSON: There we go.	14	going to be a property which is why it changed from
15 MR. ADRIAN: So our first request was	15	special exception to where it is.
16 to go ahead and get rid of the bottom and just have	16	MR. WICHMANN: This house is again just
17 a 907 square-foot existing structure.	17	like so it's a very important structural sort
18 MR. WICHMAN: That was the original	18	of a structure.
19 structure. That bottom is added, I think, at 40 or	19	THE CHAIRMAN: Essentially, Joe, this
20 50	20	was could have been two residents' house. Now,
21 MS. PERKIS: Close to	21	it's become one resident's house if this is
22 MR. ADRIAN: Right. So we were	22	approved?
23 approved to do that and we're going to put some	23	MR. HENDERSON: That's exactly right.
24 lattice, standard foundation lattice, around the	24	Yeah. So the intent was to remove some of the
25 sides. In order to do the work and the history on	25	additions and to remove the space underneath to get
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Page 16 1 it down to that 1,200 square feet and after we	1	Page 17
 it down to that 1,200 square feet and after we reviewed the desires of the property owners they 		one-and-a-half story structure. It's just that that master bedroom pushes roughly, I think, two
3 wanted the larger they wanted more square		feet two feet forward and that 50-foot side yard
4 footage for the new construction.		setback.
5 And so they because you can't	5	MR. HENDERSON: So on this side of the
6 request increases if you take advantage of the	6	property here. What did you say? A two-foot
7 special exception they now want to connect it and	7	encroachment?
	8	MR. ADRIAN: It is like two feet four
 8 have the a little bit more heated square footage. 9 MS. PERKIS: They can never rent that 	9	inches or something and it pushes in there. It's
10 little part in front?	10	just for that first 20 feet and then it steps back
	11	
11MR. ADRIAN: Correct.12MR. HENDERSON: If they that's	12	towards being in compliance and eventually gets there.
13 correct. Yeah. So it will have to be deed-	13	MR. WICHMANN: This will include the
14 restricted because this is now an attached addition		sheds in the back and
15 or a rather large attached addition.	14	MR. ADRIAN: All of that is yes.
	16	
	-	MR. WICHMANN: How is the main building
17 residence?18 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. Uh-huh.	17	or structures
5		THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I
19 But it will still maintain the kitchen up front and	19 20	can't hear you.
20 it will still have a kitchen in it. But because		MR. ADRIAN: The existing structure
21 it's an attached addition it will be deed-	21	the existing structure's integrity the same
22 restricted.	22	side. It's remaining the same. We're going to put
23 MR. ADRIAN: So I'll just point	23	a hand rail, a top railing and a bottom railing.
24 out that the side yard setback request is for	24	We're going to put two-by-two pickets
25 a one-story structure only. It's not for a	25	and the roof structure is all the same. Nothing is
A WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., 8	490	SOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Pages 14–17
	~~p	

			November 16, 2016
	Page 18		Page 19
	changed. That will be we are replacing the	1	MR. HERLONG: I have the green light.
2	whole metal	2	I think I'm beginning to understand the progression
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I'm	3	of the spaces. It's a little confusing the way
4	sorry. I hate to interrupt. Is there any way	4	it's been presented. I see the a portion of
5	y'all can bring the mics down a little lower more	5	the I guess consisting house and the the
6	toward you? When y'all are talking I can't pick up	6	floor plan and I do see that the one there's a
7	what he's saying. I'm sorry.	7	one-story portion of the addition closest to the
8	MR. WICHMANN: Did that answer your	8	guest house and then a two-story portion further
9	question?	9	back.
10	MR. WICHMANN: It did. I just think	10	MR. ADRIAN: One and a half.
11	it's a really great project and (inaudible)	11	MR. HERLONG: One and a half. One and
12	MR. ADRIAN: Fun little house.	12	a half stories. And then I see in the elevation
13	MR. HERLONG: It's taken me a little	13	unfortunately I think the most important thing
14	while can you hear me?	14	the Board would like to see is the the little
15	THE COURT REPORTER: I apologize. This	15	house existing in relation to the big house. Right
16	is new acoustics in here for everybody obviously,	16	now we're having to imagine what's not drawn.
17	but if there's any way y'all could just lower the	17	MR. ADRIAN: Yes.
18	mics a little bit. I hate to interrupt. I just	18	MR. HERLONG: And that's a little a
19	want to have a record for y'all and I couldn't hear	19	bit concerning to us as we kind of looked at it.
20	with everybody talking at once or not being close	20	MR. ADRIAN: Okay. From the from
21	to their mic. So thank you.	21	what I'll call the rear elevation, this one here,
22	MR. HERLONG: Okay. Can you hear me	22	you won't see that existing structure in front.
23	now?	23	MR. HERLONG: Right. This is
24	THE COURT REPORTER: Better. Thank	24	I'm seeing the addition has a faced Goldbug.
	you.	25	MR. ADRIAN: Correct.
		20	
			Page 21
1	MR. HERLONG: So would a lower one to	1	picture of that that elevation.
	one and a half story gable facade	2	MR. WICHMANN: But in that right side
3	MR. ADRIAN: Correct. So the only	3	elevation we can give you're showing this is the
4	thing we're missing on the side elevation is that as this shed roof comes back it turns into a little	4	back of
5		5	MR. ADRIAN: That's the existing,
6	higher-pitched gable for the last the front	6	correct.
7	piece. So it's	7	MR. WICHMANN: This is the very back
8	MR. HERLONG: And I think your rear	8	window of the house, of that existing structure is
9	elevation on this last sheet shows the new house	9	all you see. Okay. You can see the roof line
10	MR. ADRIAN: New. Thank you.	10	
11	MR. HERLONG: as though you cut the	11	MR. ADRIAN: And
12	old structure existing structure behind you.	12	MS. PERKIS: And you're also asking for
13	MR. ADRIAN: Right. I did that so I	13	an almost a 25 percent relief increase in the size
14	could show the people I'm going to be building what	14	of what you want?
15	the new house is going to be.	15	MR. ADRIAN: The total square footage.
16	MR. HERLONG: Again, what I what I	16	MS. PERKIS: Up to 5,000?
17	see is that when I look for the right side	17	MR. ADRIAN: Correct. The existing
18	elevation the I think that's the master suite	18	structure
19	that's the closest structure to the existing house?	19	MS. PERKIS: The
20	MR. ADRIAN: Correct.	20	•
21	MR. HERLONG: And then the other	21	MR. ADRIAN: If you'll pull up the
22	structure becomes two-story further away from the	22	
23	existing structure. It's just concerning that we	23	MR. HENDERSON: Yeah. I'm trying to
24	don't see the existing structure.	24	
25	MR. ADRIAN: There's a there's a	25	MR. HERLONG: I think
		**	
A	WR A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & schedule		
		ach	

			November 16, 2016
	Page 22		Page 23
1	MR. ADRIAN: If you'll look and I	1	We need more plans to see the whole project.
2	don't know if this will help.	2	MR. HERLONG: Again, keep in mind that
3	MR. HERLONG: The fact that they're	3	we see that structure and the community really
4	asking for such large increases on a on this	4	appreciates what that is. So right now I look at
5	property where there aren't as many large homes	5	these structures and they begin to work. But there
6	right now, I feel like in order to be fair we	6	is some massing studies that you probably could
7	should see more drawings that show more completely	7	improve on this some because the massing of this.
8	the existing cottage in relation to this addition.	8	I'm going to guess is much larger in
9	THE CHAIRMAN: I think	9	massing than what I see here, with the broken gable
10	MR. HERLONG: A lot going on here.	10	here. Maybe some of that detail might occur here
11	THE CHAIRMAN: The Jasper Jasper	11	to
12	side.	12	MR. ADRIAN: Okay.
13	MR. HERLONG: 1 think	13	MR. HERLONG: To kind of relate a
14	MR. ADRIAN: So	14	bit more to what I see there. But from just a
15	MR. HERLONG: I think Melissa was	15	general very general massing perspective I think
16	comfortable with	16	you're heading there. You're on the right track.
17	MR. ADRIAN: Would you like to see	17	THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. You know, the
18	Jasper elevation or you want to see the outline of	18	
19	the existing and what the massing looks behind it?	19	to have these small cottages is to keep the
20	I mean, I can certainly add there's a side	20	integrity of the small cottages and crowding this
21	elevation that's	21	cottage with this massive structure may and I'm
22	MR. WICHMANN: The whole project.	22	not saying once may may jeopardize an
23	THE CHAIRMAN: We're not seeing the	23	architectural simplicity and integrity of what
24	whole project. This is not the whole project.	24	
25	We're not seeing it with these, what you submitted.	25	protecting these homes and gets close because it
	Page 24		Page 25
1	looks to me like you could take this whole	1	I don't know, especially without complete
2	structure and move it back 20 or 30 feet towards	2	elevations.
3	Goldbug and still you know, you'd be that much	3	MR. ADRIAN: As I said, I don't know
4	farther away from the original structure.		that it's 100 percent different than the original
5	But you wouldn't be crowding the	5	because when we presented the original back in June
6	structure and essentially gobbling it up because	6	our request was to maintain this and build the
7	that structure is another iconic structure on	7	
8	Sullivan's Island. It is in its simplicity it's		the time back in June if you show that that site
9	beautiful. So	9	plan.
10	MS. PERKIS: I like I'm new to this.	10	The site plan has changed from June to
11	Are you suggesting that we have two different	11	now, but it was always that we would have something
12	houses and that they don't attach at all?	12	connected to. Now, if you're concerned about
13		13	crowding the existing structure we can certainly
14		14	look at the existing and sliding the house back.
15		15 16	And, you know THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is still
16	•	10	coming down to I would like the Jasper Street
1		17	elevation to see what it's looks like and it's
18	was when we passed when we let them, say, take the square footage underneath the house and	10	hard not hard to imagine with with what's
20		20	before us personally. And conceptually that's what
20	everybody was thinking, great, this cottage is	20	was passed back in June. Is that correct, Joe?
22	going to be preserved. I think that's what we're	22	MR. HENDERSON: That's correct.
23	trying to do, I think, granting that.	23	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Great. Okay.
23	Now, this is something different again	23	All right. I'll stand, like I said, by that
25	• •	25	because I'm sure I voted for it back then. So
A	$\ W\ _R$ A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., &		
	scheduled	dep	o.com

Page 26 Page 27 1 THE CHAIRMAN: So, I mean, I'd like to 2 2 the other elevations then. 2 3 MR. HERLONG: Well, again, I'll just 3 4 day ed with that? Ask the client to withdraw as 5 5 construction is not yet matching the scale of the mew 5 opposed to us voting it down or - 6 main hourse than it's MR. HENDERSON: It would just be a 7 7 theore had just said. But when you look at the 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 10 the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly 10 withdrawal. 11 MR. WICHMAN: Suspend the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 11 MR. WICHMAN: Suspend the 13 coles at hat is is overpowering the existing 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 15 relation and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 17 scale and the massing might need a little work. 19 MR. WICHMANN: The only last question I 14 the door and the decks - MR. MCHAINE	1			November 16, 2016
2 the other elevations then. 2 see another - 1 guess personally a different 3 MR. HERLONG: Well, again, I'll just 3 submittal or at least a little more detail. What 4 do weld with that? Ask the client to withdraw as 5 opsored to us voting it down or - 6 main house. Innow that is - MR. HENDERSON: It would just be a 7 7 there's so many square feet, but I think you need 6 MR. HENDERSON: It would just be a 7 the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly 1 MR. WICHMAN: Suppend the 12 that there's any action required to request the 0 withdrawai. 13 close at that is is overpowering the existing 1 MR. WICHMAN: Suppend the 14 istoric structure which, you know, we're trying to 16 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 13 scale and the massing migh need a little work. 16 MR. HENDORS: A different one had the 17 opsore another. 2 Kichen? 2 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either? 2 You're going to - what mode are you going to o 21				
3 MR. HERLONG: Well, again, I'l just 3 submittal or at least a little more detail. What 4 say that I do feel like the scale of the new 4 do we do with that? Ask the client to withdraw as 5 construction is not yet matching the scale of the new 5 opposed to us voting it down or 6 main house. I know that's difficult to do because 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 7 therd's so many square feet, but I think you need 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need submittal. 11 that Stave had just said. But when you look at the 1 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 1 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 13 biotic structure which, you know, we're trying to 1 MR. HENDERSON: A different one had the 14 biotic structure which, you know, we are as as 1 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our histon 16 MR. HENDERSON: Would make a motion 12 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as fara as 10 Wint Yew A				
4 asy that 1 do feel like the scale of the new 4 do we do with that? Ask the client to withdraw as 5 construction is not yet matching the scale of the new 5 opposed to us voting it down or - 6 main house. I know that's difficult to do because 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 7 there's so many square feet, but 1 think you need 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 to do that more than it's - 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Nokay. So I don't think 9 MS. BOHAN: If I could add one item, 9 that there's any action required to request the 10 the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly 10 withdrawal. 11 MR. WICHMANN: Suspend the - 12 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 13 close at that is overpowering the existing 13 item. 14 battoric structure which, you know, we're trying to 16 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 17 So I feel like the connection and the 16 MR. WICHMANN: The only last question I 18 scale and the massing might need a litte work. 19 had, it is just adjoining structure stogether. 20 MR. ADRIAN: Okay.				- · ·
5 construction is not yet matching the scale of the main house. I know that's difficult to do because there's so many square feet, but I think you need 8 to do that more than it's - 5 opposed to us voting it down or MR. HENDERSON: It would just be a 7 8 to do that more than it's - Uthere's so many square feet, but I think you need 8 6 MR. HENDERSON: So I don't think 9 9 MS. BOHAN: If I could add one item, 10 10 10 there's any action required to request the 10 10 that store had just said. But when you look at the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 13 11 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the - 13 11 historic structure wink, you know, we're triving to property guidelines. 13 time. 12 IAR MANN: Na, DRIAN: You could continue the 13 15 motion for that? 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Dow as far as 20 16 MR. WICHMANN: The only last question 1 13 they re - as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 21 14 MR ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 22 24 they re requesting a modifications to these 3 1 proreat setback relief on the side setback. 2 25 MR. ADRIAN: Neavo. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Second. 3 1 1 procent setback relief on the side setback. 2 moust materina, a resubmittal. 3		÷ ,	-	
6 main house. I know that's difficult to do because 7 there's so many square feet, but I think you need 8 to do that more than it's 9 6 MR. HENDERSON: It would just be a 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 7 MR. SDHAN: If I could add one item, 9 MR. HENDERSON: The vold would just be a 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 8 MR. HENDERSON: The vold would just be a 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 9 MR. HENDERSON: The vold would just be a 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 9 MR. HENDERSON: The vold ot property guidelines. 9 11 MR. HENDERSON: The vold would continue the 13 tem; 14 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 15 16 property guidelines. 9 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 10 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 20 21 they en a Pats asit, hey're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 2 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Page 29 3 MR. ADRIAN: New; Second. 4 today. 4 today. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 3 5 MR. ADRIAN: New; Second. 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 7 6		•		
7 there's so many square feet, but I think you need 7 request for additional material, a resubmittal. 8 to do that more than its 0 THE CHAIRMAN: Ckay. So I don't think 9 MS. BOHAN: IT I could add one item, 0 10 that there's sany action required to request the 10 that the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly 10 withdrawal. 11 11 what Steve had just said. But when you look at the 11 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 13 item. 11 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 13 item. 11 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 mR. HERLONG: A different one had the 16 romorents and 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 18 scale and the decks 19 had, it is just adjoining structure stogether. 10 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 12 Kthen? 12 21 the door and the decks 20 10 10 10 10			-	
8 to do that more than it's	-		-	•
 MS. BOHAN: If I could add one item, the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly what Steve had just said. But when you look at the science as atta is is overpowering the existing thistoric structure which, you know, we're trying to is property guidelines. MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the massing might need a little work. MR. ADRIAN: Cokay. Now, as far as cond the decks the door and the door the door and the deck the door and the deck the door and the door the door door door door the door door door door door door door doo				•
 10 the thing that kind of jumped at me was exactly 11 what Steve had just said. But when you look at the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 13 close as that is is overpowering the existing 14 historic structure which, you know, we're trying to 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 property guidelines. 17 So I feel like the connection and the 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 10 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 21 the door and the decks - 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 theyro - as Pat said, theyre kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 14 that we request the applicant come back with some 24 today. 25 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 3 MR. ADRIAN: Discussion? Everybody 7 in favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Discussion? Everybody 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Al right. 2867 18 onthistoric property design review. 17 The ZHAIRMAN: Al right. 2867 18 onthistoric property design review. 16 for a new single family home. 17 They're requesting soveral increases to 18 the zoning stand rads and the first - the first 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increases in principal building side facade modification for an 20 percent. 19 bulking side facade modification for that as the and and 1 can show you the 19 the DRB can grant 100 percent for that 21 building side facade modification for that as the moly of the massing and the first - the first 19 the DRB can grant 100 percent for that 21 building side facade modification for that as the and tor bay that into a stow a	-		-	
11 what Steve had just said. But when you look at the 11 MR. WICHMAN: Suspend the 12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 12 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 13 istoric structure which, you know, we're trying to 13 im. 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 16 property guidelines. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 17 So I feel like the connection and the 15 motion for that? 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 19 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 21 the door and the decks 21 Wichwar are you going to go 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 3 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 2 that we request the applicant core back with Some 3 myself. 2 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 1 Prege 29 3 MR. MENDERSON: Thank you. 20 <t< td=""><th></th><td></td><th></th><td></td></t<>				
12 right side elevation, even the window scale as 12 MR. HENDERSON: You could continue the 13 close as that is is overpowering the existing 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 14 historic structure which, you know, we're trying to 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 16 property guidelines. 17 So I feel like the connection and the 17 So I feel like the connection and the 18 MR. HENLORG: A different one had the 18 MR. MICHMANN: The only last question I 19 had, it is just adjoining structures together. 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 19 had, it is just adjoining structure now? The 21 the dor and the decks 21 Iter one point one another. 24 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 24 right on top of one another. 24 laundry room in the back. That's the main source. 2 MR. MICHMANN: Second. 1 precent setback relief on the side setbacks. 3 myself. 4 MR. HENDERSON: This is			-	
13 close as that is is overpowering the existing 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 property guidelines. 17 So I feel like the connection and the 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 21 the door and the decks 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 that we request the applicant come back with some 25 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 26 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 7 In favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Mi right. 2867 12 Brownell. 13 mem sing family home. 17 They're requesting several increases to trist 18 </td <th></th> <td></td> <th></th> <td>•</td>				•
14 historic structure which, you know, we're trying to 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 16 property guidelines. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Do we need a 16 motion for that? 16 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 17 So I feel like the connection and the 17 comments and 18 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 19 had, it is just adjoining structures together. 20 Windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 19 had, it is just adjoining structure now? The 21 the door and the decks 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 21 the doron, if you have egress either 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 24 right on top of one another. 22 kither? 23 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 14 Intervesting structure now? The 24 24 trady in the doal diffications to these 3 mself. 14 Intervesting structure now? 2 MR. HCNICHMANN: Second. MR. HENDERSON: This is ag		-		
15 retain and preserve under Item B of our historic 16 property guidelines. 17 So I feel like the connection and the 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 21 the door and the decks 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 they're - as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Second. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Second. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 12 Storey're requesting to request to a policant core property design review. 16 for a new single family home. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 18 MR. HENDERSON: This is agendai time 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 15 for a new single family h				
16 property guidelines. 16 MR. HERLONG: A different one had the 17 So I feel like the connection and the fcomments and 18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 18 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 19 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 20 21 the door and the decks 20 22 MS. BOHAN: 1 understand. But 22 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 23 24 right on top of one another. 25 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 26 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 26 27 that we request the applicant come back with some 2 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 3 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 1 4 today. 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 MR. MCHMANN: Second. 1 would take notico of the side 3 MR.ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 MR.ADRIAN: AN you. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 10 s				-
17 So I feel like the connection and the 18 So I feel like the connection and the 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 21 the door and the decks - 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 that we request the applicant come back with some 2 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 4 today. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybodi 7 mayself. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 12 Brownell. 13 MR. HEDERSON: This is agenda item 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 17 The zoning standards and the first the first 18 mR. HENDERSON: This is agendai item 14			-	
18 scale and the massing might need a little work. 18 MR. WICHMANN: The only last question I 19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 19 had, it is just adjoining structures together. 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 21 the door and the decks 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 23 through in the existing structure now? The 22 24 through in the existing structure now? The 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 26 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 27 that we request the applicants come back with some 24 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 1 4 today. 2 MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse 3 max MR. HENDERSON: Second. 6 1 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 1 percent, that is the stontice of the side 7 max MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 1 secthacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 18 east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing				
19 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. Now, as far as 19 had, it is just adjoining structures together. 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 21 the door and the decks 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 21 the door and the decks 20 You're going to what mode are you going to go 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 21 kitchen? 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 MR. HERLONG: I would make a motion 2 that we request the applicant come back with some 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 1 preserved. 3 5 MR. MDRIAN: Thank you. 1 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 1 percent, that is the shorter setback. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 1 uould take notice of the side 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 14 so they're requesting 15 feet on the 1				
 20 windows in that bedroom, if you have egress either 1the door and the decks 21 the door and the decks 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 26 Page 28 27 that we request the applicant come back with some 28 additional drawings and modifications to these 29 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 4 today. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 7 in favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 12 Sorther's requesting several increases to 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 15 The applicants are requesting several increases to 18 the zoning standards and the first the first 19 request is an increase in principal 11 the contrage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 12 principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 Sorthe west and rease the stand the first the first 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 19 Huey, architect for the project. There is one 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 Standard. Additionally, hey're requesting 100 				· · ·
21 the door and the decks 21 through in the existing structure now? The 22 MS. BOHAN: I understand. But 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 2 kitchen? 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 2 kitchen? 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 2 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 laundry room in the back. That's the main source. 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 3 myself. 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 4 today. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 1 myself. 4 today. 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 6 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 setbacks and the eastern side and I can show you the 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 14 is on that eastem side and I can show you the 1		•		
 MS. BOHAN: 1 understand. But they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping tinght on top of one another. MR. ADRIAN: Okay. MR. ADRIAN: Okay. MR. ADRIAN: Okay. that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. The zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building siquare footage of 23.3 percent, and 20 percent. 20 percent. The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 23 percent 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 creen. 		· •		
 23 they're as Pat said, they're kind of jumping 24 right on top of one another. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 23 MR. ADRIAN: Yes. There's an old 24 laundry room in the back. That's the main source. 25 MR. HERLONG: I would make a motion Page 28 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 4 today. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 7 in favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 12 Brownell. 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 15 The applicants are requesting conceptual approval 16 for a new single family home. 17 They're requesting several increases to 18 the zoning standards and the first the first 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building siduare footage of 23.3 percent, and 21 building side facade modification of 22 So they can be able to put that up on the 23 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 23 MR. ADRIAN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 18 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 19 Augusta footage of 23.3 percent, and 20 porcent. 20 percent. 21 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 23 MR. ADRIAN: we sent that into 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 26 creen. 				• •
24 right on top of one another. 24 laundry room in the back. That's the main source. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 24 laundry room in the back. That's the main source. 25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 MR. HERLONG: I would make a motion 1 that we request the applicant come back with some 2 MR. HERLONG: I would make a motion 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 3 MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse 3 drawings that reflect the discussion? Everybody 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 6 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 6 out fat we have the standards for neighborhood 7 in favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 second-story side setback is requested of the 100 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 1 percent, that is the shorter setback. 12 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 14 is on that eastern side and I can show you the 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 15 belevations here. 16 16 for a new single family home. The yre requesting several increases to 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill </td <th></th> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td>				
25 MR. ADRIAN: Okay. 25 MR. HERLONG: I would make a motion 1 that we request the applicant come back with some 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 3 myself. 4 today. 4 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 in favor? 8 I would take notice of the side 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 1 percent, that is the shorter setback. 12 Brownell. 12 So they're requesting 15 feet on the 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 13 east, 250 nthe west. The majority of the massing 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 The applicants are requesting soveral increase				
Page 28 Page 28 1 that we request the applicant come back with some 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 additional drawings and modifications to these 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 4 today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. 3 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 5 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 6 7 in favor? 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 10 second-story side setback is requested of the 100 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 11 percent, that is the shorter setback. 12 Brownell. 12 So they're requesting 15 feet on the 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 14 is on that eastern side and I can show you the 15 The applicants are requesting conceptual approval 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 They're requesting several increases to 17 sir. 18 MR. HUE				•
1 that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these additional drawings and modifications to these additional drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had a today. 1 percent setback relief on the side setbacks. 2 additional drawings and modifications to these additional drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had a today. 3 MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse any myself. 4 today. 4 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 5 you a form for that. I would just draw point 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 in favor? 6 Ut hat we have the standards for neighborhood 7 compatibility in front of you, Board members. 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10 second-story side setback is requested of the 100 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 11 percent, that is the shorter setback. 12 So they're requesting 15 feet on the 10 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 13 east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing 14 is on that eastern side and I can show you the 15 14 the zoning standards and the first the first 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 19 17 They're requesting solar are tootage of 23.3 percent, and 20 percent. 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill				
2additional drawings and modifications to these 3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 4 today.2MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse 3 myself.34 today.4MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 5 you a form for that. I would just draw point 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 in favor?4MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 5 you a form for that. I would just draw point 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 compatibility in front of you, Board members.8(Board members stated aye.) 98I would take notice of the side 9 setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE 11102867 BROWNELL AVENUE 1210second-story side setback is requested of the 100 1111THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 1211percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing i is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here.16for a new single family home. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home.18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 1919request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building side facade modification of 20 percent.18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 1921We had a follow-up conversation with 2121We had a follow-up conversation with 2221We had a follow-up conversation with 232122The DRB can grant 100 percent		Dage 28		Baga 20
3 drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had 3 myself. 4 today. 4 MR. WICHMANN: Second. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Discussion? Everybody 4 MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 5 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 in favor? 6 out that we have the standards for neighborhood 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 I would take notice of the side 9 MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 9 sectod-story side setback is requested of the 100 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 11 percent, that is the shorter setback. 12 Brownell. 12 So they're requesting 15 feet on the 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 13 is on that eastern side and I can show you the 15 for a new single family home. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 They're requesting several increases to 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 16 for a new single family home. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 They're requesting several increases to 17 <th>1</th> <td></td> <th>1</th> <td>Paye 29</td>	1		1	Paye 29
4today.4MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get5MR. WICHMANN: Second.5you a form for that. I would just draw point6THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody6out that we have the standards for neighborhood7in favor?6I would take notice of the side9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.14is on that eastern side and I can show you the15for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to17sir.18the zoning standards and the first the first18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19request is an increase in principal19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an21We had a follow-up conversation with21building side facade modification of22staff about some of the setback issues. So we2320 percent.24Joe and he might be able to put that up on the24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that25screen.<		that we request the applicant come back with some		percent setback relief on the side setbacks.
5MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody5you a form for that. I would just draw point6THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody6out that we have the standards for neighborhood7in favor?7compatibility in front of you, Board members.8(Board members stated aye.)8I would take notice of the side9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.14is on that eastern side and I can show you the15the zoning standards and the first the first16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to17sir.18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building side facade modification of22staff about some of the setback issues. So we2320 percent.23slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that25screen. <tr< td=""><th>2</th><td>that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these</td><th>2</th><td>percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse</td></tr<>	2	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these	2	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse
6THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 7 in favor?6out that we have the standards for neighborhood 7 compatibility in front of you, Board members.8(Board members stated aye.)9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 10102867 BROWNELL AVENUE9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the 101011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the 1313MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item 1413east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing 1414E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.15for a new single family home.15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval 1616THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 1717They're requesting several increases to 1818MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 1919request is an increase in principal building 20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 2021building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 2320 percent.24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 242424The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 252425screen.	2 3	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had	2 3	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself.
7in favor?7compatibility in front of you, Board members.8(Board members stated aye.)9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.8I would take notice of the side9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.14is on that eastern side and I can show you the15for a new single family home.15elevations here.16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19request is an increase in principal building19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10024Joe and he might be able to put that up on the24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that25screen.25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10025screen. </td <th>2 3 4</th> <td>that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today.</td> <th>2 3 4</th> <td>percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get</td>	2 3 4	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today.	2 3 4	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get
8(Board members stated aye.)8I would take notice of the side9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.14is on that eastern side and I can show you the15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval15elevations here.16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to17sir.18the zoning standards and the first the first18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19request is an increase in principal building19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10024Joe and he might be able to put that up on the25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10025screen.	2 3 4 5	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second.	2 3 4 5	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point
9MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.9setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.14is on that eastern side and I can show you the15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval15elevations here.16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to17sir.18the zoning standards and the first the first18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19request is an increase in principal19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22staff about some of the setback issues. So we2320 percent.24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that24Joe and he might be able to put that up on the25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10025screen.	2 3 4 5 6	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody	2 3 4 5 6	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood
102867 BROWNELL AVENUE10second-story side setback is requested of the 10011THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286710second-story side setback is requested of the 10012Brownell.11percent, that is the shorter setback.13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item12So they're requesting 15 feet on the14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.13is on that eastern side and I can show you the15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to18the zoning standards and the first the first19request is an increase in principal building19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22staff about some of the setback issues. So we232320 percent.24Joe and he might be able to put that up on the24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that2425starf about some of the setback issues.2426screen.24	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members.
11THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 286711percent, that is the shorter setback.12Brownell.11percent, that is the shorter setback.13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval16on that eastern side and I can show you the16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to18the zoning standards and the first the first19request is an increase in principal building19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal19Huey, architect for the project. There is one21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22staff about some of the setback issues. So we23slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that24Joe and he might be able to put that up on the25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10025screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side
12Brownell.12So they're requesting 15 feet on the13MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item13east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing14E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.13is on that eastern side and I can show you the15The applicants are requesting conceptual approval14is on that eastern side and I can show you the16for a new single family home.15elevations here.17They're requesting several increases to16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,18the zoning standards and the first the first18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill19request is an increase in principal building19Huey, architect for the project. There is one20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal20thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.21building side facade modification of22staff about some of the setback issues. So we2320 percent.23standard. Additionally, they're requesting 1002424The DRB can grant 100 percent for that25screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the
 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and principal building side facade modification of 20 percent. The DRB can grant 100 percent for that <l< td=""><th>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</th><td>that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE</td><th>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10</th><td>percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100</td></l<>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100
 14 E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. 15 The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. 16 for a new single family home. 17 They're requesting several increases to 18 the zoning standards and the first the first 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 14 is on that eastern side and I can show you the 15 elevations here. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 sir. 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 19 Huey, architect for the project. There is one 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 screen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback.
 15 The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. 17 They're requesting several increases to 18 the zoning standards and the first the first 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 21 building side facade modification of 22 opercent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 15 elevations here. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 sir. 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 19 Huey, architect for the project. There is one 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 Joe and he might be able to put that up on the 25 screen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the
16for a new single family home.16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,17They're requesting several increases to16THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,18the zoning standards and the first the first17sir.19request is an increase in principal building18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill20coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal19Huey, architect for the project. There is one21building square footage of 23.3 percent, and21We had a follow-up conversation with22principal building side facade modification of2320 percent.24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10024	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing
17They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent.17sir.18MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 2121building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent.21We had a follow-up conversation with staff about some of the setback issues. So we slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 2424The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10024	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the
 18 the zoning standards and the first the first 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 18 MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill 19 Huey, architect for the project. There is one 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 Joe and he might be able to put that up on the 25 screen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here.
 19 request is an increase in principal building 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 19 Huey, architect for the project. There is one 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 Additionally, they're requesting 100 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes,
 20 coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 20 thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir.
 21 building square footage of 23.3 percent, and 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 21 We had a follow-up conversation with 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 24 Dre DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill
 22 principal building side facade modification of 23 20 percent. 24 The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 100 22 staff about some of the setback issues. So we 23 slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into 24 Joe and he might be able to put that up on the 25 screen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one
2320 percent.23slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that24Joe and he might be able to put that up on the25standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10025screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well.
24The DRB can grant 100 percent for that 25 standard. Additionally, they're requesting 10024Joe and he might be able to put that up on the 25 screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. We had a follow-up conversation with
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and principal building side facade modification of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. We had a follow-up conversation with staff about some of the setback issues. So we
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and principal building side facade modification of 20 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. We had a follow-up conversation with staff about some of the setback issues. So we slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that we request the applicant come back with some additional drawings and modifications to these drawings that reflect the discussion that we've had today. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody in favor? (Board members stated aye.) MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. 2867 BROWNELL AVENUE THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2867 Brownell. MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1. It is a nonhistoric property design review. The applicants are requesting conceptual approval for a new single family home. They're requesting several increases to the zoning standards and the first the first request is an increase in principal building coverage of 18.7 percent, an increase in principal building square footage of 23.3 percent, and principal building side facade modification of 20 percent. The DRB can grant 100 percent for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	percent setback relief on the side setbacks. MS. PERKIS: I'm going to recuse myself. MR. HENDERSON: Ms. Linda, let me get you a form for that. I would just draw point out that we have the standards for neighborhood compatibility in front of you, Board members. I would take notice of the side setbacks and the eastern-most elevations where the second-story side setback is requested of the 100 percent, that is the shorter setback. So they're requesting 15 feet on the east, 25 on the west. The majority of the massing is on that eastern side and I can show you the elevations here. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. Yes, sir. MR. HUEY: Good evening. I'm Bill Huey, architect for the project. There is one thing I'm hoping Joe can bring up as well. We had a follow-up conversation with staff about some of the setback issues. So we slightly revised our site plan. We sent that into Joe and he might be able to put that up on the

	Ū		November 16, 2016
	Page 30		Page 31
1	It had to do primarily with discussions	1	inches off the side property line. In essence, the
2	about that 15-foot side. The way that we have set	2	discussion would be if we had designed a house on
3	up the program in the house is we would like to use	3	the 15-foot setback line we would put in the floor
4	the 25-foot setback side for obviously our	4	and if we jump in two feet that second floor wall
5	driveway, backing up and everything like that. The	5	would still be two feet closer than that side
6	general orientation of the house, too, the front of	6	property line than we're proposing with this
7	the house basically faces the north, the rear of	7	straight wall configuration.
8	the house south.	8	So we're hoping that this would provide
9	My clients do desire to have a pool.	9	as much of a narrow relief to that side as much as
10	So the orientation of the building itself	10	what we could do according to the ordinance.
11	facilitates that the pool be in the corner as shown	11	There are a couple of other points that
12	for obviously sun and use of the pool. We also	12	we did on the revised site plan. I'm sorry, Joe.
13	would like to have a little bit of the yard. They	13	The front terraced lawn area, lawn panel, my
14	have young children and they can utilize the yard	14	clients had been inspired by a home that's been
15	for playing and things like that.	15	actually an older home that was added onto on
16	This site plan, though, it's modified	16	Osceola and there is that had a raised lawn
17	to some degree before we had the home situated a	17	panel in the front. It kind of gives a nesting
18	little bit more central between the setbacks. What	18	appearance to the house.
19	we'd like to do is actually push it further over	19	However, in our conversations I'm now
20	onto the 25-foot setback side making it a larger	20	understanding that qualifies more as a patio or a
21	setback on the sort of straight wall side that Joe	21	structure of sorts that really needed to be inside
22	referred to.	22	the front setback line. So we're now proposing on
23	We're now proposing that that side of	23	this revised plan to push that back into the front
24	the building be approximately 18 and a half, or I	24	setback area.
25	believe 18.9, somewhere in there, 18 feet nine	25	MR. HENDERSON: Just to orient the
	Page 32		Page 33
1	Board members, this is the element here. It's kind	1	is build the front of our porch where the front
2	of a front elevated terrace and then this is the	2	wall of that previous house previous now as of a
3	modification here.	3	few weeks was at that line. So we feel
4	MR. HUEY: So it is a good bit reduced	4	contextually we're not really doing anything much
5	back. Before the stair group come down on to the	5	different than historically what's been there now
6	panel. Now we're going to be in front of the	6	since 1973.
7	panel.	7	As far as the front wall or front
8	The basic architectural inspiration my	8	structure placement, again, we're using our front
9	client had, a lot of it was derived from that	9	porch to that line we're proposing. I think the
10		10	other sort of general point that we'd like to make
11	home that was added onto and so the appearance of	11	contextually is I believe the point came up earlier
12	, , ,	12	in a discussion on another application here about
13		13	the structures around this house.
14	,	14	There are actually some pretty large
15	•	15	homes situated around this house. So contextually
16		16	we're not building a giant amongst small homes. It
17		17	is contextually of a correct size for the
18		18	neighborhood.
19	who is here tonight, Dr. Tavel, he has owned this	19	THE CHAIRMAN: All right.
20	property since 2000, for 17 years. Sorry. 16	20	MR. HUEY: Thank you.
21	17 years.	21	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Is there any
22	C ,	22	public comment to this application?
23		23	MS. PERKIS: I'm Linda Perkis. Can you
24	5 1	24	hear me if I speak up? I'm very familiar with this
25	take that house down but what we're proposing to do	25	house. I live at 287 Brownell. I live right next
A	. W R A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & schedule		
		ueh	Viuviii

			November 16, 2016
	Page 34		Page 35
1	door. I want to be a good neighbor and I'm	1	approximately where the other house started. The
2	thrilled that a family will live next door, if only	2	house will started 25 feet from the street. Just
3	for the summer months.	3	to give you an indication, my house up there, that
4	I am not opposed to the development,	4	beautiful little thing, starts approximately 50
5	but I do feel the house is too large for the lot.	5	feet from the street.
6	It is also out of character for the street and will	6	The house on the other side starts
7	negatively impact my house.	7	55 feet from the street and the house on the other
8	This lot is approximately 15,000 square	8	side of that is 60 feet from the street. Most
9	feet. This is a small, internal lot. Brownell is	9	houses are built kind of in a straight line, give
10	a small street. Our lots are not street-to-street.	10	or take. So the streetscape will be affected.
11	They're not ocean-to-street. They're not marsh-to	11	The house will be forward of the
12	-street.	12	adjacent houses and affect of the character of the
13	We back up to other homes. This lot is	13	neighborhood.
14	in the middle of the street, in the middle of the	14	Now, by requesting relief from the
15	block. Our lots are small and therefore our houses	15	second-story side setback there will be an imposing
16	are small.	16	mass on the left side of the home. That is my
17	My house is 2,600 square feet. I live	17	side. I will now have an imposing two-story,
18	right next door. The house right on the other side	18	31-foot high wall of house, 15 feet well, now 18
19	of this house is 2,300 square feet. Directly	19	and a half feet from my property line complete with
20	behind this house on Marshall the house is 2,700	20	three air conditioning units.
21	square feet. They want to build a 4,200-square-	21	This will result in shadows, lack of
22	foot house on this lot.	22	freezes, and a reduced property value. My quality
23	In order to fit the house and the pool	23	of life will be altered. Just because a
24	on the lot they are asking for four modifications.	24	modification in this case four modifications as
25	The house will start I heard the architect say	25	requested does not need it to be granted.
1	Page 36	1	Page 37
1	If you want to build a house this	1	you like me to. May I comment?
2	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four	2	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll
23	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the	2 3	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up.
2 3 4	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would	2 3 4	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the
2 3 4 5	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the	2 3 4 5	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go.
2 3 4 5 6	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank	2 3 4 5 6	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration.	2 3 4 5 6 7	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HUEY: Thirty-two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis mentioned. The setback of the adjacent houses, her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions. We're not interested in that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis mentioned. The setback of the adjacent houses, her house, is about 55 and this one is about 60. I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions. We're not interested in that. We want a comfortable house to raise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis mentioned. The setback of the adjacent houses, her house, is about 55 and this one is about 60. I'll just point that out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions. We're not interested in that. We want a comfortable house to raise our children. The square foot number is absolute.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis mentioned. The setback of the adjacent houses, her house, is about 55 and this one is about 60. I'll just point that out. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions. We're not interested in that. We want a comfortable house to raise our children. The square foot number is absolute. I think it is probably .04 acre, just under half an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If you want to build a house this big of a house with a pool and you need four modifications to accomplish this then either the house is too big or the lot is too small. I would like the Board to ask the architect to redo the plans and build within the Town guidelines. Thank you for your consideration. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am. Any other public comment to this application? (No response.) THE CHAIRMAN: The public comment section then is closed. Joe, do you have anything to add? MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out that on the screen you have the setback configuration the existing house is here in the center. That's approximately 31 or 32 feet from the property line and MR. HENDERSON: 32 feet as Ms. Perkis mentioned. The setback of the adjacent houses, her house, is about 55 and this one is about 60. I'll just point that out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you like me to. May I comment? THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. Certainly. We'll open it back up. MR. WICHMANN: You're a member of the public. You can go. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. DR. TAVEL: I bought the I bought the house in 1999 with the intention of building and I believe that house was built by a urologist in 1974 and was probably the first house on the block. My wife and I had we had looked forward to bring our kids over the house. We don't want to built a mega house. I grew up in Mount Pleasant. I've been here for 50 years. I appreciate the character of Sullivan's Island. In fact, the house built first was about four doors down. It was an old house. They added onto the back of it. We really are enamored with that. Many people moved to Sullivan's Island and built mega mansions. We're not interested in that. We want a comfortable house to raise our children. The square foot number is absolute.

			November 16, 2016
	Page 38		Page 39
1	are not. I feel like I know Sullivan's Island	1	what precipitated that change?
2	better than most, having grown up here as a child.	2	MR. HUEY: Actually we had actually
3	I think it is a .04 acre lot, 4,000	3	after we made our submittal we actually had a
4	certainly whatever we need to do. I really don't	4	follow-up conversation with the staff just to make
5	think a cottage would accommodate that lot for what	5	sure we had everything in order and that was a
6	a family needs to do to raise a family. I	6	point that that he raised was, hey, you know, is
7	certainly want to work with the Town, but I don't	7	there room it looks like there's some room over
8	want to ask for anything unreasonable.	8	here.
9	I appreciate the character of	9	I said as a matter of fact, yes, we'll
10) Sullivan's Island probably more than most of you	10	slide it over.
11	do, having grown up here. I'll let you work out	11	MR. WICHMANN: So you're keeping it
12	2 the details.	12	to
13	3 We have taken great lengths to make	13	MR. HUEY: Correct, sliding it over to
14	sure it fits the character of Sullivan's Island and	14	that one side. Yes, sir.
15	5 the spirit of what we have over here and I want to	15	MR. WICHMANN: That side of the house
16	6 make sure we work it out. I'll be happy to answer	16	that we see that's the eastern exposure of the
17	questions.	17	house pull that up. What is the other? It's
18	MR. WICHMANN: First I want to be	18	that.
19	elear. We're doing the the side yard setback	19	MR. HENDERSON: Would you like to see
20) we've adjusted from 15 to 19 feet?	20	the elevations?
21		21	MR. WICHMANN: Yes.
22	2 MR. WICHMANN: 18.9?	22	MR. HENDERSON: Okay. This is the
23	3 MR. HUEY: Yes, sir.	23	eastern elevation here.
24	MR. WICHMANN: So it's what just	24	MR. WICHMANN: So we've got it's a
25	5 if you could help us understand what you know,	25	number of it's not a blank wall and your air
	Dare 40		Dave 44
1	Page 40 conditioning units are going to go to the far left	1	Page 41 MR. HUEY: Yes, sir.
2		2	MR. WICHMANN: So it is about the same
3	windows.	3	setback as what you're proposing or actually you're
4	MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. And that wall is	4	proposing a bigger setback?
5	actually pushed back	5	MR. HUEY: A larger setback, yes, sir.
6		6	MR. WICHMANN: That's my only question.
7		7	THE CHAIRMAN: Would it be possible to
8	MR. WICHMAN: Right. Do we have a	8	flip this house?
9	feeling that the setback is appropriate or not if	9	MR. HUEY: We looked at that. The
10		10	issue there is the reorientation of the sun and the
11		11	usability of the pool.
12	2 THE CHAIRMAN: Not necessarily.	12	THE CHAIRMAN: I see.
13			
	-	13	MR. HUEY: The other thing that this
14	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it	13 14	MR. HUEY: The other thing that this protection does is it would give some degree of
14	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining		protection does is it would give some degree of
	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not	14	-
15	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between	14 15	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well.
15 16	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses.	14 15 16	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool
15 16 17	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct.	14 15 16 17	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is
15 16 17 18	 MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I 	14 15 16 17 18	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now?
15 16 17 18 19	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's	14 15 16 17 18 19	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir.
15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's about 10 feet off of the property line here. It 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: It is a good-looking
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's about 10 feet off of the property line here. It may be a little more. It may be 10 to 12 feet 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: It is a good-looking house for what it is. But, like you say, the
15 16 17 18 20 21 22	 MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's about 10 feet off of the property line here. It may be a little more. It may be 10 to 12 feet from my estimation. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: It is a good-looking house for what it is. But, like you say, the houses some of the houses I guess the houses
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	 MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's about 10 feet off of the property line here. It may be a little more. It may be 10 to 12 feet from my estimation. MR. WICHMANN: So somewhere between 10 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: It is a good-looking house for what it is. But, like you say, the houses some of the houses I guess the houses adjoining it on both sides are smaller.
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WICHMANN: In reviewing it today it appeared to me the side setback on the adjoining property was a similar distance, maybe more but not a lot more. I'm trying to judge the space between the two houses. MR. HUEY: Correct. MR. HENDERSON: So I believe I believe the house to the east is about it's about 10 feet off of the property line here. It may be a little more. It may be 10 to 12 feet from my estimation. MR. WICHMANN: So somewhere between 10 and 15 feet?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	protection does is it would give some degree of privacy for the neighborhood at least from the pool noise and the kids' activities as well. THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. It is 4,200 square feet now? MR. HUEY: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: It is a good-looking house for what it is. But, like you say, the houses some of the houses I guess the houses adjoining it on both sides are smaller. MR. WICHMANN: Did you review the house

A W R A

			November 16, 2016
	Page 42		
1	DR. TAVEL: There is a new construction	1	MR. WICHMANN: Okay. We've got a feel
2	with the lot across the street. The house is		for it.
3	significantly bigger. If I were to guess, I'd say in the order of 5,000 square feet.	3 4	THE CHAIRMAN: And I guess you could move the heating and air stand to the other side or
5	•	4	at least that accommodation.
	The house immediately left of that	5 6	MR. WICHMANN: You mean the side or the
6	house is also fairly it's not either a tremendous house. So if you look at the immediate	0 7	
8	neighbors on either side I hear you, but if you	8	THE CHAIRMAN: Well, that might be too
9	look across the street they're on the order of	9	far for it to operate efficiently on the other
10	probably 4,000, 5,000 plus. The specifics	10	
11	MR. HENDERSON: The	11	MR. WICHMANN: Okay.
12	MS. BOHAN: Joe	12	•
13	MR. HENDERSON: That's right. It's a	13	
14	much larger lot as well. So they were naturally	14	
15	allowed to have much more coverage in square	15	•
16	footage because of the size of the lot. I could	16	
17	probably pull those plans up if you'd like to see	17	
18	the request.	18	
19	If you would like to see the request,	19	
20	they did request a modification increase for square	20	-
21	footages if I remember correctly.	21	about that size.
22	MR. ROBINSON: I don't know if they	22	
23	even came.	23	
24	MR. HENDERSON: I would have to look at	24	
25	that file.	25	
1	Page 44	1	Page 45
1	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of	1	aren't that organized. There's a it's not
2	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low.	2	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully.
	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house.		aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the
2 3	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an	2 3	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the
2 3 4	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board	2 3 4	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess
2 3 4 5	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a	2 3 4 5	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the
2 3 4 5 6	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board	2 3 4 5 6	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more
2 3 4 5 6 7	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into	2 3 4 5 6 7	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over slightly. But I look at that elevation in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill. MR. HUEY: Yes. I can say, too, we use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over slightly. But I look at that elevation in the drawing which, you know, is a little clearer than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill. MR. HUEY: Yes. I can say, too, we use a program in our office. So what we do is we track
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over slightly. But I look at that elevation in the drawing which, you know, is a little clearer than what we see up on the screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill. MR. HUEY: Yes. I can say, too, we use a program in our office. So what we do is we track side angles, things like that. So we actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over slightly. But I look at that elevation in the drawing which, you know, is a little clearer than what we see up on the screen. But the there's an organization to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill. MR. HUEY: Yes. I can say, too, we use a program in our office. So what we do is we track side angles, things like that. So we actually tracked some angles for our clients from the pool
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	attractive. It couldn't be any lower because of the flood zone, but all of the eves come down low. This is not a tall house. It looks like it could have been an existing home that had an addition where the Board has always looked very favorably at that time of a design. It is not one large mass broken up into reasonable masses and it's not a full two-story home. The majority of the square footage is spread out on that first floor which, again, reduces the massiveness. But as to the as to the coverage of the ground. MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. MR. HERLONG: But the ordinance has been designed such that we could give relief when people do that. So I'm not really seeing a big concern at looking again, looking over at that left elevation which is the one I think the applicant said we're going to push it over slightly. But I look at that elevation in the drawing which, you know, is a little clearer than what we see up on the screen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	aren't that organized. There's a it's not unattractive in any way. I looked carefully. The look carefully and the it's higher at the second floor, is down I think six feet along the edge. So it's not as low as it could be. I guess you could get a bit lower. You could make it like a little more than a story on a half on that two-story facade. That might be possible but then rooms the rooms. It's always a struggle. MS. PERKIS: Is there a possibility you could flip the house so and I know this sounds crazy, but so you could flip it and the pool would be on my side? Doing it for THE CHAIRMAN: I think DR. TAVEL: I quite honestly don't have any experience with pools. My wife does and Bill does. So I take direction in terms of usability from my from bill. I think their thought was Bill. MR. HUEY: Yes. I can say, too, we use a program in our office. So what we do is we track side angles, things like that. So we actually tracked some angles for our clients from the pool





			November 16, 2016
	Page 46		Page 47
1	times of the year and everything. This really does	1	conceptual approval. It could be conceptually
2	make it usable. If we look at the scenario of	2	approved and then there could be a little bit more,
3	flipping the pool it would be in the shade	3	you know, discussion, work on the architect or the
4	basically from 1:30, 2:00 in the afternoon to the	4	owner.
5	rest of the day. So practically it just seems to	5	And I'm talking about various things,
6	make sense.	6	plate height or the location or air conditioning or
7	MR. HERLONG: I see that. I think	1	whatever, you know, and then it comes back for
8	you're right about that. It is very difficult.	8	further approval for the next stage maybe maybe
9	The pool it would affect the usability of your	9	there would be some sort of resolution in that,
10	pool if it was on the on the side I think I'm	10	some sort of an ease for the neighbors.
11	afraid. But it may be possible to possibly reduce	11	MR. HUEY: We can look at that.
12	that plate height of that second floor section.	12	,
13	THE CHAIRMAN: And still have the same	13	MS. BOHAN: I am looking within the
14	square footage.	14	standard and guidelines. As I think Steve pointed
15	MR. HERLONG: and soften it more	15	out beautifully, I think it's beautifully designed.
16	along that side even though you're even though	16	I think you're within the square
17	you say you're 17 or 18 feet off of that lot line.	17	footage elements so asking for the within the
18	But I'm just saying let me finish my point. I	18	max I I think if it was some tweaking that you
19	just feel like this there's nothing about this	19	wanted to do I believe that it's a lovely home and
20	submittal that I see is alarmingly different than	20	should be approved as shown but with, you know,
21	what the Board sees in and encourages it in a lot	21	maybe some neighborly modifications.
22	of ways.	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Do I hear a
23	It is argument whether it's 4,200 or	23	motion?
24	4,300, but I think this is a very nice design.	24	MR. WICHMANN: Motion to approve.
25	THE CHAIRMAN: This is up for	25	MS. BOHAN: I make a motion that we
	Page 48		Page 49
1	approve conceptual with modifications as presented.	1	increase from the Board and also to enclose the
2	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second.	2	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent
2 3	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion?	2 3	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that
2 3 4	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask	2 3 4	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are
2 3 4 5	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have	2 3 4 5	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the
2 3 4 5 6	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard?	2 3 4 5 6	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance.
2 3 4 5 6 7	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a nonconforming structure in that was built following	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window and siding packages, we're trying to address it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a nonconforming structure in that was built following Hurricane Hugo. So it exceeds our square footage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window and siding packages, we're trying to address it, you know with, the same venue off that porch corner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a nonconforming structure in that was built following Hurricane Hugo. So it exceeds our square footage limitations for the size of the lot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window and siding packages, we're trying to address it, you know with, the same venue off that porch corner and the interior of the porch itself is actually in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. THE CHAIRMAN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a nonconforming structure in that was built following Hurricane Hugo. So it exceeds our square footage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window and siding packages, we're trying to address it, you know with, the same venue off that porch corner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approve conceptual with modifications as presented. MR. WICHMANN: Second. THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? MR. HERLONG: Well, I would just ask the applicant. Do you feel like you have direction to with what you heard? MR. HUEY: Yes. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. All right. Everybody in favor? MR. WICHMANN: Aye. 3217 MIDDLE STREET THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. All right. 3217 Middle Street. Addition. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. This is Agenda Item E-2. This is our final item for a property listed at 3217 Middle Street. The applicants are requesting a rear porch or an ocean- facing porch. This is a nonconforming structure in that was built following Hurricane Hugo. So it exceeds our square footage limitations for the size of the lot. So the goal here is two-fold for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	increase from the Board and also to enclose the rear porch. So by making the request of 21 percent in principal building square footage making that requested increase for 699 square feet they are able to enclose their rear porch and also bring the nonconformity into compliance. In doing that they also needed 13 percent increase in principal building coverage, so the heated footprint of that. So I do have some pictures up here illustrating where they would enclose the porch essentially developing windows into what is currently screened for open air. THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you. MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. MR. BROWN: Good afternoon. I'm not an architect, but this house was originally built by Pat and it had some modifications over time. Basically, as you can see by the window and siding packages, we're trying to address it, you know with, the same venue off that porch corner and the interior of the porch itself is actually in a finished state. So we installed windows, added a

			November 16, 2016
	Page 50		Page 51
1	I've been working with Joe for a couple	1	great job with the house. It looks nice.
2	of months now on all the calculations. The	2	MR. WICHMANN: Despite the
3	original calculations were way off and so we went	3	THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion?
4	in and measured everything with a laser, first	4	MR. WICHMANN: Motion to approve.
5	ground floor, first floor, second floor,	5	THE CHAIRMAN: Second?
6	stairwells, decks and porches.	6	MS. PERKIS: I second.
7	So according to the numbers we have it.	7	THE CHAIRMAN: Everyone in favor?
8	It sounds like we can install those windows and not	8	(Board members stated aye.)
9	exceed what the maximum number is.	9	THE CHAIRMAN: Are we good? Can we
10	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. That's good.	10	adjourn?
11	There's no public here. So I don't even have to	11	MR. HENDERSON: I'd like to make one
12	ask, right? Do I, Joe?	12	quick note. We have been reviewing several text
13	Joe, anything you have to add?	13	amendments or in the past.
14	MR. HENDERSON: No, sir. Just bringing	14	In the past year DRB helped staff with
15	this property into compliance here.	15	land use and natural resources in submitting to
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Steve.	16	Town Council helped out with potential text
17	MR. HERLONG: No. I think this is the	17	amendments related to historic design review. We
18	easy part to approve. It is a nonhistoric	18	will be rehashing all that discussion before the
19	structure. This porch is on the backside and not	19	LUNR Committee and I'd like to have the support and
20	really even in the public view. I have no trouble	20	participation of the Design Review Board when I
21	with this at all.	21	represent our findings.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Beverly.	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Let us know when
23	MS. BOHAN: Approved.	23	it is.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: I'm fine.	24	MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Very good.
25	MS. PERKIS: I think you've done a	25	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(The hearing was adjourned at 7:03 PM.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Priscilla Nay, Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record. I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof. Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 29th day of November, 2016 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.
20			Priscilla Nay,
21		22	Court Reporter
22			My Commission expires
23		23	December 2, 2021
24		24	
25		25	
		• •	
A	WR A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & schedule		

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

					November 16, 2016
1	INDEX		Page 54	1	Page 55 Errata Sheet
2				2	
3		Page	Line	3	NAME OF CASE: Town of Sullivans Island Design Review Board
4				4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 11/16/2016
5	1820 I'ON AVENUE	3	17	5	NAME OF WITNESS: Sullivan's Island Design Review Board
6	2662 JASPER BOULEVARD	9	17	6	Reason Codes:
7	2867 BROWNELL AVENUE	28	10	7	1. To clarify the record.
8	3217 MIDDLE STREET	48	12	8	2. To conform to the facts.
9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	53	1	9	3. To correct transcription errors.
10				10	Page Line Reason
11				11	From to
12 13				12 13	Page Line Reason From to
14	E X H I B I (No Exhibits Pr			14	Page Line Reason
15	(NO EXHIBITS FI	orrerea)		15	From to
16				16	Page Line Reason
17				17	From to
18				18	Page Line Reason
19				19	From to
20				20	Page Line Reason
21				21	From to
22				22	Page Line Reason
23				23	From to
24				24	
25				25	
A	W R A. WILLIA	AM ROBE	RTS, JR., & schedule	AS	SOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO Pages 54–55 bo.com

	33:6			additions
	1973/'04 32:24	3	9	15:25 address
-street 34:12	1974	30	900	49:20
34:12	37:10	24:2 31	4:13 24:20 907	adjacent
0	1999	36:17	14:13,17	35:12 36:21
	37:8	31-foot		adjoining 27:19 40:10,14
04	1:30 46:4	35:18	Α	41:23
37:24 38:3		32	absolute	adjourn
1	2	36:17,20 3217	37:23	51:10
	2,300	321 7 48:12,14,17	accept	adjourned 52:1,2
1,200 16:1	2,500 34:19		3:11	adjusted
1/2	2,600	4	accessory	10:25 11:11 38:20
5:24	34:17	4.000	4:4,5,12 5:5,12,15 6:16	Adrian
10	2,700	4,000 38:3 42:10	accommodate	10:24 11:4,10,17,19 12:16,22 13:7,15,19
40:21,22,24	34:20	4,200	5:22 6:17 38:5	12:16,22 13:7,15,19
100	20 4:8,10 6:15 10:16	41:18 43:22 46:23	accommodation	17:8,15,20 18:12
9:4 25:4 28:24,25 29:10	17:10 24:2 28:23	4,200-square-	43:5	19:10,17,20,25 20:3,10,13,20,25
12	2000	34:21	accomplish 36:3	21:5,11,15,17,20,21
6:18 40:22	32:20	4,300 46:24	accurate	22:1,14,17 23:12
13	2016 3:3,10	40	9:8	25:3 26:1,19,25 27:23 28:9
49:7	206	10:11 14:19	acoustics	advantage
15 29:12 35:18 38:20	3:25		18:16	16:6
40:25	21	5	acre 37:24,25 38:3	affect
15,000	49:2	5	action	35:12 46:9
34:8	23.3 28:21	4:7	27:9	affected 35:10
15-foot 30:2 31:3	28:21 24-by-24	5,000	activities	afraid
50.2 51.5 150	6:2	21:16 42:4,10 43:19	41:16	46:11
5:6	24.8	50 9:3 14:10,20 35:4	actual	afternoon
16	10:17	37:15	15:8	46:4 49:16
3:10 32:20	25	50-foot	add 6:25 7:1 11:24	age
16th	10:14,19 21:13 29:13 35:2	17:3	22:20 26:9 36:13	8:20 agenda
3:2	25.15 55.2 25-foot	55	50:13	3:9,23 9:20 28:13
17 10:16 32:20,21	30:4,20	35:7 36:22	added 6:4 8:24 14:19	48:16
46:17	2662	6	31:15 32:11 37:18	agree
18	9:17,18		49:23	8:12
5:24 30:24,25 35:18 46:17	26th 10:1	60	adding	ahead 14:16
40:17 18.7	2867	35:8 36:22 699	5:17,19,20	air
28:20	28:10,11	49:4	addition 7:8,15 9:24 10:7	35:20 39:25 43:4
18.9	287		12:7 16:14,15,21	47:6 49:12
30:25 38:21,22	33:25	7	19:7,24 21:20 22:8 44:5 48:14	alarmingly 46:20
1820 3:17,18 4:24	2:00 46:4	750	additional	allowed
3:17,18 4:24 19	40.4	4:11	27:7 28:2	4:5,8 10:14,20
38:20		7:03	Additionally	42:15
1973		52:2	28:25	altered 35:23

AWR

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

17:16 20:14 28:19.

	0
altogether	argument
24:25	46:23
amendments	attach
51:13,17	12:7 24:12
amount 5:3,16	attached 16:14,15,21
angles	attachment
45:23,24	12:9
apologize	attendance
11:1 12:21 18:15	3:5
appearance	attention
31:18 32:11	12:9
appeared	attractive
40:14	44:1
appears 13:21	AVENUE 3:17 28:10
applicant 28:1 44:20 48:5	aye 3:16 9:16 28:8
applicants 4:1 10:1,8 28:15	48:10,11 51:8
48:18	B
application 6:22 11:21 33:12,22 36:9	back 5:4,20 6:3 12:7
applications	17 14:3 17:10,1
43:16	19:9 20:5 21:4,
appreciates 23:4	24:2 25:5,8,14, 25 27:24 28:1 3 32:5 34:13 37:3
approach	40:5,6 43:7,14
24:17	background
appropriateness	9:25
3:24 9:22	backing
approval	30:5
3:9 10:2 28:15 47:1,	backside
8	50:19
approve 47:24 48:1 50:18 51:4	barely 12:21
approved	base 4:15 14:8
14:23 15:22 43:18	basic
44:25 47:2,20 50:23	6:2 32:8
approximately	basically
30:24 34:8 35:1,4	14:3 15:2 30:7
36:17 architect	32:14 46:4 49:1
29:19 34:25 36:5	beautiful
47:3 49:17	24:9 35:4
architectural	beautifully
23:23 32:8	47:15
architecture	bedroom
7:17	17:2 26:20
area	begin 23:5
11:12 31:13,24	beginning

	19:2
	Beverly 3:6 7:2 8:17 47:12 50:22
	big 19:15 36:2,4 37:25 44:17
	bigger 41:4 42:3
	bill 14:2 29:18 45:17,
	19,20
	bit 13:25 16:8 18:18 19:19 23:14 30:13, 18 32:4 45:6 47:2 49:24
3	blank 39:25
	block 34:15 37:11
	board 3:4,16 4:8 6:14 9:16
7,10, ,14 I,7	10:13,16 19:14 28:8 29:7 32:1 36:5 43:20 44:5 46:21 49:1 51:8,20
,21, 31:23 :3,18 47:7	Bohan 3:6,14 7:4 26:9,22 42:12 47:13,25 50:23
	bottom 14:16,19 17:23
	bought 37:7
	BOULEVARD 9:17
	break 6:1,5
	bring 8:10 18:5 29:20 37:12 48:24 49:5
-	bringing 50:14
:19	broken 23:9 44:7
	BROWN 49:16
	Brownell 28:10,12 33:25 34:9
	build 25:6 33:1 34:21 36:1,6
	building 10:15,17 13:17

21.22 30:10.24 33:16 37:8 49:3,8 built 32:24 35:9 37:9,13, 16,20 48:20 49:17 Bunky 3:5 С C-1 3:23 calculations 50:2,3 call 19:21 Card 3:25 10:11 carefully 45:2,3 cart 5:9 case 35:24 center 36:17 central 30:18 certificate 3:23 9:21 **CHAIRMAN** 3:1,13,15 4:18,21 6:19,21,24 7:2,12 9:10,13,15,18 10:23 11:3,20,23 12:11 15:19 16:16 22:9, 11,23 23:17 24:13, 17 25:16,23 27:1,8, 14 28:6,11 29:16 33:19,21 36:8,11,24 37:2,6 40:12 41:7, 12,17,20 43:3,8,12 45:15 46:13,25 47:12,22 48:3,8,11, 13 49:13,15 50:10, 16,22,24 51:3,5,7,9, 22,25 change 39:1

changed 10:6 15:14 18:1 25:10

character 8:15 34:6 35:12 37:15 38:9,14

charming 32:12 child 38:2 children 30:14 37:23 cinderblock 32:23 clear 38:19 clearer 44:22 client 27:4 32:9,12,18 clients 30:9 31:14 45:24 close 8:24 14:21 18:20 23:25 26:13 closed 6:25 11:24 36:12 closer 31:5 closest 19:7 20:19 comfortable 22:16 37:22 comment 6:22,24 11:21,23 33:22 36:9,11,25 37:1 comments 27:17 Committee 51:19 community 23:3 comparing 43:16 compatibility 29:7 compatible 7:5 complete 25:1 35:19 completely 22:7 compliance 17:11 48:25 49:6

50:15 composition 7:6

conceptual

R

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

10:2 12:6 28:15	11:8 12:20 17:18	detached	ease 47:10	essence
47:1 48:1	18:3,15,24	4:2 5:7		31:1
conceptually 25:20 47:1	courtyard 6:13	detail 23:10 27:3	east 29:13 40:20	essentially 4:2 15:19 24:6
concern	coverage	details	eastern	49:11
44:18	10:15 11:2,14 28:20	38:12	29:14 39:16,23	estimation
concerned	42:15 44:13 49:8	developing	eastern-most	40:23
25:12	covered	49:11	29:9	evening
conditioning	5:8	development	easy	29:18
35:20 40:1 47:6	crazy	34:4	50:18	eventually
configuration	45:13	difficult 26:6 46:8	edge	17:11
31:7 36:16	create		45:5	eves
confusing	6:12	direction	efficiently	44:2
19:3	crowding	45:18 48:6	43:9	evolved
connect	23:20 24:5 25:13	Directly	effort	9:23
13:1 15:9 16:7	cut	34:19	9:7	exceed
connected	20:11	dirt	egress	50:9
25:7,12		14:11	26:20	exceeds
connection	D	discussion	element	48:21
26:17		28:3,6 31:2 33:12	32:1	exception
consideration 36:7	D-1	47:3 48:3 51:18	elements	9:24 10:2 15:15
	9:20	discussions	6:7 47:17	16:7
consisting	day	30:1	elevated	exceptions
19:5	46:5	distance	13:10 32:2	23:18
construction 10:3 16:4 26:5 42:1	dealt	40:15	elevation	excessively
	43:18	door	4:15 12:24,25	43:24
contextually 33:4,11,15,17	decided 15:12	6:10,11 14:10 26:21 34:1,2,18	19:12,21 20:4,9,18 21:1,3 22:18,21 25:18 26:12 39:23	Excuse 18:3
continue 27:12	decks 26:21 50:6	doors 37:17	44:19,21 elevations	existing 7:5 8:19 10:4 12:14, 15,17,23,24 13:4,6
conversation 29:21 39:4	deed- 16:13,21	dormers 6:4 8:10	5:25 25:2 26:2 29:9, 15 39:20 43:25	13,17,23,24 13.4,0 14:6,7,17 15:1 17:20,21 19:15,22
conversations 31:19	defer 4:19	draw 29:5	emulate 32:13	20:12,19,23,24 21:5,8,17 22:8,19
corner 5:4 30:11 49:21	degree 30:17 41:14	drawing 44:22	enamored 37:19	25:13,14 26:13 27:21 36:16 44:5
correct 10:18 13:19 16:11,	derived	drawings	enclose	experience
	32:9	22:7 28:2,3	49:1,5,11	45:17
13 19:25 20:3,20 21:6,17 25:21,22 33:17 39:13 40:2,18	design 3:4 4:2 7:20 28:14 44:7 46:24 51:17,20	drawn 19:16	enclosed 5:9	explain 12:13
correctly 42:21	designed 31:2 44:16 47:15	DRB 28:24 51:14	encourages 46:21	exposure 39:16 exterior
cottage	designer	driveway 5:20 11:5,7,11 30:5	encroachment	15:6
10:5,8 12:10 22:8	11:17		17:7	extremely
23:21 24:21 38:5 cottages	designing 15:8	E	entered 5:23	43:25
23:19,20	desire	E-1	entertain	F
Council	30:9	28:14	10:21	
51:16	desires	E-2	entire	facade
couple	16:2	48:16	7:6	20:2 28:22 44:25
6:6 31:11 50:1	destroying	earlier	error	45:8
COURT	12:4	33:11	11:1	facades
				44:25
				_

A R W

		1
faced 19:24	8:11 50:24 finish	G
faces	46:18	
30:7	finished	gable 6:6 13:1 20:2,6
facilitates 30:11	49:23 fit	garage
facing 48:19	9:1 34:23 fits	4:2 5:8,15,22,2 6:10 7:19
fact 22:3 37:16 39:9	38:14 flip	garages 4:12
fair 22:6	41:8 45:12,13 flipping	general 23:15 30:6 33:
fairly 42:6	46:3 flood	giant 33:16
familiar 33:24 41:25	4:15 14:8 44:2	give 6:13 9:25 10:2
family 28:16 34:2 38:6	floor 8:4 14:8,12 19:6 31:3,4 44:11 45:4	13:12,25 21:3 9 41:14 44:16
farther 24:4	46:12 50:5 follow-up	glad 36:25
favor 3:15 8:2 9:15 28:7	29:21 39:4	goal 48:23
48:9 51:7	34:22 37:23	gobbling 24:6
favorably 44:6	footage 4:5,9,10,12 6:15 10:17,20 14:9 16:4,	God 7:24
feel 11:12 22:6 26:4,17 33:3 34:5 38:1 43:1 46:19 48:5	8 21:15 24:19 28:21 42:16 44:10 46:14 47:17 48:21,25 49:3	Goldbug 19:24 24:3 golf
feeling 40:9	footages 42:21	5:9 good
feet 4:11,13 5:6,17 9:4	footprint 49:9	8:1 29:18 32:4 49:16 50:10 51
14:13 16:1 17:3,8, 10 24:2,20 26:7	form 29:5 32:14	good-looking 41:20
29:12 30:25 31:4,5 34:9,17,19,21 35:2,	forward 17:3 35:11 37:11	Gosh 13:10
5,7,8,18,19 36:17, 20 38:20 40:21,22, 25 41:18 42:4	foundation 14:24	grant 4:8 10:14,16,2
43:20,22 46:17 49:4 feet along	frame 13:2	28:24 granted
45:4 fence	Freedom 3:7	35:25 granting
5:22 file	freezes 35:22	23:18 24:23 great
42:25 final	front 16:10,19 19:22 20:6	3:18 4:18 6:19 9:7,9 10:23 12 13:23 18:11 24
48:16 find	29:7 30:6 31:13,17, 22,23 32:2,6,17	25:23 29:16 36 38:13 47:12,22
6:8 7:7 21:24	33:1,7,8 full	49:13 50:10 51
findings 51:21	4:10 44:8	green 19:1
fine	fun 15:3 18:12	grew 37:14

		November 16, 2016
G	ground 7:24,25 14:8 44:13 50:5	helped 51:14,16 HENDERSON
3:1 20:2,6 23:9	group 32:5	3:19,22 4:19 7:1 8:23 9:19 11:25 14:14 15:23 16:12,
8,15,22,23 7:19	grown 38:2,11 guess	18 17:5 21:23 25:22 27:6,12 28:13 29:4 31:25 36:14,20
s I 1 20 6 22 10	19:5 23:8 27:2 41:22 42:3 43:3 45:5	39:19,22 40:19 42:11,13,24 48:15 49:14 50:14 51:11,
30:6 33:10	guest 19:8 guidelines	24 Herlong 3:6,18,20 12:13
9:25 10:24	26:16 36:6 47:14	13:5 18:13,22 19:1, 11,18,23 20:1,8,11, 16,21 21:25 22:3,
2,25 21:3 35:3, 14 44:16	H half	10,13,15 23:2,13 26:3 27:16,25 43:15
í	19:10,11,12 20:2 30:24 35:19 37:24 45:8	44:15 46:7,15 48:4 50:17 Herlong's
ng	43.8 hand 17:23	4:23 hey
	happy 38:16	15:10 39:6 high 35:18
ug 24:3	hard 25:19 hate	higher 45:3
	18:4,18 heading	higher-pitched 20:6
9:18 32:4 34:1 5 50:10 51:9,24 ooking	23:16 hear 3:10 9:10 11:9	highlighted 12:3 hip
	12:21 17:19 18:14, 19,22 33:24 42:8 47:22 51:3	6:2 9:1 hipped 6:3
0:14,16,20	heard 34:25 48:6 hearing	historic 4:1,14,17 5:2 9:24 10:4,7 12:4,7,17
d	52:2 heated	26:14,15 51:17 historically 9:8 33:5
ng 24:23	10:20 14:9 16:8 49:9 heating	history 14:25
4:18 6:19 8:13 10:23 12:11 18:11 24:21 29:16 36:24	43:4 height 4:4,7 6:17 46:12 47:6	home 7:5 10:3 28:16 30:17 31:14,15 32:11 35:16 43:14, 24 44:5,9 47:19
47:12,22 48:8 50:10 51:1,22	Heinlen 4:22 5:11,14 6:20 8:5,8,21	homes 9:24 22:5 23:25 33:15,16 34:13
	held 15:2	honestly 45:16

 \mathbb{R} А

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO scheduledepo.com

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

				November 16, 201
hoping	34:7	interrupt	kids	list
29:20 31:8	impervious	18:4,18	37:12	5:2
house	11:5,12	interrupted	kids'	listed
6:8,9 7:10,13,14,16,	important	7:18	41:16	48:17
18,21,22,24 8:14	8:13,16 15:17 19:13	involves	kind	live
9:9 12:17,24 15:5,		10:12	13:12 19:19 23:13	33:25 34:2,17
12,16,20,21 18:12	imposing		26:10,23 31:17 32:1	
19:5,8,15 20:9,15,	35:15,17	island	35:9 43:15	living
19 21:8 24:19 25:14	improve	3:3,24 7:13,20 8:14 10:10 24:8 37:16,20	kitchen	5:21
26:6 30:3,6,7,8	23:7	38:1,10,14	16:19,20 27:22	location
31:2,18 32:17,22,25	improvements		10.19,20 27.22	47:6
33:2,13,15,25 34:5,	13:17	issue		looked
7,17,18,19,20,22,	inaudible	41:10	L	19:19 37:11 41:9
23,25 35:1,2,3,6,7,	5:17 11:6 12:18	issues		44:6 45:2
11,18 36:1,2,4,16, 22 37:8,9,10,12,13,	18:11	29:22	lack	lot
16,17,22 39:15,17	inches	item	35:21	4:6,16 11:1,14
40:11,20 41:8,21,24	6:17 17:9 31:1	3:23 9:20 26:9,15	land	22:10 32:9,17 34:5,
40:11,20 41:8,21,24 42:2,5,6,7 44:3		27:13 28:13 48:16	51:15	8,9,13,22,24 36:4
45:12 49:17 51:1	include	items	landmark	38:3,5 40:16 42:2,
	17:13	3:8	3:25 10:11	14,16 46:17,21
houses 24:12 34:15 35:9,12	increase		lap	48:22
36:21 40:17 41:22	4:7,10 10:13,15		6:9	lots
43:19	21:13 28:19,20	J		9:1 34:10,15 37:25
	42:20 49:1,4,8	Jagnan	large	lovely
Huey	increases	Jasper	13:23 16:15 22:4,5 33:14 34:5 43:24	7:11 47:19
29:18,19 32:4 33:20	10:9 16:6 22:4	9:17,18 22:11,18 25:17	44:7	
36:19 38:21,23	28:17			low
39:2,13 40:4,7,18 41:1,5,9,13,19	indication	jeopardize	larger	7:24,25 44:2 45:5
45:21 47:11 48:7	35:3	23:22	16:3 23:8 30:20	lower
	Information	job	41:5 42:14	6:4 18:5,17 20:1
Hugo	3:7	51:1	laser	44:1 45:6
48:21		Joe	50:4	LUNR
Hurricane	Initially	5:1 6:1,25 11:24	lattice	51:19
48:21	15:10	15:19 25:21 29:20,	14:24 40:2	
	inside	24 30:21 31:12	laundry	M
Ι	31:21	36:12 42:12 50:1,	27:24	
	inspiration	12,13		made
I'on	32:8	Joel	lawn	11:1 39:3
3:17,18 4:24	inspired	11:19 12:13 13:11	31:13,16	mahogany
iconic	31:14	judge	left	6:10
7:13 24:7	install	40:16	35:16 40:1 42:5	
	50:8		44:19	main $(.2, 0, 0, 0, 14, 0, 0, 17, 16)$
idea 23:18 32:13		jump 21.4	lengths	6:8,9 8:14 9:9 17:16
	installed	31:4	38:13	26:6 27:24
identified	49:23	jumped	life	maintain
3:25	integrity	26:10	35:23	13:18 16:19 25:6
Ilderton	17:21 23:20,23	jumping	light	majority
3:6,21	intent	26:23	8:10 19:1	29:13 44:10
illusion	15:24	June		make
8:7	intention	10:1 14:3 21:22	lighthouse 4:25	6:1 9:11 11:5,13
illustrating	37:8	25:5,8,10,21		14:5 27:25 33:10
49:10		, , , -, -	limitations	38:13,16 39:4 45:7
12.10	interested 37:21	K	48:22	46:2,6 47:25 51:11
	1/1/1	<u> </u>	limited	makes
imagine				
imagine 19:16 25:19	interior	kooning	4:11	8.1
imagine 19:16 25:19 immediately		keeping 7:10 20 8:15 17 0:7		8:1
imagine 19:16 25:19	interior	7:19,20 8:15,17 9:7	Linda	making
imagine 19:16 25:19 immediately	interior 12:2 15:6 49:22			



Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

mansions 37:20
marsh-to 34:11
Marshall 34:20
mass 6:2 35:16 44:7
masses 44:8
massing 22:19 23:6,7,9,15 26:18 29:13
massive 23:21
massiveness 44:12
master 17:2 20:18
match 6:9
matching 26:5
material 27:7
materials 6:8 7:5,7 8:18 12:4
matter 3:12 39:9
max 47:18
maximum 50:9
measured 50:4
meeting 3:3,8
mega 37:13,20
Melissa 22:15
member 37:4
members 3:4,16 9:16 28:8 29:7 32:1 51:8
mentioned 36:21
met 3:8
metal 6:9 18:2

mic 18:21	
mics 18:5,18	
middle 34:14 48:12,14,17	
mind 23:2	
minutes 3:10	
missing 14:11 20:4	
mode 27:20	
modification 4:3 28:22 32:3 35:24 42:20	
modifications 10:9 28:2 34:24 35:24 36:3 47:21 48:1 49:18	
modified 30:16	
modify 5:21	
months 34:3 50:2	
motion 3:10,11 9:10,11 27:15,25 47:23,24, 25 51:3,4	
Mount 37:14	
move 24:2 43:4	
moved 37:19	
N	
narrative 13:12,25	
narrow 31:9	
natural 51:15	
naturally 42:14	
nature 49:25	
necessarily 40:12	
needed 31:21 49:7	

negatively ocean-34:7 neighbor 34:1 neighborhood 29:6 33:18 35:13 41:15 neighborly 47:21 neighbors 42:8 47:10 nervous 43:20 nesting 31:17 nice 7:8 15:11 46:24 51:1 nice-looking 43:14 nicely 9:2 noise 41:16 nonconforming 48:20 nonconformity 49:6 nonhistoric 28:14 50:18 north 30:7 note 51:12 notice 29:8 noticed 7:14 November 3:2 number 10:11 37:23 39:25 50:9 numbers 50:7 0 observation 13:22 observed 13:22 occur 23:10

48:19 ocean-to-street 34:11 October 3:9 office 4:23 45:22 older 31:15 32:10 one-and-a-half 17:1 one-story 5:1 13:10 16:25 19:7 open 37:3 49:12 operate 43:9 opposed 27:5 34:4 order 14:25 22:6 34:23 39:5 42:4.9 ordinance 10:10 31:10 44:15 organization 44:24 organized 45:1 orient 31:25 orientation 30:6,10 32:17 original 7:10 8:24 14:18 24:4 25:4,5 50:3 originally 32:22 49:17 Osceola 31:16 32:10 outbuildings 8:19 outline 22:18 overframe 13:3 overpowering 26:13 owned 32:19 owner 47:4 48:24

owners 16:2 Р packages 49:20 painted 6:10 panel 31:13,17 32:6,7 part 7:9 11:5 15:13 16:10 50:18 participation 51:20 pass 9:12 passed 24:18 25:21 past 51:13,14 Pat 3:6 8:12 26:23 49:18 patio 5:20 31:20 pay 12:8 people 20:14 37:19 44:17 percent 4:7,9,10 6:15 10:13, 14,16,17,19 14:10 21:13 25:4 28:20, 21,23,24 29:1,11 49:2,8 Perkis 3:5 5:10,12 8:3,6,11 9:11 14:21 16:9 21:12.16.19 24:10. 16 29:2 33:23 36:20 45:11 50:25 51:6 permission 32:24 personally 25:20 27:2 perspective 23:15 photographs 13:6 photos 13:7 pick 18:6

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

R

remember

pickets	possi
17:24	24:1
picture 21:1	possi 6:10
pictures	posts
49:10	15:4
piece	poter
20:7	14:9
pieces	pract
6:6	46:5
pitch	preci
6:4	39:1
pitched	prese
6:18	12:6
placement	prese
33:8	19:4
plan	prese
12:14,23 19:6 25:9,	4:23
10 29:23 30:16	prese
31:12,23	10:4
plans	prese
10:21 11:11 23:1	24:2
36:6 42:17 plate	prett
46:12 47:6	previ
playing	33:2
30:15	previ
pleasant	7:11
7:7 37:14	prim
PM	30:1
52:2	princ
point	10:1
12:1 13:24 16:23 29:5 32:15 33:10,11 36:14,23 39:6 46:18	22 4 priva
pointed	41:1
47:14	prob
points	7:23
31:11 pool	30:3
30:9,11,12 34:23 36:2 41:11,15 43:10 45:13,24,25 46:3,9,	19:2 proje
10	9:23
pools	23:1
45:17	prop
porch	7:16
5:18 13:1,3 33:1,9	prop
48:19 49:2,5,11,21,	4:17
22 50:19	prop
porches	13:1
50:6	16:2
portion	26:1
19:4,7,8	32:2 36:1

R

bility 14 45:11 blv 0 13:11 46:11 4 ntial 9 15:11 51:16 tically 5 ipitated entation б ented 4 25:5 48:1 enting 3 erve 4 13:18 26:15 erved 22 y 14 43:20 ious 2 iously 1 10:1 32:23 arily 1 cipal 15,17 28:19,20, 49:3,8 acy 15 lem 3 ram 3 45:22 ression ect 3 18:11 22:22.24 1 29:19 32:10 er 6 erties erty 13,22 14:3 15:14 2 17:6 22:5 16 28:14 31:1,6 20 35:19,22 18 40:10,15,21

48:17.24 50:15 proposing 30:23 31:6,22 rail 32:18,25 33:9 41:3, 4 protect 23:24 protecting 23:25 protection 41:14 rear provide 26:1 31:8 provided 10:3 public 6:21,24 11:20,23 33:22 36:9,11 37:5 50:11,20 pull 21:21 39:17 42:17 purchased 14:4redo purchasing 14:4 push 30:19 31:23 44:20 pushed 40:5,6 pushes 17:2,9 put 5:25 14:23 17:22.24 29:24 31:3 pylons 15:1 0 qualifies 31:20 quality 35:22 question 5:10 8:3,18 18:9 27:18 41:6 auestions 4:20 10:22 11:15 38:17 quick 51:12 15:7 17:22

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

scheduledepo.com

17:23 railing 17:23 raise 37:22 38:6 raised 31:16 39:6 19:21 20:8 30:7 48:18 49:2,5 reasonable 44:8 record 18:19 recuse 29:2 recusing 3:20 36:5 reduce 46:11 reduced 11:4,12 32:4 35:22 reduces 44:12 reducing 24:20 referenced 12:1referred 30:22 reflect 28:3 rehashing 51:18 relate 23:13 related 12:3 51:17 relation 19:15 22:8 relief 6:15 21:13 29:1 31:9 35:14 44:16 remain 5:13 remaining

42:21 remove 15:24.25 removed 7:15 renovate 10:4 14:5 renovated 8:25 rent 16:9 rental 15:11.13 reorientation 41:10 replaced 15:4 replacing 18:1 report 12:1 REPORTER 11:8 12:20 17:18 18:3,15,24 represent 51:21 request 3:24 4:17 9:22 10:6, 12 12:8 14:15 16:6, 24 25:6 27:7,9 28:1, 19 42:18,19,20 49:2 requested 10:1,13 29:10 35:25 49:4 requesting 4:1,3,9 10:9 28:15, 17,25 29:12 35:14 48:18.25 required 27:9 requirement 11:14 requirements 3:7 residence 4:24 15:8 16:17 resident's 15:21residents' 15:20 resolution

47:9

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

resource 5:2	screer 49:12
resources 51:15	secon 29:1
response 6:23 11:22 36:10	Secret 12:2
rest 46:5	sectio 6:24
restricted 16:14,22	36:12 sees
resubmittal	46:2 sense
result	46:6
35:21 retain	set 30:2
26:15	setbac 10:12
review 3:4 4:2 28:14 41:24	29:1 20,2
51:17,20 reviewed	35:1:
16:2	38:19 41:3
reviewing 40:13 51:12	setbac 29:1
revised 29:23 31:12,23	shade 46:3
rid 14:16	shado 35:2
ROBINSON 42:22	shed 5:9.6
Rodd 4:22	sheds 4:13
roof 6:2,5,9,18 13:3	sheet 20:9
17:25 20:5 21:9 roofs	sheets
9:1 room	shorte
27:24 39:7	29:1 show
rooms 45:9	11:7 25:8
roughly 17:2	showi 12:22
<u> </u>	showr 30:1
sale	shows
14:4	11:1 side
scale 26:4,5,12,18	5:9,1 16:24
scenario 46:2	20:4 20 20
screen	29:1
29:25 36:15 44:23	20,2 34:1

ned 2	17 38:19 39: 40:14 42:8 4
d-story 0 35:15	10,12 45:14, 46:10,16
tary	sides 14:25 41:23
n	siding 6:9 49:20
11:23 12:3 2 46:12	significant
1	13:16 significantly
i	42:3 similar
	32:13 40:10, simple
ck 2 16:24 17:4	49:25 simplicity
,10,11,22 30:4, 1 31:3,22,24	23:23 24:8 single
5 36:15,21 9 40:9,11,14	16:16 28:16
,4,5 c ks	4:21 7:1 10: 29:17 38:21
,9 30:18	39:14 40:4,7 19 48:13 49:
OWS	50:14 site
1	11:10 12:25 29:23 30:16
5:3,4 8:9 20:5	situated 30:17 33:15
17:14	size 21:13 33:17
	43:21 48:22 slab
s 5	15:5
er 1	slide 39:10
20:14 22:7	sliding 25:14 39:13
29:14 ing	slightly 5:22 29:23 4
2 21:3 n	small 5:3 6:3,6 23
1 47:20	33:16 34:9,1 36:4
1 20:9	smaller 41:23
17,18 6:6 10:12 4 17:3,5,22	soften 46:15
,17 21:2 22:12, 6:12 28:22	sort 15:17 30:21
,8,10,14 30:2,4, 1,23 31:1,5,9	47:9,10 sorts
8 35:6,8,15,16,	

3:19 39:14.15 31:21 42:8 43:4,6, sounds 45:14,23 45:12 50:8 source 27:24 41:23 south 30:8 space 5:21 15:25 40:16 spaces 19:3 speak 14:2 33:24 40:10,15 special 9:23 10:2 15:15 16:7 specifics 42:10 spirit 38:15 split 7:1 10:23 43:13 38:21,23 spread 40:4,7 41:1,5, 44:11 :13 49:14,15 square 4:5,9,10,11,12,13 5:6,17 6:15 9:1,3,4) 12:25 25:8,10 10:17,20 14:13 30:16 31:12 16:1.3.8 21:15 24:19,20 26:7 28:21 34:8,17,19,21 37:23 41:18 42:4,15,20 33:17 42:16 43:19,22 44:10 48:22 46:14 47:16 48:21, 25 49:3,4 square-foot 14:17 staff 12:1 29:22 39:4 51:14 39:13 stage 47:8 29:23 44:21 stair 5:18 32:5 3,6 23:19,20 5 34:9,10,15,16 stairs 8:4 stairwells 50:6 stand 25:24 43:4 standard 30:21 33:10 10:10 14:24 28:25 47:14 standards

4:4 12:2 28:18 29:6 start 7:3 12:12 34:25 started 35:1,2 starts 35:4.6 state 49:23 stated 3:16 9:16 28:8 51:8 steps 17:10 Steve 3:6 4:23 12:12 26:11 43:14 47:14 50:16 storage 4:16 5:3 6:14 7:23 8:9 stories 19:12 story 17:1 20:2 45:8 straight 30:21 31:7 35:9 street 5:24 6:6 13:9 25:17 34:6,10,14 35:2,5,7, 8 37:25 41:25 42:2, 9 48:12,14,17 street-to-street 34:10 streetscape 35:10 structural 13:17 15:17 structure 4:4,14 5:2 12:8,14 13:4,6 14:6,7,12,17, 19 15:18 16:25 17:1,20,25 19:22 20:12,19,22,23,24 21:8,18 23:3,21 24:2,4,6,7 25:7,13 26:14 27:21 31:21 32:23 33:8 48:20 50:19 structure's 17:21 structures 4:5,12 5:5,13,16 6:16 8:14 17:17 23:5 27:19 33:13

R

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board November 16, 2016

				November 16, 2016
struggle 45:10	terrace 32:2	turns 20:5	V	withdraw 27:4
			•	
studies	terraced	tweaking	venue	withdrawal
23:6	31:13	47:18	49:21	27:10
subfloor	text	two-by-two	49.21	wondering
14:11	51:12,16	17:24	versus	13:24
submittal	thing	two-car	12:15,23	wood
27:3 39:3 46:20	19:13 20:4 26:10	5:8	view	15:1
	29:20 35:4 41:13		13:9 50:20	
submitted		two-fold	vision	work
3:12 22:25	things	48:23	13:12	14:25 15:6 23:5
submitting	13:23 23:24 30:15 45:23 47:5	two-foot		24:25 26:18 38:7,
51:15		17:6	voted	11,16 47:3
suggesting	thinking	two-story	25:25	working
24:11	24:21	19:8 20:22 35:17	voting	13:14 50:1
suite	Thirty-two	44:8 45:8	27:5	
20:18	36:19			Y
		typical 4:17		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sullivan's	thought 15:10 45:19	4.1/		y'all
3:3,24 7:13 10:10			walk	14:5 18:5,6,17,19
24:8 37:15,20 38:1,	thrilled	U	14:10	
10,14	34:2			yard
summer	time	Uh-huh	wall	16:24 17:3 30:13,14
34:3	25:8 44:6 49:18	16:18	30:21 31:4,7 33:2,7	38:19
sun	times	unattractive	35:18 39:25 40:4	year
30:12 41:10 45:25	46:1	45:2	wanted	46:1 51:14
		uncomfortable	16:3 47:19	years
support	today	11:13	ways	32:20,21 37:15
51:19	28:4 40:13		46:22	43:17
Survey	tonight	undergoing		young
3:25 10:11	32:19	13:19	weeks	30:14
Suspend	tonight's	underneath	33:3	50.14
27:11	3:9	15:5,25 24:19	west	
		understand	29:13	Z
T	top 13:3 17:23 26:24	19:2 26:22 38:25	WICHMAN	
I			14:18 27:11 40:8	zone
	total	understanding	Wichmann	44:2
takes	4:11 5:16 6:15	31:20	3:5,11 8:12,22 9:6,	zoning
13:16	21:15	units	14 11:16,18 13:11,	4:3 28:18
Tal	touch	35:20 40:1	16,21 15:16 17:13,	
14:4	9:8	unreasonable	16 18:8,10 21:2,7	
talked	Town	38:8	22:22 27:18 28:5	
15:12	36:6 38:7 51:16	unusable	37:4 38:18,22,24	
talking		14:9	39:11,15,21,24	
18:6,20 47:5	track		40:6,13,24 41:2,6,	
	23:16 45:22	upwards	24 43:1,6,11 44:14	
tall	tracked	43:19	47:24 48:2,10 51:2,	
44:3	45:24	urologist	4	
Tavel	tremendous	37:9	wife	
32:19 36:25 37:7	42:7	usability	37:11 45:17	
42:1 45:16	trim	41:11 45:18 46:9		
ten-by-ten	49:24		window	
15:1,4		usable	21:8 26:12 49:19	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	trouble	46:2	windows	
tended 43:20	50:20	utilize	26:20 40:3 49:11,	
	turn	30:14	23,24 50:8	
termites	10:21		wished	
15:2	turned		32:12	
terms	3:1			
45:18				
	•			

A R