


THE CHAIRPERSON: This is the November 18, 2015 meeting of the Sullivan's Island Design Review Board. It is now 6:04. And the members in attendance are Duke Wright, Beverly Bohan, Rhonda Sanders, and Steve Herlong.

Freedom of Information requirements have been met for this meeting. And I'd like to hear a motion to change the order, move item two to the last item.

MR. WRIGHT: So moved.
MS. BOHAN: Second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Let's do a vote. All in
favor?
(All Board members stated aye.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any opposed? No one is opposed.

The first item on the agenda is the approval of the October 2015 minutes.

MR. WRIGHT: So moved.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second?
MS . SANDERS: Second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
(All Board members stated aye.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any opposed? No one is opposed.

I'm going to recuse myself -- no, I'm not. MR. WRIGHT: You just changed it.

## 2114 ATLANTIC AVENUE

THE CHAIRPERSON: Now we're going to move on to 2114 Atlantic Avenue. Joe.

MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item D-1. This is a certificate of appropriateness request for a nonhistoric property. This is 2114 Atlantic Avenue. Beau Clowney Architects, the applicants tonight, are requesting final approval or conceptual approval for a new single-family home.

Relief is requested from the zoning standards for principal building square footage, principal building side facade, side setback relief, and second story side setback.

And we saw this project proposed in March of 2015 where it was just a renovation plan. They're now requesting to demolish the home and build a brand new home.

So I can review the request for relief; otherwise, let the applicant make their presentation.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. CAMPBELL: I'm Kate Campbell with Beau Clowney Architects. This house, as Joe said, was approved -- I think in your packet you can see some photographs of the existing house. Very special situation that we're in with this house.

Basically, we were going to be -- the March approval for a house that was going to be renovated. About a month ago, construction started for the renovation. It was discovered that there were some structural issues with the existing house.

And so the owners are going through a pricing exercise right now to find out if it's more economical to retrofit the existing house or replace the existing house with a new house.

In order to have the option to replace it with a new house, we had to come get approval from the DRB for the new house; however, they would still like to be able to, once the pricing is completed, to go back to the original retrofit approved option from March if that is more economical.

There's kind of two options that we would like to move forward with. And that's why Joe mentioned that we are asking for conceptual approval because we should know by the next meeting or communicate with staff which option they're going with, which if y'all give approval for this option tonight, then both would've been approved for the DRB.

The differences between this plan and the retrofit plan, the renovation of the existing plan, the footprint remains almost identical. The difference is that, being a new house, it has to meet current zoning, which is why we're asking for the full relief on the site setback. The existing core of the house from here to here currently does meet that maximum 30 feet.

But there was -- there is an existing porch or open deck on the north side here that we would remove as part of the new house option.

Currently, these two one-story wings that come out towards the Atlantic Avenue side are just simple gable volumes. With this option, with the new house option, we would alter that slightly in order to incorporate an internal stair, which they don't currently have, and an elevator.

And also just to bring the style --
MR. WRIGHT: Kate, excuse me for
interrupting. Where is Pettigrew?
MS. CAMPBELL: Pettigrew is here. Atlantic is here. Sorry about that.

The location of the house stays exactly the same. Ceiling height stays exactly the same. The house will actually meet current flood standards,
which is one foot above flood. And the existing height is 37 feet as measured on site. So we would still remain within 38 feet height restriction from grade.

As you can see on the Atlantic Avenue side, we're incorporating these one story pavilion instead of gable ends just to bring it into more of the island style and the quality. But for all intents and purposes, it's almost exactly the same house.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any public comment? Public comment section is closed.

Joe, do you have any final comment?
MR. HENDERSON: If I could, Kate, just review one more time with the Board the relief that's requested here. So I think that with this design, even with the demolition and rebuild, we're still in need of re-orientation. So the house is required to be oriented towards the Atlantic Ocean.

We're proposing to put the pool in the front yard, basically. We have to determine that the orientation is for Atlantic Avenue and not Pettigrew, number one.

MR. WRIGHT: Why does this have Atlantic address? Is that what you're talking about?

MR. HENDERSON: It has a Pettigrew
address.
MS. CAMPBELL: That's the old survey. When they bought the house, it was 2114 Atlantic.

MR. WRIGHT: This shows Atlantic address as well. It does have Pettigrew address?

MR. HENDERSON: If that was left anywhere.
MR. WRIGHT: It's 2114 Pettigrew?
MR. HENDERSON: Yes, that should be changed in the GIS. We still have to do that.

The request is for building re-orientation, principal building side facade 100 percent; principal building square footage, increase of 25 percent; second story side setback, 100 percent. And then side setback relief, 25 percent.

MS. CAMPBELL: Which the previous design also had all of those granted at the March meeting, the overages, I guess, with the exception of the side setback because it was an existing structure.

MR. HENDERSON: Here are the standards for neighborhood compatibility. We talked about reviewing each one of these projects, making sure it meets those standards. That's all I have to add.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the Board should have a discussion about how we'll go about -- this is
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a new -- well, there is a procedure that originally was happening. It got simplified over time. And I think the general thought is to assure that we are meeting the standards in order to provide the relief requested.

MR. HENDERSON: That's right. In issuing approval for any given project, you would simply reference that section of the ordinance highlighted.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Or the applicant can explain what convinced us why they meet the standard for neighborhood compatibility. That would be the best way to have it done.

MR. HENDERSON: That's right.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if you guys are prepared to do that right now.

MR. CLOWNEY: We'll give it a try.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Kind of new process. Would you like to hold on to the new sheet.

MR. HENDERSON: On every application, there's a box that you check that states, during the applicant presentation, they will demonstrate how they meet the standards.

I know we're kind of blindsiding you with this. We had a study group discussion the other day, and I brought up a new way to present these projects.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Now we're at the stage where the Board -- we've heard from Joe. The Board is going to ask questions. We can all talk about the application in front of us.

And what $I$ note, just regarding the neighborhood standard for neighborhood compatibility, what $I$ note is one of the standards is that, in that neighborhood, would this house be compatible?

Well, I'd say it is because it is virtually the same house that's currently right there that may be renovated or just may take its place.

I find that the house that's there is absolutely characteristic of the neighborhood and of Sullivan's Island homes. This actually is a bit of an improvement on that house.

MR. CLOWNEY: Definitely an improvement.
THE CHAIRPERSON: The roof lines bring the apparent height through the use of those hip grooves. If that gets you started. That's what I see.

MR. CLOWNEY: You want us to go through each one like A, B, C, D.?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't think we need -I really don't think -- it's not historic. Some of these don't apply. You might just touch on the points that would apply in this case; is that correct?

MR. HENDERSON: Typically it's materials. You want to touch on materials, massing, roof lines. Hit on some of the items that make it characteristic of Sullivan's Island architecture. I don't think you necessarily have to go each one of these points.

In fact, the Board would identify if it did not meet one of the standards. And it's that back and forth.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have additional copies of these? Every applicant is going to need to address these. You guys might want to get a copy.

MR. CLOWNEY: I think you-all know the pattern is appropriate; the massing is appropriate; the orientation is the same as all the other houses along the street. The fenestration is traditional and historic.

And so the materials are in like kind, basically, we're replacing -- we're doing the same type of materials.

I think it has an asphalt shingle roof now. We're going to be doing a standing seam metal roof. Every little bit of it, as we move along, is going to get better. And that was actually the way it was going to be in March also.

MS. CAMPBELL: It's all boxy right now. We're going to be going back with rafters and other detailing.

MR. CLOWNEY: Little things like that, shutters, the height of the windows. The windows are definitely more vertical in the main living level. They are six, eight doors, windows. Bringing them all up to eight feet. Making the house feel a little bit small, spaces inside feel a little bit more vertical.

That would be it.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Would you like to start with any comments?

MS. BOHAN: I just have one quick question. As I note the process, if you did go to renovation versus build, would the character of the materials change the exterior appearance of the house from one to the other?

MR. CLOWNEY: No. If we elect to go with the renovation as opposed to the tear-down, which is already approved, it's still that same good quality of materials. Still moving the windows, all the things that $I$ just mentioned; all those things.

The only thing that's going to be different, the carcass of the house, which we
determined has some serious issues, would be starting over basically.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have any
comments?
MS. SANDERS: I don't know if I'm missing a page or if I'm just not on today. This picture looks like the -- help me. My brain --

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's the existing house.

MS. SANDERS: What I'm saying, it looks like a very long spanse of wall.

MR. CLOWNEY: Right, it is currently. And with the addition. And if we change it, we're going to be fixing that. We'll be righting that wrong.

MS. SANDERS: Thank you. Okay. There's no relief for that now, where as there was on the original?

MS. CAMPBELL: I don't think we asked about it.

MS. SANDERS: You're going to make it compatible.

MS. CAMPBELL: Right.
MS. SANDERS: It's not going to be a big old flat wall.

MS. CAMPBELL: Exactly.

MS. SANDERS: In addition to the square footage.

MS. CAMPBELL: That's another reason we were breaking this up a little bit is to not have it a stretch limo on that side.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think that's a real good point. There's a long expanse of a flat wall that doesn't meet today's ordinance to solve that problem.

MR. CLOWNEY: The only other thing I'll say, we just want to make really certain we're not -they are going to have the flexibility to come back and do whichever way they want to go. They want to make sure that they don't have to tear the house down. They want to make sure, if the numbers aren't working for them, they can go back to the one that was originally approved.

We've talked to Randy, and we talked to Joe about that. Hopefully, with your permission, we can just do that at staff when they make that decision. That's fine.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Duke.
MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine. I think all the standards are met in either case with the exception of Rhonda's mention. I'm fine with it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And so am I. Do I hear a motion?

MR. WRIGHT: I move that we approve the request as submitted and take in mind the option is open for a new house versus the modified existing house.

MR. HENDERSON: That's final approval?
THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question. Is
it final?
MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with that.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second?
MS. SANDERS: Second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any discussion?
Does anyone have anything else to say?
MS. SANDERS: I have a question. If y'all kept the house and still had that limousine wall -- I like that term, just curious.

MS. CAMPBELL: This approval doesn't prevent them from going back to that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
(All Board members stated aye.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any opposed? None opposed.

MR. CLOWNEY: Thank you.
MR. WRIGHT: You did a good job with the
first one.
MR. CLOWNEY: We'll keep that test for next time.

## 2724 BAYONNE STREET

THE CHAIRPERSON: Next item is 2724
Bayonne Street.
MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item D-2.
This is a COA request for a nonhistoric property. Currently the lot is vacant at 2724 Bayonne Street.

Sabrina Cochran is here to present a new home construction. She's requesting relief from the zoning standards for principal building square footage, second story side setback, and building foundation height of ten inches, three-quarters; correct?

MS. COCHRAN: Correct.
MR. HENDERSON: There have been a couple of recommendations for changes that $I$ sent out to Ms. Sabrina here, to remove the parking area in the front yard on the Bayonne Street side, but I'll let you make the presentation.

MS. COCHRAN: Again, I'm Sabrina Cochran with SLC Architect, representing the owners, Jim and Maryann, and their property at 2724 Bayonne. They currently live up north, but they're looking to move
down here. They're excited about designing this house for their family of nine; they have seven children.

As Joe said, it's not historic property. We're asking for relief in three areas. On the side elevations, the west and east, we are asking for second floor side setback relief. Obviously, you can see here, here and here. One reason is we have a reverse floor plan. We're really trying to take advantage of the second floor square footage space to fit in the spaces that they need.

We tried to change materials and do things to break it up a little bit so it's not one massive wall, push and pull. You can kind of see they do go in and out. We're hoping the change in materials, kind of pushing and pulling, alleviate a little bit of that second floor side setback.

The second thing is principal building square footage. We're asking for 657 square feet, which is 16 percent relief. Like I mentioned, they have a family of nine. We have four bedrooms and a bunk room. We're really just designing it for minimal floor plan to fit their family. We just need a little extra square footage.

We don't feel like we have extreme number
of bedrooms or large spaces; hopefully, that's a reasonable request.

The last request was building foundation height. Like you see here, we just have a nine-foot space in the ground floor; enough for standard eight foot door, door opener. Nothing crazy. It's just that with VE 16, the first floor pushes us over ten foot. It's pretty standard height on the ground floor.

The last thing I want to talk about, which Joe mentioned, was the parking and driveway, and the fence. Joe asked me to clarify with you. The survey you have was the survey they got when they bought the property, and the neighbor's fence looks like it encroaches three feet, which it did. The neighbor has since put the fence back up on the property line so it doesn't encroach anymore.

Also, they are planning to have a pool. Hardscape and landscape hasn't been designed yet. This is for conceptual. We know we have to have a pool fence. It will conform to Sullivan's Island's standards; kind of shown it here and follow the property line to come back around to enclose the pool.

The other thing is the driveway and
parking. We're currently showing the driveway here, turn around space and some guest parking in the front. I understand, according to what Joe said in the ordinance, it says: To the extent possible, parking area should be 20 feet to the rear of the principal building's front facade.

I think I understand that. We all understand that. We're hoping, if possible, you might be able to reconsider that for a few reasons. One, the Mackeys say this is a busy street for beach-goers. If we can take two spots for our guests, get it off the street, it opens up some parking on the street.

Another reason is, when our guests come to dinner, I want them to feel like they're parking, they can come to a nice walk -- obviously not shown here yet -- nice walk and get up to the front steps, and it makes sense.

The third reason is the neighborhood compatibility, one of those standards, says -- one of them is placement and alignment of driveways. If you look here, these are some satellite images. This is the property directly next door. Here's their lot. They have parking in the front here.

This house down here, which is this, they
have parking in the front. This house farther to the west has parking right in front. And this home to the east has parking in the front, which basically is a lot wider but the same configuration.

Six homes within two blocks of them that all have parking in their front. We feel like that is meeting the neighborhood compatibility, hoping that maybe you could consider that.

In terms of neighborhood compatibility, in general, we do feel like this is a pretty eclectic neighborhood. There's a lot of different homes; dome house, there's a lot of two story homes, there's really modern home next door. Really modern home four doors down.

It's a pretty big mix of homes. We feel like we need the massing, lot of full two-story type homes in that neighborhood, which have a lot of porches. The front and the rear of the home all have porches, metal roof. The railings that make the Sullivan's Island style.

So we feel the massing, height, scale materials, two-over-two windows was hoping in general that these things meet the neighborhood compatibility standards. And that neighborhood is so eclectic, it's hard to plug in with everyone. Tried our best.

Those are the items we're requesting.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Joe, I've got a general question about the parking. Can you help explain to the Board why it would be written that way to limit parking in the front.
(Mr. Craver entered the room.)
MR. HENDERSON: Well, I'm not sure about -- the spirit and intent of the ordinance is to have all storage and I guess vehicle storage and parking behind the house to encourage front yards and not have vehicles stacked in the front yard.

We also have a section of the ordinance that prohibits -- outright prohibits -- parking areas in the front yard. That's 21-143-C of the ordinance, in the accessory structure section of the ordinance.

And it actually says: That storage areas shall be located a minimum of 20 feet to the rear of the principal building's front facade. Because it has that shall, it takes away the permissive.

MS. COCHRAN: That's a storage area?
MR. HENDERSON: For residential parking. It's under the outdoor storage of vehicles and equipment.

MS. COCHRAN: Also in the accessory structures, that's where $I$ found this: To the extent
possible, parking area should be 20 feet to the rear of the front facade. That's also in the accessory structure section.

MR. HENDERSON: It says, outdoor storage of vehicles and equipment. So if you look -- I see what they're saying here. It says: To the extent possible, parking area should be 20 feet to the rear of the principal building front facade.

And under outdoor storage of vehicles and equipment it says --

MS. COCHRAN: I guess I wasn't thinking about storage of vehicles. It's just for guests to come. That's how I interpreted it. I thought the one saying parking -- it's up to you guys.

MR. HENDERSON: Randy, do you have any input on that?

Most of our site design, since I've been here two-and-a-half years, we've taken a pretty hard line approach on this and required parking areas to be 20 feet behind the principal.

MS. SANDERS: On new construction.
MR. HENDERSON: Or even retrofit. When someone has an existing home and create a new driveway or tear-up concrete and put in pervious surfaces. When we see a proposal to have a large
area paved in the front yard, we reference this section in the ordinance. I think that's been kind of a long-standing interpretation of the ordinance.

If we want to have that clarified, I suppose we could take it to the planning commission. MS. SANDERS: If the lot is fairly deep, would there be parking in the back?

MS. COCHRAN: Just felt like to have guests park all the way in the back of the house -if that's what it is, it is. They were just hoping because it says to the extent possible, that made logical sense.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's so interesting. I look at this particular plan, I see some front steps. It just seems like that's where you put parking. All those years, not been able to allow it.

MS. SANDERS: It could be wide enough for two cars; correct?

MR. HENDERSON: The driveway is 12 feet in width is the maximum. At the edge of payment, it's maximum of 20 feet.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's limited to 12 at the road. At the property line.

MR. HENDERSON: At the property line.
THE CHAIRPERSON: It could span inward of
the property line.
MS. COCHRAN: It could extend in and parallel parking almost.

MR. WRIGHT: Is the driveway impervious?
MS. COCHRAN: It's going to be impervious.
MR. WRIGHT: Gravel?
MS. COCHRAN: Planning on pavers.
MR. WRIGHT: But it's pervious. That I
think -- Rhonda's point, I think I understand it. If you can just widen that driveway inside the property line, cars could park.

MS. COCHRAN: That makes sense.
MR. WRIGHT: Parallel parking kind of thing.

MS. COCHRAN: Wide driveway.
MR. WRIGHT: Follow what I'm saying?
MS. COCHRAN: They want a screen of landscaping. They're not trying to make it ugly concrete pad.

MR. HENDERSON: I think the Design Review Board has the ability to appeal staff decision with regard to a design standard or design guideline. If it is an actual standard of the ordinance where it says shall then that would, I suppose, an appeal would go to the Board of Zoning Appeals.

MR. CRAVER: What section of that you're talking about?

MR. HENDERSON: 21-143.
MS. SANDERS: You showed up just in time.
MR. HENDERSON: This requirement probably comes from the fact that we have a lot of historic structures, lot of historic districts. If you can imagine one of the houses on Officer's Row turning their front yard to a parking space, 600 square feet or 400 square feet, it might garner a reaction.

MR. COCHRAN: I think that's a really good point. My point, in this neighborhood, it is compatible. It's not in the historic district.

One block this way and one block this way.
MR. HENDERSON: This is what Sabrina referenced here; to the extent possible.

You drop down here, it says storage areas for vehicles and equipment shall be located minimum ten feet of the front facade. One says shall, the other says to all extent possible.

MR. ROBINSON: There's plenty of room. That's possible to park behind the front facade of this house.

MS. SANDERS: Or on the street.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Folks, I think one thing
we need to keep in mind, we can't have simultaneous conversations going on. We can't record that. We need to --

Joe, did you ask Randy a question? Did you have the floor, Randy?

I didn't hear what you said, I'm sorry.
MR. ROBINSON: What $I$ said was it is possible to have the parking on the rear of the house. To the extent possible, I mean, it's possible to put it back there.

There's a lot of things you disagree with the ordinance. You just got to live with it in some instances. You're saying other houses on the block were parking forward of the front facade.

MR. CRAVER: The Hyman house has the driveway coming in off of the Atlantic side. And it's not a concrete driveway. It's a gravel driveway. It's really smart. They use that side setback as their driveway and they got a ton of parking. But it's -- they probably are parking parallel to the front facade of the house. That parking goes up to. And they're actually parking in front of the house, too. I don't know that it's improved parking. They're just doing it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm kind of thinking
that this really isn't the main point. This is just one of the issues that you're dealing with. You want to have it generally approved. You can always come back and deal with parking at a later date, if need be. I'm thinking why don't we go through the normal process.

Duke. You might want to comment of the general design.

MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with the general design of the house. I think -- and I'm familiar with the house to the west, which is kind of a strange design anyway. And I think this improves the neighborhood in that sense.

And, frankly, I suspect that the house on the corner eventually will go, and there will be another larger house there on the corner of Bayonne.

MR. CRAVER: 28 and Atlantic. That's my stomping ground.

MR. WRIGHT: Is that your house?
MR. HENDERSON: There are some pictures floating around.

MR. CRAVER: The yellow house.
MR. WRIGHT: I think from a compatible standpoint, $I$ think it's fine. Pretty eclectic in that area anyway. I'm fine with the design.

MS. BOHAN: I agree. I think it's a nice improvement for an existing house. I would just point out one thing. Never mind. I'll come back to that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
MS. SANDERS: I think it's nice also. I just want to caution everyone, because we have had a prior applicant come three times and we have denied the side setback, second floor setback because of the wall effect. And I don't want us to get -- I think something might be done to solve that so that we're being consistent. If you know what I'm referring to.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I know exactly what you're referring to. Good point.

MS. SANDERS: That's my thought. On the driveway, I think that's up to y'all. They have street parking. They have in the behind parking. If that's what the ordinance says, believe me, I wish it were different. That's why we have the ordinance is to solve things that are problems that are already there. We can't change what's there. Those are my thoughts.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
MR. CRAVER: I'm just trying to -- the second -- the side setback, second floor setback,
which side is that?
MS. COCHRAN: East and west.
MR. CRAVER: Both sides. It's not a box.
MS. SANDERS: It's a much nicer design.
MR. CRAVER: It is. I guess that's what I'm saying is that -- Steve, you correct me. You and I have had a lot of discussion about this issue.

MS. BOHAN: It's only this long that is along the front on the right.

MR. CRAVER: It's only a 30-foot run. And then you have porches and the other side is not just a straight, long wall. The front is not a straight, long wall. The back is not a straight, long wall. I'm probably okay with it. I like the design. I think it's a good design, Sabrina.

MR. HENDERSON: Sabrina, you're not requesting the principal building side facade relief; is that right?

MS. COCHRAN: No --
MR. HENDERSON: So you're not going beyond that 30 -foot length.

That's the other difference between the -the other one, I think on both sides you had a distance of about 50 feet on both sides and requesting 100 percent relief in the second story
side setback.
MS. SANDERS: CYA.
MR. CRAVER: That's why I'm asking questions, making that comment. Get it on the record.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I feel like the plan of this home provides enough articulation and just massing relief, that overcomes the need to have that side facade setback.

MR. CRAVER: That's exactly what I was thinking.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm okay with it. Do I hear a motion?

MR. WRIGHT: Let Billy make a motion.
MR. CRAVER: I move that we approve -- are you asking for what kind of approval?

MR. HENDERSON: This is conceptual. The Board reserves the right to grant final approval if you desire.

MR. CRAVER: I move we give final approval to it as submitted with one little exception. The parking. I think you got to comply with the ordinance on the parking.

MS. COCHRAN: Can I ask a question. What Duke suggested, is that the ordinance where you widen
it once you're into the property?
THE CHAIRPERSON: You might want to show it.

MR. WRIGHT: This was just a suggestion.
I wouldn't think the company is going to be parking every day in the house. A thought was just to widen the pervious pavers enough to allow two cars to pass.

Do you see what I'm saying? Randy, are you okay with that?

MR. ROBINSON: We just need to do that at staff level.

MR. CRAVER: Work with the staff to deal with the parking issue.

MR. HENDERSON: I think it's a staff interpretation whether they're complying with that standard.

MR. WRIGHT: I understand.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second to Billy's motion?

MS. SANDERS: I'll second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any further discussion? Anybody have any more discussion?

All in favor?
(All Board members stated aye.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any opposed? None
opposed.
MS. COCHRAN: Thank you. 209 STATION 17

THE CHAIRPERSON: We are now on 209 Station 17.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir, this is agenda item D-3, 209 Station 17. Mr. Ron Denton is requesting conceptual approval of the new single-family home. He's requesting relief from the zoning standards for the principal building re-orientation.

And just before the meeting, Ron mentioned that the numbers that are outlined on your application are just hypothetical lot coverage calculations. Is that right, Ron?

MR. DENTON: That's correct.
MR. HENDERSON: The building permit that's going to be submitted will comply with zoning ordinance standards for development, meaning all those boxes will be checked as in compliance. I'll let Ron point out how the building will be oriented.

MR. DENTON: I originally intended solely to apply for re-orientation of the property. And so we didn't really take the design of the house any further than what you see today. And we made a
further presentation with our compliance issues.
Basically what we've got presently -- I'm
Ron Denton. I have Oliver Dungo representing Wes Fuller.

This is a single story ranch oriented towards Station 17. He has his driveway off the avenue. We've done studies, obviously, of Station 17 in this area. We're the only house that has a Station 17 address.

And what he really would like is for his street address to be 1659 Poe. And as architects, we would like it because it offers better design opportunities.

We have, coming from the beginning, this is pottery lady -- I can't think of her name.

MR. CRAVER: Yard haze.
MR. DENTON: A little side access here. This is -- I mistook it for the officers quarters; big block of apartments, Middle Street address. Poe Avenue here. I'on there. Atlantic here.

Again, here the immediately adjacent structures are facing their opposite streets. We're just asking for that same consideration.

The reason for that is, in the site plan is -- wonderfully helpful. We have the existing
ranch that you can see. We're trying to orient the house that would face Poe Avenue. It offers similar rectangular shape, but one that truly would focus on Poe. This would be Poe Avenue side.

Looking at Poe, this must be 17, Station 17, which is here on our left side.

We're looking at a style of architecture that's I'on in nature. Large front entry porch. Many of the homes on Station 17 have at least two sides or side porch. At least two porches in their lexicon.

We're carrying that same thing across here. I'm not trying to get into the house design too much; just to give you an idea of why we're going where we're going.

We have a front porch on Poe coming along Station 17. More porches along that side. Very characteristic of the house across the street as well as the house just behind it.

Just trying to keep with themes of the island; plank siding, metal roof. Lots of porches. We've got a screened porch element. We have an outside covered veranda area. Open porch. Front entry porch.

Didn't know about the driveway. Certainly
have to correct that. Our only intent when we do return to present the house, should we be allowed this re-orientation, would be perhaps for principal building area modification or request for extension there.

MR. HENDERSON: So you would be returning and requesting modification of principal building square footage?

MR. DENTON: That's it.
MR. HENDERSON: This is a preliminary conceptual.

MR. DENTON: This is really preliminary conceptual. What I'm really after is that orientation, which $I$ don't know if that's a preliminary thing. To me, it seems like I can't go any further until $I$ know $I$ can.

We showed you a little bit of what we've been working on so you can understand why we're asking for that re-orientation as well, just from the standpoint of the street. Everybody is facing their avenues and not their stations.

MR. HENDERSON: I ask you that because I did point out or notice identify several areas that you might need to request relief. If we can turn back to the elevations.

This is a second story.
MR. DENTON: Side yard.
MR. HENDERSON: Second story side setback relief or either design it --

MR. DENTON: We would work on that.
MR. HENDERSON: My question here would be is that over 30 linear feet.

MR. DENTON: There's nothing here 30 feet.
MR. HENDERSON: Do you meet the additional front yard setback there.

MR. DENTON: Correct. As we re-present, the plan may change some which would require us -I'm not saying we won't come back no more.

Our intent, we really rather not have to come back at all. We know we're going to need more square footage. As things progress, who knows what else may help.

For the most part, this is our working concept. It needs to be developed. Wasn't really ready for presentation and critique.

This is the kind of way we're playing. If you hate it, tell us now. If you think it's workable, let us know that.

MR. HENDERSON: Conceptual review only.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question about
how we go about -- $I$ know we have in the past dealt with re-orienting the front facade to a different orientation. Is that really -- is that the focus of this? You would like to confirm that you can do that --

MR. DENTON: Correct.
THE CHAIRPERSON: -- before you spend so many more hours --

MR. DENTON: Correct.
THE CHAIRPERSON: -- of a client's time.
MR. HENDERSON: That's right. And the Board can grant a re-orientation of a house based upon its compatibility of the surrounding neighborhood. So you can conceptually take what Ron explained about how the rest of the houses are facing Station 17.

MR. DENTON: Facing avenues. Their sides are on 17.

MS. SANDERS: Except for the one across the street.

MR. HENDERSON: You want to re-orient your house in keeping with the other houses that are facing Poe Avenue?

MR. DENTON: Correct.
MR. HENDERSON: That's what you would do.

You would do a conceptual review of the other houses.
THE CHAIRPERSON: If one is re-orienting
the organization of the property, that changes -that sets the various setbacks.

MR. HENDERSON: That's exactly right.
THE CHAIRPERSON: You really need to know that.

MR. DENTON: For instance, my application for setbacks, side setbacks was predicated on the assumption that we would be granted the re-orientation. If not, this becomes the back, and that doesn't matter. And all these other things kind of get tossed out.

MR. HENDERSON: This is a good one. This is Poe Avenue front yard setback where you would apply the 25 feet. This additional front yard setback requires you to go up 20 linear feet and 45 degree angle. If he doesn't get the re-orientation, that's out the window. Can't make his design in the setback. These are all things that we'll square away. I had some concerns about the six feet.

MR. DENTON: You're right about that. We missed that.

MR. HENDERSON: We may have an encroachment there. At any rate, we can refine the
plan. I think we're looking at design today and re-orientation to move forward.

MR. WRIGHT: Go back to the first sheet that shows the lot. The one across the street, what is that?

MR. DENTON: 16 Poe. That's Poe address.
MR. WRIGHT: The one next door is obviously a Poe address. Nowhere to go anywhere else, in my view.

MS. SANDERS: 1660 Atlantic faces Station 17, front door.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Driveway off of Atlantic with steps over the other. I don't know how you would --

MS. SANDERS: Front entrance is on Station 17. 1702, the front entrance is on 17 as well. Just FYI.

MR. DENTON: You're right.
MR. CRAVER: 1702 is not.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't think so.
MS. SANDERS: That's the stucco brick ranch.

MR. DENTON: It's really kind of like a weak entry element.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's labeled. The one
at the top, you're right.
MS. SANDERS: 1702 on the top, not the 1702 in the middle.

MS. BOHAN: You're right.
THE CHAIRPERSON: That is true.
MR. CRAVER: If that's the house down there, that's officer's quarter on top corner.

Right across is a relatively new house that belongs to David -- and the steps, it faces I'on. It doesn't face Station 17.

MR. DENTON: This one is the one that has the door on 17. But the mailbox is here with that street address on it.

MS. SANDERS: 1680.
MR. DENTON: I don't know if they did that legally or not or something going on with the post office. I'm just an architect with a camera.

Certainly the feel of that street is side yard and then the avenue.

MS. SANDERS: I agree.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me kind of catch up with the process here by asking is there any public comment? Public comment section is closed.

Joe, do you have any final comments before we --

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm wondering if maybe each of us should maybe comment on the orientation issue and perhaps a comment about the design in general as well. Billy, would you like to start.

MR. CRAVER: Yeah, I think that the orientation of the houses in that whole area, there's not a clear pattern. I don't know that it matters if you're going to take the house that's there down and build a new house and you want to orient it towards Poe, given that it's a corner lot and odd orientation in that whole area. I don't have a problem with re-orienting it towards Poe.

I'm sort of wrestling with the requested relief because I'm trying to figure out is this a real design or are you going back to the drawing board?

MR. DENTON: We would be developing this design. It is the concept. That's kind of approved by the homeowner. The things that we would need to fix are the things that Joe had pointed out are deficiencies to the ordinance.

MR. CRAVER: Subject to making sure that it complies with the ordinance, $I$ don't have a problem with the minor requested relief that he's
asking for. The house doesn't have a massing issue to me. It's on a lot, not a huge lot. I think I'm good with it.

MS. SANDERS: I have no problem with the orientation. There is not really enough to look at with a plan to say I'm sure it will be fine.

As for the question of orientation, I think that's what you're here for, I agree with that.

MR. DENTON: Anything after that is for us to come back next time.

MS. BOHAN: I agree. I think the orientation -- I don't have a problem with it. I think it would actually be better not to have that address. It seems odd that it is, quite frankly, as you pointed out.

MR. WRIGHT: I agree. I think the -- I agree with the re-orientation on to Poe. Yes, on to Poe. I'm fine. We are not going to talk about the design.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think a little.
MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with the concept of the design; certainly is an improvement of what's there. Will certainly enhance the neighborhood from that standpoint. I'm fine with the concept of the design.

THE CHAIRPERSON: And I as well as far as the orientation am absolutely fine with re-orienting. I think it improves the property being oriented to Poe. That's quite a distinct neighborhood feel once you get on that street compared to 17, which feels more like an access street really.

I do think -- I think we should all be very aware of that station 17 facade. It's next to a very important building, extremely important building right on the corner there. Pay a lot of attention to how you deal with that facade. I think, if anything, you might in general just try to soften that facade some, whether it's -- from a massing point of view, I think you've got it. Just in general pay attention to the way that facade is detailed would be my only comment.

Do I hear a motion?
MR. CRAVER: I move that we give conceptual approval to the request of re-orientation. And I'm good with the relief that he's asked for given this is his design.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second?
MR. WRIGHT: Second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any comments or
discussion?

All in favor.
(All Board members stated aye.)
THE CHAIRPERSON: Any opposed? None opposed.

MR. DENTON: Thank you very much. 2720-B GOLDBURG AVENUE

MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman, agenda item D-4 has requested a deferral or continuance of this project. He had a family emergency.

2502 ATLANTIC AVENUE
THE CHAIRPERSON: Well, I'm going to recuse myself for the 2502 Atlantic Avenue.
(Mr. Herlong exited the room.)
MR. WRIGHT: 2502 Atlantic, Sullivan's Island landmark structure. Joe.

MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Steve Herlong \& Associates, the applicants, are requesting approval to construct a pool at 2502 Atlantic Avenue. The pool is proposed on the Atlantic Avenue side. This is a request from the impervious coverage standards by way of historic exemption; also a re-orientation request.

That same section that we reviewed to consider the I'on Avenue side of the property the front of the house and allowing the pool or the
accessory structure on the Atlantic Avenue side.
This is Sullivan's Island landmark by way of historic research card 116. And I can answer any other questions about the ordinance should you have any.

Pass around some photographs. This is the I'on Avenue side of the house. I think you have some pictures of the --

MR. ROMANO: I'm John Romano with Herlong \& Associates representing Dan Bruce. The owner, as Joe said, wants to add a pool to the Atlantic side of the property. We're requesting exemption from the building -- requesting building re-orientation and exemption from the historic property exception, Section 21-143. Basically what that says, be allowed to exempt up to 50 percent of the existing impervious surface coverage.

In our case, 50 percent would be little over 3,800 square feet. And we are asking for a relief for 943 square feet for a new pool. That would be about 12 percent.

This is the existing house. The west side of the property on Station 25. The north side is I'on Avenue and south side is Atlantic. The house is really oriented towards Atlantic; front drive comes
off I'on. The front drive comes off I'on. The front door is off of I'on.

There's a large screened-in porch along Atlantic. That's really the area that we want to add the pool.

Then it's just 44-foot-by-24-foot pool is kind of what we are proposing, something that follows the curves of the existing house. The existing property is already kind of gated in. There's a picket fence that encloses the property.

In terms of neighborhood compatibility, there are a couple homes along Atlantic that have the pool located on the Atlantic side of the property. 2408 Atlantic. And actually 2402 Atlantic. 2402 Atlantic has the pool located on the I'on side.

2408 has a pool on the Atlantic side of the property.

Just down the street, 2720 also has a pool along the Atlantic Avenue. I think we are sticking within the neighborhood compatibility. It's pretty well screened from the street with existing landscaping.

MR. CRAVER: There's another house right down from 2720 that has a pool on the Atlantic side. Ernie Master's house. I can't remember the name of
the people that own it now.
MR. WRIGHT: Is that it?
MR. ROMANO: That's it.
MR. WRIGHT: Is there any public comment? Public comment section is closed. Joe. MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out one other thing. This is a Sullivan's Island landmark, and part of that is this historic accessory structure. It was a kitchen, detached kitchen. And on the back is where you access and park the vehicles. I think that's the reason for your request up here on the Atlantic side. That's all I have. MR. WRIGHT: Beverly. MS. BOHAN: I'm good with it. I think it meets all the compatibility and design ordinances. MR. WRIGHT: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: The houses that have the pools on Atlantic, are those also historic?

MR. ROMANO: I don't think so. They don't look like they're historic.

MR. CRAVER: 2720 is not, I built that house 20 years ago.

MS. SANDERS: I didn't think so. Doesn't really do anything for me.

I'm sorry. Can you go to the other page.

What Joe had mentioned, that the kitchen house in the back, the parking in the back is where you want to be now the front yard?

MR. ROMANO: Correct.
MS. SANDERS: Would that not cause it to be incompatible? You're now parking in the front yard. I'm just asking. I don't know. Historic structures really have to be careful with. Joe, help me. See what I'm saying?

Does that cause it to be incompatible?
MR. HENDERSON: I think in this case the Board would want to decide, if the front of the house is actually facing I'on. If the accessory uses and structures are on the I'on side, then it's the rear. That's really what we're trying to decide here, if we can fit this accessory use, this accessory structure, the pool, in the front yard.

Does it meet the intent of --
MS. SANDERS: I don't think it meets the guidelines. I'm not saying I don't like it. I'm just saying $I$ don't think it meets the guidelines.

MR. WRIGHT: Are the houses down Atlantic that have pools, I think there are two or three -are those front yard? They're addressed on Atlantic. The precedent is set for front yard pool on Atlantic.

MS. BOHAN: And screened.
MS. SANDERS: I do not dispute that at all. I'm just questioning the historic issue.

MR. ROBINSON: Just from y'all's
information, there was one at Station 28, person wanted to put a pool on the Atlantic side, y'all turned them down. That was probably four years ago.

MS. SANDERS: Was that historic?
MR. ROBINSON: No, it was not a historic house. There were just no other pools in that particular block. Y'all turned him down. He had to put a pool on the I'on side.

MR. WRIGHT: There are other pools that we must've approved on the Atlantic side, or were they put in more than 12 years ago?

MR. ROBINSON: They were put in prior.
MR. CRAVER: Not Ernie Masters'.
MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, Ernie Masters was. It was actually approved prior to the ordinance.

There is one in that same block right next to Ernie Masters that y'all just looked at, I believe, for Brian Helmund.

MR. CRAVER: Is he doing a pool on that one?

MR. ROBINSON: I think he is. There are
two pools, one on either side. I believe y'all said that since there was that neighborhood compatibility because there were two pools, one on either side of him. That particular block, I guess there's five houses, there were two pools. So y'all allowed it or approved it.

MR. HENDERSON: In the case where you're reviewing historic structure, reference the Secretary of Interior standards or the Town's standards for reviewing, making modifications. I think those would apply even to a change to any place on the property. Does this remove a distinctive character of that front yard? I think that's what we need to ask ourselves.

MR. CRAVER: I'm waiting for Duke to call on me. I'm not allowed to speak until.

MR. WRIGHT: Rhonda? Rhonda, are you okay?

MS. SANDERS: I'm not saying I dislike it or disapprove. I'm just bringing up points of historic homes. The accessory structures that Joe mentioned, the parking in the front, you can't have parking in the front. Now we're going to orient this house towards the front where the parking is already.
A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., \& ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO

MR. ROMANO: One of the things that's interesting, they do have another drive off of Station 25 that comes to the side of the property. I don't think that's what -- I don't think they actually use that as the main drive. I think that is a garage on the I'on side. I guess it's just a question of: Is it a parking question?

MR. HENDERSON: I think it's more conceptually is that -- did the houses directly adjacent to this one on that streetscape have pools on the Atlantic side? That could help us.

MR. WRIGHT: I don't think so.
MR. ROMANO: Directly adjacent, no, there are no pools until you get to 2408 , which is four homes down.

MR. WRIGHT: Billy. I'll call on you.
MR. CRAVER: You know, this house is a historic structure. And the front certainly looks like it's the Atlantic Avenue side, except they function out of the I'on side. They function out of that side.

And so I wonder about, you know, it's one thing that we have standards about where you place parking when you're building a new house. We say, okay, you're building a new house, you can't put the
parking in front of the front facade, where we've got the cars that have already been dealt.

This house is where it is. I'm not sure I get upset about the parking issue as being the determinative factor about whether it's the front or the back of the house.

I grew up in a house between Atlantic and Harvey. We had parking in the front and the back of the house. We had a circular driveway in the front. And we had driveways in the back. I don't know that I get really upset about that. I don't think it detracts from the historic elements of this house to re-orient the front to I'on.

Nobody lives out of the Atlantic Avenue side of any of those houses on that street. They all live out of the I'on side. The people who are between -- who have one side of their house on Middle, one side on I'on and the people that have the other, who have one side on I'on, one on Atlantic, they all function out of the I'on side of those houses.

MR. WRIGHT: Even though they have Atlantic addresses.

MR. CRAVER: Even though they have Atlantic addresses. I don't think it hurts the
historic nature of this house to re-orient it towards I'on and allow them to put a pool in. I generally am more in favor of allowing people to do what they want to do unless there's a reason not to. I don't see a reason not to here.

I appreciate, Rhonda, what you were saying. I walk by that house all the time, in that neighborhood. Everything is oriented on the I'on side. I don't have a problem with it.

MS. BOHAN: Something came to me during the process of thinking about my decision. And with the historic properties, we talked about in a study group about offering something to the ownership for the historic property owners that would help, you know -- relief is not a good word -- but offer some bonus points to them. And I think this meets exactly the study group thought process.

MR. CRAVER: I think you're right about that.

MR. HENDERSON: I think in part they are taking advantage of one of the provisions of the ordinance and that is up to 50 percent relief in the zoning standard.

With regard to impervious surface, they're taking 12. You can do that with principal building
square footage and principal building coverage, building footprints.

The issue here, there's no room on the I'on side. You have a historic structure that can not be modified, that has to be preserved. You have existing parking -- actually you have parking on two street sides. This is a tricky one.

MR. CRAVER: Didn't they ask to elevate this house some time ago and were told no?

MR. ROBINSON: Honestly, I don't remember that.

MR. CRAVER: I think so. My understanding, they have a pretty hefty flood insurance tab that's impeding the sale of the house. I don't have that firsthand; that's what I'm told.

MR. HENDERSON: I think you're right.
MR. WRIGHT: It seems to me what we're dealing with, lesser of two evils here; one, if we leave the address as it is and allow a pool in the front yard, as an exception -- and in my judgement, the pool does not distract from the historic aspects of the house, the structure, even though I think it's been modified a lot. I've seen some photographs of years gone by.

MR. CRAVER: The house has been modified.

MR. WRIGHT: It's really not what it was.
That's one way to go.
Or the other way, as Billy describes.
That's our dilemma, I suppose. I tend to agree with Billy. I think if we orient it towards I'on as the front, it's never going to change how people use the house. Whomever. That might be the better way to go.

Do I hear a motion? Or more discussion?
MR. CRAVER: I make a motion. Then we can discuss. I make a motion that we approve the requested relief.

MR. WRIGHT: Which is?
MR. CRAVER: Which is to re-orient the house towards I'on and to grant the 12 percent impervious coverage relief under the historic exception exemption.

MR. WRIGHT: Is that a motion?
MR. CRAVER: That was a motion. That was a running motion.

MR. WRIGHT: You got the motion?
THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
MR. WRIGHT: Any discussion? Do I hear a second?

MS. SANDERS: Second.

MR. WRIGHT: All in favor or discussion?
No discussion.
MS. SANDERS: I do have one thing to add. They'll never be able to use this as a front. Nobody is going to walk to their front steps. Just a consideration of those front steps from that backyard because there's a pool yard. They might want to put it on the side. Just a thought.

MR. CRAVER: They don't use them now, you know.

MS. SANDERS: Just a thought.
MR. WRIGHT: I don't know how the interior of the house is. I would imagine the living room is on the Atlantic side of the house. That's neither here nor there.

MS. SANDERS: Right.
MR. WRIGHT: We have a motion. It's second. Discussion.

MS. SANDERS: I second.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a vote? All
in favor?
(All Board members stated aye.)
MR. WRIGHT: Opposed? None.
MR. ROMANO: Thank you.
MR. WRIGHT: Do you know what we said?

MR. ROMANO: I think so.
MR. WRIGHT: I think if it's confusing -I think you're good to go.
(Mr. Herlong entered the room.)
HISTORIC DESIGN GUIDELINES
MR. WRIGHT: There is another item on the agenda, Joe.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. Very briefly, I just wanted to touch on the fact this is agenda item E-1. It's an item for consideration, historic design guidelines. We had a study group meeting. Three of us met, and we had some questions regarding historic design guidelines and whether we thought they would be useful in our process of staff review of historic projects and whether it would be useful for DRB and the citizens who own historic structures.

We talked about several options. We had kind of a brainstorming session. And I wanted to ask about our next meeting. I think what I'd like to do is have another study group meeting.

We formally developed some of these options, proposing to a design review board during a regularly scheduled meeting and then make a recommendation to town council from there. I think we talked about that. So when would you like to
meet? I know we floated some dates.
MR. CRAVER: You floated two dates that are coming up in December. I'm out of town for both of them.

MR. HENDERSON: Those are Fridays. I think we selected Fridays because they're kind of easy days to schedule. Any dates in December you would be happy with or push this on to the first of the year?

MR. CRAVER: I think we ought to probably push it on the first of the year. Fridays in December is tough.

MS. BOHAN: There's only two good ones. Lot of people take off between the holiday.

MS. SANDERS: The whole month.
MR. CRAVER: Joe, do you have a Word version of the ordinance?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes.
MR. CRAVER: Can you e-mail that to me. I want to play with some language.

THE CHAIRPERSON: In general, we look at places in the ordinance that speak to these issues and where would we add language without making it confusing because we've got a few sections that speak to similar issues but in different ways already. If
we aren't careful -- we just have to be so careful with the way we add or adjust language is what we spend a good deal of time talking about where to put this language. That was part of the discussion.

MR. HENDERSON: So we, I think, after boiling all this down, we had three options. We could create stand-alone historic guidelines, which is very common in other jurisdictions.

We could modify the existing guidelines that are codified in the zoning ordinance or standards for that matter.

Or we could try to incentivize, offer an additional incentive to folks to address the main issue which is: How do we keep folks from elevating these historic structures and building additions on to them and ruining the historic character?

Those are our three options I think. In our next meeting, I'd like to really refine some options for the DRB.

MS. SANDERS: Did we identify how many there are left, historic homes? They're all so different. Just like the school, they're all so different.

MR. HENDERSON: I generated a map during our study group that shows all our historic structures.

Then another relevant sort of question, how many are 1,200 square feet or under, right, that could apply for the special exception?

One of the things we talked about is elevating that or increasing that square footage to 1,400 square feet or even getting rid of it --

MR. CRAVER: Get rid of it.
MR. HENDERSON: -- all together. And what would be the result of that? Getting rid of it all together? I think these are some big questions we need to grapple with.

MR. CRAVER: I think some of the reasons that those limitations were put in place when the ordinance was put in place probably have changed now. And so it gives us an opportunity to create some incentives maybe and preserve, do a better job of preserving historic structures but not penalizing the people that own them. I think we have some real opportunity here.

MR. HENDERSON: What I would like to do in the study group, refine those options, those ideas we had. And we'll have the text, the Word document so that we can --

MR. CRAVER: If you'll e-mail me, I'll play with it before we meet so that we're not -- so we have something at least on one of the options to start with.

MR. HENDERSON: Sure.
THE CHAIRPERSON: It's not impossible for you to make some adjustments, send it, let us respond. Do it e-mail, whenever we have a chance. MR. CRAVER: Why don't we do that.

MR. HENDERSON: I'll continue looking at smaller coastal community jurisdictions that have historic design guidelines as kind of a comparison. Again, $I$ still kind of hold the perspective that, if we have guidelines, it couldn't hurt. The more information out there, the better.

But I think in the immediate, to address the problem that we are having or the issue that we're having, we keep talking about 1102 Osceola. How high they elevated that. I think we can do some immediate text amendments.

MR. CRAVER: The jury is still out on that one. They haven't finished it.

MR. HENDERSON: Absolutely.
MR. CRAVER: And you don't change the whole statutory scheme to deal with a single house. Before it's finished, we've concluded there's a
problem with. Let's wait and see what happens.
MS. SANDERS: Town hall and school looked really, really huge and tall before they were finished. Before that was finished.

MR. HENDERSON: I agree. We went through a great deal of discussion to make sure they took that house back. They incorporated the foundation plannings, they graded it up. They put the hortiscape out front. We don't see any of that today. If we do identify there's a problem with elevating it, the point I was making, we can take some immediate action to address it.

I attended a Webinar that went through how you develop historic design guidelines. As you mentioned during the meeting, it's a serious process. So if we want to undertake that, it's a lengthy process. I guess I'm just pointing out there's a time frame that we need to consider.

If we can codify something pretty quickly to address the problem, we may want to consider that and also going through guidelines.

THE CHAIRPERSON: One issue, potential short-term solutions with adjustments. The other one is a long-term process with the town.

MR. HENDERSON: Could be equally
beneficial.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Seems like it shouldn't be. It will be. It's not easy.

MR. CRAVER: My inclination every day is less is better unless there's a real problem that needs to be addressed. I'm not yet convinced that there's a real problem that needs to be addressed.

I think that our approach in creating an incentive to keeping intact historic structures and having them restored and maintained their character, that's a great objective for us to have and that we can probably accomplish that and give it incentive to stop houses from being elevated, but I'm not convinced yet that we have an avalanche of houses that are going to be elevated that would require us to run out and develop historic guidelines that are going to give us a whole lot more than the general people here dealing with the issues. I'm just not convinced yet. Open minded.

MS. SANDERS: There are flood maps still up in the air.

MR. HENDERSON: That's a good point. Duke brought this document here that was a report from Connie Cooper back when we were deciding whether to have Schneider some stand-alone design guidelines or
incorporate design guidelines into the ordinance. I think ultimately the town said let's codify the guidelines.

These are the three pages of historic design guidelines that are in the ordinance.

MR. WRIGHT: They're pretty much what she recommended.

MR. HENDERSON: Right.
MR. WRIGHT: They were incorporated into the zoning ordinance as opposed to stand-alone. There are some differences. I just looked at both of them this afternoon. They're pretty much the same.

MR. HENDERSON: I can say, if you have codified design guidelines, they hold a lot more weight. I know that because the City of Conway had codified designed guidelines, and we had other stand-alone guideline documents that really weren't as impactful when reviewing a project.

MR. CRAVER: I don't think it's the issue whether you have codified design guidelines or just guidelines that are guidelines. I think the issue is, what is the problem we're trying to solve, and is it really necessary to jump through all the hoops of drafting new guidelines to address a problem when we haven't really identified and defined a problem as
being a real problem yet?
I'm not convinced yet that we don't have other ways to address what's been identified as a limited issue, which now the study group has then flipped it around and said, no, this is an opportunity to avoid historic homes having done to them what they can do under the ordinance, which is put a link, add an elevated house.

I mean, and all of a sudden you sort of maintained the historic character sort of, but you got -- because of the limitations of the way the ordinance is set up, you got to hook them together and all that. So you destroy the historic character by complying with the ordinance when we're better off having two structures on the property, even if it's a 2,400-square-foot historic house. What's the problem with doing that?

MR. HENDERSON: I think that's where we ended our meeting.

MR. CRAVER: That's where we ended up. To not give them the incentive to link a new structure with an old structure because, if they're separate structures now, they're penalized. They're penalized with the square footage. The way it's set up now, we encourage them to do something that destroys the
historic character or doesn't enhance it, for sure. We could go through 50 drafts of something. We've done that before, too. We'll end up with something good.

MS. SANDERS: I can think of a prior town council member that would love to be able to build a house on her historic property for her son and his children and his wife, but you can't do it. So she's in a historic house, small historic house on one acre lot. She would love to build a house for her son to live in; same thing.

MR. HENDERSON: Two structures that could accommodate one single-family, right. Would that be something we can consider? Instead of having two dwellings, could have two living spaces? You would have to deed restriction one of them.

MS. SANDERS: Right.
MR. HENDERSON: That would be one way to incentivize but not increase the number of dwelling units. I know that's problematic. Then it becomes an enforcement issue. You're dealing with issues of rentals and things like that.

I agree that could be one incentive that could get families thinking about, if I leave this historic cottage where it is, I can build.

MS. SANDERS: I want my mother living over here with me.

MR. HENDERSON: Right now accessory structures can't be used as living space. That's prohibited

MS. SANDERS: That's what you're talking about. Let's not make them connected.

MR. CRAVER: Right.
MR. HENDERSON: So you would have two spaces. Staff would have that burden, making sure they're not turning into short-term rental space.

MR. CRAVER: I don't mind making you-all earn your money.

MR. HENDERSON: I think there's a lot of things we can do with this. Look forward to talking about it again. I'll float more dates by you.

MR. CRAVER: I think after the first of the year. If you send me that thing, I'll to try to come up with some drafts. And we can shuffle them around by e-mail.

MR. HENDERSON: I'll send it to everybody.
THE CHAIRPERSON: No, send it to Billy.
Any other discussion?
MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion that
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { concluded } \\ & 61: 2568: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { council } \\ & 57: 2466: 6 \end{aligned}$ | date 27:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:11,15 41:18 } \\ & 42: 944: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 19:15 |
| concrete 22:24 24:19 26:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { couple } \\ & 16: 1746: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dates } \\ & 58: 1,2,767: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { describes } \\ & 55: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 19:23 51:9,13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { configuration } \\ & 20: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COURT } \\ 55: 22 \end{gathered}$ <br> coverage | David 40:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { design } \\ & 7: 168: 1622: 17 \\ & 24: 20,2227: 8,10, \end{aligned}$ | disagree $26: 11$ <br> disapprove |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { confirm } \\ 37: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1444: 20 ~ 45: 17 \\ & 54: 155: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { day } \\ & 9: 2431: 663: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,25 \quad 29: 4,14,15 \\ & 32: 2433: 1234: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $50: 20$ <br> discovered |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { conform } \\ 18: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { covered } \\ 34: 23 \end{gathered}$ | days 58:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 438: 1939: 1 \\ & 41: 4,16,1942: 19 \\ & 22,2543: 2147: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 5:6 <br> discuss |
| confusing 57:2 58:24 | Craver <br> 21:6 25:1 26:15 | ```deal 27:4 31:12 43:11 59:3 61:24 62:6``` | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 5,10,13,22 \\ & 61: 1162: 1463: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $55: 11$ <br> discussion |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { connected } \\ & 67: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:17,22 28:24 } \\ & \text { 29:3,5,10 30:3,10, } \end{aligned}$ | dealing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:1,5,14,20 } \\ & \text { designed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 25 \text { 9:24 15:13 } \\ & \text { 29:7 31:22 43:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Connie } \\ 63: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15,2031: 1233: 16 \\ & 39: 1940: 6 ~ 41: 6,23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 254: 18 \text { 63:18 } \\ & 66: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 18:19 64:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 9,2356: 1,2,18 \\ & 59: 462: 667: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| consideration 33:23 56:6 57:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 18 ~ 46: 23 ~ 47: 21 \\ & 49: 17,2350: 15 \\ & 51: 1752: 2453: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dealt } \\ & 37: 152: 2 \end{aligned}$ | designing <br> 17:1,22 <br> desire | dislike $50: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { consistent } \\ & 28: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 8,12,2555: 10, \\ & 14,1956: 958: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { December } \\ 58: 3,7,12 \end{array}$ | $30: 19$ <br> destroy | dispute 49:2 |
| construct 44:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 10,16,1960: 8,13 \\ & 2561: 8,20,2363: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { decide } \\ & 48: 12,15 \end{aligned}$ | $65: 13$ <br> destroys | $\begin{gathered} \text { distance } \\ 29: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| construction 5:5 16:11 22:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 64:19 65:20 67:8, } \\ & 12,17 \text { 68:2 } \end{aligned}$ | deciding 63:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { destroys } \\ 65: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { distinct } \\ 43: 4 \end{gathered}$ |
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| distinctive | e-mail $58.1960 .2561: 7$ | ends | extension | 17:19 18:15 19:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:12 | 58:19 60:25 61:7 | 7:7 | 35:4 | 21:17 22:1,7,20 |
| distract | 67:20 | enforcement | extent <br> $19 \cdot 421 \cdot 2522.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 19,2125: 9,10, \\ & 19 \text { 29:24 36:7,8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { earn } \\ & 67: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 66:21 | $23: 1125: 16,20$ | 38:16,17,21 45:19, |
| district | east | $\begin{aligned} & \text { enhance } \\ & 42: 2366: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $26: 9$ | 20 60:3,7 |
| districts | 17:6 20:3 29:2 | entered | exterior | felt $23: 8$ |
| 25:7 | ea | 21:6 57:4 | 12:17 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { document } \\ & 60: 2363: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:7 63:3 } \\ & \text { eclectic } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entrance } \\ & 39: 15,16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extra } \\ & \text { 17:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $18: 12,14,16,21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { documents } \\ & 64: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:10,24 27:24 } \\ & \text { economical } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { entry } \\ & 34: 8,2439: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { extreme } \\ 17: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fenestration } \\ 11: 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| dome 20:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:10,17 } \\ & \text { edge } \end{aligned}$ | equally 62:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extremely } \\ & 43: 9 \end{aligned}$ | figure 41:15 |
| door $18: 6 \quad 19: 23 \quad 20: 13$ | 23:20 | equipment <br> 21:23 22.5 | F | final |
| 39:7,11 40:12 46:2 | 28:10 | 25:18 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 107: 1315: 7,9 \\ & 30: 18,2040: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| doors $12: 720: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elect } \\ & 12: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Ernie $46: 25 \text { 49:17,18,21 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { facade } \\ & 4: 148: 12 \text { 19:6 } \\ & 21: 1822: 2,8 \end{aligned}$ | find $5: 9 \text { 10:12 }$ |
| drafting 64:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { element } \\ & 34: 22 \text { 39:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eventually } \\ & 27: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 19,22 \quad 26: 14,21 \\ & 29: 1730: 937: 2 \end{aligned}$ | fine $14: 21,23,2515: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { drafts } \\ & 66: 267: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { elements } \\ 52: 12 \end{gathered}$ | evils 54:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 8,11,12,1552: 1 \\ & \text { face } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 9,24,2542: 6, \\ & 18,21,2443: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| drawing 41:16 | elevate 54:8 | exception <br> 8:18 14:24 30:21 | 34:2 40:10 <br> faces | $\begin{aligned} & \text { finished } \\ & 61: 21,2562: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| DRB | elevated | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 14 \text { 54:20 55:17 } \\ & 60: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 39:10 40:9 | firsthand |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 14,25 \quad 57: 15 \\ & 59: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:18 63:13,15 } \\ & 65: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 60:4 <br> excited | facing 33:22 35:20 37:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 15 \\ & \text { fit } \end{aligned}$ |
| drive | elevating | 17:1 | 17,23 48:13 | 17:11,23 48:16 |
| $45: 2546: 151: 2,5$ | $59: 14 \text { 60:6 62:11 }$ <br> elevations | $\begin{gathered} \text { excuse } \\ 6: 19 \end{gathered}$ | fact $11: 725: 657: 9$ | fix $41: 21$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 11,2519: 1 \\ & 22: 2423: 1924: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $17: 635: 25$ | exempt $45 \cdot 16$ | factor | fixing |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:24 23:19 24:4, } \\ & \text { 10,15 26:16,17,18, } \end{aligned}$ | elevator | 45:16 | 52:5 | 13:14 |
| 1928:16 33:6 | 6:17 <br> emergency | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exemption } \\ & 44: 2145: 12,14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { fairly } \\ 23: 6 \end{array}$ | flat 13:24 14:7 |
| driveways 19:21 52:10 | 44:9 <br> enclose | $55: 17$ <br> exercise 5:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { familiar } \\ 27: 10 \end{gathered}$ | flexibility <br> 14:12 |
| drop 25:17 | 18:23 <br> encloses | existing 5:1,7,10,11 6:2,6,9 | families 66:24 | flipped 65:5 |
| Duke <br> 14:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:10 } \\ & \text { encourage } \end{aligned}$ | 7:1 8:19 13:8 15:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { family } \\ & 17: 2,21,2344: 9 \end{aligned}$ | float $67: 16$ |
| 50:15 63:22 | 21:10 65:25 | 45:16,22 46:8,21 | farther | floated |
| Dungo | encroach | 54:6 59:9 | 20:1 | 58:1,2 |
| 33:3 | 18:17 | exited | favor | floating |
| dwelling <br> 66:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { encroaches } \\ & 18: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 2031: 23 ~ 44: 1 \\ & 53: 356: 1,2168: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $27: 21$ |
| dwellings | encroachment 38:25 | $14: 7$ | feel 12:8,9 17:25 19:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 257: 154: 13 \\ & 63: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | end | $\begin{aligned} & \text { explain } \\ & 9: 1021: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 6,10,15,2130: 6 \\ & 40: 1843: 4 \end{aligned}$ | floor |
| E | 66:3 | explained | feels | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:7,9,10,17,23 } \\ & 18: 5,7,926: 528: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E - 1} \\ & 57: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $65: 19,20$ | extend 24:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 5 \\ & \text { feet } \\ & 6: 87: 2,3 \quad 12: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $25$ <br> focus |
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| 34:3 37:3 |  | group | Helmund | homes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| folks | G | 9:24 53:13,17 <br> 57:11,20 59:25 | 49:22 | 10:14 20:5, 11, 12, $15,1734 \cdot 946: 12$ |
| 25:25 59:13,14 | gable | 60:22 65:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { helpful } \\ & 33: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 50:21 51:15 59:21 |
| $18: 22 \text { 24:16 }$ | 6:14 7:7 | guess | HENDERSON | 65:6 |
| foot | garage | 8:18 21:9 22:11 | 4:6 7:14 8:1, 7,9,20 | Honestly |
| 7:1 18:6,8 |  | 29:5 50:4 51:6 | 9:6,13,19 11:2 |  |
| footage | garner |  | 15:7 16:7,17 21:7, | hook 65.12 |
| 4:13 8:13 14:2 |  | 19:2 | 23:19,24 24:20 |  |
| 16:13 17:10,19,24 | gated 46:9 | guests | 25:3,5,15 27:20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { hoops } \\ 64: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 8 \text { 36:16 54:1 } \\ & \text { 60:6 65:24 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 19:12,14 22:12 | 29:16,20 30:17 | hoping |
| footprint | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 3 \text { 20:10,22 21:2, } \\ & 27: 8,941: 5 \text { 43:12, } \end{aligned}$ $14 \text { 58:21 63:17 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { guideline } \\ & 24: 2264: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 6,10,2236: 3,6, \\ & 9,2437: 11,21,25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17715 \text { 19:8 20:7,22 } \\ & 23: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| footprints 54:2 | 14 58:21 63:17 generally | guidelines | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 5,14,2441: 1 \\ & 44: 7,1647: 6 ~ 48: 11 \end{aligned}$ | hortiscape 62:9 |
|  | 27:3 | 48:20,21 57:5,11, | 50:7 51:8 53:20 | hours |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { formally } \\ 57: 21 \end{gathered}$ | gen | 13 59:7,9 61:11,13 | 54:16 57:8 58:5,18 | 37:8 |
|  | 59:24 | 62:14,21 63:16,25 | 59:5,24 60:9,21 | ouse |
| 96:14 | GIS | $21,24$ | 61:4,92 $64: 8,13$ | 4:24 5:1,2,4,7,10, |
| 67:15 | 8:10 |  | 65:18 66:12,18 | 11,13,14 6:4,7,11, |
| fou | give | 9:14 11:12 22:14 | 67:3,9,14,21,24 | ,23,25 7:10, |
| 21:25 | 5:23 9:16 30:20 |  | Herlong | 12:8,17,25 13:9 |
| foundation 16:14 18:3 62:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 1443: 1863: 12, \\ & 17 \text { 65:21 } \end{aligned}$ | H | 44:13,16 45:9 57:4 high | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 14 \text { 15:5,6,16 } \\ & 17: 2 \quad 19: 25 \quad 20: 1,12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { frame } \\ 62: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GOLDBURG } \\ & 44: 6 \end{aligned}$ | hall 62:2 | 61:18 <br> highlighte | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:10 } 23: 925: 23 \\ & 26: 9,15,21,23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { frankly } \\ & \text { 27:14 42:14 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { good } \\ & 12: 21 \\ & 14: 7 \\ & 15: 25 \end{aligned}$ | happening 9:2 | 9:8 hip | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 10,11,14,16,19, \\ & 2228: 231: 6 ~ 32: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fridays $58: 5,6,11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 1442: 343: 20 \\ & 47.1453 .1557 .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { happy } \\ 58: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 10:18 <br> historic | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 237: 12,22 \\ & 40: 6,841: 9,10 \end{aligned}$ |
| front | 58:13 59:3 63:22 | hard | 10:23 11:17 17 | 42:1 44:25 45:7, |
| 7:21 10:4 16:20 | 66:4 | 20:25 22:18 | 25:6,7,13 44:21 | 22,24 46:8,23,25 |
| 19:3,6,17,24 20:1, | grad | Hardscape | 45:3,14 47:8,18,20 | 47:22 48:1,12 |
| 2,3,6,18 21:5,10, | 7:4 | 18:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 749: 3,8,950: 8, \\ & 2151 \cdot 1852 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 10 \text { 50:24 51:17, } \\ & 24,25 \text { 52:3,6,7,9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11,14,18 22:2,8 | grad | Harvey | 53:1,12,14 54:4,21 | 12,17 53:1,7 54:9, |
| 23:1,14 25:9,19,22 | 62:8 | 52:8 | 55:16 57:5,10,12, | 14,22,25 55:7,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 14,21,2329 \\ & 1234: 8,16,23 \end{aligned}$ | gran | hate | 14,16 59:7,15,16, | 56:13,14 61:24 |
| 36:10 37:2 38:15, | 30:18 37:12 55:15 | 36:22 | 21,25 60:18 61:11 | 62:7 65:8,16 66:7, |
| 16 39:11,15,16 | granted | haze | 22:14 63:9,16 64:4 | 9,10 |
| 44:25 45:25 46:1 | 8:17 38:10 | 33:16 | 65:6,10,13,16 | houses |
| 48:3,6,12,17,24,25 $50: 13,22,23,24$ | grapple |  |  | 11:15 25:8 26:13 <br> 37:15:22 38:141:7 |
| $51: 1852: 1,5,8,$ | 60:12 | 15:1,11 26:6 30:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hit } \\ & \text { 11:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $47 \cdot 1748 \cdot 2250 \cdot 5$ |
| 13 54:20 55:6 | gravel | :18 43:17,22 |  | 51:9 52:15,21 |
| 56:4,5,6 62:9 | 24:6 26:17 | 55:9,23 56:20 | 9:18 61:12 64:14 | 63:13,14 |
| full 6:6 20:16 | great 62:6 63:11 | heard | holiday | $\begin{aligned} & \text { huge } \\ & \text { 42:2 } 62: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fuller | grew |  |  | hurt |
| 33:4 | 52:7 | he | 4:11,18,19 16:11 | 61:13 |
| function $51: 2052: 20$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { grooves } \\ 10: 18 \end{gathered}$ | heigh | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 2,13,18 \\ & 30: 732: 9 \end{aligned}$ | hurts 52:25 |
| $\underset{39: 17}{\text { FYI }}$ | $\underset{18: 5,8}{\text { ground }} 27: 18$ | $12: 5 \quad 16: 14 \quad 18: 4,8$ $20: 21$ | homeowner 41:20 | $\underset{26: 15}{\text { Hyman }}$ |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { hypothetical } \\ 32: 14 \end{gathered}$ | inches | Isl | 38:12 39:23 40:21 | lin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6: | 18:21 | 41:19 46:7,9 57:18 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inclination } \\ & 63: 4 \end{aligned}$ | issue | $58: 661: 11,12$ <br> kitchen | link $65: 8,21$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 149: 352: 4 \\ & 54: 359: 1461: 16 \end{aligned}$ | kitchen 47:9 48:1 | live |
| I'on | incompatible 48:6,10 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 20 ~ 34: 8 ~ 40: 10 \\ & 44: 2445: 7,24 \\ & 4: 1,2,1548: 13,14 \\ & 49: 1251: 6,20 \\ & 52: 13,16,18,19,20 \\ & 53: 2,854: 455: 5, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:22 64:19,21 } \\ & \text { 65:4 66:21 } \end{aligned}$ | L | 66:11 |
|  | incorporate 6:16 64:1 |  |  | lives |
|  |  | issues 5 | labeled | 52:14 |
|  | incorporated 62:7 64:9 <br> incorporating 7:6 |  | 39:25 | living |
|  |  |  | lady 33:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 656: 13 \text { 66:15 } \\ & 67: 1,4 \end{aligned}$ |
| idea 34: | increase8:13 66:19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { issuing } \\ 9: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { landmark } \\ & \text { 44:15 45:2 47:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { located } \\ & 21: 1725: 1846: 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ideas 60:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increasing } \\ & 60: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { item } \\ & \text { 4:6 16:5,7 32:7 } \\ & 44: 757: 6,9,10 \end{aligned}$ | landscape 18:19 <br> landscaping | $\begin{gathered} \text { location } \\ 6: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| identical 6:3 |  | items$11: 4 \text { 21:1 }$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { information } \\ 49: 561: 14 \end{gathered}$ |  | landscaping 24:18 46:22 | logical23:12 |
| identified 64:25 65:3 | input 22:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { language } \\ & 58: 20,23 \\ & 59: 2,4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | J |  | long |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { identify } \\ & 11: 735: 2359: 20 \\ & 62: 10 \end{aligned}$ | inside12:9 24:10 | Jim | $\begin{aligned} & \text { large } \\ & \text { 18:1 22:25 } 34: 8 \\ & 46: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 11 \\ & 12,13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | long-standing |
| $\underset{\text { 19:22 }}{\text { images }}$ | tance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { job } \\ & \text { 15:25 60:17 } \end{aligned}$ | larger27:1 | long-standing 23:3 |
|  |  |  |  | long-term |
| imagine 25:8 56:13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { instances } \\ 26 \cdot 13 \end{gathered}$ | Joe | leave54:19 66:2 | 62:24 |
|  |  |  |  | looked$49: 2162: 264: 11$ |
| $\underset{33: 21}{\text { immediately }}$ | insurance$54: 14$ | 4.5,24 5:19 7:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { left } \\ & 8: 734: 6 \\ & 59: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 18:11,12 19:3 21:2 |  | lot |
| $\underset{64: 18}{\text { impactful }}$ | intact$63: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:4 40:24 41:21 } \\ & \text { 44:15 45:1147:5 } \\ & 48: 1,850: 21 \text { 57:7 } \end{aligned}$ | legall40den | 16:9 19:23 20:4, <br> 11,12,16,17 23:6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{54: 14}{\text { impeding }}$ | intended 32:22 |  | length29:21 | 25:6,7 26:11 29:7 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 1439: 441: 11 \\ & 42: 243: 1054: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { impervious } \\ & \text { 24:4,5 44:20 45:16 } \\ & 53: 2455: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { intent } \\ & 21: 835: 136: 14 \\ & 48: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{45: 9}{\text { John }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lengthy } \\ 62: 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58:14 63:17 64:14 } \\ & \text { 66:10 67:14 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{54: 20}{\text { judgement }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lesser } \\ 54: 18 \end{gathered}$ | Lots$34: 21$ |
| ${\underset{43}{\text { important }}}^{\text {and }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | interesting23:13 51:2 | jump | level12:6 31:11 | love 66:6,10 |
| $\underset{61: 5}{\text { impossible }}$ |  | jurisdictions |  |  |
|  | interior 50:9 56:12 <br> internal | 59:8 61:10 | lexicon 34:11 | M |
| improved 26:24 |  |  | limit |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { improvement } \\ & \text { 10:15,16 28:2 } \\ & 42: 22 \end{aligned}$ | internal <br> 6:16 <br> interpretation <br> 23:3 31:15 | $\mathbf{K}$ | 21: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mackeys } \\ 19: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | limitations <br> 60:14 65:11 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { made } \\ & \text { 23:11 } 32: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { improves } \\ & \text { 27:12 } 43: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interpreted } \\ & 22: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Kate 4:23 6:19 7:14 | limited $23: 2265: 4$ | $\underset{40: 12}{\text { mailbox }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { incentive } \\ & 59: 1363: 9,12 \\ & 65: 2166: 23 \end{aligned}$ | interrupting 6:20 | keeping <br> 37:22 63:9 <br> kind | limo14:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { main } \\ & 12: 627: 151: 5 \\ & 59: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { incentives } \\ 60: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { island } \\ & 7: 8 \text { 10:14 11:5 } \\ & 20: 2034: 2144: 15 \\ & 45: 247: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 5:18 9:17,23 11:18 17:14,16 18:22 23:2 24:13 26:25 | limousine 15:16 <br> linear 36:7 38:17 |  |
|  |  |  |  | maintained63:10 65:10 |
| incentivize 59:12 66:19 |  |  |  |  |


| make | 8 62:15 | month |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:21 11:4 13:20 | 68:6 | 58:1 | 0 | 30:23,25 32: |
| 14:11,14,15,20 | me | mot |  | 41:22,24 45:4 |
| 16:21 20:19 24:18 | 8:23 47:15 48:19, | 67:1 | objective | 49:19 53:22 58:17, |
| 30:14 38:19 55:10, | $2153: 16$ | motion | 63:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { 59:10 60:15 } \\ & \text { 64:1,5,10 65:7,12, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $67: 7$ | member 66:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 230: 13,14 \\ & 31: 1943: 17 \text { 55:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ocean } \\ 7: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 14 |
| makes 19:18 24:12 | members | $\begin{aligned} & 10,11,18,19,20,21 \\ & 56 \cdot 17 \end{aligned}$ $56: 17 \text { 67:25 }$ | odd 41:11 $42 \cdot 14$ | $\underset{47: 15}{\text { ordinances }}$ |
| making | $56: 2268: 5$ | move | offe | ganization |
| 8:22 12:8 30:4 <br> 41:23 50:10 58:23 | mention | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:4 5:19 11:23 } \\ & \text { 15:3 16:25 30:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 53:15 59:12 | $\begin{gathered} 38: 3 \\ \text { orient } \end{gathered}$ |
| 62:11 67:10,12 | 14:25 | 15:3 16:25 30:15, 20 39:2 43:18 68:2 | offeri | -34:1 41:10 50:23 |
| $\operatorname{map}_{59: 24}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioned } \\ & 5: 2012: 2317: 20 \\ & 18: 1132: 1248: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{12: 22}{\text { moving }}$ | offers $33: 12 \text { 34:2 }$ | $\begin{gathered} 55: 5 \\ \text { orientation } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underset{63: 20}{\operatorname{maps}}$ | 50:22 62:15 | must've 49:14 | office | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2211: 1535: 14 \\ & 37: 341: 3,7,11 \end{aligned}$ |
| March | met 14 |  | 40:17 | 42:5,7,12 43:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:16 5:4,17 8:17 } \\ & 11: 25 \end{aligned}$ | meta | N | officer's $25: 840: 7$ | oriented 7:19 32:21 33:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maryann } \\ & 16: 24 \end{aligned}$ | middle 33:19 40:3 52:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { nature } \\ 34: 8 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { officers } \\ 33: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 43:3 } 45: 2553: 8 \\ & \text { original } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { massing } \\ & 11: 3,1420: 16,21 \\ & 30: 842: 143: 13 \end{aligned}$ | mind $\text { 15:4 26:1 } 28: 3$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { necessarily } \\ 11: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oliver } \\ & 33: 3 \\ & \text { one-stor } \end{aligned}$ | originally $9: 114: 1732: 22$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { massive } \\ 17: 13 \end{gathered}$ | mi | $18$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Osceola } \\ 61: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| Master's 46:25 | $\underset{17 \cdot 02}{\operatorname{minima}}$ | 18:14 neighborhoo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:10 } 15: 5 \text { 34:2 } \\ & 63: 19 \end{aligned}$ | outdoor $21: 22 \text { 22:4,9 }$ |
| Masters 49:18,21 | $\underset{21: 17}{\operatorname{minimum}} 25: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:21 9:11 10:6,8, } \\ & 13 \text { 19:19 20:7,9, } \end{aligned}$ | opener 18:6 | outlined 32:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Masters' } \\ 49: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{41: 25}{\operatorname{mininor}_{2}}$ | 27.13 37:14 42:23 <br> 43:4 46:11,20 50:2 | opens $19: 12$ | outright 21:13 |
| materials $11: 2,3,18,20$ | miss | $53: 8$ | ${ }_{3}$ opportunities | overages 8:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 17,22 \text { 17:12,15 } \\ & 20: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 38:23 missing | nice 19:16,17 28:1,6 | opportunity $60: 16,2065: 6$ | overcomes 30:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { matter } \\ & 38: 12 \text { 59:11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13: 5 \\ \text { mistoo } \end{array}$ |  | opposed | owne |
| $\underset{41: 8}{\text { matters }}$ | 33:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { nine-foo } \\ 18: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 25 \text { 32:1 14:3,4 } \\ & 56: 23 \text { 64:10 } \end{aligned}$ | owners $5: 8 \text { 16:23 53:14 }$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{maximum} \\ 6: 8 \\ 23: 20,21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20:15 } \\ \text { modern } \end{gathered}$ | nonhistori 4:8 16:8 | $\underset{33: 22}{\text { opposite }}$ | ownership 53:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { meaning } \\ 32: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:13 } \\ & \text { modification } \end{aligned}$ 35:4,7 | $\underset{27: 5}{\text { normal }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { option } \\ & 5: 12,16,22,23 \\ & 6: 11,14,1515: \end{aligned}$ | P |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { measu } \\ 7: 2 \end{gathered}$ | modifications | 6:10 16:25 45:23 | ${ }_{5}^{\text {options }}$ |  |
| meet | 50:10 | note $10: 5712: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 18 \text { 57:17,22 } \\ & 59: 6,17,1960: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 68:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 4,7,259: 10,22 \\ & 11: 814: 820: 23 \end{aligned}$ | modified 15:5 54:5,23,25 | notice | $61: 2$ | packet 4:25 |
| 36:9 48:18 58:1 | modify | 35:23 | order 5:12 6:15 9: | pad |
| meeting | 59:9 money | $\begin{aligned} & \text { number } \\ & 7: 23 \text { 17:25 66:1 } \end{aligned}$ | ordinance | 24:19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 218: 179: 420: 7 \\ & 32: 1257: 11,19,20, \\ & \text { 3 } \end{aligned}$ | money | numbers $14: 1532: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 814: 8 \text { 19:4 21:8, } \\ & 12,14,1523: 2,3 \end{aligned}$ | pages |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { parallel } \\ & 24: 3,1326: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { person } \\ 49: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{62: 17}{\text { pointing }}$ | prevent 15:19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4:16 44:19 } \\ \text { proposing } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| park | perspective | points | previous | 7:20 46:7 57:22 |
| 23:9 24:11 25:22 | 61:12 | 10:25 11:6 50:20 | 8:16 | pro |
| 47:10 | pervious | 53:16 | pricing | 9:4 |
| parking | 22:24 24:8 31:7 | pool | 5:9,15 | provision |
| 16:19 18:11 19:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pettigrew } \\ & 6: 20,217: 228: 1,6, \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2018: 18,21,24 \\ & 44: 18,19,2545: 11 \end{aligned}$ | principal | 53:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2,5,13,15,2420: 1 \\ & 2,3,621: 3,5,10,13 \end{aligned}$ |  | 44:18,19,25 45:11, | $4: 13,148: 12,13$ | public |
| $21,22: 1,7,14,19$ |  | 16,18,24 48:17,25 | 16:12 17:18 19:6 | 7:11,12 40:22,23 |
| 23:7,15 24:3,13 | photographs$5: 145: 6 \text { 54:23 }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:6,12,23 53:2 } \\ & 54: 19,2156: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:17 32:10 } 35: 3,7 \\ & 53: 25 \text { 54:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 47:4,5 |
| 25:9 26:8,14,20, |  |  |  | pull |
| 22,24 27:4 28:17 | picket | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pools } \\ & 47: 1848: 2349: 10 \\ & 1350: 1,3,551: 10 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prior } \\ & 28: 849: 16,1966: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 17:14 |
| 30:22,23 31:5,13 |  |  |  | pulling |
| $48: 2,650: 22,23,24$ $51: 7,2452 \cdot 1,4,8$ | picture |  |  | 17:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51: 7,2452: 1,4,8 \\ & 54: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 13:6 |  | problem |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { part } \\ & 6: 1136: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pictures } \\ & 27: 2045: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { porch } \\ & 6: 1034: 8,10,16, \end{aligned}$ | 42:4,12 53:9 61:16 $62: 1,10,20$ 63:5,7 | 7:9 |
| 53:20 59:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { place } \\ & 10: 1150: 1151: 23 \\ & 60: 14,15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { porches } \\ & 20: 18,1929: 11 \\ & 34: 10,17,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 22,24,2565: 1, \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| pass ${ }_{\text {31:7 45:6 }}$ |  |  | problematic 66:20 | pushes <br> 18:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { past } \\ 37: 1 \end{gathered}$ | placement $19: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { post } \\ & 40: 16 \end{aligned}$ | problems | $\begin{gathered} \text { pushing } \\ 17: 16 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pattern } \\ & 11: 1441: 8 \end{aligned}$ | places 58:22 | potential 62:22 | 28:20 <br> procedure | $\begin{aligned} & \text { put } \\ & 7: 2018: 1622: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| paved | plan <br> 4:17 6:1,2 17:9,23 | pottery | 9:1 | 23:15 26:10 49:6, |
| 23:1 | 23:14 30:6 33:25 | 33:15 | $9: 1712: 15 \quad 27: 6$ | 53:2 56:7 59:3 |
| pavers $24: 7 \text { 31:7 }$ | 36:12 39:1 42:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { precedent } \\ & 48: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 2253: 11,17 \\ & 57: 1462: 15,17,24 \end{aligned}$ | 60:14,15 62:8 65:8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { pavilion } \\ 7: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $34: 21$ <br> planning | predicated $38: 9$ | progress $36: 16$ | Q |
| $\underset{43: 10,14}{\text { pay }^{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { planning } \\ & \text { 18:18 23:5 24:7 } \end{aligned}$ | preliminary $35: 10,12,15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prohibited } \\ & 67: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quality } \\ & 7: 812: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { payment } \\ 23: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 8 \\ & \text { play } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { prepared } \\ 9: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { prohibits } \\ 21: 13 \end{gathered}$ | quarter 40:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { penalized } \\ 65: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { play } \\ 58: 2061: \end{gathered}$ | present 9:25 16:10 35:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { project } \\ & 4: 169: 744: 9 \\ & 64: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { quarters } \\ 33: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| penalizing <br> 60:18 | playing $36: 21$ | presentation 4:21 9:21 16:21 33:1 36:20 |  | question $12 \cdot 1515 \cdot 8,1521.3$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 60:18 } \\ \text { people } \end{array}$ | plenty $25: 21$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { projects } \\ & 8: 229: 2557: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 430: 2436: 6,25 \\ & 42: 751: 760: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47: 152: 16,1853: 3 \\ & 55: 658: 1460: 19 \\ & 63: 18 \end{aligned}$ | plug 20:25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { presently } \\ 33: 2 \end{gathered}$ | properties 53:12 | questioning $49: 3$ |
| percent | Poe$33: 11,19$$1637: 23$$39: 6,841$$42: 17,18$ | preserve | property | questions |
| $8: 13,14,1517: 20$ |  | preserved | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 816: 8,24 \text { 17:4 } \\ & 18: 14,16,2319: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 330: 445: 4 \\ & 57 \cdot 1260 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 25 \text { 45:16,18,21 } \\ & 53: 2255: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | preserved 54:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 23,24 \quad 24: 1,10 \\ & 31: 1 \quad 32: 23 \quad 38: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:12 60:11 } \\ & \text { quick } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { permission } \\ 14: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { point } \\ & 14: 724: 925: 12 \\ & 27: 128: 3,1432: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 60:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 344: 2445: 12, \\ & 14,2346: 9,10,13, \\ & 1750: 1151: 3 \\ & 53: 1465: 1566: 7 \end{aligned}$ | quickly |
| permissive |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pretty } \\ & \text { 18:8 20:10,15 } \\ & \text { 22:18 27:24 46:20 } \\ & \text { 54:13 62:19 64:6, } \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |  | 62:19 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 21:19 } \\ \text { permit } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 23 \text { 43:13 47:6 } \\ & \text { 62:11 63:22 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { proposal } \\ 22: 25 \end{gathered}$ | R |
| 32:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pointed } \\ & 41: 2142: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | proposed | rafters |

A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., \& ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO
scheduledepo.com

| 12:2 | rectangular | request | Rhonda | 3:20 66:5,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { railings } \\ & \text { 20:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 4:3 | 4:7,20 8:11 15:4 | 28:5 47:16 50:17 | 67:1,6 |
|  | recuse$4: 144: 12$ | 16:8 18:2,3 35:4, | 53:6 | satellite |
| ranch |  | 24:43:19 44:20,22 | Rhonda's | 19:22 |
| 33:5 34:1 39:22 | reference9:8 23:1 50:8 | 47:11 | 14:25 24:9 | scale |
| Randy |  | requested | rid | 20:21 |
| 14:18 22:15 26:4,5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { referenced } \\ & 25: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  | 60:7,8,10 | sched |
| 31:8 |  | 55:12 | righting | 58:7 |
| rate $38: 25$ | $\underset{28: 12,14}{\text { referring }}$ | requesting <br> 4:10,18 16:11 21:1 | 13:14 road | $\begin{gathered} \text { scheduled } \\ 57: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { re-orient } \\ & \text { 37:21 52:13 53:1 } \\ & \text { 55:14 } \end{aligned}$ | refine 38:25 59:18 60:22 <br> regard | 29:17,25 32:8,9 | 23:23 | sch |
|  |  | 35:7 44:17 45:12, | ROBINSO | 61:2 |
|  |  | 13 | 25:21 26:7 31:10 | Schneid |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { re-orientation } \\ & 7: 188: 1232: 11,23 \\ & 35: 3,1937: 12 \\ & 38: 11,1839: 2 \\ & 42: 1743: 1944: 21 \\ & 45: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 24:22 53:24 | require | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 4,9,16,18,25 \\ & 54: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 63:25 |
|  | regularly <br> 57:23 <br> relevant 60:2 | required | Romano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { school } \\ & 59: 2262: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 7:18 22: | $45: 947: 3,1948: 4$ | screen |
|  |  | requirement | Ron | 24:17 |
| re-orienting 37:2 38:2 41:13 43:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relief } \\ & 4: 12,14,206: 6 \end{aligned}$ $7: 15 \text { 8:15 9:4 }$ <br> 13:16 16:11 17:5 | 25:5 requires 38:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 7,12,15,2133: 3 \\ & 37: 14 \end{aligned}$ | screened <br> 34:22 46:21 49:1 <br> screened-in |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { re-present } \\ & 36: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 16 \text { 16:11 17:5, } \\ & 7,2029: 17,2530: 8 \\ & 32: 935: 2436: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { research } \\ & 45: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 17 \text { 11:3,21,23 } \\ & \text { 20:19 34:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46: 3 \\ \text { seam } \end{gathered}$ |
| reaction$25: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 935: 2436: 4 \\ & 41: 15,2543: 20 \\ & 45: 2053: 15,22 \\ & 55: 12,16 \end{aligned}$ | reserve | room | 11 |
|  |  | 30:18 | 17:22 21:6 25:21 | Secretary |
| ready$36: 20$ |  | residential | 44:13 54:3 56:13 | 50:8 |
|  | $\underset{7: 3}{\text { remain }}$ | :21 | 57:4 | section |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { real } \\ & \text { 14:6 41:16 60:19 } \\ & 63: 5,765: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | respond | Row | 7:12 9:8 21 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remains } \\ & 6: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 61:7 | 25:8 | 2:3 23:2 25:1 |
|  |  | rest | ruining | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 23 ~ 44: 23 ~ 45: 15 \\ & 47: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { rear } \\ & 19: 5 \text { 20:18 21:17 } \\ & 22: 1,726: 848: 14 \end{aligned}$ | remember 46:25 54:10 | 37:15 | 59:16 | sections |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { restored } \\ 63: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{29: 10}{\operatorname{run}} \mathbf{6 3 : 1 6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sections } \\ 58: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| reason <br> 14:3 17:8 19:14,19 <br> 33:24 47:11 53:4,5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remove } \\ & 6: 1116: 1950: 12 \end{aligned}$ | restriction | running | select |
|  |  | 7:3 66:16 | 55:20 |  |
|  | $5: 510: 11$ | result |  | send |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reasonable } \\ & 18: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { renovation } \\ & 4: 175: 66: 2 \text { 12:16, } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 60:10 retrofit | S | 61:6 67:18,21,22 <br> sense |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { reasons } \\ & \text { 19:9 60:13 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $5: 10,166: 2 \text { 22:22 }$ | Sabrina | 19:18 23:12 24:12 |
|  | rental | return | 16:10,19,22 25:15 |  |
| rebuild |  | 35:2 | 29:15,16 | separate |
|  | rental | returni | sale 54:14 | 65:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendation } \\ & 57: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 66:22 | 35:6 | 54:14 <br> SANDERS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { session } \\ 57: 18 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { replace } \\ 5: 10,12 \end{gathered}$ | reverse | 13:5,10,15,20,2 |  |
| recommendations 16:18 |  |  | 14:1 15:12,15 | 48:25 65:12,2 |
| recommended 64:7 | replacing 11:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { review } \\ & 4: 207: 1524: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 2123: 6,17 \\ & 25: 4,2428: 6,15 \end{aligned}$ | setback |
|  |  | 36:24 38:1 57:14, | 29:4 30:2 31:20 | 4:14,15 6:6 8:14, |
| reconside | report |  | 37:19 39:10,15, | 5,19 16:13 17:7, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REPORTER } \\ & \text { 55:22 } \\ & \text { representing } \\ & \text { 16:23 33:3 45:10 } \end{aligned}$ | review | 40:2,14,20 42:4 | 30:1,9 36:3,10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { record } \\ & 26: 230: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4:2 | 47:17,23 48:5,19 | $38: 15,17,20$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reviewing } \\ & 8: 2250: 8,1064: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 2,850: 1955: 2 \\ & 56: 3,11,16,19 \\ & 58: 1559: 2062: 2 \end{aligned}$ | setbacks 38:4,9 |


| sets 38:4 | $\underset{26: 1}{\text { simultaneous }}$ | $\text { spend }_{37: 7} 59: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 10,1540: 10 \\ & 43: 845: 23 \text { 49:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:16 59:15 60:1, } \\ & 1863: 965: 15,23 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shape | single | spirit | 51 | 66:12 67:4 |
| 34:3 | 33:5 61:24 | 21:8 | stations | stucco |
| sheet | single-family | spots | 35:21 | 39:21 |
| 9:18 39:3 | 4:11 32:9 66:13 | 19:11 | statutor | stud |
| shingle | sir | square | 61:24 | 33:7 |
| 11:21 | 32:6 41:1 57:8 | 4:13 8:13 14:1 | stays | study |
| short-term | 67:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 12 \quad 17: 10,19,24 \\ & 25: 9,1035: 836: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $6: 23,24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 2453: 12,17 \\ & 57: 11,20 \text { 59:25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $62: 2367: 11$ | site | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:9,10 35:8 36:16 } \\ & 38: 2045: 19,20 \end{aligned}$ | steps | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:11,20 59:25 } \\ & 60: 2265: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { show } \\ 31: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $33: 24$ | $54: 1$ $65: 24$ | 40:9 56:5,6 | style |
| showed $25: 4 \text { 35:17 }$ | situation 5:2 | stacked 21:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Steve } \\ & 29: 644: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 187: 8 \quad 20: 20 \\ & 34: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { showing } \\ 19: 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow[16: 23]{\text { SLC }}$ | staff $5: 22 \text { 14:20 24:21 }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sticking } \\ 46: 19 \end{gathered}$ | Subject 41:23 |
| shown $18: 22 \quad 19: 16$ | $\underset{6: 15}{\text { slightly }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 11,12,1457: 14 \\ & 67: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stomping } \\ & \text { 27:18 } \end{aligned}$ | $15: 430: 21 \text { 32:18 }$ |
| shows 8:5 39:4 59:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { small } \\ & \text { 12:9 66:9 } \end{aligned}$ | stage 10:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stop } \\ & 63: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sudden } \\ 65: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { shuffle } \\ 67: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { smaller } \\ 61: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { stair } \\ 6 \cdot 16 \end{gathered}$ | storage $21: 9,16,20,22$ $22: 4,9,12 \quad 25: 17$ | suggested 30:25 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { shutters } \\ 12: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{26: 18}{\operatorname{smart}}$ | stand-alone <br> 59:7 63:25 64:10, | story | suggestion 31:4 |
|  | soften <br> 43:12 | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 157: 68: 14 \\ & 16: 1320: 1229: 25 \end{aligned}$ | Sullivan's 10:14 11:5 18:21 |
| 4:14,15 6:10,13 $7.68: 1214,15,18$ |  | standard $9.1010 \cdot 618.5 .8$ | 33:5 36:1,3 | 20:20 44:14 45:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 68: 12,14,15,18 \\ & 14: 516: 13,20 \end{aligned}$ | solely 32:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 1010: 6 ~ 18: 5,8 \\ & 24: 22,2331: 16 \end{aligned}$ | straight | 47:7 |
| 17:5,7,17 26:16,18 28:9, | solutio | 53:23 | 29:12,13 | suppose $23: 524: 24 \text { 55:4 }$ |
| 30:1,9 33:17 34:4, | 62:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { standards } \\ & 4: 136: 258: 20,23 \end{aligned}$ | 27:12 | rfa |
| 6,10,17 36:2,3 | solve | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 136: 258: 20,23 \\ & 9: 4,2210: 711: 8 \end{aligned}$ | street | 5:17 53: |
| 38:9 40:18 44:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 828: 11,20 \\ & 64: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 14:24 16:12 18:22 | 11:16 16:4,6,9,20 | surfaces |
| 24, 45:1,7,11,22, |  | 19:20 20:24 32:10, | 19:10,12,13 25:24 | 22:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23,2446: 13,15,16, \\ & 2447: 1248: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { son } \\ & 66: 7,10 \end{aligned}$ | $1944: 2050: 9$ | $28: 1733: 11,19$ | surrounding |
| 49:6,12,14 50:1,3 | sort | standing | $39: 4 \text { 40:13,18 }$ | 37:13 |
| 51:3,6,11, ${ }^{\text {5 }}$, $5,16,21$ | 41:14 60:2 65:9,10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { standin, } \\ 11: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 43:5,6 46:18,21 | rvey |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { 53:9 54:4 56:8, } \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { south } \\ 45: 24 \end{gathered}$ | standpoint 27:24 35:20 42:24 | $\begin{gathered} 52: 15 \text { 54:7 } \\ \text { streets } \\ 33: 22 \end{gathered}$ | suspect 27:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sides } \\ & 29: 3,23,2434: 10 \\ & 37: 1754: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { space } \\ & \text { 17:10 18:5 19:2 } \\ & \text { 25:9 67:4,11 } \end{aligned}$ | start 12:12 41:5 61:3 | streetscape 51:10 | T |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { siding } \\ 34: 21 \end{gathered}$ | spaces 12:9 17:11 18:1 | $5: 510: 19$ | $\underset{14: 5}{\text { stretch }}$ | tab <br> 54.14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { similar } \\ 34: 258: 25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 66:15 67:10 } \\ & \text { span } \\ & 23: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { startin } \\ 13: 1 \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ | structural 5:7 | takes 21:19 |
| $\underset{6.14}{\text { simple }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23: 25 \\ \text { spanse } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 2131: 24 \text { 44:2 } \\ & 56: 2268: 5 \end{aligned}$ | structure 8:19 21:15 22:3 | taking <br> 53:21,25 |
| simplified 9:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:11 } \\ & \text { speak } \end{aligned}$ | states 9:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 15 \text { 45:1 47:9 } \\ & 48: 16 \text { 50:8 51:18 } \\ & 54: 4,22 \text { 65:21,22 } \end{aligned}$ | talk 10:3 18:10 42:18 |
| $\underset{9: 7}{\text { simply }}$ | special 5:1 60:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } \\ & 32: 3,5,733: 6,7,9 \\ & 34: 5,9,1737: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { structures } \\ & \text { 21:25 25:7 33:22 } \\ & 48: 8,1450: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { talked } \\ & 8: 2114: 1853: 12 \\ & 57: 17,2560: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
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| talking | today | understand | ways | 27:9,19,23 30:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:25 25:2 59:3 | 13:6 32:25 39:1 | 19:3,7,8 24:9 | 58:25 65:3 | 31:4,17 39:3,7 |
| 61:17 67:6,15 | 62:10 | 31:17 35:18 | weak | 42:16,21 43:23 |
| tall 62.3 | today's | understanding | 39:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 14 \text { 47:2,4,13,16 } \\ & 48: 22 \text { 49:13 50:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 62:3 | 14:8 | 54:13 | Webinar | 51:12,16 52:22 |
| tear | told | undertake | 62:13 | 54:17 55:1,13,18, |
| 14:14 | 54:9,15 | 62:16 | weight | 21,23 56:1,12,17, |
| tear-down $12: 20$ | ton ${ }_{\text {26:19 }}$ | units 66:20 | 64:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 23,25 \text { 57:2,6 64:6, } \\ & 9 \text { 68:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| tear-up | tonight | upset | 33:3 | written |
|  | 4:10 5:24 | 52:4,11 | west | 21:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ten } \\ & 16: 1418: 725: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{top}_{40: 1,2,7}$ | V | 17:6 20:2 27:11 29:2 45:22 | wrong 13:14 |
| tend 55:4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { tossed } \\ 38: 13 \end{gathered}$ | vacant | whichever $14: 13$ | Y |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { term } \\ & 15: 17 \end{aligned}$ | touch |  | Whomever |  |
|  | 10:24 11:3 57:9 | VE | 55:7 | y'all |
| terms | tough | 18:7 vehicle | wide | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 23 ~ 15: 15 ~ 28: 16 \\ & 49: 6,11,21 ~ 50: 1,5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:9 46:11 | 58:12 | vehicle 21:9 | 23:17 24:15 | y'all's |
| test | town | 21:9 | widen | $\begin{gathered} \text { y'all's } \\ 49: 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16:2 | 57:24 58:3 62:2,24 $64 \cdot 266 \cdot 5$ | vehicles $21: 11,2222: 5,9,12$ | 24:10 30:25 31:6 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { text } \\ & \text { 60:23 61:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 64:2 66:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 11,22: 2: 5,9,12 \\ & 25: 1847: 11 \end{aligned}$ | wider | 7:21 16:20 21:11, |
|  | Town's 50:9 | veranda | 20:4 | 14 23:1 25:9 33:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { themes } \\ 34: 20 \end{gathered}$ | traditional | 34:23 | width $23: 20$ | 36:2,10 38:15,16 |
| thing ${ }_{\text {12.24 }}$ | 11:16 | version $58: 17$ | wife | $24,25 \text { 50:13 54:20 }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 2414: 1017: 18 \\ & 18: 10,2524: 14 \end{aligned}$ | tricky $54: 7$ | versus | 66:8 | $56: 7$ |
| 25:25 28:3 34:12 | true | 12:16 15:5 | window $38: 19$ | yards 21:10 |
| 35:15 47:7 51:23 | 40:5 | vertical | windows | year |
| 56:3 66:11 67:18 | turn | 12:6,10 | windows 12:5,7,22 20:22 | 58:9,11 67:18 |
| things $12: 4,23 \quad 17: 12$ | 19:2 35:24 | view <br> 39.943 .13 | wings | years |
| 20:23 26:11 28:20 | turned | 39:9 43:13 | 6:12 | 22:18 23:16 47:22 |
| 36:16 38:12,20 | 49:7,11 | virtually <br> 10:10 | wonderfully | 49:7,15 54:24 |
| 41:20,21 51:1 60:5 | turning |  | 33:25 | yellow |
| 66:22 67:15 | 25:8 67:11 | volumes 6:14 | wondering | 27:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { thinking } \\ & \text { 22:11 26:25 27:5 } \\ & \text { 30:11 53:11 66:24 } \end{aligned}$ | two-and-a-half | 6:14 | 41:2 | you-all |
|  | 22:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vote } \\ & 56: 20 \end{aligned}$ | word | 11:13 67:12 |
| ```thought 9:3 22:13 28:15 31:6 53:17 56:8,11 57:13``` | $20: 22$ |  | work | Z |
|  | two-story | W | 31:12 36:5 |  |
|  | 20:16 |  | workable |  |
|  | type | wait 62:1 | $36: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 126: 516: 12 \\ & 24: 2532: 10,18 \end{aligned}$ |
| thoughts $28: 22$ | 11:20 20:16 | waiting | working | $53: 2359: 1064: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { three-quarters } \\ & \text { 16:14 } \end{aligned}$ | Typically | Natting | 14:16 35:18 36:18 |  |
|  | 11:2 |  | would've |  |
| time |  | 19:16,17 53:7 56:5 | T |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15 \text { 9:2 16:3 } 25: 4 \\ & 37: 1042: 1053: 7 \\ & 54: 959: 362: 18 \end{aligned}$ | U | wall | wrestling |  |
|  |  | 13:11,24 14:7 | 41:14 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {ugly }}$ | 15:16 17:14 28:10 | WRIGHT |  |
| times$28: 8$ | 24:18 | 29:12,13 | 4:2 6:19 7:24 8:5,8 |  |
|  | ultimately | wanted | 14:23 15:3,10,25 |  |
|  | 64:2 | $49: 657: 9,18$ | 24:4,6,8,13,16 |  |

