SULLIVAN'S ISLAND DESIGN REVIEW BOARD SUBMITTAL APPLICATION (PAGE 1)

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 1735 Atlantic Avenue	PARCEL ID (TMS #): 5231200020				
	25 MEETING DATE: November 19, 2025				
	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL: FINAL APPROVAL:				
	of historic Jasper Hall (Sand Dunes Beach Club) into single-family				
	original classical style with additional second level added to rear facado				
with widows walk. New pool, pool house, recreation pavi					
Submittal <i>outside</i> of the Historic District, not classifie					
Submittal is <i>outside</i> of the Historic District and design					
DRB relief requests No DRB requests					
Submittal is <i>within</i> the Historic District and is: designated as Historic Resource DRB	relief requests No DRB requests				
Not designated as a Historic Resource:					
DRB SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST: The following items mus					
Application fee (Historic properties: \$116.00; New con					
Completes and signed submittal application (Page 1)Zoning Standards Compliance Worksheet (Page 2). (Al					
Zonning Standards Compitative Worksheet (Page 2). (All s Neighborhood Compatibility Worksheet (Page 3). (All s Sandards Compitative Worksheet (Page 3).					
Historic Design Review Worksheet (Page 4). (All submi	esions involving a designated Historic Resource)				
Online submittal through BSA; Town of Sullivan's Islan	d online submittal nortal				
Two (2) sets of drawings, no bigger than 11X17"; Drawi	ngs to include:				
A current as-built survey, Certified by a S.C. Registered Land St	urveyor [1/16"≈ 1'-0" OR 1"= 20'-0"				
Required for all new construction and for work which expands or	is outside of an existing building footprint; illustrating the following:				
 All applicable Flood Zone information 	OCRM Critical Lines, or Baseline and Setback if applicable				
Setbacks, property lines and easements	 Existing Structures, if applicable 				
 Spot elevations required to comply with § 21-24 Site Plan [1/16" = 1'-0" OR 1" = 20'-0" scale], illustrating the filling t	following:				
 Existing structures, if applicable 	All applicable survey information				
Proposed new structures	Narrative for Scope of Work (all Historic projects)				
Floor Plans [1/8" = 1'-0" scale], with the following requiremen	ts:				
Exterior dimensions	 In the case of renovations and/or additions, the outlines of 				
Graphically depict the outlines of heated space, covered	existing and new construction must also be shown.				
porches and open decksExterior Elevations [1/8" = 1'-0" scale], with the following requ	iroments:				
 All exterior materials such as wood, stucco, roofing and / or 	Roof ridge heights to natural grade. Finished Floor Elevation				
masonry shall be graphically represented for intent.	(FFE), Lowest Structural Member (LSM), Base Flood Elevation				
 Must be rendered with shadows depicting roof and / or deck 	(BFE) to finish grade.				
overhangs, changes in wall plane, or massing.	Detailed descriptions of treatment of all historic materials. (all				
O diair 1/O-Air 1	Historic projects				
Conditional/Optional:	pe renderings that include adjacent properties are always encouraged and				
are required for submissions with requests for relief, additions					
· Any relevant photographs or documentation that might be des	criptive (of adjacent properties).				
OWNER NAME: Atlantic Avenue Holdings	_ PHONE NUMBER: <u>(843) 847-0200</u>				
ADDRESS: 1735 Atlantic Avenue	EMAIL: dderbyshire@jolinent.com				
ARCHITECT/DESIGNER: Anthony Cissell	PHONE NUMBER: (912) 332-6209				
ADDRESS: 49 Immigration Street, Suite A, Charleston,					
CONTRACTOR: Rhodes Residential Builders	PHONE NUMBER: (843) 442-1162				
	PHONE NUMBER: (045) 442-1102				
ADDRESS:	EMAIL: 2019samrhodes@gmail.com				
(Initials): I understand that incomplete application					
I (we) submit that the above information is true	If Owner is not the Applicant:				
to the best of my (our) knowledge.	I (we) hereby appoint the person named as applicant as my (our) agent to represent me (us) in this application _				
Anthony J. Cissell					
	Jane Suffere				
Applicant name (print) Outy J. Cinu	Owner's signature				
Applicant's signature	Owner's signature				

ZONING STANDARDS COMPLIANCE WORKSHEET (PAGE 2)

		Zoning ordinance reference section	Zoning Standard	√if meets standard	DRB's Max. authorty for relief	applicant request for relief	Percent (%) relief requested	Total allowed + requested relief (SF)
SETBACKS	Α	21-22 FRONT SETBACK	25 Feet	✓	15%			
	В	21-22 ADDITIONAL FRONT YARD SETBACK	45 above 20'	NA	15%			
	С	21-22 SIDE SETBACK	per lot: Enter result: 10' min: 32'comb:	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	25%			
	D	21-22 SECOND STORY SIDE SETBACK	per lot: Enter result:min:comb:	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	25%			
LOT COVERAGE	Е	21-22 REAR SETBACK	25 feet	✓	N/A	x	X	X
	F	21-25 PRINCIPAL BUILDING COVERAGE	as per formula:enter result <u>NA</u> sf	✓ EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	20%			11,045 SF
	G	21-26 IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE	as per formula:enter result 30% sf maximum	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA 7898 SF			24,250 SF
	Н	21-27 PRINCIPAL BUILDING SQUARE FOOTAGE	as per formula:enter result <u>NA</u> sf SEC. 21-27B.(4)	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	A: New Construction / Non-historic additions: 15%sf (not to exceed 500 sf) B: Historic additions: 20%sf C: Historic ADU Special Exceptions:			11,535 SF
DESIGN STANDARDS	ı	21-28 THIRD STORY	as per formula:enter result sf	NA	15%sf			
	J	21-22 PRINCIPAL BUILDING FRONT FAÇADE	50' feet or, 2/3 lot width (whichever is less)	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	15%			
	К	21-22 PRINCIPAL BUILDING SIDE FAÇADE	38 feet (wall length) 16 feet within 15 ft x 2 16-in articulations	EXISTING HISTORIC BUILDING	25%			
	L	21-22 2ND STORY SIDE FAÇADE SETBACK	32 feet (wall length) 6-foot (knee wall) 16-in articulations	EXISTING Historic Building	25%			
	М	21-30 BUILDING ORIENTATION	towards ocean, excluding marsh and ocean lots	✓	Adjust for Neighborhood Compatablity			
	N	21-30 BLDG. FOUNDATION HEIGHT	8' to LSM & 9'4" to FFE	✓	1 foot			
	0	21-32 FOUNDATION ENCLOSURE	1/2" space	EXISTING Building	Adjust for Neighborhood Compatablity			
	Р	21-138 ACCESSORY STRUCTURE	Height (15 to 18) Setback (20)	✓	20% Height (3 ft 6 in) 40%(4')			4'

NEIGHBORHOOD COMPATIBILTY WORKSHEET (PAGE 3)

Have you reached out to the neighbors to get their feedback on the proposed plans? Yes: 🗹 No:
In accordance with the Sullivan's Island Zoning Ordinance, Section 21-111, the DRB shall only grant modifications of the Zoning Ordinance standards if the design is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood. See the DRB application submittal guidance document for additional information for filling in this form. Lot area: 16270 sf Highland lot area: 16270 sf (if applicable)
Principal Building Square Footage (21-27): Existing SF: 10,768 setandard SF: 5600 sf Proposed SF: 11,535 sf The building under review is an existing historic landmark that has a footprint of approximately 11,045 sf and a two story open interior volume
in the main mass of the building. All of the proposed square footage will be internal to existing structure or in the form of dormer construction
in the main roof mass that houses the two-story volume. Additionally, any new dormer construction will occur on the dune side of the building,
and below the ridge of the primary roof, which will be out sight of the sttreet, therefore the massing and compatibility relationship with
surrounding development will be unchanged. The zoning administrator has ruled in the past, and the DRB has agreed, that this standard
expressly applies to new construction, not historic structures. Principal Building Coverage (21-25): Existing SF: 11,045 sf Standard SF: 13,104 sf Proposed SF: 11,045 sf
The Principal Building is an existing historic landmark building that is proposed to remain unchanged in its coverage area, which is
below the 15% coverage area standard for the lot.
Front/Side/2 nd -Story Building Setbacks (21-22): Standard, combined Proposed, combined, min The Principal Building is an existing historic landmark building that is proposed to remain unchanged with regard to its setbacks. The building currently has one area that encroaches into the side setback, but it is an existing historic condition.
Second Story Side Façade Setback (21-22): Requested relief: None The Principal Building is an existing historic landmark building that will have small amount of second level square footage added within the roof form of the main mass of the building, however, the area that is being dormered for the second story sits well within an interior
part of the site, approximately 160' away from both the front and rear property lines, and an average of 92' away from the side lot lines.
Principal Building Side Façade Setback (21-22): Requested Relief: None The Principal Building is an existing historic landmark building that is proposed to remain unchanged with regard to its side facades massing and position.
Other (circle any that apply): Third Story, Principal Building Front Façade, Building Orientation, Building Foundation Height, Foundation Enclosure, or Accessory Structure:

REQUEST FOR HISTORIC DESIGN REVIEW (PAGE 4)

Do you propose any exterior changes to the historic structure? Yes: _____ No: _____ If you answered "yes", please provide a detailed explanation and sequence of the work below:

Section 21-97. C Historic Preservation Standards:

Submit in writing and be prepared to describe how your project is consistent with the following ten preservation standards, and the most recent version of the Secretary of Interior's Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties. **On your elevation drawings show all existing conditions and proposed changes. Detail existing materials and highlight all new and preserved architectural and structural elements.

https://www.nps.gov/tps/standards/treatment-guidelines-2017.pdf

- (a) Using a property as it was used historically or giving a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces, and spatial relationships;
- (b) Retaining and preserving the historic character of a property; avoidance of the removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property;
- (c) Avoiding changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other buildings;
- (d) Retaining and preserving changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right;
- (e) Preserving distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property;
- (f) Repairing rather than replacing deteriorated historic features; or where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture, and, where possible, materials;
- (g) Utilizing the gentlest means of chemical or physical treatments;
- (h) Protecting and preserving the archeological resources in place, and if disturbing, mitigation measures will be undertaken;
- (i) Not destroying historic materials, features, and spatial relationships that characterize the property; differentiating the new work from the old and making it compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale, and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment; and,
- (j) Undertaking new construction in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

The design approach to the renovation and conversion of the historic Fort Moultrie Officer's Club / Jasper Hall is being undertaken with an adaptive restoration ethos. The original design of the facility was in a formal classical mode, that complimented the Island Beach Cottage style of the Senior Officers Residences, to which it was related by proximity. It was special design, that did not follow a typical Army Quartermaster plan, and had an elevated level of detail and architectural character at the direction of the base leadership. However, in the early 1960s, after the decommissioning of the fort and sale of the property to a private entity, SCE&G, the building underwent a massive exterior renovation that stripped it of much of its historic character. The facades were stripped and reclad with flush windows and synthetic siding, many windows were closed in altogether, porches were roofed with sloped roofs that covered or obscured many of the details of the main building mass, incompatible mid-century colonial columns were added to the beach porches, an external dual stair and sparsely detailed portico was added to the main facade, covering the original Palladian motif of the building, decorative screen grilles were replaced with louvers, and a poorly detailed brick water table was added. While these modifications were made in a legitimate effort to solve some functional issues with the building, it was unfortunately carried out in a way that in incompatible with he original design, or the design of the contextual historic Fort Moultrie buildings.

See attached sheet for continued narrative.

(Please use extra sheet as needed)

The design team has done a considerable amount of investigation to uncover the full architectural history of the building and the intentions for the architecture of the military personnel involved in the project at the time, primarily the senior leadership, and it is clear that the building as it sits today bears resemblance to the original construction in its general massing, but little else. The opportunity that the design team sees at hand with the massive effort it will take to convert the building to single-family residence is to restore a measure of the original architectural intent and detail to the building during the renovation process. Removing incompatible details from the mid-century and restoring details and windows that were lost or covered up on the building is a priority. However, as mentioned previously, the renovations undertook by SCE&G were an attempt to solve certain building issues, like the original entrance flooding regularly or the need for shade on the south-facing porches. The design team's goal is to redesign/rebuild those areas that made sense functionally, but using architectural styles and details that are compatible with the history of the building and context form the early 20th century. Restoring the Palladian motif to the entrance facade is also of paramount importance. This was the historic view of the building that the base senior leadership had from their homes on the officer's row on Ion Street, and deserves to be restored. The design calls for achieving that with a Palladian portico that will showcase the history while meeting the functional goal of an exterior entrance out of the flood plain.

The corner pilasters on the building appear to be the only original cladding materials left on the structure. The team will preserve and restore those, and replace the synthetic siding and flush windows with a siding that is historically compatible in its depth and profile, and traditional windows that are inset in the framing wall to achieve the depth of facade that would have been present on the original construction. The roof will be restored to a standing seam metal roof, and decorative trim and facade elements that were lost will be recreated or incorporated in way that are compatible with the original design. The existing water table, possibly installed to assist with water intrusion into the basement was poorly detailed and is doing little to help with water protection. The design team is proposing to remove it and re-install a properly detailed foundation wall and water table to create a functional and visually solid base for the building.

In general, the preservation approach to Jasper Hall will be to restore and recreate original detail and character where possible, and redesign with historically, contextually appropriate details and character where restoration is not possible. The result will be a building that combines the artistry and character of the 1933 Quartermasters design with the practicality and modern thinking of the SCE&G's 1960 renovation, to achieve a new life for this nearly 100-year old landmark building for the next century of Sullivan's Island life.