| 0001 | | October 2016 Minutes | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | TOW | N OF SULLIVAN'S ISL | AND | | |
| - | | ESIGN REVIEW BOARD | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | MEETING DEFODE. | | | | |
| | | PAT ILDERTON, CHAIF October 21, 2016 | PERSON | | |
| | | 6:00 PM | | | |
| | | Town of Sullivan's | Tsland | | |
| - · | | 2050-B Middle Stree | | | |
| 15 | | Sullivan's Island, | SC | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| | REPORTED BY: | Priscilla Nay | | | |
| 17 | | Certified Shorthand | l Reporter | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 20 | A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES | | | | |
| 20 21 | | , Accurate & Friend | - | | |
| 21 | | Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263 | | | |
| 22 | (045) 722-0414 | (0+5) 705-5205 | (0+5) 055-5570 | | |
| 23 | Columbia, SC | Greenville, SC | Charlotte. NC | | |
| | | (864) 234-7030 | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 0002 | | | | | |
| 1 2 | APPEARANCES: | | | | |
| Z | DAT TIDERT | ON, CHAIRPERSON | | | |
| 3 | | T, BOARD MEMBER | | | |
| - | | MANN, BOARD MEMBER | | | |
| 4 | | IS, BOARD MEMBER | | | |
| | BEVERLY BO | HAN, BOARD MEMBER | | | |
| 5 | | DERS, BOARD MEMBER | | | |
| | | SON, ZONING ADMINIS | | | |
| 6 | | NSON, BUILDING OFFI | | | |
| - | KAT K. KEN | YON, TOWN SECRETARY | (| | |
| 7 8 | | | | | |
| 8 9 | ALSO PRESE | NT. | | | |
| 10 | | QUARLES | | | |
| 10 | | ER WILSON | | | |
| 11 | | IE MIRAZIZ | | | |
| | | RHODES | | | |
| 12 | DONIV | AN GLASSBURN | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | October 2016 Minutes BEAU CLOWNEY |
|----------|--|
| 13 | BEAU CLOWNER |
| 14 | (INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT) |
| 15 | (INDEX AT REAR OF TRADERITY) |
| 16 | |
| 10 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |
| 0003 | |
| 1 | THE CHAIRMAN: It is six o'clock and |
| 2 | this is the October 19th, 2006 meeting of the |
| 3 | Sullivan's Island Design Review Board. And members |
| 4 | in attendance are Duke Wright, Pat Ilderton, Bunky |
| 5 | Wichmann, Linda Perkis, Beverly Bohan, and Rhonda |
| 6 | Sanders. The Freedom of Information requirements |
| 7 | have been met for this meeting. |
| 8 | And do I have to say this about the |
| 9 | cell phones or not? Okay. All right. I would now |
| 10 | ask all the cell phones be turned off. Items on |
| 11 | tonight's agenda are approval of the minutes. Do I |
| 12 | hear |
| 13 14 | MS. SANDERS: Approval. THE CHAIRMAN: Second? |
| 14 15 | MS. PERKIS: Second. |
| 15 16 | THE CHAIRMAN: Second. And discussion? |
| 10 17 | Everybody in favor, aye. |
| 18 | (Board members stated aye.) |
| 19 | 1738 MIDDLE STREET |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 1738 Middle |
| 21 | Street. Restoration, addition. |
| 22 | MR. HENDERSON: Thank you, members of |
| 23 | the Board. Ms. Linda, Mr. Bunky, welcome. This is |
| 24 | Agenda Item C-1. The first item is a certificate |
| 25 | of appropriateness for a historic property located |
| | |
| 0004 | |
| 1 | at 1738 Middle Street. This is a Sullivan's Island |
| 2 | landmark. |
| 3 | The Board has reviewed this on two |
| 4 | previous times, once on March 16th, and on June |
| 5 | 15th was the final plan review for the project. |
| 6 | This is essentially a modification to an existing |
| 7 | certificate of appropriateness. |
| 8 | So they're only making several changes |
| 9 | to the approved plan. And so just to go through |
| | Page 2 |

October 2016 Minutes 10 those very quickly, during June 15th the Board 11 approved a rear elevation, a front facade elevation, an inground pool, and also a detached 12 13 accessory structure, a detached garage with a 14 carport, a golf cart carport. 15 And all of the preservation work to the 16 historic structure, the junior officer's quarters 17 building, is to remain the same. It's removing the vinyl, replacing the windows, keeping what windows 18 19 are significant to the structure. 20 I will let Jay go through those in a 21 minute. I have provided and your staff reports 22 reference the 10 Secretary of the Interior

23 standards and we believe that it is in keeping with 24 those 10 standards. The Town staff recommends the 25 change proposed which involves removing that front

0005

1 addition from the front facade and displacing that 2 square footage on the rear addition. 3 Additionally, we, the Board, reviewed and approved a demolition of the remnants of a 4 5 cistern on this property and I can show some 6 pictures of that. I have spoken with the property owner and asked if he would reconsider the removal 7 8 of the remnants and potentially just keep if not 9 what remains there maybe a portion of the cistern 10 in an effort to meet one of our reconstruction 11 design guidelines, which says that you should keep 12 archaeological sort of elements of the site. 13 Again, we did approve the removal of it 14 at the June 15th meeting. So with that I'll defer 15 to the Board for any questions about this project and also the presentation of the applicant. 16 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. 18 MR. QUARLES: Good evening, Board. My name is James Quarles. I'm with Beau Clowney 19 Architects and this is 1738 Middle Street. It's a 20 21 great junior officer's quarters that we are 22 preserving the street elevation of. 23 We are not adding onto the front of the 24 Our addition is towards the back and building. 25 then a garage addition to the -- to the street 0006 1 beyond with access from Thompson. It's one of 12 2 junior officers' quarters, I think. Something like 3 that. 4 This is how it is in its existing 5 condition. Pre-Hugo it was cladded with vinyl 6 siding and then post-Hugo they replaced the windows

7 with aluminum and vinyl windows.

October 2016 Minutes To keep costs down we are proposing -well, we're not touching -- we're renovating the original building to leave the existing windows and then just provide new clad aluminum windows, clad wood windows, in the new additions.

So, as you can see, the front is really -- we're just kind of restoring the front facade, adding the functional shutters instead of the vinyl shutters that are just kind of screwed onto the front, hopefully removing the vinyl. Well, removing the vinyl and hopefully restoring the original wood siding that is underneath.

We have really got to get into it and find out what's going on underneath there. But in those post-Hugo pictures in the reports on file you can see that the siding is there and we're hoping it's in the best of condition. Just some of the photos of the project and the neighbor.

0007

1 Lots of vinyl. Lots of vinyl shutters. 2 Hopefully taking all that off you can see the original structure of the porch roof seems to be in 3 good condition and hopefully restoring it to -- to 4 5 this condition which one of the neighbors have 6 done. That's about it. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any public 8 comment to this application? Public comment 9 section is closed. Joe, anything to add? 10 MR. HENDERSON: No, sir. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Rhonda, do you 12 want to start? 13 MS. SANDERS: I'm sorry. I'm not 14 ready. Can you start somewhere else? 15 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Beverly. 16 MS. BOHAN: I just had a question about 17 the roof. 18 MR. QUARLES: Sure. 19 MS. BOHAN: If you would, maybe 20 post-Hugo and the plans -- and I realize that we have reviewed and approved a portion of the 21 22 renovation already. 23 MR. QUARLES: So right now as it is, it 24 has asphalt shingles on the roof. It is in pretty 25 decent shape. We are hoping budget permitting to 0008

do a standing seam metal roof, most likely
Galvalume, and that will kind of dull to a light
gray over time.
We might just maintain that existing
asphalt roof until that due time when that needs to

October 2016 Minutes 6 be replaced and go to the standing seam metal roof. 7 On the new addition it will be a standing seam 8 metal roof. 9 MS. BOHAN: Could I ask Joe a question? 10 MR. HENDERSON: Uh-huh. 11 MS. BOHAN: On the historic 12 preservation of the junior officer's is asphalt 13 required on the existing portion and the new portion can be the standing seam? 14 15 MR. HENDERSON: No. There is really 16 no hard and fast rule to what the historic 17 materials -- for what the new materials have to be. 18 MS. BOHAN: Okay. 19 MR. HENDERSON: We simply reference the 20 historic survey card -- historic survey card which states that it was -- the original material was 21 22 slate. So... MS. BOHAN: Okay. 23 24 MR. HENDERSON: You know, so 25 historically either it was slate or a metal roof. 0009 1 MS. BOHAN: Okay. 2 MR. HENDERSON: Asphalt shingles didn't 3 come along until much later. So typically if you 4 are replacing the asphalt then the metal roof is 5 what you -- what you would --6 MS. BOHAN: It would be more 7 appropriate. MR. HENDERSON: Historic cottages on 8 9 Sullivan's Island wore metal roofs. 10 MS. BOHAN: Okay. I'm thrilled with 11 the changes and thank you both for the -- I believe what's preserving the integrity of the facade of 12 13 the front Middle Street side. So thank you. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Linda. 15 MS. PERKIS: I have no comment. I'm 16 kind of late to this process. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Okay. 18 MS. PERKIS: It's already approved. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine. Bunky. 20 MR. WICHMANN: Thank you. I agree. I 21 think it is great what y'all are doing in 22 preserving the historical structures, really 23 important to the integrity of the island. The 24 roof, you say you're going to do a standing seam 25 and not a 5V. Is that right?

0010

| 1 | | MS. | QUARLES: | Right | now we're | proposing |
|---|------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| 2 | a standing | seam. | | | | |
| 3 | | MR. | WICHMANN: | Okay. | Great. | And |
| | | | | | | |

October 2016 Minutes 4 MR. QUARLES: 5V would be a little bit 5 more lowcountry than officer quarters. MR. WICHMANN: Right. 6 I just wanted to 7 make sure we're clear on that. That's great. And 8 the desire -- you said the hope is to return it to 9 the former architectural design and to be rid -- is 10 the goal to be rid of all the vinyl siding? 11 MR. QUARLES: That's the ultimate goal. 12 MR. WICHMANN: The ultimate goal. 13 Okay. 14 MR. QUARLES: And replace -- where we have to replace the original wood siding it would 15 be wood siding. 16 17 MR. WICHMANN: Okay. But there will be a clear definitive line obviously with the addition 18 19 but also anywhere with the siding where it's 20 removed? 21 MR. QUARLES: Well, I think where it's 22 on the existing building, on the existing structure, it's a much tighter lap. It's like a 23 24 four-inch lap siding. 25 MR. WICHMANN: Right.

0011

1 MR. QUARLES: I think, you know, if we 2 had to replace a patch of it here we would match 3 the existing siding. We wouldn't go in with a 4 six-inch lap siding. 5 Now, on the new addition we could 6 transition to a six-inch lap to show a difference, 7 but right now we are proposing to maintain that 8 four-inch lap on the new addition. 9 MR. WICHMANN: Great. Thank you. 10 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I like it. Ι 11 think if you're going to -- if you can't -- if the 12 owners can't afford to do everything right away I 13 know it's probably going to progress better and 14 better as they live in it longer, but it looks --15 the addition looks great and it is nice you're 16 preserving the original facade. Duke. 17 MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with it. I think 18 it is a much better design than we looked at the 19 last time even though I did approve the last one, 20 but I think you've done a real good job of 21 modifying that to a better situation; so I'm all 22 for it. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Rhonda. 24 MS. SANDERS: I'm sorry. I don't -- I 25 mean, I love it. I don't remember -- I remember --0012

1 I don't remember why -- I don't remember approving

October 2016 Minutes 2 this. Maybe I was not here. You said June? July. 3 I was not here in July. 4 MR. QUARLES: We -- the conceptual was 5 in March and we had the discussion about the --6 MS. SANDERS: I guess my question is --7 I'm sorry -- what is the -- why we are we reviewing 8 it again? 9 MR. QUARLES: It's a change in design. 10 It is a pretty significant change in design. MS. SANDERS: Oh, okay. 11 12 MR. HENDERSON: Previously, the --13 MS. SANDERS: Okay. That makes more 14 sense because I remember there being something we 15 wanted set back, right? MR. QUARLES: 16 Right. 17 MS. SANDERS: Okay. 18 MR. QUARLES: There was a --19 MS. SANDERS: And it looks like you did 20 that. So... 21 MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. It's gone 22 basically. 23 MS. SANDERS: Okay. 24 MR. QUARLES: Yes. 25 MS. SANDERS: Looks good then. 0013 1 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Do I hear a 2 motion? 3 MR. WICHMANN: Motion to approve. THE CHAIRMAN: 4 Yes. Second. 5 Discussion? Everybody in favor, aye. 6 (Board members stated aye.) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thank you, sir. 8 2850 JASPER BOULEVARD 9 THE CHAIRMAN: 2850 Jasper. 10 MR. HENDERSON: All right. This is 11 Agenda Item D-1. It is noted as a special 12 exception request at 2850 Jasper Boulevard. 13 However, the request for this final approval for 14 the special exception has been deferred for 15 tonight. What is proposed is just simply an 16 architectural change in the plans. 17 It is a lean-to being added to this 18 structure and our applicants have just left. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. 20 MR. HENDERSON: We've deferred it. 21 THE CHAIRMAN: So it's not on the 22 agenda? 23 MR. HENDERSON: It's not on the agenda, 24 but I did want to point out just a slight 25 modification to the historic preservation plan. I

October 2016 Minutes

1 mentioned that we could approve this more than 2 likely at the staff level. So this is the front facade of the historic structure. They are putting 3 4 a small lean-to, bump-out here, which would be a 5 new construction. The idea here is to keep this 6 structure here under 1,200 square feet so that you 7 can build a new house elsewhere on the lot. 8 So with this addition they're still 9 keeping it under the 1,200 square feet and I think 10 that we can approve this at the staff level, issue 11 the permits, and get them working on the 12 restoration. 13 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I think as much 14 as y'all can approve it at the staff level --15 MS. SANDERS: Absolutely. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: -- and you feel 17 comfortable doing that I think you should do that. 18 MR. HENDERSON: Okay. Again, they're 19 refining their final elevations for the new 20 construction which will be presented to you when 21 requesting the final approval for the special 22 exceptions for the new house. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. 24 MR. WRIGHT: Even though we're not 25 reviewing this, Joe, what happened to the chimney? 0015 1 MR. HENDERSON: The chimney was 2 reviewed during the -- the removal of the chimney 3 was reviewed during the last meeting for conceptual 4 approval of this and it was not -- it was not an 5 original piece, original to the house. I believe 6 that's what Mr. Clowney's office explained. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Well, they'll be back. Ι 8 just happened to notice looking at it today there 9 is a chimney there now that may or may not be 10 historic. 11 MS. HENDERSON: I'm trying to remember 12 what the discussion was. 13 MS. SANDERS: I think it was in bad 14 disrepair and had been repaired on the bottom and 15 top and most of it was not left. I'm with you. I 16 love chimneys, but I don't think that was the 17 issue. 18 MR. HENDERSON: I don't think it was a 19 chimney. I think it was some kind of a --20 MS. SANDERS: They pulled --MR. WICHMANN: Is that it? 21 22 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. If you 23 could show that to Duke, it wasn't actually --

0014

24

THE CHAIRMAN: It's just blocks.

October 2016 Minutes 25 THE WITNESS: It's not much of a --0016 1 MR. WRIGHT: It's not a real --THE CHAIRMAN: It may be a chimney, but 2 3 it's not very attractive. 4 MR. HENDERSON: And I don't think the 5 floor plan indicated there ever was a fireplace on 6 that side of a wall. 7 MS. SANDERS: I think they're looking 8 at a different one. 9 MR. HENDERSON: You know, if it's 10 different from that I'll send you all kind of a digital review if it's different from what we're 11 12 talking about. 13 MR. WRIGHT: Well, I'll tell you that I 14 think repairing and upgrading and bringing that 15 cottage back to its original state is a tremendous 16 plus. 17 MR. WICHMANN: I was excited about 18 that. Yeah. 19 MR. WRIGHT: That's a great house. 2830 HARVEY STREET 20 21 THE CHAIRMAN: 2830 Harvey Street. 22 MR. HENDERSON: Okay. This is Agenda Item E-1. It's a nonhistoric design review. This 23 property is not designated as historic. Heather 24 25 Wilson Architects are the applicants here 0017 requesting conceptual approval for what amounts to 1 a new construction of a home. There is a portion 2 3 of the existing home remaining. It is a two-story 4 unit. 5 It would be considered a nonconforming unit, but the new house is going to be attached 6 to this. And requested tonight is a 10 percent 7 8 increase in principal building coverage or 9 292 square feet and 17 percent in principal 10 building square footage or 676 square feet for an 11 increase in the heated area. 12 With that, I'll turn it over the Board 13 Thank you. for any questions. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Heather. 15 MS. WILSON: Heather Wilson. We have 16 the owners who are here. The residents are there. 17 So this is a streetscape. It's pretty small. I'm sure you're familiar, but it's on the corner of 18 19 28th and Harvey. 20 It's a little bit of a hodgepodge right 21 This is -- you can see there is an old now. cottage under there, which is the part of the house 22

October 2016 Minutes 23 that they do like, but it's been covered up with so 24 many additions and porches enclosed that it's -- we 25 decided it really wasn't recoverable.

0018 1 So that's the genesis for the design 2 that you have in front of you is to get back to 3 that one-story Sullivan's Island cottage. We tried to use as many elements as we could, the front 4 5 porch and side porches. There's also an elevated 6 pool on this property inside -- on the other side 7 of this -- and inside the property. 8 They want to put that in the ground. 9 This is the piece that Joe referred to that we're keeping. They're going to take off the roof and 10 put a hip on it. It's currently a two-story guest 11 room that they'd like to keep because we tried to 12 13 kind of keep it tucked. 14 You can see it back there tucked back 15 in and bring the one-story portions to both 16 streets, to Harvey and to Station Street, so that 17 we can see more porches and conceal the pool, get that back behind the front line of the house. 18 19 The biggest reason for the request is, 20 again, is it's primarily a one-story residence. 21 You know how that just sort of grows and finishes 22 out on the principal building number. That's it. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Are there any public comment to this application? Public comment 24 25 section is closed then. Joe.

0019

1 MR. HENDERSON: I would just point out 2 the elevations here. So this is the street facade, 3 right? 4 MS. WILSON: Correct. 5 MR. HENDERSON: Facing Station 28. If 6 you notice this portion of the facade you'll be on 7 Station 28 facing this street frontage. Currently 8 the facade of the existing house is much closer, 9 right? It is nonconforming. It encroaches right 10 on the property line essentially. 11 MS. WILSON: That's right. MR. HENDERSON: So the massing of the 12 13 new home will be set back. And we talked about 14 this a little bit earlier with one of the board 15 members, that it is going to be off a little bit. 16 Is this going to be relocated at all or is it going 17 to stay in --MS. WILSON: It's further back as well. 18 19 So the whole thing is shifting toward that back 20 corner?

October 2016 Minutes 21 MR. HENDERSON: The property line. 22 MS. WILSON: Yeah. I think the site 23 plan has the dashed red of the existing location of 24 the cottage. 25 MR. HENDERSON: And that's all I have 0020 1 to add. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thanks. Duke, 3 do you want to start? 4 MR. WRIGHT: No. I'm fine. 5 THE CHAIRMAN: I think it is a good-6 looking addition of a house or a brand new house 7 really. It is good-looking. I like it. It's got 8 a good feel. Bunky. 9 MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. I think to be 10 consistent with my question to the -- and the 11 previous one, it's -- there's not going to be any 12 vinyl siding or any of that? 13 MS. WILSON: No. 14 MR. WICHMANN: No. I think it is 15 lovely. 16 MS. WILSON: Thank you. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Linda. 18 MS. PERKIS: Well, I do have an 19 objection to it because Brownell links right into 20 All you're going to see when you come down it. 21 Brownell is a wall in front of you. I think we 22 have personally too many walls on Sullivan's 23 Island. 24 I was hoping -- and if you would notice 25 many houses that are built on a corner like this 0021 have flipped the house so that the extension -- and 1 2 I really don't know what that's called. This long part -- is on the inside of the lot. 3 4 If this lot was in the middle of the --5 of a row of houses you wouldn't have any objection, 6 but if you ride down into Brownell you're going to 7 hit the -- you're not going to hit the house, I 8 hope, but the house is going to be right there. А 9 lot of houses with this kind of configuration have 10 flipped it so the pool is on the side of the street which gives it a much more open feeling than a 11 12 wall. 13 Do you know what I'm saying? I have 14 looked at so many houses as I've driven through 15 Sullivan's Island and the extension -- I'll call it 16 an L. I don't know what you want to call it -- is 17 flipped so it's on the inside of the lot, which 18 gives you a feeling of space.

October 2016 Minutes 19 Do you understand what I'm saying? 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah. I imagine there's 21 going to be a fair amount of planting on that edge as well as possibly -- and a fence with that pool 22 23 there. I don't know. There's going to be other 24 things beside the house before --25 MS. PERKIS: Well, right across the 0022 1 street they've done the same thing. This house 2 right here, which we can't see right here, the white one -- yes. That house had a red roof. It's 3 4 It's a metal roof. done. 5 It had the same thing. It had a long 6 extension and instead of putting it on the street 7 side they backed it up onto the other side of the house where they could have gone along the street 8 9 and had more of a wall feel and then protected 10 their pool if that's what you want, the privacy of the pool, but they didn't. 11 12 They opted to put -- so you have space. 13 So you see the pool and it gives you a feel of 14 openness rather than --15 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. 16 MS. PERKIS: That's my concern. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you, 18 Beverly. ma'am. 19 MS. BOHAN: I don't have an objection to it because I don't think you're -- you're within 20 21 a 30-foot running length, which is compliable. I 22 don't have an objection. I think it is well-23 designed. 24 MR. HENDERSON: It's actually they're 25 required to have 15-foot side setback off of the 0023 1 property line which --2 But the running length of MS. BOHAN: 3 that wall is only 32 feet. 4 MR. HENDERSON: Oh, this length? 5 MS. BOHAN: Yeah. The running length 6 of that is only 32. 7 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. 8 Ms. BOHAN: So that's basically the 9 size of a typical living room which is what you're 10 doing. 11 MS. PERKIS: Well, I've also noticed 12 here -- and this is encouraged for greater 13 neighborhood compatibility. Thank you, Mr. 14 Henderson, for pointing all of these things out to 15 make me and making sure I read them all. There are no front stairs which we 16

October 2016 Minutes 17 have tried to incorporate in many of our houses 18 on Sullivan's Island for a more welcoming effect. 19 The front stairs would be facing on Harvey, I would 20 assume. 21 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. And the 22 front porch is on the --23 MS. PERKIS: There are no front stairs, 24 which is a minor thing. But if that's what you're 25 trying to do with neighborhood compatibility... 0024 MR. HENDERSON: Would there be stairs 1 coming out the front --2 MS. WILSON: There is a gracious front 3 4 porch and there's french doors across it so that it 5 has a front presence, but for privacy they would rather have that landscaped and it is set back off 6 7 of the street. 8 MS. PERKIS: Well, just where am I 9 going to go to have my glass of wine with Jennifer? 10 Just how am I going to come into the 11 house? 12 MS. SANDERS: You might have to be 13 invited. 14 MS. PERKIS: Well, she's invited me. I 15 want to know where I'm going to come for my glass 16 of wine. I just want to know. 17 MS. BOHAN: I'm with you. MS. PERKIS: How do I get in? 18 19 MS. WINSTON: The plan for the 20 landscape plan for this is actually sort of in 21 keeping with what you're talking about. If you go 22 to the elevation of this side -- sorry. 23 I should know what that is. That's 24 probably where you're going to have your wine is on the side of the pool. But if you're coming to have 25 0025 your wine would -- this is the street. The idea of 1 2 this is that this would actually be open so that you would have a view through there. The idea is 3 4 that there would be a pedestrian path through to 5 the inner courtyard. 6 MS. PERKIS: Oh, that's good. 7 MS. WILSON: Then there is also this 8 step that's more immediate from the parking so you 9 can get up and actually walk into a more day-to-day functional front door. But there is a concept that 10 11 they're working on with their landscape. 12 You know, that transparency is really 13 important so that you're in that courtyard but you are connected back to the outside. So that center 14

October 2016 Minutes 15 line, you know, is strong and important and you 16 will reap that. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Great. Thanks. Rhonda. MS. SANDERS: I think it is beautiful. 18 19 I understand where you're coming from. Most of 20 those houses we object to are usually two-story and 21 flat, a big wall. I'm sure all of this with the 22 landscape is going to -- plus it's one-story. 23 You give them extra credit for that. 24 It's awesome. Beautiful. 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Do I hear a motion? 0026 1 MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve final. 2 THE CHAIRMAN: Second? MS. BOHAN: Second. 3 4 THE CHAIRMAN: Discussion? Everybody 5 in favor? 6 (Board members stated ayed.) 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody opposed? 8 MS. PERKIS: Not opposed. Not opposed. 9 Thank you, Heather. MR. HENDERSON: 10 2112 ATLANTIC AVENUE 11 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. 2112 12 Atlantic Avenue. 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is another 14 nonhistoric design review. Herlong & Associates 15 is requesting what essentially amounts to the conversion of an attic space in this home to heated 16 17 square footage or increase in principal building 18 square footage. 19 In order for these folks to expand into 20 the attic we have to go through the formality of 21 granting relief --22 THE CHAIRMAN: Really? 23 MR. HENDERSON: -- to the principal 24 building square footage. There are no changes 25 being made to the exterior. 0027 1 THE CHAIRMAN: This ought to be fast. 2 MS. PERKIS: We'll never see it? I 3 mean --4 MR. MIRAZIZ: No. 5 MR. HENDERSON: The second modification 6 to the ordinance is that the third story is limited 7 to 400 square feet. The DRB has to grant an increase in the square footage allowed on third 8 9 stories. They're requesting 15 percent of 60 10 square feet, another formality. Not changing. 11 THE CHAIRMAN: Right. Good. Thank 12 you. Yes, sir.

October 2016 Minutes 13 MR. MIRAZIZ: Good evening, everybody. 14 Charlie Miraziz with Herlong & Associates. I think Joe covered pretty much all of it. I'm here 15 representing Art Brown. Like he said, it's just 16 17 finishing out a portion of that. 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any public 19 comment? Public comment section is closed. Joe, 20 anything more to add? 21 MR. HENDERSON: No, sir. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Duke. 23 MR. WRIGHT: It is fine. 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Yep. 25 MR. WRIGHT: There's no change. 0028 1 THE CHAIRMAN: I'm for it. Okay. 2 MS. PERKIS: I make a motion. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion. 4 MS. SANDERS: Final. 5 MR. WICHMANN: Aye. 6 THE CHAIRMAN: Second? I'll be -- aye. 7 Okay. 8 (Board members stated aye.) 9 THE CHAIRMAN: It's all good. Okay. 10 The next thing. 11 MR. MIRAZIZ: Thank you, everybody. 12 3020 I'ON AVENUE 13 MR. HENDERSON: All right. Agenda Item D-3 is another nonhistoric design at 3020 I'On 14 15 Avenue. Mr. Sammy Rhodes is requesting the exact same thing, expansion or conversion of the attic 16 17 space into heated square footage. 18 So he needs an increase in what's 19 allowed for the Zoning Ordinance standard. He is 20 asking for 11 percent or 365 square feet. No 21 external changes are being made. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. Thank 23 you. Sammy, does that cover it? MR. RHODES: That's it. Yes, sir. 24 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I thought it 0029 1 might. All right. 2 MS. PERKIS: The max you can have is 3 400? Anybody? 4 MR. HENDERSON: This is not a third 5 story. This is expansion of attic space on the 6 second story. 7 MR. RHODES: Yes, second story. 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Public comment? No 9 public comment. Nothing to add. Joe? MR. HENDERSON: No, sir. 10 Page 15

| | October 2016 Minutes |
|----|---|
| 11 | THE CHAIRMAN: Rhonda. |
| 12 | MS. SANDERS: To answer your question, |
| 13 | I think what you're asking is if the request is for |
| 14 | additional square footage. Right? |
| 15 | MR. HENDERSON: That's exactly right. |
| 16 | MS. SANDERS: All right. And in the |
| 17 | same all right. I'm good. |
| 18 | THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. PERKIS: I'm good. I'm fine. |
| 20 | THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Motion? |
| 21 | MR. WRIGHT: I move it be approved. |
| 22 | MR. WICHMANN: Second. |
| 23 | THE CHAIRMAN: Everybody in favor? |
| 24 | (Board members stated aye.) |
| 25 | MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. |
| | |

0030

1 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. I've got to 2 get home to my grandchildren. Can I adjourn? All right. We're adjourned. 3 4 MR. HENDERSON: No. Wait. We have 5 talk about design guidelines. 6 (The proceedings were interrupted.) 7 MR. HENDERSON: Just one quick question: How do you guys feel about digital 8 9 reviews for it? I know we have always approved 10 these increases in square footages for expansion 11 into the attic. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 13 MR. HENDERSON: It's not making any 14 changes to the exterior. Can't we review for 15 neighborhood compatibility? Have we ever done a 16 digital review for increases or that's always been 17 hard and fast where you have to do it in a public 18 forum, to post the lot? 19 MS. SANDERS: I have one thing to say. I have no issue with that. I do want to point out 20 21 that this is a common reoccurrence from certain 22 builders. 23 I don't care about who or what, but 24 they come and they get their approval for this set 25 of plans and then they come back to get approval to 0031 increase the square footage in addition to because 1 2 it's attic space. So --3 MR. HENDERSON: At staff level. 4 MS. SANDERS: Well, what I'm saying is 5 when they originally come for the application 6 they're not asking for that addition. They wait until after they -- before they get CO or whatever. 7 8 They've built it. Yes. MR. HENDERSON:

October 2016 Minutes MS. SANDERS: So if they're asking for something later they -- I'm just pointing it out. That's all. MR. HENDERSON: That's true. I just wanted to get your thoughts on that. THE CHAIRMAN: Your point is well taken. I'm out of here. MR. HENDERSON: Thank you. (The hearing was adjourned at 6:29.) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Priscilla Nay, Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record. I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof. Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 31st day of October, 2016 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. Priscilla Nay, Court Reporter My Commission expires December 2, 2021 INDEX Page **1738 MIDDLE STREET**

```
Page 17
```

| 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 2850 JASPER BOULEVARD 2830 HARVEY STREET 2112 ATLANTIC AVENUE 3020 I'ON AVENUE CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | October | 2016 | Minutes 13 16 26 28 29 |
|-----------------------------|--|---------|------|---------------------------------------|
| 11 | ЕХНТ | вттс | | |
| 12 | (No Exhibits | |) | |
| 13 | (| | / | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |