In the Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island v

In Re: Design Review Board

Sullivans Island Design Review Board Mtg. April 20, 2016

A. William Roberts, Jr. and Associates
We're About Service... Fast, Accurate and Friendly
(800) 743-DEPO
www.scheduledepo.com



Court Reporting & Litigation Solutions www.scheduledepo.com | 800-743-DEPO



1		
2	T	OWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND
3		DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	MEETING BEFORE:	DUKE WRIGHT, CHAIRPERSON
12	DATE:	April 20, 2016
13	TIME:	6:00 PM
14	LOCATION:	Sullivan's Island Town Hall 2050-B Middle Street
15		Sullivan's Island, SC
16	REPORTED BY:	LORA L. McDANIEL,
17		Registered Professional Reporter
18	A. WIL	LIAM ROBERTS, JR. & ASSOCIATES
19		Fast, Accurate & Friendly
20		,
21	Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414	Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376
22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(3.2), 3.2. 2.2.
23	Columbia, SC	Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC
24	(803) 731-5224	(864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919
25		

1	APPEARANCES:	1
2	DUKE WRIGHT, CHAIRPERSON	
3	BILLY CRAVER, BOARD MEMBER BEVERLY BOHAN, BOARD MEMBER	
4	DONNA WEBB, BOARD MEMBER RHONDA SANDERS, BOARD MEMBER JOE HENDERSON, ZONING OFFICIAL	
5	KAT KENYON, PERMIT TECHNICIAN RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL	
6	RANDI ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	(INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's 6:00, April 20th.
2	This meeting of the Sullivan's Island Design Review
3	Board is called to order. Members present are Billy
4	Craver, Rhonda Sanders, Donna Webb, Beverly Bohan,
5	and Duke Wright.
6	The Freedom of Information Requirements
7	have been met for this meeting. And the items on
8	tonight's agenda are, the first one 3117
9	MR. CRAVER: You have to approve the
10	minutes.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry. I was not
12	here on the last meeting. The minutes of the March
13	meeting.
14	MR. CRAVER: I move for approval.
15	MS. SANDERS: Second.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
17	(All Board members stated aye.)
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: So moved.
19	3117 MARSHALL BOULEVARD
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: The first item is as I
21	said, 3117 Marshall. I must recuse myself from that.
22	Mr. Craver will assume command of this meeting while
23	I'm gone.
24	(Mr. Wright exited the room.)
25	MR. CRAVER: Joe.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

4

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. CRAVER: Conservation easement.

Present the application, if you would.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. This is agenda item C1. It's a special exception request for property at 3117 Marshall Boulevard. The applicants are requesting design review and approval for the conservation easement structure.

This is in accordance with Zoning Ordinance Section 21-20 C(5). What I'd like to do first is orient you to the property, the placement of the structure and also give you a little history on this.

The location is on the 3100 block of Marshall Boulevard, two parcels away from the breach inlet, far eastern side of the island. As you can see here, the private property lines are much smaller than the adjacent ones here.

To give you a little background on it, in 2015 the Town, through the planning commission study and also town council, approved a new special exception designation. This is a new land use allowed through the zoning ordinance and approved by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

This special exception is deemed a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

conservation easement use special exception because 1 2 it's allowed on environmentally sensitive properties. Those that have a high rate of erosion or in some 3 danger of encroachment of the ocean or marsh area. 4 5 It essentially allows the removal of the single-family home or structure of the principal 6 7 building and the placement of an accessory structure for storage use and for recreational use. 8

Previously, the ordinance would not allow this kind of structure unless you had a house; thus, the accessory use. So the Board of Zoning Appeals during their last meeting on April 14th approved unanimously the special exception and went through the statutory standards for granting special exceptions. That's stated in 21-178 of the zoning ordinance, and also reauthorized a front setback variance to allow this property -- this structure to be placed within five feet of the front property line. So that's a ten-foot variance that was granted.

So the Board's charge tonight is a very simple one. It is to ensure that the design of this structure, the placement of the structure, the way it's sited on the lot is in meeting or is compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.

1	6 We are to ensure that the design of the
2	structure is environmentally sensitive. It has a low
3	scale design which maximizes public view corridors.
4	And we are not permitted to grant any increases in
5	square footage.
6	What I'd like to do, Mr. Chairman, is to
7	defer to you-all for any questions on what's
8	submitted in the application and then defer to the
9	applicant's presentation on the design of the
10	structure. And I also have the elevations for the
11	structure on the projector.
12	MR. CRAVER: Joe, you've gone through the
13	requirements in here. Is it staff's recommendation
14	that this structure has met the requirements?
15	MR. HENDERSON: It has. It's met all of
16	the requirements of the zoning ordinance, 21-20 C(5).
17	Those are all the conditions of use.
18	And I can go through those as well, if you
19	like.
20	MR. CRAVER: Do y'all want him to go
21	through those or y'all want to hear from the
22	applicant?
23	MS. SANDERS: I've read through them. I'm
24	good.

MR. CRAVER: We'll hear from the

1 applicant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. DUNCAN: Do you need a board or is this good enough?

Let me show this anyway.

I'm Ian Duncan. I've revised since this submission I believe is on the screen because we had a lower structural member that was below -- it was at 18 feet, now we have i at 19, which meets the FEMA requirements.

We separated the deck structure from the roof structure, which also meets the lowest horizontal member requirement.

In essence, the families just want a structure across from their home -- they live directly adjacent -- to store their beach equipment and have a place to stay on the beach across the street, and open up their views. It's very low profile; just a cabana really.

We plan on revegetating the site in a natural state and hoping to stabilize the dunes.

Stabilize the dune structure with native vegetation and pretty minimal coverage of the site.

The one request that is not shown here, which the applicant has made, is the ability to secure this with some roll-down screens to secure the

1	entire 450, so that they can leave that furnished and
2	not have to pack everything away every night.
3	Right now this came up in the BZA. It
4	looks almost like a public lean-to that anyone could
5	walk up and get into. If they could secure it, I
6	think that would it would benefit the applicants
7	as well as make this not appear to be a public
8	structure.
9	MR. CRAVER: That's a new request that
10	hasn't been in any
11	MR. DUNCAN: It is, correct. I just spoke
12	with them this week. It came up in BZA: What's to
13	keep anybody from walking up here and camping out?
14	We didn't really have a good answer.
15	MR. HENDERSON: By definition, this
16	structure should be open air. It's an open air
17	structure. It allows 30 percent of the approved area
18	of it to be storage. That's where you have to store
19	all of the things all the recreation items and
20	furniture inside that you want to.
21	MR. DUNCAN: It's required to break it
22	down every night or bolted down.
23	MR. HENDERSON: Required to be open air.
24	MS. SANDERS: Like bolted down benches.

MR. HENDERSON:

Or bench underneath it.

1	We'll take a look at that.
2	MR. DUNCAN: We're at 130 square feet
3	enclosed here for storage with a shower stall and the
4	rest is just covered gazebo.
5	MR. CRAVER: You can store everything in
6	there.
7	MR. DUNCAN: They're going to do kayaks
8	and paddle boards, stuff like that. If they do want
9	pretty swanky sitting arrangement, that would make it
10	little more difficult to break that down every day.
11	MR. CRAVER: That isn't what the exception
12	allows. Anyway.
13	Anything else?
14	MR. DUNCAN: No, sir. I guess the one
15	thing is the roof material. We're going to try to go
16	with natural material, maybe a thatch or sweet grass
17	or palm leaf, some natural material. We haven't
18	decided on that yet. We're very preliminary. We
19	just got the zoning approval last week.
20	MR. CRAVER: Anything else?
21	MR. DUNCAN: That's it.
22	MR. CRAVER: Public comment. Anybody in
23	the public got anything they want to add?
2.4	MR BENNETT: Mr Bennett 3124 Marshall

Boulevard, neighbor right there. Been working with

18

19

20

- 1 Mr. Walldorf in the last year-and-a-half to get this 2 through the various things. And just neighbor in 3 support of the project.
- 4 MR. CRAVER: Great. Good. Anybody else?
 5 Going one, going twice. Public comment is closed.
 - Joe, do you have any more to say?
- 7 MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.
- 8 MR. CRAVER: The application is for what 9 we've got.
- 10 MR. HENDERSON: As submitted. Yes, sir.
- MR. DUNCAN: The request from the applicant was made a couple days ago.
- MR. CRAVER: Rhonda.
- MS. SANDERS: I think it's a nice

 15 solution. I think the roll-down is not going to

 16 work, whatever. It isn't our purview. And it will

 17 be inhabited by many.
 - MR. DUNCAN: In that case, we may do a railing, which would still be open air; something to make it seem a little more private.
- 21 | MS. SANDERS: Wildlife camera.
- MR. CRAVER: Or two.
- MS. SANDERS: Surprised what you'll see.
- MS. WEBB: I was curious about that, too.
- 25 Would there be any kind of signage or anything that

1	designates this as private property?
2	MR. HENDERSON: The Board of Zoning
3	Appeals brought that up. The signage would be
4	appropriate; no trespass-type signage. That's, of
5	course, allowed without any kind of permit. I think
6	that would be wise if you guys put it up.
7	MS. BOHAN: I think the signage plus the
8	vegetation and how you put the hedging with the
9	foliage and planting around will also deter public.
10	MR. DUNCAN: We plan on nestling this in,
11	hopefully getting the dune back, getting some height,
12	setting this down so it blends in with the landscape.
13	MR. CRAVER: I'm fine with it. Joe, can
14	you build a fence around?
15	MR. HENDERSON: You can. That's actually
16	a by-right use for the zoning ordinance. It doesn't
17	require any kind of DRB approval or BZA. You can put
18	up a fence on this lot.
19	MR. CRAVER: I would think about it. I
20	think it's good. Anybody want to make a motion?
21	MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve as is.
22	MR. CRAVER: Second?
23	MS. WEBB: Second.
24	MR. CRAVER: Any more discussion? All in
25	favor say aye.

	14
1	(All Board members stated aye.)
2	MR. CRAVER: No nays. You got it.
3	(Mr. Wright entered the room.)
4	2668 GOLDBUG AVENUE
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Next item on the agenda,
6	2668 Goldbug Avenue.
7	Before we start, let me remind everyone we
8	have ten items on the agenda tonight. We're going to
9	stick by the rules on length of time for presentation
10	and discussion; likewise, we'll be here all night.
11	MS. EUDY: What is the time?
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ten minutes on the
13	presentation, applicant presentation. And five
14	minutes for Joe's presentation.
15	MR. HENDERSON: Members of the board.
16	MS. WEBB: I need to recuse myself on this
17	one.
18	(Ms. Webb exited the room.)
19	MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item C2,
20	it's another special exception for property at 2668
21	Goldbug Avenue. The applicant, Allen Porter, is
22	requesting preliminary or final review and approval
23	for historic designation. This is through Section
24	21-94.
25	And also preliminary final approval for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

13

the RS district special exception. This is in accordance with 21-20 C(2) of the zoning ordinance.

Just to give you a little history on this request, the DRB first heard this on December 16, The Board granted conditional approval to designate the cottage under certain conditions. And that is that the cottage be restored and re-establish certain architectural elements of the original construction, and that is re-establishing the front The non-original addition on the rear be removed. The vinyl siding be removed, and Hardi-plank or wood be re-established. Period windows be placed in the structure. The chimney be maintained and restored. The metal roof design be kept, not the exact design but some type of metal roof. And also the DRB requested that the BZA consider the positioning of the new construction and consider the build-to-line for this block.

And we looked during our last meeting at the two adjacent structures, two adjacent properties that received dimensional variances in the past.

So the charge of the Board is two-fold during this meeting. The first is to review the two options for historic designation, and the second is to review the siting of the new construction for

1 | final approval.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The BZA did review this as well during their March 2016 meeting and, although the application was withdrawn during that meeting, they made some recommendations for the siting of the new construction, the new house.

Initially the Board approved the special exception with the house moved back 30 feet. Then they changed that to --

MS. EUDY: Made a motion to approve.

MR. HENDERSON: They made a motion to approve it with 30 feet and then changed that to 20 feet; is that right?

MS. EUDY: Right.

MR. HENDERSON: The applicants then withdrew the application from consideration. And so they have not re-presented that to the BZA. Instead, they followed the sequence of review and brought it before the DRB today.

So with that, I'll defer to the Board for any questions and also the presentation from the applicant. I can show you some of the renderings for the historic restoration and also the siting of the house.

THE CHAIRPERSON: So we'll do the historic

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | designation first; correct?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.

MS. EUDY: The historic designation first, then the placement.

MR. HENDERSON: I would prefer to handle it that way.

MS. EUDY: It's kind of complicated and contentious. That may be good.

My name is Summer Eudy. I'm here on behalf of Allen Porter and 2668 Goldbug Avenue.

Allen apologizes, and I have a letter that I want to read from him for not being here. He worked in North Carolina during the week.

And actually we were supposed to come in front of you guys at the February meeting. We wanted to get back in front of the DRB before going to the BZA and, unfortunately, the sign-up that advertises it in front of the house didn't get up within the ten-day time frame.

And so the meeting -- our portion of the meeting had to be cancelled. The neighbors had brought up the fact that the sign wasn't up enough. Allen was going to be present at that meeting. He was taking off the week -- he had taken off the week to be here, and he just could not get coverage for

2.2

his patients this week, and so he's not here.

But I have -- so we submitted for the proposed renovations to the cottage two plans; one plan proposes to put a screened porch on the front, and then one proposes to keep the front similar to what it is now, change the windows and doors around a little bit.

And I actually have a third proposal.

It's on the second page. This keeps the windows and doors in the actual same location they're in. You may not have even caught that one proposal that did not have the screened porch changed the location of the windows and doors.

This option that I'm throwing out right now would be cheaper in that the whole front wall would not have to be rebuilt. Kind of getting it all backwards; doing historic stuff before the placement. Joe is pulling it up.

This is the house as it sits now. Allen bought the house in 2012 from a fellow who owned it about two years. He bought it from the Brown family, who had been here since 1951. That's where it is. It's on the marsh on Goldbug.

Mr. Brown, he moved in there when his father bought the house in 1951. Actually brought us

2.2

the deed. He paid \$7,500 and financed it for \$75 a month. I thought that was kind of neat.

This is a picture -- unfortunately, it's got that black line. This picture is from December 1960. Mr. Brown tells us the house looked like this when they moved in, in 1951. It's essentially the same as it is now except there's a front deck on there and the windows had been changed out to more modern windows. There was vinyl siding put on. The structure of the house is that structure.

The proposal that I just handed out would be keeping those, basically putting those paned windows back in where they were and keeping everything the same. We would have to widen the door just a little bit because you literally can't get anything through that door; fridge, couch, nothing.

We had to take the big window -- if you looked at the house, it's got like big ugly windows. Had to take the windows out to get the couch and refrigerator in there originally.

I point this out to say that, while we definitely took heed to the Board's comments before about maybe putting a screened porch on the front and it's an option that we're willing to consider. We don't have any evidence that this house actually had

2.2

a screened porch. Since 1951 it's been like this. I think it would probably be cuter from the street with a screened porch.

With that being said, if we're trying to keep it as original as we know it to be, probably option -- the two options where we don't put the screen porch would be better.

The front deck that's on there right now will be modified to be smaller. It's really deep.

Mr. Brown built it himself. It will be more of a normal 12-foot deck.

These are pictures that I previously put in the presentation for the DRB. The Brown family, over the years at the house, you can see here the windows were changed out. And of note, on all of those plans it shows four-pane windows. Those are going to be 12-paned; six on the top, six on the bottom. The engineers couldn't get it in his plans. Our architect is also our engineer.

I had some photos of what the interior looked like in 2012 and the exterior. It was a mess. And, honestly, Allen had -- that was the room before. That's what it looks like now. Sorry, these pictures are dark. It really looks better than that.

You can see the kitchen there. Allen

2.2

didn't really have the vision to keep this house. I talked to him a lot about that, tried to convince him to do it. He did, and we renovated the interior.

This house is not protected. It can be torn down. It has been sitting, based on our information, been sitting in the same place on Goldbug since around 1925. We want to keep it as genuine and original as we can. Keeping it sitting in the place that it sits. We don't plan to move it.

And based on the plans we've given to you, bringing it back in line to what it should've been with real wood. We'll preserve the chimney. The roof will be compatible as to the metal standing seam. All those things we plan to do.

These are just other pictures. That was the back bedroom.

The back deck -- I don't think I have a picture of that. The back deck will be removed. We do have a proposal to put a small deck on the back where you could have a grill. It's not covered. What Mr. Brown built there, big circular thing on the back, all that will be removed.

What we wanted to talk to you about with regard to the historic designation and the renovation, if you guys had a preference on which

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

option. I think as long as we meet all the standards and qualifications for the historic renovation and the special exception designation, it would be my position that the owner could choose any of these options. And I hope that any of them would be okay with you for him to choose. If the Board prefers one over the other, we would like that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: Joe, can you please remind whatever motion was this historic issue came before us before. Can you remind us.

MR. HENDERSON: That's right. Sure. So during the December 16th meeting, the DRB requested that some of the architectural elements be brought back from its original design and it was -- although we looked at some of these historic pictures --

MS. EUDY: We didn't have the pictures.

MR. HENDERSON: We didn't have the historic pictures.

MS. EUDY: I wasn't at that meeting presenting. We didn't have the pictures. We actually didn't even have renderings as to how the historic renovation would be done.

I think what they did is come in front of you guys to see if you like the idea because,

obviously, right now the house can be moved, torn down, whatever. If we do this, it will be put on the protected list. We wanted to see, you know, if the Board even liked this option before we did all that. The Board, I think, says yes, we do, we would love to see this restored, bring us back some options for restoring.

And somebody mentioned -- it might've been Mr. Herlong, that it looks like it could've had a screened porch on the front. Maybe that would be a good option. That's where this stuff came from.

MR. HENDERSON: We didn't have any historic pictures. It wasn't clear to us whether it actually had a front porch on it. We have a picture from 1960. And also the previous owner mentioned in 1951, it was -- it had open rafters but it wasn't a screened-in porch.

MS. EUDY: It was just like it is now.

If we do create the screened porch, it takes a lot of functionality out of this house. You don't have any kind of entry-way to walk in right now. You walk into a little entry-way. Right beside it is a bedroom. All of that will have to come off. It will go from being a two-bedroom -- three-bedroom one bath to a two-bedroom one bath.

2.2

We did propose, if we're adding the screened porch and taking all that square footage off the front, adding just a bathroom on the back. The only bathroom in this house is literally connected to the living room; it is not very private.

MS. SANDERS: Can I re-ask the question.

Can you go back to December 16th.

MR. HENDERSON: The Board requested that the front porch be restored, but there were some other things that I don't think the owner has an issue with; maintaining the metal roof design, putting period windows in the entire house, restoring the chimney, adding wood or Hardi-Plank siding, removing the non-original addition on the rear.

And those were all requested in addition to the porch. I think the only point of contention here is the front porch issue. I think you're in agreement with the rest of the issue.

MS. EUDY: Yes.

MS. SANDERS: My question was, that was long time ago for me, December 16th. We were prepared to designate that as historic?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes. Those were the conditions that needed to be incorporated to the final elevation renderings. In order for the special

23 exception to be granted, this group needed to see 1 2 that was being presented. MS. SANDERS: No other historical data or 3 If you're good with it. 4 whatever. 5 MS. HENDERSON: That's it. What's been shown to us, what's fairly new information is the 6 7 historic picture from 1960; also the testimony of the 8 previous owners. MS. SANDERS: And it's less than 1,200 9 10 square feet when you take off the addition? 11 MR. HENDERSON: Yes, those measurements 12 have been certified by their engineer on the 13 submittal. 14 MS. SANDERS: That's all I have. 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna -- I'm sorry. 16 Bev. 17 MS. BOHAN: The difference between option 18 one, two, and three is just the facade? 19 Correct. One option has a MS. EUDY: screened porch. The other two keep it as is; one 20 21 moves the windows and doors; one leaves them the 2.2 same. 23 Exactly. Option three, do you MS. BOHAN: 24 feel like that -- are you presenting that option 25 because you feel like it is more historically

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. EUDY: I personally do. The engineer-architect came up with the option, what I call option two, the one where the windows and doors look kind of like they're in the same place but they're moved. I think more for the functionality of the inside.

And he also put the column, he put faux column to try to make it look like a porch. I like that option, too. It's good. In a lot of ways it's better.

I asked him to do this option because, I mean, it's more consistent with what's there. And it will be a lot cheaper because this is going to be -- you know, we've already got money into the interior of the project. We tried to be careful with that before we knew if this would be able to go forward.

Doing all this to the exterior is going to be expensive. Option one and two require rebuilding the whole front wall. Option three would just require replacing the windows and the siding and all that. And making the door slightly wider.

MR. HENDERSON: Summer, would you mind if we took a look at the historic picture of the front?

MS. EUDY: Yeah.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENDERSON: We have this open rafter detail. I think it's just one landing going up.

MS. EUDY: Right. We do propose to leave the porch as Mr. Brown built it across the front of the house but much smaller than it is now. I mean, it's probably like almost 20 feet wide now.

That, again, shows the windows have been changed out. It shows exactly what it looked like, which is what it looks like now. That probably would've been maybe '65-ish. I can't remember.

MS. BOHAN: Can you verify the width of the porch? I know the existing is being removed.

There's no dimension on this. You said it was --

MS. EUDY: It would go down to 12 feet.

MS. BOHAN: You said 12.

MS. EUDY: We can even take it down to ten. 12 is pretty standard. And it will be all new, that porch. Other than -- what's there is a little bit rickety.

MS. BOHAN: It shows eight-foot-six on this plan.

MS. EUDY: This is the one with the screened porch.

MS. BOHAN: If you did the screened porch.

MS. EUDY: Then it puts the steps right up

1 at the screened porch. 2 MS. BOHAN: Okay. 3 MS. EUDY: I think the screened porch would be whatever the dimension is of the rooms that 4 5 are there now. And we won't be able to keep it on the front of the house because the door has to be 6 7 widened. The door that was on there in 1960 picture is still there, which I think is kind of neat. 8 9 MS. BOHAN: Okay. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Bev. 11 Bill. 12 MR. CRAVER: I think you could probably do 13 any one of the three. I kind of like one better just 14 'cause it seems to make it look like a nicer looking 15 cottage. 16 MS. EUDY: I don't disagree with that. I really don't have -- I 17 MR. CRAVER: 18 don't have a problem with option one, two, or three. 19 Whatever the owner wants to do with it. I'm sure 20 that's a surprise. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is it my turn? 2.2 MR. CRAVER: Yeah. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: I personally prefer 24 option one. I think it brings it back to 25 cottage-type dwelling with screened porch on it.

square footage is the option one with the screened

8

9

10

16

- porch. The back bedroom and the sitting room will come up and then we added a little bit of square footage only on that option.
- 4 MS. SANDERS: That's included in these 5 numbers?
- 6 MS. EUDY: Right.

he couldn't be here.

- MS. SANDERS: These numbers on the total principal building square footage, these numbers are all included? This is historic exception, which is like a third page.
- 11 MS. EUDY: I'm not sure on that.
- MS. SANDERS: Are you following me?
- MS. EUDY: It all comes out to about the same. I get what you're asking. Max, the engineer, he had another meeting like this he had to go to, so
- MS. SANDERS: It's probably fine. It
 can't come out the same if it's 1,200 square footage.

 You see what I'm saying?
- MR. HENDERSON: On the accessory building, it's noted as 1,191. I think that is --
- MS. EUDY: What it would be, if we add the bathroom.
- MR. HENDERSON: I think so.
- MS. EUDY: He did it as being the biggest

29 1 option. 2 MR. HENDERSON: Either way, you're below 3 that 1,200 square feet. MS. SANDERS: That's not the question. 4 Total building square footage. 5 6 MR. HENDERSON: The total building square 7 footage would be inclusive of the historic structure. MS. SANDERS: 5,003 -- 5,300 includes the 8 9 cottage? 10 MR. HENDERSON: Yes. 11 MS. EUDY: Both houses. Sorry, I didn't 12 understand your question at first. 13 MS. SANDERS: Thank you. I'm good. 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion? 15 MR. BARR: Public comment. THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, okay. Make it 16 17 short. 18 MR. BARR: I represent the Browders next 19 door to this property. I really don't object to the 20 historic designation of this house as long as the 21 historic designation of this house doesn't become a 2.2 subtefuge to move the main house forward, closer to 23 the barge, which is a big issue. 24 MS. EUDY: I think we haven't addressed

that one yet.

25

30 I think you need to understand 1 MR. BARR: 2 that this is a conditional application for a historic structure. You can't get both, they don't want 3 anything, they're just going to tear it down. 4 MR. HENDERSON: I think that what we could 5 do is to agree upon this option before making a 6 7 formal motion. Agree upon this option, and then move forward to the new construction element. 8 9 MS. SANDERS: And come back to it. 10 MR. HENDERSON: Agree upon that and make 11 one motion approving the entire special exception. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: That makes sense. 13 MS. EUDY: Either way, we still have to

MS. EUDY: Either way, we still have to get the BZA approval for the whole thing to work anyway.

MR. HENDERSON: Trying to compartmentalize this discussion a little bit.

MR. CRAVER: Let's talk about the new building.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you going to present the second part as well?

MS. EUDY: I am. Did you have a presentation on this part, Joe?

MR. HENDERSON: No, I would just draw your attention to page 2 of the staff report where I

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

outlined the discussion of the BZA discussion during their March 10th meeting.

MS. EUDY: If anybody needs a bigger plan, I have a big site plan. I'm going to pass out a couple things that may be helpful in this discussion before I start.

This thing I'm going to pass out is an overhead aerial of the properties. The yellow is the Porter property. To the right, the pink is the Browder, which Mr. Barr represents. And the blue to the left is the McNaughtons, who are under construction right now.

Also, y'all have the site plan that I color coded this version to just kind of help pick up the lines. I'll talk about -- everything else is in there. You already have. I can explain the lines real quick before I start. That might make it easier.

On this one, the red line is the Porter property line on the marsh side. The blue line is the McNaughton property line on the marsh side. And then the pink line is the Browder property line on the marsh side. The yellow is the critical line. And it's not highlighted but that Dotted line that matches the critical line is the 30-foot setback that

2.2

is in the RC2 district. It just shows that setback.

And then the little orange line is the variance the Browders got; that's where they built to. The green line is the variance the McNaughton property got. And the pink line is the original, what we call the build-to line. It's set by ordinance. It's basically to keep all the houses in line on a block.

We have that ordinance in the RC2 marsh district and RC1 district. And the two properties sandwiching the Porter property received that dimensional variance from that pink build-to line. One was the orange for the Browder and two was the green for the McNaughton property.

I'll just go ahead and address those variances. I want to be clear before I do that and state that, while I'm going to talk about our property in relation to the variances, we're not technically asking the BZA for a variance because the special exception designation that's given by you guys and the BZA in conjunction basically create its own zoning districts.

When it's approved and it's approved based on whatever conditions that the Board asks us to agree to and we agree to, then you've got your own

little special zoning district basically.

That build-to line doesn't technically apply. We're going to talk about where we would be in front of that build-to line as it relates to the neighbors.

That being said, the first variance was the McNaughton property to the left, 2662 Goldbug. You can see the dark shaded area. That's their proposed construction that is actually underway right now. If you go back there, they've already got the pilings in the ground.

Their's was granted a little bit different. I can't remember the exact numbers but they are that much further in front of the build-to line. They're within the RC2 30-foot setback from the critical line, as are the Browders and as would be the Porter property. There's no setbacks that would be implicated in building in front of the build-to line.

You can see that the McNaughton property is the furthest forward. And what we did after our original submittals is we moved that Porter property to be in line with the McNaughton property.

Technically the way the ordinance reads is the house further towards the marsh sets the build-to

boundary. That being said, when the BZA granted these two variances, there's a condition in there these are not precedents; you can't come in and argue, well, they got it then we should to. We are not necessarily doing that, but I think that the way the ordinance reads, if it went up to a higher court, that could become an issue.

The Browders has a 28-foot variance in front of that build-to line and that's where they built. That build-to line is any structure over four-and-a-half feet. Like you'll see, their pool is in front of the build-to. They didn't get a variance for that. That's standard. As long as they're within 30 feet of the critical line or the property line, whichever is further landward, you're okay.

And that's what makes these properties different. You can see that the Porter property goes out into the marsh significantly, and it's significantly better than the other two properties; it's basically 60 feet longer.

The Browder's RC2 30-foot setback is from their property line. It's further towards the street than the critical line.

It goes back and forth with the McNaughton property because the critical line comes in past

their property line a little bit.

And for the Porter property, the 30-foot setback is from the critical line, not the property line. Again, you can see that with that dotted line.

We are significantly further back than that line. But anyway we put the house in line with the McNaughton house as far as that green line goes. That green line is diagonal. We're really about five feet behind the McNauhton house.

It is out in front of the Browder house. The extension that comes out in front of the Browder house, which is that L-shape, it's one story. When I say one story, it is one story elevated. It's not going to have an attic.

And I actually got the engineer to send me the numbers. It's 25.5 feet from ground to roof.

The floor is about -- it's nine something off the ground.

When the Browders got their variance, I actually sat on the Board of Zoning. I recused. I knew we were going to be working on a similar type project. But I was present at the meeting and also listened to the tape of the meeting.

And they made some representations to the Board --

2.2

MR. BARR: I would object. I presented the case for the Browders. This is not relevant for this consideration.

MR. CRAVER: We can hear whatever we want to hear.

MS. EUDY: It definitely is relevant.

Anyway, when the Browders presented their variance, their lawyer and Ms. Browder herself made some representations to the Board of Zoning Appeals that this structure that is towards the marsh, that portion in front of that build-to line would be one story. It's not one story in my opinion. It's about a story-and-a-half. There's an attic. There's a one story porch, and there's like a kitchen-living area, and there's an attic above that.

At some point they mentioned apparently they had an office up there. The Town did not let them have an office; they did get to have their attic.

Again, I listened to the meeting minutes and I think why this is most relevant is, at that meeting, Ms. Browder talked about it would be one story. And the reason it would be one story is to have less impact on the neighbors, which is the thought behind what we're trying to do; that portion

1 | being one story.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In the meeting, and I listened again to the tape today, she states that she didn't feel like one story would impact the neighbors, and we don't feel like our one story would impact the neighbors in this situation.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You have to move on quick.

MS. EUDY: Sorry, it's kind of a complicated issue.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It is.

MS. EUDY: Anyway, in front of the BZA when this came up, there were two new board members and one fairly new, and two others that had been on the board for a long time. One of the very new boards members who mentioned that they had not walked the property -- I think when you walk the property this makes a lot of sense -- made a motion for an approval of the site plan moving the house forward 30 feet. He then amended that to ten -- I mean to 20. We offered ten. He would not amend his motion.

There was other indication from board members, it's in the meeting minutes, that they would have been agreeable to ten. I didn't let it go to a vote because I didn't want to get in a position where

2.2

we had to potentially appeal it when it was clear some board members were agreeable to ten.

I think the biggest reason this is important is that we have a small structure on the front of this lot, and we're putting a big structure behind it. If you put them too close together, it's going to defeat the whole purpose of trying to preserve this structure separately as a cottage because you won't see this big structure behind it. We want them to be as far apart as possible.

That being said, we could go another 12 feet towards the marsh technically and not be within the setbacks. There are nine historic special exceptions on the island right now. Most of them are on lots that are 210 feet long. This lot is 307 feet long on one side and 296 on the other. It's significantly bigger.

If you look at all those special exceptions -- unfortunately, I got all the plans.

None of them state how far the houses are specifically one from the other. From the ones I could figure out, it averaged out between -- there was about 50 feet and 70 foot difference. If you look at all of them, they're as far part as they can be on the lot.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's what we're trying to do here; to keep the two structures distinct and separate and keep the character of the small structure.

Understand the Browders' concerns. They stated them. They don't want to lose the privacy in their backyard. They don't want to lose their view through Allen's property.

And my main point on that, when they bought this lot, they knew they were buying a lot that the Town owned the marsh-front property in front of them. It's a really complicated issue.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Question, please. I'm a little bit confused on the distances. 81 feet, which was your initial proposal. And then the BZA brought it back 20 feet; is that correct?

MS. EUDY: The BZA, one member made a motion.

THE CHAIRPERSON: There was no vote.

MS. EUDY: There was no vote.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Where on that drawing, which location is that? Is that the original 81 feet?

MS. EUDY: This is what was submitted to the BZA. This site plan.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's 81 feet from the

1 | property line on the street.

MR. HENDERSON: This is the original site plan presented. This line, you can hardly see it, that's the build-to line. The 81 feet goes from the build-to line to the leading edge of the one story element closest to the marsh.

When the BZA made the motion to bring this back 20 feet, it would bring it back to about here.

This is the 81 feet.

MS. EUDY: If you can see the round circle kind of in the middle between the two houses, there's a pecan tree right there. That pecan tree is just under ten feet forward. It would basically bring it double that distance towards the small house.

I don't know if anybody had a chance to walk it. It's not a lot of room when you're out there physically when you're talking about putting structures on top of structures.

I didn't find historic special exception that I could calculate that was less than 50 feet, other than there's a couple properties that the lot goes the other way and they could offset the two structures. That's not possible on a given lot like this.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Would everybody be

2.2

1	happy Mr. Barr, are you listening if we'll
2.	settled at 71?

MR. BARR: No, sir. 71, that just brings it back ten feet, which is what they offered to the BZA. The BZA refused to amend their motion to 20. And so they withdraw it, hoping for a better deal before y'all.

MS. EUDY: Actually if I would've let the motion go forward, I think it would've failed. And then there would've been another motion for the ten feet. I think it would've passed based on the comments that the board made. I didn't do that because it was potentially going to create an appealable issue. I didn't want to do that and have to be in circuit court and cause the Town and everybody a bunch of money.

I pulled the application to kind of resubmit and start on that. We're willing to give the ten feet. We're not willing to give the 20. I don't have authority from the property owner on the 20.

Again, this house is not protected. I mean, you know. If we can't get it developed in a reasonable way, it could lead to kind of a restart on the whole project. If this is all approved, this

1	house will be protected. The owner that owned the
2	property between Mr. Porter and the Browns was going
3	to tear it down.
4	MS. SANDERS: Any public comment?
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: You're talking about the
6	cottage.
7	MS. SANDERS: Public comment. It's time.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, public comment.
9	MR. BARR: Couple issues. The build-to
10	line is provided in Section 21-23 E, paragraph B. It
11	is a setback in the RC2 district.
12	What's different about this property with
13	other special exception similar to this, this
14	property has basically several setbacks. It has
15	front setbacks, side setbacks and build-to line
16	setback.
17	There's a copy of a hand-drawn property
18	that I prepared that shows the Browder line, the
19	build-to line, and it does not show the structure on
20	there because
21	MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Barr, can I get a copy
22	of that for the record?
23	MR. BARR: Snatch one from them. I didn't
24	know how many to make.

MS. SANDERS: We'll share.

MR. BARR: The yellow area that I have highlighted on my sketch there is basically the buildable area on this lot based upon the setbacks, 25 feet from the side, 15 feet from the other side, and 25 feet from the street out to the build-to line. That's it. That's where he can build.

Essentially by tearing down this old historic structure, he's going to take the new structure, move it 81 feet out so it is parallel to the new structure over on to the left or to the west there.

MS. EUDY: We're not tearing it down.

MR. BARR: Your're not tearing it down

MS. EUDY: No.

MR. BARR: There is plenty of room on that lot to provide what they want to do. 81 feet -- they're basically asking for 81-foot variance.

The next door neighbor was given 28-foot variance. We would be content, that is, the Browders would be content to bring the front of the house back to the front of the Browder's house. That would bring it right in line with the Browders.

There would be no impact upon Mr. Porter, and there would be no impact upon the Browders by bringing it back to what I refer to in that sketch as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

44

the Browder line.

THE CHAIRPERSON: How many feet back is that from the 81?

MR. BARR: That would be 28 minus 81. It would be about 40 feet back.

THE CHAIRPERSON: About half.

MR. BARR: At least half. Halfway back.

Essentially my point is, one, a special exception doesn't create a special zoning district under the codes. You don't have a special exception and then you ignore setback. And because this property has all of these setbacks, all of these setbacks need to be met.

I disagree with Joe, Joe's interpretation that a variance is not required. I think that the Board of Zoning Appeals needs to grant a variance to allow this house to move that far forward and, therefore, the applicant would have to show a hardship to do that.

If it was permissible, I have the minutes of the BZA meeting, which I would like to introduce into the record. I know you're not going to read them. I would like to introduce them into the record.

In this record, there are objections by

2.2

Ozzie Peter and Billy Richardson, who objected to the placement of this structure as being incompatible with what has been done on this island forever; that is, to keep everything in line.

The purpose of this build-to line in this particular statute was to prevent leapfrogging.

That's what's going on here, leapfrogging. What I'm afraid of, although I can't prove it, essentially the old structure is being used as a subtefuge to get the big house up front adjacent to the house on the corner.

That variance was granted long before I believe any of you were on this -- well, wrong board -- on the BZA. The BZA granted that variance which created this entire mess. Mr. Fava is back there.

MR. CRAVER: It really did, Bill. If that house weren't there, it would be pretty easy to look at the house on the right and say, well you know, that shouldn't go any further forward than that house.

But, you know, as a BZA or any damn board get to say, we're going to give an exception, it doesn't create a precedent. You don't get to say that; it does create a precedent and a problem.

	In Re: Design Review Board April 20, 2016
1	MR. BARR: I point to Mr. Fava back there
2	because I know he represents
3	MR. FAVA: Now I'm going to speak to it,
4	MR. BARR: You are?
5	MR. FAVA: When you're done.
6	MR. BARR: That's good. We can't do
7	anything about Mr. Fava's thing. That was done back
8	in 1980. It was carried forward as a result of the
9	state legislature that basically put everything in
10	abeyance.
11	MR. CRAVER: I hear you.
12	MR. BARR: Our point is I don't think that
13	the house should be allowed to be situated and a,
14	quote unquote, 81-foot variance be granted for this.
15	I think it would be proper for the Porters,
16	Mr. Porter, to be granted the same effect that the
17	Browders were and move him up to the Browder line,
18	which would then be compatible with at least two of
19	the properties on the lot. I'll rest. I'll hand
20	those to you.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Any public comment?
22	Eddie Fava.
23	MR. FAVA: Eddie Fava, I had no intention
24	on speaking on this, but since I've been

Joe, can I have that plan up, the one that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | shows all three of them next to each other.

A client of mine, whatever date that was ago, years ago, purchased this property and had looked to put a house on it and got a variance from the BZA board because of an extraordinary and exceptional condition of this mound of beautiful live oak trees. That did not apply to anything else. In my opinion, it doesn't warrant -- it does not establish a precedent.

If this goes forward getting a historic exception, then sure, that does that. This in no way, shape or form should've established any precedent for anything along this property unless that property had an extraordinary condition.

Anybody going by sees there's no way to build other than around or either side of that, and they couldn't work within the setbacks at that time.

They didn't at all establish a precedent. It shouldn't be used as a precedent for anything along that line.

THE CHAIRPERSON: When did that go to BZA?

MS. EUDY: 2008.

MR. HENDERSON: 2008.

MS. SANDERS: The one with the oak trees? There's no where else to put the house. You can't do

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything. You got to love them.

2 MR. CRAVER: You can put it on the back of 3 the lot.

MS. SANDERS: Can't see anything.

MR. HENDERSON: Variance was issued.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's there.

MR. CRAVER: No, no, it's there. The issue that you have, yeah, the oak trees created the condition, okay. But when you have a build-to line, and it says nobody gets to go in front of the build-to line, when you put a house that big in front of it, you change the conditions. You change the conditions for the other houses that are right there.

I understand you're saying that it doesn't create a precedent. It creates another physical condition that creates a reason for an exception for the other houses that are there. You don't ignore that house way in front of the build-to line.

Whether you say it creates a precedent or another physical condition that warrants consideration, it's something. It's there. It blocks views that, if you lived up to the build-to line, wouldn't be there. So it's an issue.

MS. SANDERS: The oak trees in front of the one with the pilings going in. The trees in

1	front of it, they already create that blocking of
2	view.
3	MR. CRAVER: I get that. It's still an
4	issue.
5	MS. SANDERS: I think there has to be some
6	kind of happy medium.
7	MS. EUDY: If I can address that real
8	quick.
9	MS. WILSON: Can I say something. I
10	inherited that variance that Mr. Fava worked on. I
11	just want to point out a few things about it. I'm
12	Heather Wilson, architect. It's a very tiny
13	footprint. No pool. No garage. No right to build
14	in front of the mound. It's surrounded by live oaks.
15	So the view was obstructed. And they paid a price
16	for it.
17	They have a very, very limited piece of
18	property. It's a tiny 2,400 square foot footprint.
19	I think that's worth considering there was
20	give-and-take from them, too. They did give
21	something back in order to get that frontage.
22	And likewise, the Browders, I worked on
23	that house as well. It's a one story room on the
24	back. The piece that goes back is two stories, and I

needed the ridge a little bit high to receive that.

1	50 It's not vaulted. That was the intent. We needed it
2	for air handlers. It's full of air handlers.
3	There's no conspiracy as far as a second story room
4	back there.
5	And we were really, really careful. We
6	asked for the absolute bare minimum. There was a lot
7	of care for the trees and the trees of the
8	McNaughtons. I think that is worth thinking about.
9	My last comment, I have worked on two
10	special exceptions on other parts of the island; one
11	was the houses were 25 teeth apart; the other was
12	30 feet. I don't think you need 70 feet between the
13	two structures to have a successful union between a
14	new structure and a historic one.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
16	MS. SANDERS: Thank you.
17	MR. CRAVER: For the court reporter,
18	that's Heather Wilson.
19	MR. HENDERSON: I would like to address
20	one item brought up by Mr. Barr, if I could.
21	Relating to special exceptions and how they can be
22	issued. He mentioned that a variance or varying from
23	the regulations under the zoning ordinance can be
24	done by the Board of Zoning Appeals and that a

25

separate variance would need to be issued under

1 | Section 21-178.

2.2

Special exceptions, I quote from the ordinance: In approving a special exception, the Board of Zoning Appeals may attach to it such reasonable terms and conditions as it may consider necessary to accomplish the intent of the article and it's zoning ordinance as it relates to this special exception.

If in granting a new construction on this lot, you have to encoach beyond an existing build-to line, whether one inch or feet, that falls under the purview of the Board of Zoning Appeals and this group here. That's staff's interpretation of the zoning ordinance.

I believe you'll find that supported in South Carolina state law as well. Just wanted to clarify that.

MR. BARR: However, in Section 21-20, which is under the historical, 1,200-foot exception, it also states: When necessary, to achieve such appropriateness and compatibility, the Design Review Board may impose stricter limits, stricter imits on height, setback, size and coverage. Stricter limits, not more liberal limits.

If the issue is that you have authority to

apply liberal setback limits to the build back line, that's not what the statute says.

MR. HENDERSON: You wouldn't be able to build a house on it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Can we take away all this smoke and reach some compromise to get this project moving forward?

MS. EUDY: Sure. Like I said, we're willing to move the house back ten feet from where it is. That one story structure would come back ten feet. It wouldn't end up being -- there would be a small one story portion past the Browder house.

And I'd just like to say that, in rebuttal real quick, if we did have to get a variance, the hardship would be saving the historic cottage.

That's our hardship.

While it's an advantage to the property, it's also a disadvantage to the property. We're not trying to get anything that anybody else didn't get.

And I don't know why the Browders and their attorney think that now they should just set the line. Their variance was based on an oak tree that's -- basically, that house is built around the oak trees. The oak tree is to the right of that house.

2.2

And I would state, and I think there's people with the Town that would agree that both of these properties were technically buildable on the front of them. And so the oak trees arguably were not a hardship.

Now, the BZA granted it based on those hardships, that's fine. This historic structure would also be a hardship. If we're going to put it on the historic protected list and pay to restore it, otherwise we could, you know, do anything we wanted with the property within the setbacks.

Also the BZA never -- and there's other meeting minutes. They don't count the build-to line as a setback. The build-to line is not defined under the setbacks. It is something that, you know, generally you have to go by.

And I think even -- I'll tell you this. I think even if this historic structure was torn down, I think this is kind of sort of where Mr. Craver was going, you would have a hard time saying this property owner couldn't build further back than that build-to line when he's sandwiched between two properties that got variances based on these hardships that, technically, were not hardships because these lots are buildable on the front. You

54 could've put structures on the front. 1 2 We will give the ten feet as a compromise. Like I said, I think that would've passed the BZA. 3 Their comments in the minutes of certain board 4 5 members stating that they were in agreement with 6 that. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Again, is there any way that we can reach a compromise on this project? 8 9 (Discussion off the record.) 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. 11 MR. BARR: This is what they want, okay. 12 That's what they want. 80 feet right there. Ten 13 feet would be like that. That's no compromise. 14 MR. CRAVER: Let me see your house there, 15 Bill. What about right there? 16 MR. BARR: Where's that? That's the 17 Browder line. I'd love that. 18 MR. CRAVER: This is my line right here. 19 MR. BARR: It's the diagonal line. 20 MR. CRAVER: It's the diagonal line 21 connecting that to that because that's the view. 22 (Discussion off the record.) 23 THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Excuse 24 me. One at a time, please. Little bit louder.

25

MR. CRAVER: I think that might be a good

1	motion. Let's do a little talking first.
2	Bill, you missed your calling. Architect.
3	Jim, hire him.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where are they going to
5	put them?
6	MR. CRAVER: That gives them options. I
7	guess our options, the build-to line. First option
8	is do we designate that house historic? I mean,
9	well, I won't comment yet.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where was Bill's line?
11	MR. CRAVER: Bill's line was right here.
12	What he calls the Browder line.
13	MS. EUDY: It's the orange on the color
14	coded.
15	MR. CRAVER: I'm saying to you, if you did
16	a diagonal, if that's the line of sight, neither one
17	of them are going to like it particularly. It's at
18	least moving the line of site for all of them to the
19	same spot.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree. Everybody is
21	never going to like a compromise.
22	MR. CRAVER: No.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: We're going to have to
24	reach some compromise to get a solution. Rhonda.
25	MG GANDEDG: I'm ready to make a motion

	III Re. Design Review Board April 20, 2010
1	whenever y'all are.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: We could be here
3	forever.
4	MS. SANDERS: Yeah, I mean, really. I
5	think we're kind of swimming in circles.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the motion?
7	MS. SANDERS: I propose to make a motion
8	to defer, and give the neighbors and professionals
9	time to revisit, figure it out when all parties can
10	be involved. Your client is not here. We have Board
11	members not here. This is going around and around in
12	circles for over an hour. It's preliminary anyway.
13	That's what I recommend.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that a motion?
15	MS. SANDERS: That was a motion. Motion
16	to defer and give neighbors and professionals time to
17	revisit when all parties can be present.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?
19	MR. CRAVER: I'll second the motion.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? No
21	discussion. All in favor?
22	(All Board members stated aye.)
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: So moved.
24	(Ms. Webb entered the room).
25	MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman, we have to

1	keep the meeting moving.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
3	(Ms. Sanders exited the room.)
4	2019 MIDDLE STREET
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Next item on the agenda,
6	2019 Middle Street.
7	MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. Thank you.
8	This is agenda item D1, it's a commercial design
9	review at 2019 Middle Street, Brown & Glaws
10	Construction. The applicant is requesting approval
11	to make some facade modifications.
12	This is a multi-tenant commercial space
13	that houses Sullivan's Restaurant, Almost Pink, and
14	co-op.
15	Mr. Jay Brown is requesting approval and
16	new signage, some new lighting treatment to the front
17	facade, removal of some exterior electrical conduit,
18	adding cedar shake siding over the existing awning
19	structure or is it replacement of the entire awning?
20	I think it's the existing awning.
21	MR. BROWN: Existing awning which is right
22	there with potential of going to the rest of the
23	building.
24	MR. HENDERSON: Hardi-board siding on the
25	lower facade, mahogany doors, painting band board on

1	April 26, 2016
1	lower facade.
2	MR. BROWN: Stucco upper.
3	MR. HENDERSON: Stucco over the concrete
4	masonry units on the upper facade. I'll defer to the
5	applicant for presentation if you have no questions.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: No questions.
7	MR. BROWN: He basically spelled it out.
8	Other than that, in addition, we're also asking
9	for we're deeply involved with the cycling
10	community here in Charleston, Charleston Moves,
11	Battery Beat. We're also requesting to add like a
12	site parking, kind of like a bicycle pump for the
13	community, repair station in front of it.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is that your
15	presentation?
16	MR. BROWN: That's about it.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's good. I like
18	that.
19	MR. BROWN: Just making it little pretty.
20	It's adding community involvement things.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Any public comment?
22	Joe.
23	MR. HENDERSON: I would point out one
24	thing here. This is the front window for Almost
25	Pink; correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 MR. BROWN: Correct.

MR. HENDERSON: It has a different facade design and color to it. I think you're working with --

MR. BROWN: There's an awning here with next door. So to delineate this, we're kind of working with those guys next door to continue that all the way down. It's just going to do nothing but help improve the facade of the building.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? Donna.

MS. WEBB: I think it looks great. I think it will add a lot to that. It needed some attention for a while. I love the bike idea.

MS. BAHON: Agree.

MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm good with it. I hope that you can convince the other occupants to change to that facade down there. At least down to Sullivan's, to that end of the building, but I'm okay with it.

MR. HENDERSON: If we could upack this a little bit more so that staff knows how we're going to issue building permits for this restoration project. We have two awnings here. One here, Almost Pink goes all the way down to the co-op; correct?

_	60
1	So, Jay, would the cedar shake awning go
2	all the way down and stop here?
3	MR. BROWN: What we're going for right now
4	is just us, which is right here. As Pretty in Pink,
5	if they agree to it with the landlord, we can
6	continue that to the whole facade of the building. I
7	guess that would be another agenda for them.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you saying that you
9	will do that facade?
10	MR. BROWN: We will do it if they will
11	agree to it.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: If they will agree to
13	it.
14	MR. BROWN: We're just trying to jazz up
15	the whole.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's not pertinent to
17	do what we're doing now.
18	MR. HENDERSON: Phase one I think we
19	are requesting approval. Phase one, if they do not
20	agree to making those modifications, it will stop
21	right here. Your sign will go up here and your goose
22	neck light will come down, shine down on it.
23	MR. BROWN: We'll take away all this
24	existing conduit and wood.

MR. HENDERSON:

If they don't want to make

1	61 those changes, it's just going to be this cedar shake
2	siding. If so, if they agree with it, it will go all
3	the way down.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: We cannot direct those
5	changes on the other portion of the building. Can
6	you?
7	MR. HENDERSON: I think that you can grant
8	approval of the entire project, phase one and two, if
9	you would like to do that.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Nobody is making an
11	application to change that facade on the other
12	portion of the building. I would like to see it
13	done. I think we all would. How do we get there?
14	MR. HENDERSON: I would ask you to, if you
 15	agree with that work, to go ahead and approve it so
16	that they don't have to come back and make
17	presentation to that effect.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion to
19	that effect?
20	MR. CRAVER: I think all we can do is
21	approve what's been applied for. Am I missing
22	something?
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm confused. I don't
24	think we can direct or approve that it's contingent

on.

Can we?

25

what he's requesting.

25

63 I want a little clarification on 1 2 whether --THE CHAIRPERSON: I hate to keep whipping 3 this horse. Has the applicant requesting that 4 5 facade, that awning to be extended all the way down to replace what's there now? 6 7 MR. BROWN: Not at this time. requesting to just repair this. But I think what Joe 8 is trying to say is we would like to continue this 9 10 all the way down. If that needs to be phase two, 11 fine. 12 MR. CRAVER: There is no phase two. 13 There's no application for anything other than what 14 you've requested unless I'm missing something. 15 me on here where it says that the owner has applied 16 for the whole facade to be changed, Joe. 17 MR. BROWN: No. 18 MR. HENDERSON: I was unclear on this 19 presentation because the renderings show that a 20 treatment was being given to a portion of Almost 21 Pink. 2.2 That's what I'm trying to clarify here. 23 If that's not part of your request, if your request is stopping right here, then that's fine. 24

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's what we will

1	approve.
2	MR. HENDERSON: I'm clear on that now.
3	MR. BROWN: Sorry.
4	MR. HENDERSON: That's fine.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion?
6	MR. CRAVER: I move we give one thing
7	says conceptual; another thing says final.
8	MR. HENDERSON: This is conceptual unless
9	you deem it final.
10	MR. CRAVER: I move to give final approval
11	to the project that he requested. And staff make the
12	appropriate decisions on what it needs to make.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second?
14	MS. BOHAN: I second.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? All in
16	favor?
17	(All Board members stated aye.)
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: So moved. I would add
19	if would staff have I would give staff
20	authority to approve extending the awning all the way
21	down, if you can work that with the owner.
22	MR. CRAVER: If it's something that has to
23	come to us, if it's something that's supposed to come
24	to us, we don't have an application for it, so it's
25	got to come to us. If it doesn't have to come to us,

1	then you approve it. If under the zoning ordinances
2	it's got to come to us, there needs to be an
3	application to ask for it and needs to come to us.
4	MR. HENDERSON: That's right. That's what
5	I was trying to clarify exactly what the extent of
6	the request was. Thank you for that. I'm clear on
7	it now.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Next item.
9	(Ms. Sanders entered the room.)
10	2114 I'ON AVENUE
11	MR. HENDERSON: Agenda item El is a
12	certificate of appropriateness request for historic
13	property, 2114 I'on Avenue. The applicants, Herlong
14	and Associates are making this request.
15	It's a conceptual review for exterior
16	modification. This is a Sullivan's Island landmark
17	structure. The applicants are not requesting any
18	relief.
19	I'll defer to their presentation to
20	explain their design.
21	MS. LURKIN: I'm Bronwyn Lurkin with
22	Herlong and Associates. I'm representing Jeff and
23	Lisa Valko on this property. Mr. Valko is here with
24	me tonight.

The Valkos really fell in love with this

2.2

property because of the historical character. And they came to us wanting to update the residence but really maintain its footprint and it's building character without having to make an addition.

Our design challenge was to bring it to today's living standards and find a way to make an adequate master suite space.

So there's four areas of improvements that we're looking to do, main areas; one is to remove the archways on the ground floor that were added in about 1970.

We also are doing a porch in-fill on the rear. And that faces -- I forgot to mention on the north property line, it backs up to Marsh Winds commercial building. They don't have any exposure to -- they don't have any sort of rear property. And it's not exposed to Middle Street like it once was.

Stations. We're also trying to repair the roof eave details and bring them up to a better quality.

There's been a lot of repairs. You can see from this historical photograph after Hugo, the north facing porch had completely deteriorated.

The property stands between 21st and 22nd

We have exposed chimney flues that we want to repair and then add some windows on the porch

2.2

in-fill on the north property line.

In addition to that, we're also trying to place a dormer on the north and south property between the existing dormers for proper head room up on the attic space. You can see here from this building section that the vertical height is only at seven feet, so it's really not an adequate space by today's standards.

By adding the dormer in-fill between the existing dormers, that would allow for some additional head room to make that space more useable. And that would be serving as that master suite space so that we would not have to do any type of building addition to the property so that we can maintain that footprint and maintain that massing.

When you look at the historical surveys and the post-Hugo report, what is significant about this structure historically and what is constantly noted is that these gazebos on the north end of the property are really what's noted as the classical island architecture at the turn of the century.

It is -- unfortunately, that elevation faces Marsh Winds; it's not viewed by many, unfortunately. But you'll also notice from old photographs that the stairs and the railings have

2.2

changed quite a bit over time.

And so as we've been collecting some of this data and finding historical photos, we have found that there has been a lot of changes over time. There's been an outhouse that was attached on the east end of the house. There was another porch in-fill over here. The house has been raised, I believe, twice.

You can see in this old photograph the stairs were once straight on the south elevation, and they've turned. They were station on the north elevation and they also have been replaced.

So there's been a lot of modifications over time, and we're trying to clean up the house, make it look better. Take out some of the really bad in-fill corrections that have occurred over time as well and really try to maintain the massing and the footprint.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Any public comment?

MR. VALKO: If I may, my name is Jim Valko. My wife and I purchased this property just about 90 days ago. Our primary residence is in southern California. We're planning on using this home as our second home on Sullivan's.

2.2

As history would have it, we have a little bit of history in South Carolina. We lived up in Georgetown for five years, back in the late '90s. We did renovate a historic house in the historic district of Georgetown. It was fun.

Our philosophy then was to preserve the historical nature of the house to the best of our ability. The only compromise would be, as Bronwyn would say, making it functional and useable by today's standard.

When we saw this home, we really fell in love with it. We think it's awesome. We asked the Herlong group to come up with design options for us.

One of the options was to put an addition off the left side of the master bedroom and extend the kitchen with an addition off to the right side.

We looked at that quickly, said that changes the whole character of the house. We've lost the historical nature of this. We quickly opted not to do that. And as Bronwyn said, keep it within the existing footprint and maintain the existing integrity of the design.

That's what we are planning on doing here.

Our primary goal is to make that top floor more

functional, not remove those existing doors but

filling the space in between so we can get some head 1 2 room. I thank you for your consideration. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 4 5 MS. LURKIN: Here's some additional photographs with notes so you can really see some of 6 7 the old features with how it exists today. MR. VALKO: Nor do we want to introduce 8 anything that's out of character with other design 9 10 elements that we've seen on the island. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda, start us. 12 MS. SANDERS: I think it looks -- what do 13 you do with the ground floor? Just don't have 14 furniture? Exemption. 15 MS. LURKIN: It's under an exemption 16 because of the historic. 17 MS. SANDERS: I think it's awesome. 18 MR. HENDERSON: It's nonconforming. 19 They've chosen to keep it and expand by adding little 20 square footage to the back. 21 MS. LURKIN: The in-fill at the porch 2.2 helps with circulation. Again, a lot of this has all 23 been repaired over time. Some very bad repairs as 24 you can see right there.

25

It would help -- there's also an interior

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

	71
1	photo that will be in circulation that shows an
2	interior stair. That's just placed completely in the
3	middle of the space, making the interior really a
4	little dysfunctional.
5	And so the exterior stair on the in-fill

And so the exterior stair on the in-fill would really help with the interior space and bringing it back to what it would've been.

MS. SANDERS: I'm good. Anything that could be done to that house would be great.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: That's a challenging house. I love what's happening. I love taking out the arches, rebuilding the chimney to make it feel and look like it probably was at one time.

The dormers, I know you propose an optional and then a preferred one. I haven't seen those before. That's the only question that -- I haven't seen the in-fill dormers. But the overall look is lovely.

MS. BOHAN: Conceptually I think it's good. I agree.

THE CHAIRPERSON: This is conceptual application not a final?

MR. BROWN: It is. We would love final, if possible. We still have to work through pricing

	The Body Nove Body
1	72 with builders and working through that 50 percent
2	rule.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
4	MR. CRAVER: I like it. I'm glad to see
5	what you're doing to this house. I've known this
6	house my whole life. Good job.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay?
8	MR. CRAVER: I'm done.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm okay with it. I
10	initially, when I looked at this, studying the front
11	elevation, saw some considerable change to the
12	historic structure. But I think the way it's being
13	done is good.
14	Certainly I'm in agreement with the rear
15	of the structure that's backed up to the commercial
16	building. It's a wonderful elevation and facade.
17	It's out of view. You're doing okay back there as
18	well.
19	Do I hear a motion?
20	MR. CRAVER: I make a motion we give final
21	approval as submitted.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second?
23	MS. WEBB: Second.
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?
25	All in favor?

(All Board members stated aye.)

1023 MIDDLE STREET

MR. HENDERSON: Agenda item E2 is a COA request for a historic property at 1023 Middle Street. Mr. Eddie Fava is requesting conceptual or preliminary final approval to enclose an existing screened porch, replace windows and relocate, modify the stairway entrance for this property.

This is a Sullivan's Island landmark structure by way of survey card 319. There have been some modifications to what you have in your packets here. I think Mr. Fava is here to explain some of those changes.

And I do have photographs here to reference as well as the plans.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Mr. Fava.

MR. FAVA: Thank you. I have these boards, but it might actually be easier to see -- it's a super house. And I think everybody here is familiar with it. It was the old Barnwell house on the front beach.

My client has owned it for maybe ten years or so, not quite. What he's looking to do when he first came to us was essentially a couple of things.

All of them were basically to preserve what the

2.2

interior is, which I know isn't in your purview.

It's old wood panelling. It's not even painted or varnished or anything. It's just worn and sandy floors. Kept it all like that.

Rather than get in there and try to work within some of these existing spaces that are so wonderful, what the thought was, that the existing screen porch and beach side is here. This is existing screen porch, and this is existing screen porch.

He was looking what if I just glaze those in, have windows there and still maintain that footprint.

And the other item he wanted to do is look at adding a staircase. This is street side to the front here and coming up in this location.

So the request was to grant all of this as casement windows, which we've done at Bee Street for Ann Parker's house, historic home. And this area here but the facade remains clean.

Since the application, what he's done and asked me to request of you-all, if this is pulled back, in effect a little bit, that the area here that he was looking at glazing, he would no longer do that. It would just come across at this side on the

2.2

opposite side. That whole element remains pretty much like it is presently. I can show you that. I can show you that here.

By way of comparison, this would remain screened porch. And the only section that becomes glazed is off the side and side, which is existing screen porch of the house presently. We feel like little less impact.

The facade from the beach side remains exactly the same. The only thing he kind of toyed with a little bit and discussed was, if there's no objection from the Board, the staircase that he had originally kind of wanted in this area, which we weren't huge fans of, there's one on the other side. It's just a little more difficult to get to.

Pulling to the side. It's an area that has a nonhistoric window. It comes off the side.

Not visible from the street view. Vegetation not visible from the beach view.

Pretty much that view is maintained, this view is maintained. There's a public beach path along the side. Minimal visibility here.

And then I can show you, I think you have in your elevation packet, the existing and proposed which, again, without the vegetation.

2.2

You see the side staircase there from the beach side. Existing and proposed. All you see is the change of the additional side stair, which matches the old historic one.

And lastly, existing and proposed. The screen porch, beach side and along the facade remain the same. Just have casement windows going in each opposite side.

Two things that I had discussed with Joe as well. I have them listed on this. I don't know if it's technical requirement. I know he's chatting with the contractor, Mr. Jones, on the house. The beach path has a fence presently and that may be in one of the photos easier to see. Here.

If you're heading from the beach towards Middle Street, the right side property owner has a fence, Charleston green fence, about five-and-a-half feet tall along that side. Mr. Jones has some chicken wire stuff that's been there ever since the Barnwells. He would like to do something similar on his side.

And I think the reason we would ask you-all, he would like to do it at that similar height, five-and-a-half feet, as the other side. I think he can do it without your approval at a little

1	logger beight but he was bening to bind of at logge
1	lesser height but he was hoping to kind of at least
2	get it same height, so there's some symmetry going
3	down that path. Offers him a little bit more
4	privacy.
5	It's essentially those three items; the
6	addition of a staircase; enclosure of two of the side
7	porches with the glazing; leaving all the front the
8	same; street side the same. And comments as they may
9	pertain to the beach path or if Joe has suggestion.
10	MR. HENDERSON: No increases are being
11	requested.
12	MR. FAVA: No increases in the footprint
13	or anything like that. All within the existing
14	footprint.
15	MR. HENDERSON: Just a historic design
16	review?
17	MR. FAVA: Yes, sir. Happy to answer any
18	questions, if you have them.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
20	Donna, would you like to start.
21	MS. WEBB: Question about the fence. Will
22	it go along the side of the property or will it come
23	around to the front?
24	MR. FAVA: Only the side. Joe, I'll defer
25	to you. I know that's more of a height issue. I

think your code requirement only allows it at a certain height.

That's on the opposite property and your side.

MR. HENDERSON: There's a public access easement. Where you install a fence adjacent to a public right-of-way or beach path, if you're within a ten-foot setback, it has to be four feet in height.

If you set that back ten feet, then you can go up to five feet. They're opting to bring that all the way to the property line?

MR. FAVA: Yes, sir. If I can keep it to that property line. What's deceiving about it now, if you go down that beach path, where the fence is, that property owner -- I don't know if it's Barnwell or not -- kind of claimed some of the beach. The beach path, when he actually puts the fence up will be a lot wider than what is shown. He would like to do it at his property line; otherwise, leave what's there.

MR. HENDERSON: The critical line, he couldn't extend that even if the property line came out.

MR. FAVA: Correct.

MR. HENDERSON: He couldn't put that fence

1 past.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. FAVA: Where it all falls down.

MR. HENDERSON: It will be a living fence?

MR. FAVA: What they would like to do,

wood fence like what's across the way. Just kind of mirror what's on the opposite side.

7 MR. HENDERSON: That's a solid fence.

That's a not conforming fence. You would need to meet the 25 percent open requirement and require a void in between those slats. That's an ordinance requirement.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Donna.

MS. WEBB: That said, I'd like that there's not a lot of changes going on from the front or the back. I would just, you know, recommend that the materials be, you know, keeping in the historic feel.

With the windows, I'm not sure exactly how that will turn out. At least it's not visible from the front or the beach side.

MR. FAVA: There's an example of it. I don't want to belabor, I know y'all have a lot of applications. This is a house we just finished on Bee Street. Those were shutters. That was porch side that had windows on the side and the front.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | Wound up being on the porch.

This is obviously a lot more visible than this one; felt like it was kind of similar treatment that was sensitive. Above the rail height, still glass and transparent. Below it would be solid.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Bev.

MS. BOHAN: In your application you really can't match the neighbor's fence in effect and keep the ordinance; correct?

MR. HENDERSON: That's correct. From what I understand, it's a stockade-style fence at five feet in height.

MR. FAVA: It varies, depending on the slope. Median height is about five-and-a-half feet; just about eye level.

MR. HENDERSON: I think that may be a non-conforming fence. They could install one right on the property line, be limited to four feet in height and have to meet the requirements for being open.

MR. FAVA: That's fine.

MS. BOHAN: I think you preserved with your application the historic preservation. I think it's going to be nice.

MR. FAVA: Thank you.

1	81 THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
2	MR. CRAVER: As always, well done, Eddie.
3	MR. FAVA: Thank you.
4	MR. CRAVER: I like it. I think that
5	you've added a lot of functionality without trying to
6	change a whole lot. I don't have a problem with the
7	version that you have with going up into the porch
8	with the side where the kitchen is. I don't think it
9	will detract at all. I'm good with it.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree. I'm fine with
11	it. I think it's a wonderful way to preserve a great
12	cottage. Thank you.
13	Rhonda.
14	MS. SANDERS: I think it looks great. I
15	prefer the windows just on the side. I think it
16	looks great. Good job.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion?
18	MR. CRAVER: Move for final approval.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second?
20	MS. SANDERS: Second.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?
22	All in favor?
23	(All Board members stated aye.)
24	209 STATION 17
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Next item, Joe.

2.2

MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item F1.

It's a COA request for a nonhistoric property. This is at 209 Station 17. Mr. Ron Denton is requesting final approval for this new construction. This is the fourth or so presentation we've seen with this one.

During our last meeting, the Board made several recommendations, clarification of the application. So the Board recommended that the applicant clarify the request for relief in zoning standards, that the site plan boundaries be clarified, elevation renderings be modified.

And also an analysis or design compatibility with the surrounding structures be made and presented to you. Also, some recommendations for the pool fence be changed. And also clarify the request for the second story side setback.

I believe I hit on all those from the last meeting. So what's requested for this meeting is an increase in principal building square footage of 21.7 or 22 percent principal building coverage; increase of 2 percent.

Side setback relief of 25 percent.

And the second story side setback relief of 100 percent. I'll defer to any questions or

2.2

presentation of the applicant.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead.

MR. DENTON: I don't know, maybe Joe can get some of -- my first page didn't really print that well.

This house, we've worked with now several months. Our initial presentation was a re-orientation application so that the street address would be Poe Avenue and the side yard then would be Station 17. And that gave us some flexibility in the location of the house and the shape of the house on the property, allowing for the house to extend in the long fashion that was similar to the fabric that's in the area.

And we moved along with the design believing that we had made our setback requirements. It being a side yard and with the front yard being on Poe, the front yard setback of 30 feet is met; 15 feet on the side, we thought was all we needed and then ten feet on the back. That was our mistake.

We've asked for at our last meeting the same variance request. Bearing in mind we've got more than 30 feet or so away from the adjacent structure behind us.

And, again, the side facing Station 17

primarily is the porch side of the house. Previously we were asked to consider the fabric across the street, the officer's quarters, which are heavily balcony, porched. It has some elements that we actually brought forth in this house, which we didn't make clear in previous presentations.

One of the things that we got hung up with, that 100 percent second floor setback. We've got a porch, a second floor porch that extends over open space. And that's the 100 percent request. We didn't know how else to deal with it.

There was a little concern last month about that kind of element. But, of course, right across the street at the officer's quarters is that same treatment of porches over -- excuse me, enclosed area over porches. In many ways, we're really just replicating what we're seeing across the street and keeping with the fabric of the local architecture and just doing that.

Some adjustments we've made is to actually refer to it even further by adding a row of handrails and pickets to make it feel like a filled-in porch.

This is the side that actually would be 15 feet from the property line on Station 17. That's a relief we're asking for there.

1	The size of the house, of course,
2	predicated by the the program. We tried to get the
3	house to conform as much as possible. In our very
4	first meeting when we were going for the site
5	re-orientation, the Board did offer variance request
6	floor area. I had made some miscalculations in that
7	area. Essentially the size of the house has not
8	really changed over time; we've tweaked some things
9	here and there, trying to make sure the house was in
10	conformance with the majority of the ordinance.
11	That's basically where we stand now. Did
12	I catch everything, Joe?
13	Mr. HENDERSON: I think so. From our plan
14	review, they meet all of the zoning ordinance
15	standards and their request for relief fall in your
16	purview for granting.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Bev.
18	MS. BOHAN: I think you've covered
19	everything and circled back again. You've made a lot
20	of improvements that I think are softening to the
21	project. I think it's well done.
22	MR. DENTON: Thank you.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.
24	MS. WEBB: I'm still curious as to how
25	it's going to look like dead on to the left with the

1 | open --

2 MR. DENTION: Which left?

MS. WEBB: When you're staring at the front door. Just the open space underneath.

The historic house, I feel, is very different because it almost feels like it's an interpretation of struggle between modern style. I don't know if that adding the pickets to that upper part, I don't know. I don't know if that really helps with all the rest of it being horizontal railing.

MR. DENTON: It was more of a fear factor because it was such an issue last month that we might've overcompensated. I tend to agree. I think it almost looks a little trite. This isn't I'on neighborhood where we try to kind of recreate historical in fake. Certainly can't get away with it in downtown Charleston. I don't know that you can really get away with it here in Sullivan's.

We're trying to really relate to the building across the street. Maybe it is unnecessary. What we're trying to really show is that it's happening on the street. It's really part of the vernacular of Station 17 and not a big anomaly.

MS. WEBB: I like the way the side street,

- 1 | sidescape has turned out. That all seems very
- 2 | fitting. I'm just concerned, if you were standing in
- 3 | front of the door, how is that left piece going to
- 4 | look?
- 5 My recommendation would be to take it
- 6 away, give it its own personality, which is a little
- 7 | bit contemporary. It's a contemporary style.
- 8 MR. DENTON: It is certainly contemporary,
- 9 but trying to fit into the context of the island.
- 10 MS. WEBB: And the asymmetry could be also
- 11 | enhanced with landscaping in that area.
- 12 MR. DENTON: Certainly.
- 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
- 14 MS. SANDERS: Are we talking about this
- 15 | lattice you don't like?
- 16 MS. WEBB: That I don't like. I didn't
- 17 | mention it. I don't like the pickets up there.
- 18 MS. SANDERS: Joe said the numbers work so
- 19 I'm good. I'm not crazy about all the horizontal
- 20 | lines and then the lattice kind of stuff.
- 21 MR. DENTON: It was kind of themematic
- 22 | thing. We can certainly tone it down. We tried a
- 23 little bit. We also added some base plantings, which
- 24 | was one of the suggestions that also was brought
- 25 forth.

favor?

25

1	(All board members stated aye.)
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
3	MR. DENTON: Thank you all very much.
4	Appreciate it.
5	6 CONQUEST AVENUE
6	MR. HENDERSON: Agenda item F2 is a COA
7	request for a nonhistoric property at 6 Conquest
8	Avenue. Sandlapper Design Group is requesting
9	approval to enclose an existing front porch, add an
10	in-ground pool and spa on the marsh side of this
11	property.
12	They're requesting modification of zoning
13	standards for principal building coverage of 5
14	percent.
15	And principal building square footage for
16	11 percent.
17	This property received a review from the
18	Design Review Board in 2010 where they granted an
19	increase in principal building square footage for an
20	attached addition. That's the portion of this house
21	that's being modified.
22	I'll defer to you for any questions and
23	the applicant's presentation.
24	MR. HENSHAW: Jim Henshaw with Sandlapper
25	Design Group, representing Chris McCrae, who is here

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | in front row and his wife Ally.

And as Joe said, this project came before the Board in 2010, and they were asking to enclose this whole porch of the addition. This is an addition that was done in about 2004. But in 2010 they asked to enclose the whole thing.

The one comment that came out of that meeting, which did receive approval was from Duke. I think you said, why don't they leave a corner of that porch open so it has a little character on the street. So that's what we're doing with this.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You expect me to remember what I said?

MR. HENSHAW: I got a transcript, if you need to look at it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, thank you.

MR. HENSHAW: That was the only real comment in approving that submittal.

The other part of the application, on the water side of the property where they're going to add an in-ground pool and redo the deck on the backside. Right now, you come out of the house, come down four steps to a large open deck. So it renders the space underneath that deck pretty much unuseable as far as just hanging out down there.

And with the addition of this pool, we'd like to have some space just a little bit higher up there so they can be in the shade while they're around the pool.

I have images here from the street where you can see that porch. It's down Conquest. Gravel road. Can't really see the house.

If you have any questions, I'll be glad to answer them. Chris, did you have anything?

MR. McCRAE: I would point out that original DRB application in 2010 was before we purchased the house. That was for a prospective buyer back at that time.

This is my first time for bringing anything up, I guess.

MR. HENSHAW: I think the people that built the addition on to the house wanted those two spaces to be separate. They wanted those bedrooms and that media room to be separate from the primary space. That works with a certain type of family.

Chris has two very young kids and one on the way. They need space to be connected so they don't have to go outside to get to somebody's bedroom.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I do remember this now.

1	Is that it, Jim?
2	MR. HENSHAW: That's it.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
4	MS. SANDERS: Makes sense to me. I'm
5	good.
6	MS. WEBB: I'm good with it, too.
7	MS. BOHAN: I'm good with it.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm fine with it.
9	MR. CRAVER: I'm good.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion?
11	MR. HENSHAW: I would like we applied
12	for preliminary, I guess.
13	MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve final.
14	MR. CRAVER: Second.
15	MR. HENSHAW: Got a baby on the way.
16	Thank you very much
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Where were we?
18	We haven't voted yet.
19	MR. CRAVER: No, we have to vote.
20	MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve as final.
21	MR. CRAVER: I seconded it.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?
23	All in favor?
24	(All Board members stated aye).
25	2816 MARSHALL BOULEVARD

2.2

MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item F3, COA request; again, a nonhistoric property at 2816 Marshall Boulevard. Sammy Rhodes, the applicant, requesting modification to the zoning standards for principal building square footage.

This is a new construction. The applicant submitted building permits for approval, all meeting the standards of the ordinance.

We approved the building permit and now they're requesting to convert some space inside this new build. That's where this request is coming from. It's an increase of 12 percent, 475 feet of heated square footage. Defer to you for any questions.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Any presentation?

MR. RHODES: Yes, I'm Sammy Rhodes. We built this house, and we have a couple that's looking to purchase the house. We don't have a contract or anything. They have a handicapped child. We're trying to put a master bedroom upstairs for them so they can be close to their child. We don't have a contract yet. I don't want y'all think we're trying to get this -- it's a done deal.

We're not changing the exterior of the house at all. We are actually taking attic space and putting a bedroom in it. The exterior of the house

95 request for a nonhistoric property at 3003 Brownell 1 2 Avenue. Level Properties, LLC, the applicants, 3 requesting approval for a new construction. Thev will move forward with the demolition of an existing 4 home there that's prefirm, noncompliant. 5 6 In its place, will build a house. 7 Requesting 17.3 or 18 percent increase in principal building square footage. 8 9 11 percent in principal building coverage. 10 And relief for the -- excuse me. 11 This project has changed. I would ask the 12 applicant to distribute the correct packet. 13 Up until today, we were going back and 14 forth on some modifications. 15 MR. CLARKE: Joe asked me to clarify a few 16 things. This is a new set that has the clarified 17 items, so you can understand some of the variance 18 we're requesting. Little bit more clear. 19 THE COURT REPORTER: Can I have your name. 20 MR. CLARKE: Phil Clarke with Clarke 21 Design Group. 2.2 MR. HENDERSON: What Phil is distributing 23 is a revised request. 100 percent relief is 24 requested for the second story side setback on the

side elevations.

25

96 Principal building coverage relief of
15.7.
And principal building square footage of
23 percent.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, you're on.
MR. CLARKE: Again, my name is Phil Clarke
with Clarke Design Group. We have designed a new
home to fit within the setbacks. It's a unique kind
of island style architecture with some protruding
balconies, in-fill balconies, large porch along the
back.
If you kind of flip through it on the
different elevations, I tried to call out which
specific variances we were asking for. And probably
the ones that you see a lot are certainly the size.
It's up to 4,182 square feet, which is within the
amount that you're allowed to grant. We're asking
for that as well as building coverage up to the
amount you're allowed to grant.
And probably the biggest one is certainly
the second story setback. What we've done, we've
actually done a change of material along the second
level to break up that large mass. It's not too

25

A lot of it is one story.

large as far as the two-story section.

But the

97 two-story section on the side, you need to keep it 1 2 two-story. We broke up the siding, have two different types of materials; again, to not make it 3 feel like too large of a -- just a big mass, if that 4 5 helps. 6 Happy to answer any questions. 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Joe, what do you have up there on the screen? 8 9 MR. HENDERSON: This was the previous 10 application. Sorry about that. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Go through the setback 12 relief request again, please. 13 MR. CLARKE: Not a setback relief. 14 only setback would be the two-story section where 15 it's a two-foot step in. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: That's the step-in. 17 MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir, just that little 18 section right there that you see. 19 MR. HENDERSON: That would be reflected on 20 A-204, 202 and 204. Both of the side elevations are 21 requesting 100 percent relief on the second-story 2.2 side setback. 23 MR. CLARKE: Correct. 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy. This is a

25

conceptual --

1 MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: -- application?

MR. CRAVER: Right. I'm having a little problem with that second floor side setback relief. We've really been zeroing in on this lately because of the massing issue. And that's a real issue. The side looks pretty stark to me.

The rear elevation has some character to it. It's not a boxy thing. And the front, when you look at the side elevations, the front looks fine. I'm trying to see how the -- is this a side porch over here?

MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir, that's actually, when you're looking from the right-hand side, that's of course on the other side. There will be a pool and a yard in front of it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's the west elevation.

MR. CLARKE: What you're looking at there, that's looking across towards the porch. When you're looking from the side across, that's the porch that you are seeing when you look from the left-hand side. It's kind of an L-shape wrapped porch.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is your concern related to the front elevation?

1	99 MR. CRAVER: No. When you're on the
2	maybe I'm looking at it wrong. When I'm on the side
3	and I'm looking at the front, the front is broken up.
4	Or it looks like it is. Those are the stairs and
5	this breaks up that front elevation fairly
6	significantly.
7	I'm trying to understand how you get any
8	kind of break.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: This is the west
10	elevation; right?
11	MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: From Station 30.
13	MR. CLARKE: Correct.
14	MR. CRAVER: That's the front.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: That faces Brownell.
16	MR. CRAVER: Right. Again, I'm having a
17	hard time.
18	MR. CLARKE: What were you pointing to is
19	this piece that comes out.
20	MR. CRAVER: It's that long?
21	MR. CLARKE: It is that long; setback
22	along the east side of the property.
23	MR. CRAVER: I may be getting happier
24	about this. Bare with me a second. This is broken
25	up. That's inset.

1	100 MR. CRAVER: Yes, sir, just I have no
2	imagination. So the element that is the element
3	without a setback is this one wall right here.
4	MR. CLARKE: Second floor of that.
5	MR. CRAVER: The rest of that, do y'all
6	see?
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: L-shape. You're looking
8	at this elevation.
9	MR. CLARKE: 30-foot length that we're
10	requesting, not 100 percent setback; just for 30
11	foot.
12	MR. CRAVER: Then on the left side.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: East elevation is?
14	MR. CLARKE: It is similar.
15	MR. CRAVER: All right. Now I'm happy. I
16	get it.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you?
18	MR. CRAVER: Yeah, I didn't get it before.
19	I got it now. Ten after 8:00.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Appreciate your
21	question. Very good. Rhonda.
22	MS. SANDERS: The reason you're like
23	hesitating is because we were not able to grant
24	relief for a similar request for religious reasons;
25	right?

2.2

MR. CRAVER: Right.

MS. SANDERS: I think this is a much better design, much better broken up. On the same token, you do have 15,000 square feet of property. I would think you might could maybe break up that second floor, that wall on the 30 feet pretty easily and inexpensively. So I would consider it. That's my input.

THE CHAIRPESON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I have the same kind of overall feel. The front has potential shutter treatments and whatever. And the side, you know. I know the drawing is limited.

I feel like there's probably more depth here than what we can see from this preliminary sketch. Just avoid it being too much of a mass. Too much roof in one place.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me, Donna. What can you do to mitigate that?

MR. CLARKE: Unless there's an option of a setback relief to allow us to maybe just do a two-foot balcony or something like that. Is there an opportunity for some kind of a balcony that can be placed off of that side? Down the side property line.

1	102 MR. HENDERSON: In the zoning ordinance,
2	you can provide a second floor side facade balcony
3	four feet in depth to offset this regulation. You
4	can provide a balcony in the center of that
5	elevation.
6	MR. CLARKE: At the upper level?
7	MR. HENDERSON: Yes.
8	MR. CLARKE: Or at the main level?
9	MR. HENDERSON: On the elevated, the
10	second floor.
11	MR. CLARKE: Second floor, we could do
12	four-foot deep balcony to alleviate that. That would
13	go over the setback.
14	Randy, are you saying that you feel like
15	that's going in the wrong direction? Almost should
16	have a balcony on the main level?
17	MR. ROBINSON: On the first floor.
18	MR. CLARKE: On the first floor, so that
19	the second floor sets in, which would make more
20	sense, I do believe. I think we would welcome the
21	opportunity to do a side open balcony perhaps along
22	that side.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Randy, you had a
24	comment?
25	MR. ROBINSON: I've just never seen it

THE CHAIRPERSON: On the second floor? 24

MR. HENDERSON: On the second floor.

depth. I'm sorry.

23

25

	104
1	MS. SANDERS: Inverted balcony.
2	MR. HENDERSON: Not projecting out.
3	MR. CLARKE: We would prefer not to do
4	that.
5	Y'all brought up perhaps a bracketed roof
6	over those windows on the main level; just a
7	bracketed shed roof to mimic the front cantilever.
8	No flooring, just a roof covering to break up that
9	mass perhaps. If that roof covering could be allowed
10	to encroach the 25-foot side setback.
11	MS. SANDERS: I think there's a relief
12	there from the side setback. You were asking for
13	that.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: This is conceptual
15	application. I know you're probably anxious to get
16	started.
17	MR. HENDERSON: So you're referring to a
18	shed roof on the I'm sorry.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Go ahead.
20	MR. HENDERSON: Just a shed roof coming
21	off that side facade on the first floor?
22	MR. CLARKE: Take those windows that are
23	on the main level and do a bracketed shed roof,
24	projecting off the house by two or three feet.
25	Again, the style of it can mimic those front in-fill

balconies. The style can mimic that. Just something over the roof and then the brackets come back down on either side of the window. Just something to break that up, perhaps.

MS. BOHAN: Much like you did on A-204.

MR. CLARKE: Yeah, that's actually a true balcony that bumps out a little bit. Something like that could happen. If y'all would grant a setback from that 25-foot going over the side setback, we could do that.

MS. BOHAN: I also have a question on A-202. If you can add more interest to that side wall. Again, the windows.

MR. CLARKE: Perhaps more windows.

MS. BOHAN: Perhaps more windows or just a projection. Maybe a two-foot projection that would go up that wall that you could maybe change the direction of the Hardiplank. Maybe to incorporate more of a vertical member.

MR. HENDERSON: I think that what he's requesting would provide some architectural detail visually. You would still need to request the 100 percent relief in that requirement. I think the two feet is an actual two-foot setback of the massing of the structure. Or that porch coming four feet back

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

into that massing to break it up. That may be a detail that you would be -- that the Board may be willing to accept.

MR. CLARKE: Bracketed roof detail over the windows could suffice architecturally to allow a reason to grant the variance.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm not sure I understand what you're going to end up with.

MR. HENDERSON: Maybe you can kind of sketch on what you presented.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That would help.

MR. CLARKE: If we added another window here, perhaps one of these types of roofs over the top of these windows, just to break it up.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Just an eyebrow kind of a thing? Is that what you're talking about?

MR. CLARKE: Yes, sir. That's right.

Could have bracket over, something like that. That makes it feel like there's something breaking up so the first floor feels a little bit deeper than the second floor. But we would not be crossing that side setback with heated space. It would just be a decorative element, if that's an option. I could show you that very quickly.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you see what he's



1	saying?
2	MS. BOHAN: I do.
3	MR. CRAVER: Yeah.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question on the
5	north elevation. That's a long wall there. Is there
6	any setback requirement there?
7	MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. It would apply.
8	The setback would apply to both side elevations.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have had a long
10	discussion on that type before.
11	MR. HENDERSON: With that eyebrow detail,
12	they would still have to request that 100 percent
13	relief.
14	MR. CLARKE: I have a picture if it helps.
15	I'll do a little more character. That idea.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: How about on the north
17	elevation? The same type eyebrow?
18	MR. CLARKE: On the north or east?
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, east. Which
20	is a long
21	MR. CRAVER: I think one of the things
22	here, maybe it's the software package, you don't get
23	a sense of the you don't get a three-dimensional
24	sense on this drawing at all. If you look at the
25	floor plans, even on that left elevation, it's not a

- 1 | big -- that one little sort of rectangular doesn't
- 2 | have a setback. That's a fairly broken up mass
- 3 there.
- And actually the same is true on 202.
- 5 | That's an L shape. There isn't anything flat about
- 6 | it. It's very broken up.
- 7 I think the issue here is the software
- 8 | package isn't doing your design any favors as far
- 9 | as --
- 10 MR. CLARKE: It might be helpful to show
- 11 | you a 3-D model.
- 12 MR. CRAVER: -- the three-dimensional
- 13 | aspect of what you've done here. It makes that one
- 14 | area where the two windows are look flat against the
- 15 | rest when you're not.
- 16 MR. CLARKE: Where it's just a small piece
- 17 of the wall.
- 18 MR. CRAVER: Right. It's significantly
- 19 less than 50 percent of the length of that side. It
- 20 | isn't even close to the other issue that we had that
- 21 | was a box.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you okay?
- MR. CRAVER: I actually am. I sort of
- 24 | come around after studying the interior drawings and
- 25 | matching up what the exterior looks like. It's

- broken up a lot more then -- again, the drawings
 don't really seem to do it, the justice. If you look
 at the interior and then it's just not a whole lot to
 the second floor.
 - When you look at the second floor, okay, so the outside, it matches to the second. The shape of the exterior of the building matches to the first. And the second floor is all broken up. I don't have the massing issue I was originally --
 - THE CHAIRPERSON: How about on the east wall? Is that okay? Are you okay with that?
- MR. CRAVER: That's the right elevation.
- 13 | That's the L.

6

7

8

9

10

11

- THE CHAIRPERSON: That's the west elevation.
- MR. CRAVER: This right here, that's all open area right here, so this is significantly indented.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: You're looking from the west, Station 30. The other elevation is the east elevation.
- MR. CRAVER: This is broken up. This is a different roof that's slanted in.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: You're okay with that?

 MR. CRAVER: I'm okay with that.

construction and relief of principal building

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 | coverage of 17 percent.

This is a very small lot. The applicant is requesting to build a modest size home and so he doesn't want to build up; he wants to build out. That's where the 17 percent is coming from. Lots of trees on the lot.

Mr. Berry, I'll let you present your application.

THE CHAIRPERSON: We apologize for you being last with a very simple application.

MR. BERRY: Y'all done a great job keeping it going after that one long presentation. I was dark haired. I'll keep it short and sweet.

What you have in front of you, like Joe said, it's a small lot; only 90 by 94 feet. We kept everything in the guidelines. We asked for -- we tried to break up the vernacular; basically raised up one story house.

The second floor is within the roof line with some dormers. We tried to keep it very clean and simple, yet have a little vernacular of ins and outs as you see it.

The only thing we are requesting is the principal building coverage, which only allows 1,647 square feet. You're allowed to give us up to 330.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

112

1 We're asking for 282, which is 82 percent.

The other thing I would like to ask, we were actually hoping for a final approval on this, not just conceptual. Short and sweet.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Joe.

MR. HENDERSON: Nothing to add, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Bev.

MS. BOHAN: I don't have any problem with it at first glance. I do have a couple of questions on the -- so it's ten and nine? Ten foot on the first floor, nine on the second?

MR. BERRY: Yes, correct.

MS. BOHAN: Asking for final. The

materials? Hardiplank?

MR. BERRY: Yes, Hardi.

MS. BOHAN: The typical?

MR. BERRY: Correct.

MS. BOHAN: I'm done with the questions.

That's all I have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I'm good with it. I think it's -- that lot is, you know, tricky. It's pretty cool that you can come in through the back and have your parking back there and still have both frontages to have curb appeal versus parking. And I think it's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

113

a modest size home. And I have no problems granting that 17 percent.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: Left side, if you're facing the house, is the one that has the porch the entrance; is that correct?

MR. BERRY: Yes.

MS. SANDERS: And the right side is adjacent to your neighbor?

MR. BERRY: Correct.

MS. SANDERS: Which has a bunch of trees, so it's not a big deal. Yeah, I think it looks good. I think as long as y'all are okay -- the application is not complete, like you said, with materials, et cetera.

MR. HENDERSON: This was a very challenging -- I'm sorry. You're still commenting.

MS. SANDERS: As long as you're okay with that, I think the design is nice.

MR. HENDERSON: This was third or fourth go around, trying to squeeze this structure to meet the setbacks on this property. Postage stamp lot. There's some access issues. Heavily treed lot.

25 | We've worked extensively.

	7,511 Zo, Zo 10
1	MS. SANDERS: I have no problem with the
2	variance request. I am sure the materials will be
3	fine.
4	MR. ROBINSON: The first architect worked
5	on it, he just couldn't make it work. They actually
6	let him go and hired Carl to come in and do a plan.
7	Actually, we were like: Wow, this is great.
8	Everything is going to fit.
9	Then, uh-oh, lot coverage. It is mostly
10	on that first floor.
11	MS. SANDERS: I think it's a reasonable
12	request.
13	MR. HENDERSON: The other issue, the
14	trees. It's so heavily canopied out there, the
15	gentleman that lived out there before had this
16	barricade; allowed all these trees to grow. The
17	gentleman has to cut down all these trees and
18	mitigate the site with all these inches. The
19	challange continues with the landscape plan.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Bill.
21	MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it. Looks
22	great.
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly an improvement
24	of what was there. I'm okay with it.

MR. CRAVER:

Make a motion, final approval

1	as submitted.
2	MS. WEBB: Second.
3	MS. SANDERS: Third.
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
5	(All Board members stated aye.)
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: So approved.
7	Meeting is adjourned.
8	(The meeting was concluded at 8:30 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

I, Lora McDaniel, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the
foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and
complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to, nor counsel for, any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 6th day of May, 2016 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

Lora Regi

PUBLIC LARCHING Sona Mc Danie

Lora L. McDaniel, Registered Professional Reporter My Commission expires: September 18, 2016

1			Ī.
1	INDEX	117	
2		Page	
3	3117 Marshall Blvd	3	
4	2668 Goldbug Ave	12	
5	2019 Middle St	57	
6	2114 I'on Ave	65	
7	1023 Middle St	73	
8	209 Station 17	81	
9	6 Conquest Ave	89	
10	2816 Marshall Blvd	92	
11	3003 Brownell Ave	94	
12	1319 Thompson Ave	110	
13	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	116	
14			
15			
16			
17	EXHIBITS		
18			
19	(No Exhibits Proffered)		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

\$	18 95:7	22 82:21	40 44:5	A
	1925	22nd	475	
\$7,500 17:1	19:7	66:18	93:12	A-202 105:12
	1951	23		
\$75 17:1	16:22,25 17:6 18:1 21:16	96:4	5	A-204 97:20 105:5
17.1		25	5	abeyance
1	1960 17:5 21:15 23:7	43:4,5 50:11 79:9 82:23	89:13	46:10
	26:7	25-foot	5,003	ability
1,184	1970	103:16 104:10	29:8	69:8
27:6,10,12,22	66:11	105:9	5,300	absolute
1,191	1980	25.5	29:8	50:6
28:21	46:8	35:16	50	accept
1,200 23:9 28:18 29:3		2662	38:23 40:20 72:1	106:3
	2	33:7	108:19	access
1,200-foot 51:19	2	2668		78:5 113:24
	2 30:25 82:22	15:10	6	accessory
1,647 111:24	2,400	28	6	28:20
100	49:18	44:4	89:5,7	accomplish
82:25 84:8,10	20	28-foot	60	51:6
95:23 97:21	25:6 37:20 39:15	34:8 43:18	34:20	achieve
100:10 105:22	40:8 41:5,19,21	2816 92:25 93:2	65-ish	51:20
107:12	2004		25:10	actual 16:10 88:2 105:2
1023	90:5	282 112:1		
73:2,4	2008		7	add 28:22 58:11 59:1
10th	47:22,23	296 38:16		64:18 66:25 88:3
31:2	2010	36.10	70	89:9 90:20 105:12
11	89:18 90:3,5 91:11	3	38:23 50:12	112:6
89:16 95:9	2012		71	added
12	16:20 18:21	3-D	41:2,3	28:2 66:10 81:5
25:14,15,17 38:11 93:12 94:2	2019	108:11		87:23 106:12
	57:4,6,9	30	8	adding
12-foot 18:11	202	34:14 37:19 50:12	80	22:1,3,13 57:18 58:20 67:9 70:19
12-paned	97:20 108:4	83:18,23 99:12	54:12	74:15 84:21 86:8
1 2-paneu 18:17	204	100:10 101:6 109:20 110:2,5	81	addition
1319	97:20	30-foot	39:13,21,25 40:4,9	22:14,15 23:10
110:19,22	209	31:25 33:15 34:21	43:9,16 44:3,4	27:8,14,17 58:8
15	81:24 82:3	35:2 100:9 103:19	81-foot	66:4 67:2,14
43:4 83:18 84:23	21-178	3003	43:17 46:14	69:14,16 77:6
15,000	51:1	94:24 95:1	82	89:20 90:4,5 91:1 17
101:4	21-20	307	112:1	
15.7	51:18	38:15	8:00	additional 67:11 70:5 76:3
96:2	21-23 42:10	319	100:19	88:3
16th		73:10		address
20:13 22:7,21	21.7 82:20	330	9	32:15 49:7 50:19
17		111:25	00	83:8
81:24 82:3 83:10,	210 38:15		90 68:23 111:15	addressed
25 84:24 86:24		4	90s	29:24
111:1,5 113:2	2114 65:10,13		90s 69:3	adequate
17.3	21st	4,182	94	66:7 67:7
95:7	66:18	96:16	111:15	
	30.10		111.13	

45:10 78:6 83:23

adjacent

113:9

84:20

advantage

adjustments

advertises 15:17 aerial 31:8 afraid 45:8 agenda 57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6 93:1 94:25 110:20 agree 30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	52:17
aerial 31:8 afraid 45:8 agenda 57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6 93:1 94:25 110:20 agree 30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
afraid 45:8 agenda 57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6 93:1 94:25 110:20 agree 30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	aerial
agenda 57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6 93:1 94:25 110:20 agree 30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	afraid
57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6 93:1 94:25 110:20 agree 30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	_
30:6,7,10 32:25 53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	57:5,8 60:7 65:11 73:3 82:1 89:6
53:2 55:20 59:14 60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15 71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	53:2 55:20 59:14
71:21 81:10 86:14 94:12 103:20 agreeable 37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	60:5,11,12,20 61:2,15 62:15
37:24 38:2 agreed 62:17 agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	71:21 81:10 86:14
agreement 22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
22:18 54:5 72:14 ahead 32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
32:15 61:15 83:2 104:19 air 50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
50:2 Allen 15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	32:15 61:15 83:2
15:10,11,23 16:19 18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
18:22,25 Allen's 39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	Allen
39:7 alleviate 102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	18:22,25
102:12 allowed 46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
46:13 96:17,19 104:9 111:25 allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	
allowing 83:12 Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	46:13 96:17,19
Ally 90:1 amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	allowing
amend 37:21 41:5 amended 37:20 amount	Ally
amended 37:20 amount	amend
37:20 amount	
	amount

w Board	
96:17,19	
analysis 82:13	a
Ann 74:19	
anomaly 86:24	a
anxious 104:15	a
apologize 111:9	a
apologizes 15:11	a
apparently 36:16	a
appeal 38:1 112:25	a
appealable 41:14	a
Appeals 36:9 44:16 50:24	a
51:4,12 applicant	a
44:18 57:10 58:5 63:4 82:10 83:1	
93:3,6 95:12 110:23 111:2	
applicant's 89:23	a
applicants 65:13,17 95:2	a
application 30:2 41:17 61:11	a
62:22 63:13 64:24 65:3 71:23 74:21 80:7,23 82:9 83:8	a
90:19 91:11 97:10 98:2 104:15 111:8,	a
10 113:13 applications	a
79:23 applied	A
61:21 62:20 63:15 92:11	a
apply 33:3 47:7 52:1	a
107:7,8 appropriateness	a
51:21 65:12 approval	a
30:14 37:19 57:10, 15 60:19 61:8 64:10 72:21 73:6	a
76:25 81:18 82:4 88:21 89:9 90:8	a

Su
93:7 95:3 110:13, 24 112:3
approve 61:15,21,24 64:1, 20 65:1 92:13,20 94:17
approved 32:23 41:25 93:9
approving 30:11 51:3 90:18
arches 71:12
architect 18:19 49:12 55:2
architectural 20:14 105:21
architecturally 106:5
architecture 67:21 84:18 96:9
archways 66:10
area 33:8 36:14 43:1,3 74:19,23 75:13,16 83:14 84:16 85:6,7 87:11 108:14 109:17
areas 66:8,9
arguably 53:4
argue 34:4
article 51:6
asks 32:24
aspect 108:13
Associates 65:14,22
assuming 27:16
asymmetry 87:10
attach 51:4
attached 68:5 89:20
attention 30:25 59:13
attic

avoid aye baby back backs 90:21 35:14 36:13,15,19

67:5 93:24 backwards 16:17 attorney 52:21 backyard 39:6 authority 41:20 51:25 64:20 bad 68:15 70:23 authorize 62:24 **BAHON** 59:14 Avenue 15:10 65:10,13 **balconies** 83:9 89:5,8 94:24 96:10 105:1 95:2 110:19 balcony averaged 84:4 101:22,23 38:22 102:2,4,12,16,21 104:1 105:7 101:16 band 57:25 62:7 awesome 69:12 70:17 bare 50:6 99:24 awning 57:18,19,20,21 barge 59:5 60:1 62:8,11 29:23 63:5 64:20 **Barnwell** awnings 73:20 78:15 59:24 **Barnwells** 76:20 56:22 64:17 73:1 Barr 81:23 89:1 92:24 29:15,18 30:1 94:22 110:18 31:10 36:1 41:1,3 42:9,21,23 43:1, 13,15 44:4,7 46:1, В 4,6,12 50:20 51:18 54:11,16,19 92:15 base 87:23 88:5 15:16 17:13 19:11, based 16,17,18,19,22 19:5,10 32:23 20:15 21:6 22:3,7 41:11 43:3 52:22 26:24 27:19 28:1 53:6,23 30:9 33:10 34:24 basically 35:5 39:15 40:8 17:12 32:7,21 33:1 41:4 43:20,25 34:20 40:13 42:14 44:2,5,7 45:15 43:2,17 46:9 52:23 46:1,7 48:2 49:21, 58:7 73:25 85:11 24 50:4 52:1,9,10 111:17 53:21 61:16 69:3 bath 70:20 71:7 72:17 21:25 74:23 78:9 79:15 83:20 85:19 91:13 bathroom 95:13 96:11 105:2, 22:3.4 28:23 25 112:23,24 **Battery** backed 58:11 72:15 beach 73:21 74:8 75:9, 66:14 19,21 76:2,6,13,15 77:9 78:7,14,16,17 backside 79:20



III Ito: Doolgii Itovio	W Board			7 (5111 20, 2010
Bearing	54:24 59:22 68:1	bracket	5 60:3,10,14,23	
83:22	69:2 74:23 75:11	106:18	63:7,17 64:3 71:24	C
Beat	77:3 87:7,23 91:2	bracketed	Brownell	
58:11	95:18 105:7	103:16 104:5,7,23	94:24 95:1 99:15	calculate
beautiful	106:20	106:4	Browns	40:20
47:6	black	brackets	42:2	California
bedroom	17:4	105:2	build	68:24
19:16 21:23 28:1	block	break	43:6 47:15 49:13	call
69:15 91:24 93:19,	32:8	96:23 99:8 101:5	52:1,4 53:21 93:11	24:4 32:6 96:13
25	blocking	103:13,18 104:8	95:6 111:3,4	calling
bedrooms	49:1	105:3 106:1,14	build-to	55:2
91:18	blocks	111:17	32:6,12 33:2,4,14,	calls
Bee	48:22	breaking	19,25 34:9,10,12	55:12
74:18 79:24	blue	106:19	36:11 40:4,5 42:9,	cancelled
behalf	31:10,20	breaks	15,19 43:5 45:5	15:21
15:10	board	99:5	48:9,11,18,22	cantilever
belabor	20:6 21:4,5 22:8	bring	51:10 53:13,14,22 55:7	104:7
79:22	32:24 35:20,25	21:6 40:7,8,13		
	36:9 37:13,15,22	43:20,22 66:5,20	buildable	card 73:10
believing 83:16	38:2 41:12 44:16	78:10	43:3 53:3,25	
	45:14,22 47:5	bringing	builders	care 50:7
Berry	50:24 51:4,12,22 54:4 56:10,22	19:11 43:25 71:7	72:1	
110:23 111:7,11 112:12,15,17	57:25 62:7,23	91:14	building	careful
113:7,10	64:17 73:1 75:12	brings	28:8,20 29:5,6	24:16 50:5
Bev	81:23 82:7,9 85:5	26:24 41:3	30:19 33:18 57:23 59:9,19,23 60:6	Carl
23:16 26:10 80:6	89:1,18 90:3 92:24	broke	61:5,12 62:12,15,	110:23
85:17 112:7	94:22 106:2	97:2	16 66:3,15 67:6,13	Carolina
bicycle	110:18	broken	72:16 82:20,21	15:13 51:16 69:2
58:12	Board's	99:3,24 101:3	86:21 89:13,15,19	carried
big	17:22	108:2,6 109:1,8,22	93:5,7,9 95:8,9	46:8
17:17,18 19:21	boards	Bronwyn	96:1,3,18 103:8	case
29:23 31:4 38:5,9	37:16 73:18	65:21 69:8,20	109:7 110:8,25 111:24	36:2
45:10 48:11 86:24	BOHAN	brought		casement
97:4 108:1 110:7	23:17,23 25:11,15,	15:22 16:25 20:14	built 18:10 19:21 25:4	74:18 76:7
113:12	20,24 26:2,9 62:4	39:14 50:20 84:5	32:3 34:10 52:23	catch
big-ass	64:14 71:20 80:7, 22 85:18 88:23	87:24 104:5 110:14	91:17 93:16 110:9	85:12
110:10	92:7 94:12 103:20		bumps	caught
bigger	105:5,11,15 107:2	Browder	105:7	16:11 94:6
31:3 38:17	112:8,13,16,18	31:10,22 32:13 35:10,11 36:8,22	bunch	cedar
biggest	bottom	42:18 44:1 46:17	41:16 113:11	57:18 60:1 61:1
28:25 38:3 96:20	18:18	52:12 54:17 55:12	buried	center
bike	bought	Browder's	110:7	102:4
59:13	16:20,21,25 39:9	34:21 43:21	buyer	century
Bill	Boulevard	Browders	91:13	67:21
26:11 45:17 54:15	92:25 93:3	29:18 32:3 33:16	buying	certificate
55:2 88:6	boundaries	34:8 35:19 36:2,7	39:9	65:12
Bill's	82:11	43:19,22,24 46:17	BZA	certified
55:10,11	boundary	49:22 52:20	15:17 30:14 31:1	23:12
Billy	34:1	Browders'	32:19,21 34:1	cetera
45:1 72:3 81:1	box	39:4	37:12 39:14,16,24	113:15
97:24	108:21 110:10	Brown	40:7 41:5 44:21	Chairman
bit		16:21,24 17:5	45:14,22 47:5,21	56:25
16:7 17:15 25:19	boxy 98:9 110:7	18:10,13 19:21	53:6,12 54:3	CHAIRPERSON
28:2 30:17 33:12	70.7 110.7	25:4 57:9,15,21 58:2 7 16 10 50:1		20:8 23:15 26:10,
35:1 39:13 49:25		58:2,7,16,19 59:1,		

21,23 29:14,16
30:12,20 37:7,11
39:12,18,20,25
40:25 42:5,8 44:2,
6 46:21 47:21 48:6
50:15 52:5 54:7
55:4,10,20,23
56.2 6 14 18 20 23
57:2,5 58:6,14,17, 21 59:10,16 60:8,
21 59:10,16 60:8,
12,16 61:4,10,18,
12,16 61:4,10,18, 23 62:10,14,18
63:3,25 64:5,13,
15,18 65:8 68:19
70:4,11 71:10,22
72:3,7,9,22,24
73:16 77:19 79:12
80:6 81:1,10,17,
19,21,25 83:2
85:17,23 87:13
88:6,15,22,24 89:2
90:12,16 91:25
92:3,8,10,17,22
93:14 94:3,15,18,
20,23 96:5 97:7, 11,16,24 98:2,17,
24 99:9,12,15
100:7,13,17,20
101:18 102:23
103:24 104:14,19
106:7,11,15,25
107:4,9,16,19
108:22 109:10,14,
19,24 110:11,16
111:9 112:5,7,20
113:3
CHAIRPESON

101:9

challenge 66:5

challenging 71:11 113:17

chance 40:15

change 16:6 48:12 59:18 61:11 72:11 76:3 81:6 96:22 105:17

changed 16:12 17:8 18:15 25:8 63:16 68:1

82:16 85:8 95:11

changing 93:23

character 39:3 66:1,4 69:18 70:9 90:10 98:8 107:15

Charleston

58:10 76:17 86:18

chatting 76:11

cheaper 16:15 24:14

chicken 76:19

child 93:18,20

chimney 19:12 22:13 66:24 71:13

choose 20:4.6

chosen 70:19

Chris 89:25 91:9,21

chunk 27:24 circle

40:10 circled 85:19

circles 56:5,12

circuit 41:15

circular 19:21 27:20

circulation 70:22 71:1

claimed 78:16

clarification 63:1 82:8

clarified 82:12 95:16

clarify

51:17 63:22 65:5 82:10.16 95:15

Clarke

95:15,20 96:6,7 97:13,17,23 98:1, 13,19 99:11,13,18, 21 100:4,9,14 101:20 102:6,8,11, 18 103:4,15 104:3, 22 105:6,14 106:4, 12.17 107:14.18 108:10.16 110:5

classical 67:20

clean

68:14 74:20 111:20

clear

21:13 27:9 32:16 38:1 64:2 65:6 84:6 95:18

client

47:2 56:10 73:22

38:6 93:20 108:20

closer 29:22 closest

40:6 co-op

57:14 59:25

COA

73:3 82:2 89:6 93:2 94:25 110:21

code 78:1 coded

31:14 55:14

codes 44:10 collecting

68:2 color 31:14 55:13 59:3

column 24:8,9

comment 29:15 42:4,7,8 46:21 50:9 55:9

58:21 68:20 90:7, 18 102:24

commenting 113:18

comments 17:22 41:12 54:4

77:8 commercial

57:8,12 66:15 72:15

community 58:10,13,20 comparison

75:4 compartmentalize

30:16 compatibility

51:21 82:14

compatible 19:13 46:18

complete 113:14

completely 66:23 71:2

complicated 15:7 37:10 39:11

complied 88:10

compromise 52:6 54:2,8,13 55:21,24 69:8

conceptual

64:7,8 65:15 71:22 73:5 97:25 104:14 110:13.24 112:4

Conceptually 71:20

concern 84:12 98:24

concerned 87:2

concerns 39:4 concrete 58:3

condition 34:2 47:6,14 48:9, 16,20

conditional 30:2

conditions

22:24 32:24 48:12, 13 51:5

conduit 57:17 60:24

conform 85:3

conformance 85:10

conforming 79:8

confused 39:13 61:23

conjunction 32:21

connected 22:4 91:22 connecting 54:21

Conquest 89:5,7 91:6 considerable 72:11

consideration 36:3 48:21 70:3

consistent 24:13

conspiracy 50:3

constantly 67:18

construction 30:8 31:12 33:9 51:9 57:10 82:4 93:6 95:3 110:25

contemporary 87:7.8

content 43:19,20

contention 22:16

contentious 15:8

context 87:9 contingent

61:24 continuation

62:3.7 continue 59:7 60:6 63:9

contract 93:17.21

contractor 76:12

convert 93:10 convince

19:2 59:17 cool

112:23 copy 42:17.21

corner 45:11 90:9

correct 15:1 23:19 24:1 39:15 58:25 59:1, 25 78:24 80:9,10 95:12 97:23 99:13 112:12.17 113:6. 10

corrections 68:16

cottage 16:3 26:15 27:6	34:14,23,25 35:3 78:21	deeply 58:9	difference 23:17 38:23	67:4,10 71:15,18 111:20
29:9 38:8 42:6 52:15 81:12	crossing 106:21	defeat 38:7	difficult 75:15	dotted 31:24 35:4
cottage-type 26:25	curb 112:25	defer 56:8,16 58:4 65:19	dimension 25:13 26:4	double 40:14
couch 17:16,19	curious 85:24	77:24 82:25 89:22 93:13	dimensional 32:12	downtown 86:18
could've 21:9 54:1	cuter 18:2	defined 53:14	direct 61:4,24	draw 30:24
count 53:13	cycling 58:9	delineate 59:6	direction 102:15 105:18	drawing 39:20 101:13
couple		demolition	disadvantage	107:24
31:5 40:21 42:9 73:24 93:16 103:14 112:9	D	95:4 DENTION	52:18 disagree	drawings 108:24 109:1
court	D1 57:8	86:2 Denton	26:16 44:14	110:14 DRB
34:6 41:15 50:17 54:10,23 95:19	damn 45:22	82:3 83:3 85:22 86:12 87:8,12,21	discussed 75:11 76:9	15:16 18:13 20:13 91:11
coverage 15:25 51:23 82:21	dark 18:24 33:8 111:13	89:3 depending	discussion 27:4 30:17 31:1,5	Duke 90:8
89:13 95:9 96:1,18 111:1,24	data	80:13	54:9,22 56:18,20, 21 59:10 64:15	dwelling 26:25
covered 19:20 27:18 85:18	23:3 68:3 date	depth 101:14 102:3 103:9,23	72:24 81:21 88:22, 24 92:22 94:3,20 107:10 110:16	dysfunctional
covering 104:8,9	47:2 days	design	distance	71:4
Craver	68:23	20:15 22:11 51:21 57:8 59:3 62:11	40:14 110:4 distances	E
26:12,17,22 27:16 30:18 36:4 45:17	dead 85:25	65:20 66:5 69:13, 22 70:9 77:15	39:13 distinct	E1 65:11
46:11 48:2,7 49:3 50:17 53:19 54:14,	deal 41:6 84:11 93:22	82:13 83:15 89:8, 18,25 95:21 96:7 101:3 108:8	39:2	E2 73:3
18,20,25 55:6,11, 15,22 56:19 59:15 61:20 62:19 63:12	113:12 deceiving	113:20	distribute 95:12	easement
64:6,10,22 72:4,8, 20 81:2,4,18 88:7,	78:13 December	designate 22:22 55:8	distributing 95:22	78:6 easier
20 92:9,14,19,21 94:13,19 98:3	17:4 20:13 22:7,21 decide	designation 15:1,3 19:24 20:3	district 32:1,10 33:1 42:11	31:18 73:18 76:14 easily
99:1,14,16,20,23 100:1,5,12,15,18	88:12	29:20,21 32:20 designed	44:9 69:5 districts	101:6 east
101:1 107:3,21 108:12,18,23	decisions 64:12	96:7	32:22 Donna	68:6 99:22 100:13 107:18,19 109:10,
109:12,16,22,25 110:6,12	deck 17:7 18:8,11	25:2 105:21 106:2, 4 107:11	23:15 59:10 71:10 77:20 79:12 85:23	20 110:2 easy
crazy 87:19	19:17,18,19 27:13, 18 90:21,23,24	details 66:20	101:9,18 112:20 door	45:18
create 21:19 32:21 41:13	decorative 106:23	deteriorated 66:23	17:14,16 24:22 26:6,7 29:19 43:18	eave 66:19
44:9 45:24,25 48:15 49:1	deed 17:1	detract	59:6,7 86:4 87:3 doors	Eddie 46:22,23 73:5 81:2
created 45:15 48:8	deem 64:9	81:9 developed	16:6,10,13 23:21 24:4 57:25 69:25	edge 40:5
creates 48:15,16,19	deep 18:9 102:12	41:23 110:14 diagonal	dormer 67:3,9	effect 46:16 61:17,19
critical 31:23,25 33:16	deeper 106:20	35:8 54:19,20 55:16	dormers	74:23 80:8

III Ito. Booigii Itovio	TT Board			7 (5111 20, 2010
eight-foot-six 25:20	entry-way 21:21,22	expand 70:19	facade 23:18 57:11,17,25	features 70:7
electrical 57:17	envelope 110:8	expect 90:12	58:1,4 59:2,9,18 60:6,9 61:11 63:5,	February 15:15
element 30:8 40:6 75:1 84:13 100:2 106:23	essentially 17:6 43:7 44:8 45:8 73:24 77:5 85:7	expensive 24:19 explain 31:16 65:20 73:12	16 72:16 74:20 75:9 76:6 102:2 103:8,10,22 104:21	feel 23:24,25 37:3,5 71:13 75:7 79:17 84:22 86:5 97:4
elements 20:14 70:10 84:4	establish 47:9,18	exposed 66:17,24	faces 66:13 67:23 99:15	101:11,14 102:14 106:19
elevated 35:13 102:9	established 47:12	exposure 66:15	facing 66:22 83:25 113:4	feels 86:6 106:20
elevation 22:25 67:22 68:10, 12 72:11,16 75:24 82:12 98:8,18,25 99:5,10 100:8,13 102:5 107:5,17,25 109:12,15,20,21 elevations 95:25 96:13 97:20 98:10 107:8 110:1, 2,3 enclose 73:6 89:9 90:3,6 enclosed 84:15	Eudy 15:3,7,9 20:17,20 21:18 22:19 23:19 24:2,25 25:3,14, 16,22,25 26:3,16 27:11,13,18,23 28:6,11,13,22,25 29:11,24 30:13,22 31:3 36:6 37:9,12 39:16,19,23 40:10 41:8 43:12,14 47:22 49:7 52:8 55:13 evidence 17:25	extend 69:15 78:22 83:12 extended 63:5 extending 64:20 extends 84:9 extension 27:20 35:11 extensively 113:25 extent	fact 15:22 factor 86:12 failed 41:9 fairly 23:6 37:14 99:5 108:2 fake 86:17 fall 85:15 falls	feet 23:10 25:6,14 29:3 34:11,14,20 35:9, 16 37:20 38:12,15, 23 39:13,15,22,25 40:4,8,9,13,20 41:4,11,19 43:4,5, 9,16 44:2,5 50:12 51:11 52:9,11 54:2,12,13 67:7 76:18,24 78:8,9,10 80:12,14,18 83:18, 19,20,23 84:23 93:12 96:16 101:4, 6 102:3 103:9,22 104:24 105:24,25
enclosure 77:6 encoach	exact 33:13 exception 20:3 23:1 28:9	65:5 exterior 18:21 24:18 57:17 65:15 71:5 93:23,	51:11 79:2 familiar 73:20 family	110:2,5 111:15,25 fell 65:25 69:11
51:10 encompassed 103:10	30:11 32:20 40:19 42:13 44:9,10 45:23 47:11 48:16	25 108:25 109:7 extraordinary 47:5,14	16:21 18:13 91:20 fans 75:14	fellow 16:20 felt 80:3
encroach 104:10 end 52:11 59:19 62:11 67:19 68:6 106:8	51:3,8,19 exceptional 47:6 exceptions 38:14,19 50:10,21	eye 80:15 eyebrow 106:15 107:11,17 eyebrows	fashion 83:13 father 16:25	fence 76:13,17 77:21 78:6,14,17,25 79:3,5,7,8 80:8,11, 17 82:16
engineer 18:19 23:12 28:14 35:15 engineer-architect	51:2 excuse 54:10,23 84:15 95:10 101:18	103:14 —	faux 24:8 Fava 45:15 46:1,3,5,22, 23 49:10 73:5,12,	figure 38:22 56:9 fill 110:7
24:3 engineers 18:18	exemption 70:14,15 existing	F1 82:1 F2	16,17 77:12,17,24 78:12,24 79:2,4,21 80:13,21,25 81:3	filled-in 84:22 filling
enhanced 87:11 entered 56:24 65:9 entire 22:12 30:11 45:15 57:19 61:8	25:12 27:6 51:10 57:18,20,21 60:24 67:4,10 69:21,25 73:6 74:6,7,9 75:6, 24 76:2,5 77:13 89:9 95:4 exists 70:7	89:6 F3 93:1 F4 94:25 F5 110:21	Fava's 46:7 favor 56:21 64:16 72:25 81:22 88:25 92:23 94:21 110:17 favors	70:1 final 22:25 64:7,9,10 71:23,24 72:20 73:6 81:18 82:4 88:20 92:13,20 94:17 110:20
entrance 73:8 113:6	exited 57:3	fabric 83:13 84:2,18	108:8 fear 86:12	112:3,13 financed 17:1
I	I	İ	İ	İ

forgot

iii ito: Booigii itovio
find 40:19 51:15 66:6
finding 68:3
fine 28:17 53:7 63:11, 24 64:4 80:21 81:10 92:8 98:10 finished
79:23 fit
87:9 96:8
fitting 87:2
five-and-a-half 76:17,24 80:14
fixtures 88:4
flat 108:5,14
flexibility 83:10
flip 96:12
floor 35:17 66:10 69:24 70:13 84:8,9 85:6 98:4 100:4 101:6 102:2,10,11,17,18, 19 103:8,24,25 104:21 106:20,21 107:25 109:4,5,8 111:19 112:11
flooring 104:8
floors 74:4
flues 66:24
foot 27:7 38:23 49:18 94:2 100:11 112:10
footage 22:2 27:24,25 28:3,8,18 29:5,7 70:20 82:20 89:15, 19 93:5,13 95:8 96:3
footprint

find	forgot
40:19 51:15 66:6	66:13
finding	form
68:3	47:12 88:2
fine	formal
28:17 53:7 63:11,	30:7
24 64:4 80:21 81:10 92:8 98:10	forward 24:17 29:22 30:8
finished	33:21 37:19 40:13
79:23	41:9 44:17 45:20
fit	46:8 47:10 52:7
87:9 96:8	95:4
fitting	found
87:2	68:4
five-and-a-half	four-and-a-half
76:17,24 80:14	34:11
fixtures	four-foot
88:4	102:12
flat	four-pane
108:5,14	18:16
flexibility 83:10	fourth 82:5 88:15 113:21
flip 96:12	frame 15:19
floor	fridge 17:16
35:17 66:10 69:24 70:13 84:8,9 85:6 98:4 100:4 101:6 102:2,10,11,17,18, 19 103:8,24,25 104:21 106:20,21 107:25 109:4,5,8 111:19 112:11 Flooring	front 15:15,16,18 16:4, 5,15 17:7,23 18:8 20:24 21:10,14 22:3,9,17 24:20,24 25:4 26:6 27:13 33:4,14,18 34:9,12 35:10,11 36:11
104:8	37:12 38:5 39:10
floors	42:15 43:20,21
74:4	45:10 48:10,11,18, 24 49:1,14 53:4,25
flues	54:1 57:16 58:13,
66:24	24 72:10 73:21
foot 27:7 38:23 49:18 94:2 100:11 112:10	74:16 77:7,23 79:14,20,25 83:17, 18 86:4 87:3 89:9 90:1 98:9,10,16,25 99:3,5,14 101:11
footage 22:2 27:24,25	103:2 104:7,25
28:3,8,18 29:5,7	111:14
70:20 82:20 89:15,	frontage
19 93:5,13 95:8	49:21
96:3	frontages
footprint	112:24
49:13,18 66:3 67:15 68:18 69:21 74:13 77:12,14	full 50:2
forever 45:3 56:3	fun 69:5 functional
	TullCuviial

Sı
69:9,25
functionality 21:20 24:6 81:5
furniture
70:14 furthest
33:21
G
garage 49:13
gave 83:10
gazebos 67:19
generally 53:16
genuine 19:8
Georgetown 69:3,5
give 41:18,19 45:23 49:20 54:2 56:8,16
64:6,10,19 72:20 87:6 88:20 110:12 111:25
give-and-take 49:20
glad 72:4 91:8
glance 112:9
glass 80:5
Glaws 57:9
glaze 74:11
glazed 75:6
glazing 74:24 77:7
goal 69:24
God 110:9
Goldbug 15:10 16:23 19:7 33:7
good

46:6 54:25 58:17 59:15,16 71:8,21 72:6,13 81:9,16 87:19 88:7,13 92:5,6,7,9 100:21 112:21 113:12
goose 60:21
grant 44:16 61:7 74:17 96:17,19 100:23 105:8 106:6
granted 23:1 33:12 34:1 45:12,14 46:14,16 53:6 89:18 103:17
granting 51:9 85:16 113:1
Gravel 91:6
great 59:11 71:9 81:11, 14,16 111:11
green 32:4,14 35:7,8 76:17
grill 19:20
ground 33:11 35:16,18 66:10 70:13 88:5 103:5
group 23:1 51:12 69:13 89:8,25 95:21 96:7
guess 55:7 60:7 91:15 92:12
guidelines 111:16
guys 15:15 19:25 20:25 32:21 59:7
Н
haired 111:13
half 44:6,7
Halfway 44:7
hand
46:19 hand-drawn

	42:17
	handed 17:11
	handicapped 93:18
	handle 15:5
	handlers 50:2
	handrails 84:21
	hanging 90:25
6 7	happen 105:8
	happening 71:12 86:23
	happier 99:23
,	happy 41:1 49:6 77:17 97:6 100:15
	hard 53:20 99:17
	Hardi 112:15
	Hardi-board 57:24
	Hardi-plank 22:13
	Hardiplank 105:18 112:14
:7	hardship 44:19 52:15,16 53:5,8
	hardships 53:7,24
	hate 63:3
5	head 67:4,11 70:1
_	heading 76:15
_	hear 27:4 29:14 36:4,5 46:11 61:18 62:19 64:5 72:19 81:17 88:19 92:10 94:16 110:11
	heated 27:21 93:12 94:2
	106:22 Heather
	49:12 50:18

forever

15:8 21:11 23:4

24:10 27:2 29:13

Inverted

104:1

heavily

84:3 113:24

84:3 113:24
heed 17:22
height 51:23 67:6 76:24 77:1,2,25 78:2,8 80:4,12,14,19
helpful 31:5 108:10
helps 70:22 86:10 97:5 107:14
HENDERSON 15:2,5 20:12,18 21:12 22:8,23 23:5,11 24:23 25:1 28:20,24 29:2,6,10 30:5,10,16,24 40:2 42:21 47:23 48:5 50:19 52:3 56:25 57:7,24 58:3,23 59:2,21 60:18,25 61:7,14 62:1,6,13, 16,21 63:18 64:2, 4,8 65:4,11 70:18 73:3 77:10,15 78:5,21,25 79:3,7 80:10,16 82:1 85:13 89:6 93:1 94:25 95:22 97:9, 19 102:1,7,9 103:7,13,21,25 104:2,17,20 105:20 106:9 107:7,11 110:1,20 112:6 113:16,21
Henshaw 89:24 90:14,17 91:16 92:2,11,15
Herlong 21:9 65:13,22 69:13
hesitating 100:23
high 49:25
higher 34:6 91:2
highlighted 31:24 43:2
hire 55:3
historic 15:3 16:17 19:24 20:2,10,16,19,23 21:13 22:22 23:7

and v v Board
24:24 28:9 29:7, 20,21 30:2 38:13 40:19 43:8 47:10 50:14 52:15 53:7, 9,18 55:8 65:12 69:4 70:16 72:12 73:4 74:19 76:4 77:15 79:16 80:23 86:5
historical 23:3 51:19 66:1,22 67:16 68:3 69:7,19 86:17
historically 23:25 67:18
history 69:1,2
hit 82:18
home 68:25 69:11 74:19 95:5 96:8 110:24 111:3 113:1
honestly 18:22
hope 20:5 59:17

hoping

horse 63:4

hour 56:12 house

horizontal 86:10 87:19

41:6 77:1 112:3

15:18 16:19,20,25 17:5,10,18,25 18:14 19:1,4 21:1, 20 22:4,12 25:5 26:6 29:20,21,22 33:25 35:6,7,9,10, 12 37:19 40:14 41:22 42:1 43:20, 21 44:17 45:10,18, 19,21 46:13 47:4, 25 48:11,18 49:23 52:4,9,12,23,25 54:14 55:8 68:6,7, 14 69:4,7,18 71:9, 11 72:5,6 73:19,20 74:19 75:7 76:12 79:23 83:6,11,12 84:1,5 85:1,3,7,9 86:5 88:1 89:20 90:22 91:7,12,17

93:16,17,24,25

95:6 104:24

Hugo 66:22
hung 84:7
I
I'on 65:10,13 86:15
idea 20:25 59:13 107:15
ignore 44:11 48:17
images 91:5
imagination 100:2
imits 51:22
impact 36:24 37:4,5 43:23,24 75:8
implicated 33:18
important 38:4
impose 51:22
improve 59:9
improvements 66:8 85:20
in-fill 66:12 67:1,9 68:7 16 70:21 71:5,18 96:10 104:25
in-ground 89:10 90:21
inch 51:11
included 27:8 28:4,9
includes 29:8
inclusive

houses

huge

,	Sullivaris Island Desigi
111:18 113:5	incompatible 45:2
29:11 32:7 38:20 40:11 48:13,17 50:11 57:13	105:18
10:11 57:13 10ge 27:24 75:14	incorporated 22:24
Hugo 66:22	increase 82:20,21 89:19 93:12 95:7
nung 84:7	increases 77:10,12
I	indented 109:18
['on 65:10,13 86:15	indication 37:22
dea 20:25 59:13	inexpensively 101:7
107:15	information 19:6 23:6
gnore 44:11 48:17	inherited 49:10
mages 91:5 magination	initial 39:14 83:7
100:2 mits	initially 72:10
51:22	input 101:8
mpact 36:24 37:4,5 43:23,24 75:8	ins 111:21
mplicated 33:18	inserted 88:1
mportant 38:4	99:25
mpose 51:22	inside 24:7 93:10
mprove 59:9	install 78:6 80:17
mprovements 66:8 85:20	integrity 69:22
n-fill 66:12 67:1,9 68:7	
16 70:21 71:5,18 96:10 104:25	intention 46:23
n-ground 89:10 90:21	interest 105:12
nch 51:11 ncluded	interior 18:20 19:3 24:15 70:25 71:2,3,6 74:1 108:24 109:3
27:8 28:4,9 ncludes	interpretation 44:14 51:13 86:7
29:8 nclusive 29:7	introduce 44:21,23 70:8

involved 56:10 58:9
involvement
58:20
island
38:14 45:3 50:10
65:16 67:21 70:10
73:9 87:9 96:9
issue 20:10 22:11,17,18
29:23 34:7 37:10
39:11 41:14 48:8,
23 49:4 51:25
59:23 77:25 86:13 98:6 108:7,20
109:9
issued
48:5 50:22,25
issues
42:9 113:24
item 50:20 57:5,8 65:8,
11 73:3 74:14
81:25 82:1 89:6
93:1 94:25 110:21
items
77:5 95:17
J
J Jay
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9,
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3 85:12 87:18 90:2
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3 85:12 87:18 90:2 95:15 97:7 103:4
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3 85:12 87:18 90:2 95:15 97:7 103:4 111:14 112:5
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3 85:12 87:18 90:2 95:15 97:7 103:4 111:14 112:5 Joe's 44:14 Jones
Jay 57:15 60:1 jazz 60:14 Jeff 65:22 Jim 55:3 68:21 89:24 92:1 job 27:2 72:6 81:16 111:11 Joe 16:18 20:9 30:23 44:14 46:25 58:22 63:8,16 76:9 77:9, 24 81:25 83:3 85:12 87:18 90:2 95:15 97:7 103:4 111:14 112:5 Joe's 44:14

i ke. Desigli kevie	w board			April 20, 2010
justice 109:2	law 51:16	lines 31:15,16 87:20	81:5,6 85:19 96:15,25 109:1,3	60:20 61:10 65:14 69:9 71:3
K	lawyer 36:8	Lisa 65:23	111:2,6,15 112:22 113:23,24	March 31:2
	lead	list	lots	marsh
keeping	41:24	21:3 53:9	38:15 53:25 111:5	16:23 31:20,21,23
17:12,13 19:8			louder	32:9 33:25 34:18
79:16 84:18	leading	listed	54:24	36:10 38:12 40:6
111:11	40:5	76:10		66:14 67:23 89:10
kidding 94:8,9	leapfrogging 45:6,7	listened 35:23 36:20 37:2	love 21:5 48:1 54:17 59:13 65:25 69:12	marsh-front 39:10
kids	leave	listening	71:12,24	
91:21	25:3 78:19 90:9	41:1	· ·	Marshall
, - ,	leaves	literally	lovely	92:25 93:3
kind	23:21	17:15 22:4	71:19	masonry
15:7 16:16 17:2			lower	58:4
21:21 24:5 26:8,13	leaving	live	57:25 58:1	mass
31:14 37:9 40:11	77:7	47:6 49:14	Lurkin	96:23 97:4 101:16
41:17,24 49:6	left	lived	65:21 70:5,15,21	104:9 108:2
53:19 56:5 58:12	31:11 33:7 43:10	48:22 69:2	05.21 /0.5,15,21	
59:6 75:10,13 77:1	69:15 85:25 86:2	living		massing
78:16 79:5 80:3	87:3 100:12	22:5 66:6 79:3	M	67:15 68:17 98:6
84:13 86:16 87:20,	107:25 113:4			105:24 106:1
21 96:8,12 98:23	left-hand	LLC	made	109:9
99:8 101:10,23	98:22	95:2	35:24 36:8 37:18	master
106:9,15		local	39:16 40:7 41:12	66:7 67:12 69:15
kitchen	legal	84:18	82:7,14 83:16	93:19
18:25 69:16 81:8	110:10	located	84:20 85:6,19	match
	legislature	110:22	mahogany	80:8
itchen-living	46:9		57:25	
36:14	length	location	- / ·	matches
new	100:9 108:19	16:10,12 39:21	main	31:25 76:4 109:6,7
24:17 35:21 39:9	100.9 106.19	74:16 83:11	29:22 39:8 66:9	matching
	lesser	long	102:8,16 103:15	108:25
	77:1	20:1 22:21 29:20	104:6,23	material
	letter	34:13 37:15 38:15,	maintain	96:22
ahana	15:11	16 45:12 83:13	66:3 67:14,15	
L -shape 35:12 98:23 100:7		99:20,21 107:5,9,	68:17 69:21 74:12	materials
	level	20 111:12 113:13,	maintained	79:16 97:3 112:14
anding	80:15 95:2 96:23	19	75:20,21	113:14
25:2	102:6,8,16 103:5,		·	matter
andlord	6,15 104:6,23	longer	maintaining	88:9
60:5	liberal	34:20 74:24	22:11	
	51:24 52:1	looked	majority	20:14 110:5
andmark 65:16 72:0	life	17:5,18 18:21	85:10	28:14 110:5
65:16 73:9	72:6	20:16 25:8 47:4	make	Mccrae
andscaping		69:17 72:10	24:9 26:14 29:16	89:25 91:10
87:11	light	lose	30:10 31:17 42:24	Mcnaughton
andward	60:22	39:5,6	55:25 56:7 57:11	31:21 32:4,14
34:15	lighting	· ·	60:25 61:16 64:11,	33:7,20,23 34:24
	57:16	lost	12 66:4,6 67:11	35:7
arge	likewise	69:18	68:15 69:24 71:13	
88:4 90:23 96:10,	49:22	lot	72:20 84:6,22 85:9	Mcnaughtons
23,24 97:4		19:2 21:20 24:10,	94:11 97:3 102:19	31:11 50:8
astly	limited	14 37:18 38:5,15,		Mcnauhton
76:5	49:17 80:18	25 39:9 40:16,21,	makes	35:9
ate	101:13	23 43:3,16 46:19	30:12 34:16 37:18	meant
69:3	limits	48:3 50:6 51:10	92:4 106:19	103:5
	51:22,23,24 52:1	59:12 66:21 68:4,	108:13	
attice	linear	13 70:22 78:18	making	measurements
87:15,20	110:2	79:14,22 80:2	24:22 30:6 58:19	23:11

media 91:19	minutes 36:20 37:23 44:20	moved 16:24 17:6 21:1	107:5,16,18 note	opportunity 101:23 102:21
Median	53:13 54:4	24:6 33:22 56:23	18:15	opposite
80:14	mirror	64:18 83:15 94:23	noted	75:1 76:8 78:3
medium	79:6	moves	28:21 67:19,20	79:6
49:6	miscalculations	23:21 58:10	notes	opted
meet	85:6	moving	70:6	69:19
79:9 80:19 113:22	missed	37:19 52:7 55:18 57:1 62:23	notice	opting
meet all	55:2		67:24	78:10
20:1 85:14	missing	multi-tenant 57:12	numbers	option
meeting	61:21 63:14	37.12	27:9 28:5,7,8	16:14 17:24 18:6 20:1 21:4,11
15:15,20,21,23	mistake	N	33:13 35:16 87:18	23:17,19,23,24
20:13,20 28:15	83:20			24:3,4,10,12,19,20
31:2 35:22,23 36:20,22 37:2,23	mitigate	nature	О	26:18,24 27:1,23,
44:21 53:13 57:1	101:19	69:7,19		25 28:3 29:1 30:6,
82:7,19 83:21 85:4	model	neat	oak 47:7,24 48:8,24	7 55:7 101:20
90:8 93:7	88:2 108:11	17:2 26:8	52:22,24 53:4	106:23
member	modern	necessarily	0aks	optional
39:16 105:19	17:9 86:7	34:5	49:14	71:16
members	modest	neck	object	options
37:13,16,23 38:2	111:3 113:1	60:22	29:19 36:1	18:6 20:5 21:6 55:6,7 69:13,14
54:5 56:11,22	modification	needed	objected	
64:17 73:1 81:23 89:1 92:24 94:22	65:16 89:12 93:4	22:24 23:1 49:25	45:1	orange 32:2,13 55:13
110:18	modifications	50:1 59:12 83:19	objection	·
mention	57:11 60:20 68:13 73:11 95:14	neighbor	75:12	order 22:25 49:21
66:13 87:17	modified	43:18 113:9	objections	ordinance
mentioned	18:9 82:12 89:21	neighbor's	44:25	32:7,9 33:24 34:6
21:8,15 36:16		80:8	obstructed	50:23 51:3,7,14
37:16 50:22	modify 73:7	neighborhood	49:15	79:10 80:9 85:10,
mess		86:16	occupants	14 88:11,14 93:8
18:21 45:15	money 24:15 41:16	neighbors	59:17	102:1 103:1
met	month	15:21 33:5 36:24	occurred	ordinances
44:13 83:18	17:2 84:12 86:13	37:4,5 56:8,16	68:16	65:1
metal	months	nice	offer	original
19:13 22:11	83:7	80:24 113:20	85:5	18:5 19:8 20:15 32:5 33:22 39:21
middle	motion	nicer 26:14	offered	40:2 91:11
40:11 57:4,6,9	20:10 27:4 29:14		37:21 41:4	originally
66:17 71:3 73:2,4	30:7,11 37:18,21	non-conforming 80:17	Offers	17:20 75:13 109:9
76:16	39:17 40:7 41:5,9,		77:3	outhouse
might've 21:8 86:14	10 55:1,25 56:6,7,	non-original 22:14	office	68:5
	14,15,19 61:18 64:5 72:19,20		36:17,18	outlined
mimic 104:7,25 105:1	81:17 88:19 92:10,	noncompliant 95:5	officer's	31:1
	13,20 94:16,17	nonconforming	84:3,14	outs
mind 24:23 83:22	110:11	70:18	offset	111:22
	mound		40:22 102:3	overcompensated
mine 47:2	47:6 49:14	nonhistoric 75:17 82:2 89:7	open	86:14
	move	93:2 95:1 110:21	21:16 25:1 79:9	overhead
Minimal 75:22	19:9 29:22 30:7	normal	80:20 84:10 86:1,4	31:8
	37:7 43:9 44:17	18:11	90:10,23 102:21 109:17	owned
minimum 50:6 103:9	46:17 52:9 64:6,10 81:18 88:20 95:4	north		16:20 39:10 42:1
	110:12	15:12 66:14,22	opinion 36:12 47:8	73:22
minus	110.12	67:1,3,19 68:11	30:12 47:8	

44:4

plenty 43:15 Poe 83:9,18 point

pointing 99:18 pool

98:15 porch

Town of Sullivans l In Re: Design Revi
owner 20:4 21:15 22:10 26:19 41:20 42:1 53:21 62:14,16,20 21,24 63:15 64:21 76:16 78:15
owners 23:8 88:11
Ozzie 45:1
P
package 107:22 108:8
packet 75:24 95:12
packets 73:11
paid 17:1 49:15
painted 74:2
painting 57:25
paned 17:12
panelling 74:2
paragraph 42:10
parallel 43:9
parcel 62:17
Parker's

/6:16 /8:15
owners 23:8 88:11
Ozzie 45:1
P
package 107:22 108:8
packet 75:24 95:12
packets 73:11
paid 17:1 49:15
painted 74:2
painting 57:25
paned 17:12
panelling 74:2
paragraph 42:10
parallel 43:9
parcel 62:17
Parker's 74:19
parking 58:12 112:24,25
part 27:15 30:21,23 38:24 62:2 63:23 86:9,23 90:19
parties 56:9,17
parts 50:10
pass 31:4,7
passed 41:11 54:3
4

ᆫ	w board
	path 75:21 76:13 77: 78:7,14,17
,	patience 88:16
	patients 16:1
	pay 53:9
	pecan 40:12
	people
	53:2 91:16 percent
	72:1 79:9 82:21 22,23,25 84:8,1 89:14,16 93:12 94:2 95:7,9,23 96:4 97:21 100: 105:23 107:12 108:19 111:1,5 112:1 113:2
	period 22:12
	permissible 44:20
	permit 93:9
	permits 59:23 93:7
	personal 88:9
	personality 87:6
	personally 24:2 26:23
	pertain 77:9
	pertinent 60:16
	Peter 45:1
	phase 60:18,19 61:8 63:10,12
	Phil 95:20,22 96:6
	philosophy 69:6
	photo 71:1
	photograph

66:22 68:9

77:3,9	
2:21, :8,10 :12 23 100:10 12 1,5	
1,5	
:8	
:6	

Su
photographs 67:25 70:6 73:14
photos 18:20 68:3 76:14
Photoshop 88:3
Photoshopped 88:5
physical 48:15,20
physically 40:17
pick 31:14
pickets 84:22 86:8 87:17
picture 17:3,4 19:18 21:14 23:7 24:24 26:7 107:14
pictures 18:12,23 19:15 20:16,17,19,21 21:13
piece 49:17,24 87:3 99:19 108:16
pilings 33:11 48:25
pink 31:9,22 32:5,12 57:13 58:25 59:25 60:4 63:21
place 19:6,9 24:5 67:3 95:6 101:17
placement 15:4 16:17 45:2
plan 16:4 19:9,14 25:21 31:3,4,13 37:19 39:24 40:3 46:25 82:11 85:13
plane

107:14	3,25 28:1 36:14
pictures 18:12,23 19:15 20:16,17,19,21 21:13	66:12,23,25 68:6 70:21 73:7 74:8,9, 10 75:5,7 76:6 79:24 80:1 81:7 84:1,9,22 89:9
piece 49:17,24 87:3 99:19 108:16 pilings	90:4,10 91:6 96:10 98:11,20,21,23 103:9,10 105:25 113:5
33:11 48:25 pink	porched 84:4
31:9,22 32:5,12 57:13 58:25 59:25 60:4 63:21	porches 77:7 84:15,16
place 19:6,9 24:5 67:3 95:6 101:17	Porter 15:10 31:9,19 32:11 33:17,22 34:17 35:2 42:2
placement 15:4 16:17 45:2	43:23 46:16 Porters
plan 16:4 19:9,14 25:21 31:3,4,13 37:19 39:24 40:3 46:25 82:11 85:13	46:15 portion 15:20 36:11,25 52:12 61:5,12 63:20 89:20
plane 110:3	position 20:4 37:25
planning 68:24 69:23	post-hugo 67:17
plans 16:3 18:16,18 19:10 38:19 73:15 88:14 107:25	Postage 113:23 potential 57:22 101:11
planting 88:3	potentially 38:1 41:13
plantings 87:23 88:5	precedent
 	TES (900) 742 DE

vans Island Design Review Board Mtg April 20, 2016			
plenty 43:15 Poe	45:24,25 47:9,13, 18,19 48:15,19 62:4,5		
83:9,18 point	precedents 34:3		
17:21 22:16 36:16 39:8 44:8 46:1,12	predicated 85:2		
49:11 58:23 91:10 pointing 99:18	prefer 15:5 26:23 81:15 104:3		
pool 34:11 49:13 82:16	preference 19:25 88:9		
89:10 90:21 91:1,4 98:15	preferred 71:16		
porch 16:4,12 17:23	prefers 20:6		
18:1,3,7 21:10,14, 17,19 22:2,9,16,17 23:20 24:9 25:4,	prefirm 95:5		
12,18,23,24 26:1, 3,25 28:1 36:14 66:12,23,25 68:6	preliminary 56:12 73:6 92:12 101:15		
70:21 73:7 74:8,9, 10 75:5,7 76:6	prepared 22:22 42:18		
79:24 80:1 81:7 84:1,9,22 89:9 90:4,10 91:6 96:10 98:11,20,21,23	present 15:23 30:20 35:22 56:17 111:7		
103:9,10 105:25 113:5	presentation 18:13 30:23 58:5,		
porched 84:4 porches	15 61:17 63:19 65:19 82:5 83:1,7 89:23 93:14 111:12		
77:7 84:15,16 Porter	presentations 84:6		
15:10 31:9,19 32:11 33:17,22 34:17 35:2 42:2 43:23 46:16	presented 23:2 36:1,7 40:3 82:15 106:10		
Porters 46:15	presenting 20:21 23:24 27:3 62:6		
portion 15:20 36:11,25 52:12 61:5,12	presently 75:2,7 76:13		
63:20 89:20	preservation 80:23		
position 20:4 37:25	preserve 19:12 38:8 69:6		
post-hugo 67:17	73:25 81:11		
Postage 113:23	preserved 80:22		
potential	pretty 25:17 45:18 58:19		

25:17 45:18 58:19

60:4 75:1,20 90:24

98:7 101:6 112:22

prevent

45:6

34:25 52:12 79:1

past

previous 21:15 23:8 84:6 97:9 previously 18:12 84:1 price 49:15 pricing 71:25 primarily 84:1 primary 68:23 69:24 91:19 principal 28:8 82:20,21 89:13,15,19 93:5 95:7,9 96:1,3 103:8 110:25 111:24 print	39:7,10 40:1 41:20 42:2,12,14,17 44:12 47:3,13,14 49:18 52:17,18 53:11,21 62:22,24 65:13,23 66:1,14, 16,18 67:1,3,14,20 68:22 73:4,8 76:16 77:22 78:3,11,13, 15,19,22 80:18 82:2 83:12 84:24 89:7,11,17 90:20 93:2 95:1 99:22 101:4,24 110:21 113:23 proposal 16:8,11 17:11 19:19 39:14 propose 22:1 25:3 56:7 71:15 proposed
83:4 privacy 39:5 77:4 private 22:5 problem 26:18 45:25 81:6 94:13 98:4 112:8 problems 113:1 professionals 56:8,16 program 85:2 project 24:16 35:22 41:25 52:7 54:8 59:24 61:8 64:11 85:21 90:2 95:11 projecting 104:2,24 projection 105:16 proper 46:15 67:4 properties 31:8 32:10 34:16, 19 40:21 46:19 53:3,23 95:2 property 29:19 31:9,20,21, 22 32:5,11,14,18 33:7,17,20,22,23 34:14,17,22,25	16:3 33:9 75:24 76:2,5 proposes 16:4,5 prospective 91:12 protected 19:4 21:3 41:22 42:1 53:9 protruding 96:9 prove 45:8 provide 43:16 102:2,4 105:21 provided 42:10 public 29:15 42:4,7,8 46:21 58:21 68:19 75:21 78:5,7 pulled 41:17 74:22 pulling 16:18 75:16 pump 58:12 purchase 93:17 purchased 47:3 68:22 91:12 purpose

nd v Board
39:7,10 40:1 41:20 42:2,12,14,17 44:12 47:3,13,14 49:18 52:17,18 53:11,21 62:22,24 65:13,23 66:1,14, 16,18 67:1,3,14,20 68:22 73:4,8 76:16 77:22 78:3,11,13, 15,19,22 80:18 82:2 83:12 84:24 89:7,11,17 90:20 93:2 95:1 99:22 101:4,24 110:21 113:23
proposal 16:8,11 17:11 19:19 39:14
propose 22:1 25:3 56:7 71:15
proposed 16:3 33:9 75:24 76:2,5
proposes 16:4,5
prospective 91:12
protected

47:4,25 48:2,11 53:8 54:1 55:5 69:14 78:25 93
puts 25:25 78:17
putting 17:12,23 22:12 38:5 40:17 93:2
Q
qualifications 20:2
quality 66:20
quarters 84:3,14
question 22:6,20 27:6 29 12 39:12 71:17 77:21 100:21 105:11 107:4
questions 58:5,6 77:18 82 89:22 91:8 93:1 97:6 112:9,18
quick 31:17 37:8 49:8 52:14
quickly 69:17,19 106:2
quote 46:14 51:2
R
rafter 25:1
rafters 21:16
rail 80:4
railing 86:11 88:8

purview

put

		April 20, 201
ourview 51:12 74:1 85:16 out	Randy 102:14,23 RC1	record 42:22 44:22,24,25 54:9,22
16:4 17:9 18:6,12 19:19 21:2 24:8 35:6 38:6 46:9 47:4,25 48:2,11	32:10 RC2 32:1,9 33:15 34:21 42:11	recreate 86:16 rectangular 108:1
53:8 54:1 55:5 69:14 78:25 93:19 outs	re-ask 22:6	recused 35:20
25:25 78:17 outting	re-orientation 83:8 85:5	red 31:19
17:12,23 22:12 38:5 40:17 93:25	reach 52:6 54:8 55:24	redo 90:21
Q	read 15:12 44:22	refer 43:25 84:21 reference
qualifications 20:2	reading 103:4	73:15
juality 66:20	reads 33:24 34:6 103:7	referring 104:17
uarters 84:3,14	ready 55:25	reflected 97:19
question 22:6,20 27:6 29:4, 12 39:12 71:17	real 19:12 27:9 31:17 49:7 52:14 88:1 90:17 98:6	refrigerator 17:20 refused 41:5
77:21 100:21 105:11 107:4 questions	rear 22:14 66:13,16 72:14 98:8	regard 19:24
58:5,6 77:18 82:25 89:22 91:8 93:13 97:6 112:9,18 quick	reason 36:23 38:3 48:16 76:22 100:22 106:6	regulation 102:3 103:7 regulations 50:23
31:17 37:8 49:8 52:14	reasonable 41:24 51:5 94:5,9	relate 86:20
uickly 69:17,19 106:24	reasons 100:24	related 98:24
uote 46:14 51:2	rebuilding 24:19 71:13	relates 33:4 51:7
R	rebuilt 16:16	Relating 50:21
rafter 25:1	rebuttal 52:13	relation 32:18
rafters 21:16	receive 49:25 90:8	relevant 36:2,6,21
rail 80:4	received 32:11 89:17	relief 65:18 82:10,23,24 84:24 85:15 88:11
railing 86:11 88:8	recommend 56:13 79:15	95:10,23 96:1 97:12,13,21 98:4
railings 67:25	recommendation 87:5	100:24 101:21 104:11 105:23
raised 68:7 111:17	recommendations 82:8,15	107:13 110:25 religious
00./ 111.1/	recommended 82:9	100:24



railings

raised

In Re: Design Review Board April 20, 2016				
relocate 73:7	50:17 54:10,23 95:19	restored 21:6 22:9	round 40:10	seconded 92:21
remain 75:4 76:6	represent 29:18	restoring 21:7 22:12	row 84:21 90:1	section 42:10 51:1,18 67:6
remains 74:20 75:1,9	representations 35:24 36:9	resubmit 41:18	rule 72:2	75:5 96:24 97:1, 14,18
remember 25:10 33:13 90:13 91:25	representing 65:22 89:25	result 46:8	S	sees 47:15 send
remind 20:9,11	represents 31:10 46:2	review 51:21 57:9 65:15 77:16 85:14 89:17,	Sammy 93:3,15	35:15 sense
removal 57:17	request 62:9 63:23 65:6, 12,14 73:4 74:17,	18 revised	Sanders 20:9 22:6,20 23:3,	30:12 37:18 92:4 102:20 107:23,24
remove 66:9 69:25	22 82:2,10,17 83:22 84:10 85:5, 15 89:7 93:2,11	95:23 revisit	9,14 27:5,12,14,21 28:4,7,12,17 29:4, 8,13 30:9 42:4,7,	sensitive 80:4
removed 19:18,22 25:12 27:15	95:1,23 97:12 100:24 105:22 107:12 110:21	56:9,17 Rhodes 93:3,15 94:7	25 47:24 48:4,24 49:5 50:16 55:25 56:4,7,15 57:3	separate 39:2 50:25 91:18, 19
removing 22:14 27:24	requested 20:13 22:8,15	Rhonda 20:8 55:24 70:11	65:9 70:12,17 71:8 81:14,20 87:14,18 92:4,13,20 94:5,8,	separately 38:8
renderings 20:22 22:25 63:19 82:12	63:14 64:11 77:11 82:19 95:24	81:13 87:13 92:3 94:4 100:21 113:3 Richardson	17 100:22 101:2 103:12,14,18	serving 67:12 set
renders 90:23	requesting 57:10,15 58:11 60:19 62:10,25	45:1 rickety	104:1,11 110:15 113:4,8,11,19 Sandlapper	32:6 52:21 78:9 95:16
renovate 69:4	63:4,8 65:17 73:5 82:3 89:8,12 93:4, 10 95:3,7,18 97:21	25:19 ridge	89:8,24 sandwiched	setback 31:25 32:1 33:15 34:21 35:3 42:11,
renovated 19:3	1093.3,7,1897.21 100:10 105:21 110:24 111:3,23	49:25 right-hand	53:22 sandwiching	16 44:11 51:23 52:1 53:14 78:8
renovation 19:25 20:2,23	require 24:19,21 79:9	98:14 right-of-way 78:7	32:11 sandy	82:17,23,24 83:16, 18 84:8 95:24 96:21 97:11,13,14,
renovations 16:3	required 44:15	road 91:7	74:3 sat	22 98:4 99:21 100:3,10 101:21
repair 58:13 63:8 66:19, 25	requirement 76:11 78:1 79:9,11 103:11 105:23	ROBINSON 102:17,25	35:20 saving 52:15	102:13 103:11,16, 22 104:10,12 105:8,9,24 106:22
repaired 70:23	107:6 requirements	Ron 82:3	scale 88:2	107:6,8 108:2 setbacks
repairs 66:21 70:23	80:19 83:16 88:10 residence 66:2 68:23	roof 19:13 22:11 35:16 66:19 101:17	screen 18:7 74:8,9 75:7	33:17 38:13 42:14, 15 43:3 44:12,13 47:17 53:11,15
replace 63:6 73:7 replaced	rest 22:18 46:19 57:22	104:5,7,8,9,18,20, 23 105:2 106:4	76:6 97:8 screened 16:4,12 17:23	96:8 113:23 sets
62:11 68:12 replacement	86:10 100:5 108:15	109:23 111:19 roofs 103:16 106:13	18:1,3 21:10,19 22:2 23:20 25:23,	33:25 102:19 Setting
57:19 replacing	restart 41:24	room 18:22 22:5 28:1	24 26:1,3,25 27:25 73:7 75:5 screened-in	62:4 settled 41:2
24:21 replicating	Restaurant 57:13	40:16 43:15 49:23 50:3 56:24 57:3 65:0 67:4 11 70:2	21:17 seam	shade 91:3
84:17 report 30:25 67:17	restoration 59:23 restore	65:9 67:4,11 70:2 91:19 rooms	19:14 second-story	shaded 33:8
reporter	53:9	26:4	97:21	shake 57:18 60:1 61:1

				<u> </u>
shape 47:12 83:11 108:5	57:18,24 61:2 62:7 97:2	slats 79:10	specific 96:14	104:16 state
109:6 share	sight 55:16	slightly 24:22	specifically 38:21	32:17 38:20 46:9 51:16 53:1
42:25	sign	slope	spelled	stated
shed	15:22 60:21	80:14	58:7	39:5 56:22 64:17
104:7,18,20,23				73:1 81:23 89:1
shine	sign-off 62:22	small 19:19 38:4 39:3	spot 55:19 88:18	92:24 94:22
60:22		40:14 52:12		110:18
	sign-up 15:17	108:16 111:2,15	square 22:2 23:10 27:7,	states
short 29:17 111:13		smaller	21,24,25 28:2,8,18	37:3 51:20
112:4	signage	18:9 25:5	29:3,5,6 49:18	stating
should've	57:16		70:20 82:20 89:15,	54:5
19:11 47:12	significant	smoke 52:6	19 93:5,13 94:2	station
	67:17		95:8 96:3,16 101:4	58:13 68:11 81:24
show 42:19 44:18 63:14,	significantly	Snatch 42:23	111:25	82:3 83:10,25
19 75:2,3,23 86:22	34:18,19 35:5		squeeze	84:24 86:24 99:12
106:24 108:10	38:17 99:6 108:18	softening	113:22	109:20
showed	109:17	85:20	staff	Stations
94:6	silly	software	30:25 59:22 64:11,	66:19
	103:12	107:22 108:7	19	statute
showing 62:2	similar	solid	staff's	45:6 52:2
	16:5 35:21 42:13	79:7 80:5	51:13	step
shown 23:6 78:18	76:20,23 80:3 83:13 100:14,24	solution	stair	97:15
	· ·	55:24	71:2,5 76:3	step-in
shows	simple	somebody's	staircase	97:16
18:16 25:7,8,20 32:1 42:18 47:1	111:10,21	91:23	74:15 75:12 76:1	steps
71:1	sir	sort	77:6	25:25 90:23
	15:2 41:3 57:7	53:19 66:16 108:1,	stairs	
shutter 101:11	77:17 78:12 97:17 98:1,13 99:11	23	67:25 68:10 99:4	stockade-style 80:11
	100:1 106:17	south	stairway	
shutters 79:24	107:7 112:6	51:16 67:3 68:10	73:8	stop 60:2,20
	site	69:2	stamp	,
side 31:20,21,23 38:16	31:4,13 37:19	southern	113:23	stopping 63:24
42:15 43:4 47:16	39:24 40:2 55:18	68:24	stand	
69:15,16 74:8,15,	58:12 82:11 85:4	spa	85:11	stories
25 75:1,6,9,14,16,	88:2	89:10	standard	49:24
17,22 76:1,2,3,6,8,	sits	space	25:17 34:13 69:10	story
16,18,21,24 77:6,	16:19 19:9	27:7,21 57:12		35:12,13 36:12,14,
8,22,24 78:4 79:6,	sitting	62:24 66:7 67:5,7,	standards 20:1 66:6 67:8	23 37:1,4,5 40:5 49:23 50:3 52:10,
20,25 81:8,15	19:5,6,8 28:1	11,12 70:1 71:3,6	82:11 85:15 89:13	12 82:17,24 95:24
82:17,23,24 83:9, 17,19,25 84:1,23	103:1	84:10 86:4 90:23	93:4,8	96:21,25 111:18
86:25 89:10 90:20	situated	91:2,20,22 93:10, 24 94:10 106:22	standing	story-and-a-half
95:24,25 97:1,20,	46:13		19:13 87:2	36:13
22 98:4,7,10,11,	situation	spaces 74:6 91:18	stands	straight
14,15,21,23 99:2,	37:6		66:18	68:10
22 100:12 101:12,	size	speak 46:3	staring	street
24 102:2,21,22	51:23 85:1,7 96:15		86:3	18:2 34:22 40:1
103:8,10 104:10, 12,21 105:3,9,12	111:3 113:1	speaking 46:24	stark	43:5 57:4,6,9
106:21 107:8	sketch		98:7	66:17 73:2,5
108:19 113:4,8	43:2,25 101:16	special 20:3 22:25 30:11	start	74:15,18 75:18
sidescape	106:10	32:20 33:1 38:13,	31:6,17 41:18	76:16 77:8 79:24
87:1	slanted	18 40:19 42:13	70:11 77:20 94:4	83:8 84:3,14,17 86:21,23,25 90:11
siding	109:23	44:8,9,10 50:10,21	started	91:5
17:9 22:13 24:21		51:2,3,7	sai w	71.5

				= 0, = 0 . 0
stricter	Summer	teeth	time	105:6 108:4
51:22,23 structure	15:9 24:23 super	50:11 tells	15:19 22:21 37:15 42:7 47:17 53:20	turn 26:21 67:21 79:19
17:10 29:7 30:3	73:19	17:5	54:24 56:9,16 63:7	turned
34:10 36:10 38:4, 5,8,9 39:3 42:19	supported	ten	68:1,4,14,16 70:23 71:14 85:8 88:15,	68:11 87:1
43:8,9,10 45:2,9	51:15	25:17 37:20,21,24 38:2 40:13 41:4,	21 91:13,14 99:17	tweaked
50:14 52:10 53:7, 18 57:19 65:17	supposed 15:14 64:23	10,19 52:9,10	tiny 49:12,18	85:8 two-bedroom
67:18 72:12,15	surprise	54:2,12 73:22 78:9 83:20 100:19	49.12,18 today	21:24,25
73:10 83:24 105:25 110:6	26:20	112:10	37:3 70:7 95:13	two-foot
113:22	surrounded 49:14	ten-day	today's	97:15 101:22 103:11 105:16,24
structures	surrounding	15:19 ten-foot	66:6 67:8 69:10 token	two-story
39:2 40:18,23 50:13 54:1 82:14	82:14	78:8	101:4	96:24 97:1,2,14
struggle	survey 73:10	tenant	tone	type 27:20 35:21 67:13
86:7	surveys	62:23	87:22	91:20 107:10,17
Stucco 58:2,3	67:16	tend 86:14	tonight 65:24	types
study	sweet	term	top	97:3 106:13
103:3	111:13 112:4 swimming	110:10	18:17 40:18 69:24 106:14	typical 112:16
studying	56:5	terms 51:5	torn	
72:10 108:24 stuff	symmetry	testimony	19:5 21:1 53:18	U
16:17 21:11 27:16	77:2	23:7	total	ugly
76:19 87:20		Their's 33:12	28:7 29:5,6 Town	17:18
style 86:7 87:7 96:9		themematic	36:17 39:10 41:15	unbroken 110:3
104:25 105:1	takes 21:20	87:21	53:2	unclear
subject 103:11	taking	thing 19:21 27:11,12,20	toyed 75:10	63:18
submittal	15:24 22:2 27:8 71:12 93:24	30:14 31:7 46:7	transcript	underneath 86:4 90:24
23:13 90:18	71.12 93.24 talk	58:24 64:6,7 75:10 87:22 90:6 98:9	90:14	understand
submittals	19:23 30:18 31:15	106:16 111:23	transparent 80:5	29:12 30:1 39:4
33:22 submitted	32:17 33:3	112:2	treatment	48:14 80:11 95:17 99:7 106:8
16:2 39:23 72:21	talked 19:2 36:22	things 19:14 22:10 31:5	57:16 63:20 80:3	underway
88:21 93:7	talking	49:11 58:20 73:24	84:15 treatments	33:9
subtefuge 29:22 45:9	40:17 42:5 55:1 87:14 106:16	76:9 84:7 85:8 95:16 107:21	101:11	unenclosed 103:9
successful	tall	thinking	tree	union
50:13	76:18	50:8 103:5	40:12 52:22,24 88:4	50:13
suffice 106:5	tape 35:23 37:3	Thompson 110:19,22	treed	unique 96:8
suggestion	tear	thought	113:24	units
77:9	30:4 42:3	17:2 36:25 74:7 83:19	trees 47:7,24 48:8,24,25	58:4
suggestions 87:24	tearing	three-bedroom	50:7 52:24 53:4	unnecessary
suite	43:7,12,13 technical	21:24	111:6 113:11 tricky	86:21 unquote
66:7 67:12	76:11	three-dimensional	112:22	46:14
Sullivan's 57:13 59:19 65:16	technically	107:23 108:12 throwing	trite	unuseable
68:25 73:9 86:19	32:19 33:2,24 38:12 53:3,24	16:14	86:15	90:24 upack
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		true	ираск 59:21
	I]		



update 66:2	views 48:22	week 15:13,24 16:1	working 35:21 59:3,7 72:1	
upper	vinyl	west	88:17	
58:2,4 86:8 102:6	17:9	43:10 98:17 99:9	works	
upstairs	visibility	109:14,20 110:2	91:20	
88:8 93:19	75:22	whichever	worn	
useable	visible	34:15	74:3	
67:11 69:9 94:11	75:18,19 79:19	whipping	worth	
07.11 07.7 7 1.11	80:2	63:3	49:19 50:8	
V	vision	wide	would've	
	19:1	25:6	25:10 41:8,9,10,11	
Valko	visually	widen	54:3 71:7	
65:23 68:21,22	105:22	17:14	Wound	
70:8			80:1	
Valkos	void 79:10	widened 26:7	wrapped	
65:25			98:23	
variance	vote 37:25 39:18,19	wider 24:22 78:18		
32:3,4,12,19 33:6	92:19		wrong 45:13 99:2 102:15	
34:8,12 35:19 36:8		width 25:11	13.13 77.2 102.13	
43:17,19 44:15,16	voted 92:18		Y	
45:12,14 46:14	92.10	wife	Y	
47:4 48:5 49:10	** 7	68:22 90:1	y'all	
50:22,25 52:14,22 83:22 85:5 95:17	W	Wilson	31:13 41:7 56:1	
83:22 85:5 95:17 106:6	walk	49:9,12 50:18	79:22 93:21 100:5	
	21:21,22 37:17	window	104:5 105:8	
variances 32:16,18 34:2	40:16	17:17 58:24 75:17	111:11 113:13	
53:23 96:14	walked	105:3 106:12	yard	
varies	37:16	windows	83:9,17,18 98:16	
80:13	wall	16:6,9,13 17:8,9,	years	
	16:15 24:20 100:3	13,18,19 18:15,16 22:12 23:21 24:4,	16:21 18:14 47:3	
varnished 74:3	101:6 103:19	21 25:7 66:25 73:7	69:3 73:22	
	105:13,17 107:5	74:12,18 76:7	yellow	
varying	108:17 109:11	79:18,25 81:15	31:8,23 43:1	
50:22	wanted	104:6,22 105:13,	you-all	
vaulted	15:15 19:23 21:3	14,15 106:5,14	74:22 76:23	
50:1	51:16 53:10 74:14	108:14	young	
vegetation	75:13 91:17,18	Winds	91:21	
75:18,25	wanting	66:14 67:23	Your're	
verify	66:2	wire	43:13	
25:11	warrant	76:19		
vernacular	47:8	withdraw		
86:24 111:17,21	warrants	41:6		
version	48:20	wonderful	zeroing	
31:14 81:7	water	72:16 74:7 81:11	98:5	
versus	90:20	wood	zoning	
112:25	ways	19:12 22:13 60:24	32:22 33:1 35:20	
vertical	24:10 84:16	74:2 79:5	36:9 44:9,16	
67:6 105:19	Webb	work	50:23,24 51:4,7,	
view	56:24 59:11 71:11	30:14 47:17 61:15	12,13 65:1 82:10	
39:6 49:2,15 54:21	72:23 77:21 79:13	64:21 71:25 74:5	85:14 89:12 93:4 102:1	
72:17 75:18,19,20,	85:24 86:3,25	87:18	102.1	
21	87:10,16 92:6	worked		
viewed	94:10 101:10	15:12 49:10,22		
67:23	112:21	50:9 83:6 113:25		
	İ	1		I