

## **Planning Commission Rules of Procedure**

(reviewed and adopted 1/11/2012)

### **Article I Organization**

**Section 1. Rules.** These rules of procedure are adopted pursuant to S.C. Code § 6-29-360 for the Town of Sullivan's Island Planning Commission which consists of seven members appointed by Council.

**Section 2. Officers.** The officers of the Commission shall be a chairman and vice-chairman elected for one year terms at the first meeting of the Commission in each calendar year. The Commission shall appoint a member of the staff as secretary of the Commission.

**Section 3. Chairman.** The chairman shall be a voting member of the Commission and shall:

- a. Call meetings of the Commission;
- b. Preside at meetings and hearings;
- c. Act as spokesperson for the Commission;
- d. Sign documents for the Commission;
- e. Transmit reports and recommendations to Council; and
- f. Perform other duties approved by the Commission.

**Section 4. Vice-Chairman.** The vice-chairman shall exercise the duties of the chairman in the absence, disability, or disqualification of the chairman. In the absence of the chairman and vice-chairman, an acting chairman shall be elected by the members present.

**Section 5. Secretary.** The secretary shall:

- a. Provide notice of meetings;
- b. Assist the chairman in preparation of the agenda;
- c. Keep minutes of meetings and hearings;
- d. Maintain Commission records as public records;
- e. Attend to Commission correspondence; and
- f. Perform other duties normally carried out by a secretary.

### **Article II Meetings**

**Section 1. Time and Place.** An annual schedule of regular meetings shall be adopted, published and posted at the designated Town office in December of each year. Special meetings may be called by the chairman upon 24 hours notice, posted, and delivered to all members and local news media. Meetings shall be held at the place stated in the notices, and shall be open to the public.

**Section 2. Agenda.** A written agenda shall be furnished by the secretary to each member of the Commission and the news media, and shall be posted at least five days prior to each regular meeting, and at least 24 hours prior to a special meeting. In general, proposed agenda items will be submitted to the Secretary or Chairperson seven (7) working days prior to a Commission meeting. The Chairperson shall approve (or disapprove) proposed Agenda items at this time. When circumstances dictate it to be in the public's best interest, Agenda items may be added at the meeting with the approval of a majority of Commission members, subject to any applicable public notice requirements.

**Section 3. Quorum.** A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum. A quorum shall be present before any business is conducted other than rescheduling the meeting.

**Section 4. Rules of Order.** Rosenberg's rules of Order: Simple Parliamentary Procedures for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (by Dave Rosenberg), attached hereto in its entirety as Exhibit A, shall govern the conduct of meetings except as otherwise provided by these Rules of Procedure.

**Section 5. Voting.** Motions shall be passed or denied by a majority vote of the Planning Commission. If a member has a conflict of interest, he or she must abstain from deliberating or voting on the question and must advise the Chair of the reason for abstention.

**Section 6. Conduct.** Except for public hearings, no person shall speak at a Commission meeting unless invited to do so by the Commission.

### **Article III**

#### **Public Hearings**

**Section 1. Notice.** The secretary shall give the notice required by statute or ordinance for all public hearings conducted by the Commission. Members of the public desiring to be heard shall give written notice to the secretary prior to the commencement of the hearing.

**Section 2. Clarification.** Prior to opening up the public hearing, staff shall give a brief overview of the public hearing item to help the public understand the nature of the item.

**Section 3. Agenda.** In order to be courteous to the public, the agenda may be amended to allow the public hearing item(s) to be moved to the beginning of the agenda.

**Section 4. Procedure.**

**For subject matters where public hearing is applicant initiated the process shall be:**

General public interested in making comments shall sign in and provide name and address. Public comments shall normally be limited to three (3) to five (5) minutes in length. If a previous speaker has already expressed a stated view, the speaker will be asked to state that he/she agrees with the previous comments instead of reiterating a point already made.

1. Staff description of application presented
2. Applicant opening statement made
3. Public Hearing opened
4. Comments Received from Public
5. Public Hearing closed
6. Questions by Commission
7. Applicant closing statement made
8. Staff recommendations rendered
9. Motion
10. Commission discussion
11. Vote

**For subject matters where public hearing is not applicant initiated the process shall be:** General public interested in making comments shall sign in and provide name and address. Public comments shall normally be limited to three (3) to five (5) minutes in length. If a previous speaker has already expressed a stated view, the speaker will be asked to state that he/she agrees with the previous comments instead of reiterating a point already made

1. Staff overview presented
2. Public Hearing opened
3. Comments Received from Public
4. Public Hearing closed
5. Questions by Commission
6. Staff recommendations rendered
7. Motion
8. Commission discussion
9. Vote

#### **Article IV Records**

**Section 1. Minutes.** The secretary shall record all meetings and hearings of the Commission on tape which shall be preserved until final action is taken on all matters presented. The secretary shall prepare minutes of each meeting for approval by the Commission at the next regular meeting. Minutes shall be maintained as public records.

**Section 2. Reports.** The secretary shall assist in the preparation and forwarding of all reports and recommendations of the Commission in appropriate form. Copies of all notices, correspondence, reports and forms shall be maintained as public records.

**Section 3. Attendance.** The minutes shall show the members in attendance at each meeting.

#### **Article V Review Procedure**

**Section 1. Zoning Amendments.** Proposed zoning text and district amendments shall be considered and recommendations shall be forwarded to the governing body within 30 days after receipt of the proposed amendments, unless additional time is given by the governing body. When so authorized, the Planning Commission shall conduct any required public hearing prior to making a recommendation.

**Section 2. Plats.** All plats that are subject to review and approval shall be reviewed and approved by the Commission in accordance with the provisions of applicable state laws and local ordinances.

**Section 3. Comprehensive Plan.** All zoning and land development regulation amendments shall be reviewed first for conformity with the comprehensive plan. Conflicts with the comprehensive plan shall be noted in any report to the governing body on a proposed amendment. The elements of the comprehensive plan shall be reviewed and updated on a schedule adopted by the Commission meeting the requirements of S.C. Code §6-29-510(E).

**Section 4. Reconsideration.** The Commission may reconsider any review when so requested by the governing body, or when an applicant brings to the attention of the Commission new facts, a mistake of fact in the original review, correction of clerical error, or matters not the fault of the applicant which affect the result of the review.

## **Article VI**

### **Potential Conflict of Interest Policy**

**Section 1.** The Conflict of Interest Policy governing the members of the Sullivan's Island Planning Commission is set forth in South Carolina Code of Laws §8-13-700(B)(§5), as it may be amended from time to time. Pursuant to that code section, no member of the Commission may make, participate in making or in any way attempt to use his or her membership to influence a decision in which he or she or a member of such member's immediate family, an individual with whom such member is associated, or a business with which such member is associated has an economic interest (a "Conflict of Interest"). A Commission member who has or believes he or she may have a Conflict of Interest shall: (i) "prepare a written statement describing the matter requiring action or decisions and the nature of [the] potential conflict of interest with respect to the action or decision;" and (ii) "furnish a copy to the [Chair of the Commission], who is required to cause the statement to be printed in the minutes and shall require that the member be excused from any votes, deliberations and other actions on the matter on which the potential conflict of interest exists and shall cause such disqualification and the reasons for it to be noted in the minutes of the meeting."

**Section 2.** The Commission has also adopted the following procedures with regard to potential Conflicts of Interest. If a member of the Commission is not certain whether a particular relationship is a potential Conflict of Interest, or if any member of the Commission believes another member may have a potential Conflict of Interest that such member has not declared as provided above, such member may request the Chair to submit the issue to the Commission for a vote as to whether it believes a potential Conflict of Interest exists. If the Commission agrees that a potential Conflict of Interest does in fact exist, the Chair of the Commission shall inform the Commission member in question that the Commission is of the opinion that the above provisions should be applicable. If such member does not agree that he or she has a potential Conflict of Interest and elects not to follow the statutory procedures, the Chair of the Commission shall, upon approval by the Commission, appeal the matter to the South Carolina Ethics Commission.



# Rosenberg's Rules of Order: Simple Parliamentary Procedures for the 21st Century

by Dave Rosenberg

The rules of procedure at meetings should be simple enough for most people to understand. Unfortunately, that hasn't always been the case. Virtually all clubs, associations, boards, councils and bodies follow a set of rules, *Robert's Rules of Order*, which are embodied in a small but complex book. Virtually no one I know has actually read this book cover to cover.

Worse yet, the book was written for another time and purpose. If you are running the British Parliament, *Robert's Rules of Order* is a dandy and quite useful handbook. On the other hand, if you're running a meeting of a five-member body with a few members of the public in attendance, a simplified version of the rules of parliamentary procedure is in order. Hence, the birth of "Rosenberg's Rules of Order."

This publication covers the rules of parliamentary procedure based on my 20 years of experience chairing meetings in state and local government. These rules have been simplified and slimmed down for 21st century meetings, yet they retain the basic tenets of order to which we are accustomed.

"Rosenberg's Rules of Order" are supported by the following four principles:

**1. Rules should establish order.** The first purpose of the rules of parliamentary procedure is to establish a

framework for the orderly conduct of meetings.

**2. Rules should be clear.** Simple rules lead to wider understanding and participation. Complex rules create two classes: those who understand and participate and those who do not fully understand and do not fully participate.

**3. Rules should be user-friendly.** That is, the rules must be simple enough that citizens feel they have been able to participate in the process.

**4. Rules should enforce the will of the majority while protecting the rights of the minority.** The ultimate purpose of the rules of procedure is to encourage discussion and to facilitate decision-making by the body. In a democracy, the majority rules. The rules must enable the majority to express itself and fashion a result, while permitting the minority to also express itself (but not dominate) and fully participate in the process.

## The Chairperson Should Take a Back Seat During Discussions

While all members of the governing body should know and understand the rules of parliamentary procedure, it is the chairperson (chair) who is charged with applying the rules of conduct. The chair should be well versed in those

rules, because the chair, for all intents and purposes, makes the final ruling on the rules. In fact, all decisions by the chair are final unless overruled by the governing body itself.

Because the chair conducts the meeting, it is common courtesy for the chair to take a less active role than other members of the body in debates and discussions. This does *not* mean that the chair should not participate in the debate or discussion. On the contrary, as a member of the body, the chair has full rights to participate in debates, discussions and decision-making. The chair should, however, strive to be the last to speak at the discussion and debate stage, and should not make or second a motion unless he or she is convinced that no other member of the body will do so.

## The Basic Format for an Agenda Item Discussion

Formal meetings normally have a written, published agenda; informal meetings may have only an oral or understood agenda. In either case, the meeting is governed by the agenda and the agenda constitutes the body's agreed-upon road map for the meeting. And each agenda item can be handled by the chair in the following basic format.

**First**, the chair should clearly announce the agenda item number and should clearly state what the subject is. The chair should then announce the format that will be followed.

**Second**, following that agenda format, the chair should invite the appropriate people to report on the item, including any recommendation they might have. The appropriate person may be the chair, a member of the governing body,

---

There are exceptions to the general rule of free and open debate on motions. The exceptions all apply when there is a desire to move on.

---

---

*Rosenberg's Rules of Order: Simple Parliamentary Procedure for the 21st Century*

a staff person, or a committee chair charged with providing information about the agenda item.

**Third**, the chair should ask members of the body if they have any technical questions for clarification. At this point, members of the governing body may ask clarifying questions to the people who reported on the item, and they should be given time to respond.

**Fourth**, the chair should invite public comments or, if appropriate at a formal meeting, open the meeting to public input. If numerous members of the public indicate a desire to speak to the subject, the chair may limit the time of each public speaker. At the conclusion of the public comments, the chair should announce that public input has concluded (or that the public hearing, as the case may be, is closed).

**Fifth**, the chair should invite a motion from the governing body members. The chair should announce the name of the member who makes the motion.

**Sixth**, the chair should determine if any member of the body wishes to second the motion. The chair should announce the name of the member who seconds the motion. It is normally good practice for a motion to require a second before proceeding with it, to ensure that it is not just one member of the body who is interested in a particular approach. However, a second is not an absolute requirement, and the chair can proceed with consideration and a vote on the motion even when there is no second. This is a matter left to the discretion of the chair.

**Seventh**, if the motion is made and seconded, the chair should make sure everyone understands the motion. This is done in one of three ways:

1. The chair can ask the maker of the motion to repeat it;
2. The chair can repeat the motion; or
3. The chair can ask the secretary or the clerk of the body to repeat the motion.

**Eighth**, the chair should now invite discussion of the motion by the members of the governing body. If there is no desired discussion or the discussion has ended, the chair should announce that the body will vote on the motion. If there has been no discussion or a very brief discussion, the vote should proceed immediately, and there is no need to repeat the motion. If there has been substantial discussion, it is normally best to make sure everyone understands the motion by repeating it.

---

Debate on policy is healthy; debate on personalities is not. The chair has the right to cut off discussion that is too personal, too loud or too crude.

---

**Ninth**, the chair takes a vote. Simply asking for the "ayes" and then the "nays" is normally sufficient. If members of the body do not vote, then they "abstain." Unless the rules of the body provide otherwise or unless a super-majority is required (as delineated later in these rules), a simple majority determines whether the motion passes or is defeated.

**Tenth**, the chair should announce the result of the vote and should announce what action (if any) the body has taken. In announcing the result, the chair should indicate the names of the members, if any, who voted in the minority on the motion. This announcement might take the following form: "The motion passes by a vote of 3-2, with Smith and Jones dissenting. We have passed the motion requiring 10 days' notice for all future meetings of this governing body."

### Motions in General

Motions are the vehicles for decision-making. It is usually best to have a motion before the governing body prior to discussing an agenda item, to help everyone focus on the motion before them.

Motions are made in a simple two-step process. First, the chair recognizes the member. Second, the member makes a motion by preceding the member's desired approach with the words: "I move ...". A typical motion might be: "I move that we give 10 days' notice in the future for all our meetings."

The chair usually initiates the motion by:

1. Inviting the members to make a motion: "A motion at this time would be in order."
2. Suggesting a motion to the members: "A motion would be in order that we give 10-days' notice in the future for all our meetings."
3. Making the motion.

As noted, the chair has every right as a member of the body to make a motion, but normally should do so only if he or she wishes a motion to be made but no other member seems willing to do so.

### The Three Basic Motions

Three motions are the most common:

1. **The basic motion.** The basic motion is the one that puts forward a decision for consideration. A basic motion might be: "I move that we create a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser."
2. **The motion to amend.** If a member wants to change a basic motion that is under discussion, he or she would move to amend it. A motion to amend might be: "I move that we amend the motion to have a 10-member committee." A motion to amend takes the basic motion that is before the body and seeks to change it in some way.

3. **The substitute motion.** If a member wants to completely do away with the basic motion under discussion and put a new motion before the governing body, he or she would “move a substitute motion.” A substitute motion might be: “I move a substitute motion that we cancel the annual fundraiser this year.”

Motions to amend and substitute motions are often confused. But they are quite different, and so is their effect, if passed.

A motion to amend seeks to retain the basic motion on the floor, but to modify it in some way.

A substitute motion seeks to throw out the basic motion on the floor and substitute a new and different motion for it.

The decision as to whether a motion is really a motion to amend or a substitute motion is left to the chair. So that if a member makes what that member calls a motion to amend, but the chair determines it is really a substitute motion, the chair's designation governs.

### When Multiple Motions Are Before The Governing Body

Up to three motions may be on the floor simultaneously. The chair may reject a fourth motion until the three that are on the floor have been resolved.

When two or three motions are on the floor (after motions and seconds) at the same time, the *first* vote should be on the *last* motion made. So, for example, assume the first motion is a basic “motion to have a five-member committee to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” During the discussion of this motion, a member might make a second motion to “amend the main motion to have a 10-member committee, not a five-member committee, to plan and put on our annual fundraiser.” And perhaps, during that discussion, a member makes yet a third motion as a “substitute motion that we not have an annual fundraiser this year.” The proper procedure would be as follows.

First, the chair would deal with the *third* (the last) motion on the floor, the substitute motion. After discussion and debate, a vote would be taken first on the third motion. If the substitute motion *passes*, it would be a substitute for the basic motion and would eliminate it. The first motion would be moot, as would the second motion (which sought to amend the first motion), and the action on the agenda item would be complete. No vote would be taken on the first or second motions. On the other hand, if the substitute motion (the third motion) *failed*, the chair would proceed to consideration of the second (now the last) motion on the floor, the motion to amend.

If the substitute motion failed, the chair would then deal with the second (now the last) motion on the floor, the motion to amend. The discussion and debate would focus strictly on the amendment (should the committee be five or 10 members). If the motion to amend *passed*, the chair would now move to consider the main motion (the first motion) as *amended*. If the motion to amend failed, the chair would now move to consider the main motion (the first motion) in its original format, not amended.

---

The challenge for anyone chairing a public meeting is to accommodate public input in a timely and time-sensitive way, while maintaining steady progress through the agenda items.

---

Third, the chair would now deal with the first motion that was placed on the floor. The original motion would either be in its original format (five-member committee) or, if amended, would be in its amended format (10-member committee). And the question on the floor for discussion and decision would be whether a committee should plan and put on the annual fundraiser.

### To Debate or Not to Debate

The basic rule of motions is that they are subject to discussion and debate. Accordingly, basic motions, motions to amend, and substitute motions are all eligible, each in their turn, for full discussion before and by the body. The debate can continue as long as members of the body wish to discuss an item, subject to the decision of the chair that it is time to move on and take action.

There are exceptions to the general rule of free and open debate on motions. The exceptions all apply when there is a desire of the body to move on. The following motions are *not* debatable (that is, when the following motions are made and seconded, the chair must immediately call for a vote of the body without debate on the motion):

**A motion to adjourn.** This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately adjourn to its next regularly scheduled meeting. This motion requires a simple majority vote.

**A motion to recess.** This motion, if passed, requires the body to immediately take a recess. Normally, the chair determines the length of the recess, which may range from a few minutes to an hour. It requires a simple majority vote.

**A motion to fix the time to adjourn.** This motion, if passed, requires the body to adjourn the meeting at the specific time set in the motion. For example, the motion might be: “I move we adjourn this meeting at midnight.” It requires a simple majority vote.

**A motion to table.** This motion, if passed, requires discussion of the agenda item to be halted and the agenda item to

*Rosenberg's Rules of Order: Simple Parliamentary Procedure for the 21st Century*

be placed on "hold." The motion may contain a specific time in which the item can come back to the body: "I move we table this item until our regular meeting in October." Or the motion may contain no specific time for the return of the item, in which case a motion to take the item off the table and bring it back to the body will have to be taken at a future meeting. A motion to table an item (or to bring it back to the body) requires a simple majority vote.

**A motion to limit debate.** The most common form of this motion is to say: "I move the previous question" or "I move the question" or "I call for the question." When a member of the body makes such a motion, the member is really saying: "I've had enough debate. Let's get on with the vote." When such a motion is made, the chair should ask for a second to the motion, stop debate, and vote on the motion to limit debate. The motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body. Note that a motion to limit debate could include a time limit. For example: "I move we limit debate on this agenda item to 15 minutes." Even in this format, the

the motion fails. If one member is absent and the vote is 3-3, the motion still fails.

All motions require a simple majority, but there are a few exceptions. The exceptions occur when the body is taking an action that effectively cuts off the ability of a minority of the body to take an action or discuss an item. These extraordinary motions require a two-thirds majority (a super-majority) to pass:

**Motion to limit debate.** Whether a member says, "I move the previous question," "I move the question," "I call for the question" or "I move to limit debate," it all amounts to an attempt to cut off the ability of the minority to discuss an item, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

**Motion to close nominations.** When choosing officers of the body, such as the chair, nominations are in order either from a nominating committee or from the floor of the body. A motion to close nominations effectively cuts off the right of the minority to nominate officers, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

pend the rules for a particular purpose. For example, the body (a private club) might have a rule prohibiting the attendance at meetings by non-club members. A motion to suspend the rules would be in order to allow a non-club member to attend a meeting of the club on a particular date or on a particular agenda item.

### The Motion to Reconsider

There is a special and unique motion that requires a bit of explanation all by itself: the motion to reconsider. A tenet of parliamentary procedure is finality. After vigorous discussion, debate and a vote, there must be some closure to the issue. And so, after a vote is taken, the matter is deemed closed, subject only to reopening if a proper motion to reconsider is made.

A motion to reconsider requires a majority vote to pass, but there are two special rules that apply only to the motion to reconsider.

First is the matter of timing. A motion to reconsider must be made at the meeting where the item was first voted upon or at the very next meeting of the body. A motion to reconsider made at a later time is untimely. (The body, however, can always vote to suspend the rules and, by a two-thirds majority, allow a motion to reconsider to be made at another time.)

Second, a motion to reconsider may be made only by certain members of the body. Accordingly, a motion to reconsider may be made only by a member who voted *in the majority* on the original motion. If such a member has a change of heart, he or she may make the motion to reconsider (any other member of the body may second the motion). If a member who voted *in the minority* seeks to make the motion to reconsider, it must be ruled out of order. The purpose of this rule is finality. If a member of the minority could make a motion to reconsider, then the item could be brought back to the body again and again, which would defeat the purpose of finality.

---

If you are running the British Parliament, *Robert's Rules of Order* is a dandy and quite useful handbook.

---

motion to limit debate requires a two-thirds vote of the body. A similar motion is a *motion to object to consideration of an item*. This motion is not debatable, and if passed, precludes the body from even considering an item on the agenda. It also requires a two-thirds vote.

### Majority and Super-Majority Votes

In a democracy, decisions are made with a simple majority vote. A tie vote means the motion fails. So in a seven-member body, a vote of 4-3 passes the motion. A vote of 3-3 with one abstention means

**Motion to object to the consideration of a question.** Normally, such a motion is unnecessary, because the objectionable item can be tabled or defeated straight up. However, when members of a body do not even want an item on the agenda to be considered, then such a motion is in order. It is not debatable, and it requires a two-thirds vote to pass.

**Motion to suspend the rules.** This motion is debatable, but requires a two-thirds vote to pass. If the body has its own rules of order, conduct or procedure, this motion allows the body to sus-

If the motion to reconsider passes, then the original matter is back before the body, and a new original motion is in order. The matter may be discussed and debated as if it were on the floor for the first time.

### Courtesy and Decorum

The rules of order are meant to create an atmosphere where the members of the body and the members of the public can attend to business efficiently, fairly and with full participation. And at the same time, it is up to the chair and the members of the body to maintain common courtesy and decorum. Unless the setting is very informal, it is always best for only one person at a time to have the floor, and it is always best for every

---

It is usually best to have a motion before the governing body prior to discussing an agenda item, to help everyone focus.

---

lege relate to anything that would interfere with the normal comfort of the meeting. For example, the room may be too hot or too cold, or a blowing fan might interfere with a person's ability to hear.

**Order.** The proper interruption would be: "Point of order." Again, the chair would ask the interrupter to "state your point." Appropriate points of order

**Withdraw a motion.** During debate and discussion of a motion, the maker of the motion on the floor, at any time, may interrupt a speaker to withdraw his or her motion from the floor. The motion is immediately deemed withdrawn, although the chair may ask the person who seconded the motion if he or she wishes to make the motion, and any other member may make the motion if properly recognized.

---

Motions to amend and substitute motions are often confused. But they are quite different, and so is their effect, if passed.

---

speaker to be first recognized by the chair before proceeding to speak.

The chair should always ensure that debate and discussion of an agenda item focus on the item and the policy in question, not on the personalities of the members of the body. Debate on policy is healthy; debate on personalities is not. The chair has the right to cut off discussion that is too personal, too loud or too crude.

Debate and discussion should be focused, but free and open. In the interest of time, the chair may, however, limit the time allotted to speakers, including members of the body. Can a member of the body interrupt the speaker? The general rule is no. There are, however, exceptions. A speaker may be interrupted for the following reasons:

**Privilege.** The proper interruption would be: "Point of privilege." The chair would then ask the interrupter to "state your point." Appropriate points of privi-

relate to anything that would not be considered appropriate conduct of the meeting; for example, if the chair moved on to a vote on a motion that permits debate without allowing that discussion or debate.

**Appeal.** If the chair makes a ruling that a member of the body disagrees with, that member may appeal the ruling of the chair. If the motion is seconded and after debate, if it passes by a simple majority vote, then the ruling of the chair is deemed reversed.

**Call for orders of the day.** This is simply another way of saying, "Let's return to the agenda." If a member believes that the body has drifted from the agreed-upon agenda, such a call may be made. It does not require a vote, and when the chair discovers that the agenda has not been followed, the chair simply reminds the body to return to the agenda item properly before them. If the chair fails to do so, the chair's determination may be appealed.

### Special Notes About Public Input

The rules outlined here help make meetings very public-friendly. But in addition, and particularly for the chair, it is wise to remember three special rules that apply to each agenda item:

**Rule One:** Tell the public what the body will be doing.

**Rule Two:** Keep the public informed while the body is doing it.

**Rule Three:** When the body has acted, tell the public what the body did.

Public input is essential to a healthy democracy, and community participation in public meetings is an important element of that input. The challenge for anyone chairing a public meeting is to accommodate public input in a timely and time-sensitive way, while maintaining steady progress through the agenda items. The rules presented here for conducting a meeting are offered as tools for effective leadership and as a means of developing sound public policy.