	. ugu 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on-street parking areas; and also provide a scaled drawing prepared by a professional designer illustrating all parking spaces, loading spaces and landscape areas. Mr. Chairman, I'll defer to you and the applicant's presentation. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. Yes, sir. MR. CARMOLA: My name is Anthony Carmola, from the architect. We received the coffee shop special exception about a month ago. With that, we wanted to slightly make a modification to the way our
<u> </u>	Page 3		Page 5

Page 3

Page 5

Page 4

```
THE CHAIRPERSON: This is the December 15,
2 2014 meeting of Sullivan's Island Design Review
3 Board. It is now 6:00. Members in attendance are
4 Duke Wright, Pat Ilderton, Mark Howard, Donna Webb
5 and Rhonda Sanders and that's it for now.
          Freedom of Information requirements have
6
7 been met for this meeting. The items on tonight's
 8 agenda are for approval of the minutes. Do I hear a
 9 motion or approval of the minutes?
          MR. HOWARD: Second.
10
          THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor?
11
          (Ayes stated by all board members)
12
                  BEARDCAT SWEET SHOP
13
          THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Beardcat
14
15 Sweet Shop.
          MR. HENDERSON: Thank you, Pat. Agenda
16
17 item C-1 is a parking plan review and request from
18 Beardcat Sweet Shop, the applicants. They're
19 requesting approval of the parking plan to operate a
20 special exception for the coffee shop use. This is a
21 land use designation that requires, when a change of
22 use occurs, that the DRB review this plan in
23 accordance with 21-143 D(1)(c) of the zoning
```

On November 19, 2014, the Board of Zoning

slightly changed that over to the center now so it'sstraight out of the door.

And our parking count is not changing. We have been a retail use where people can come in and out and park in the various public parking areas that lincluded on the diagram that's set in there.

Now we have -- we've been okayed for 25 total seats. So we have three new -- well, they're not new anymore. At the time, they've only been around for a few months, three new parking spaces in front, parallel parking, that really seldom get used

for the restaurant and seem to be used more with the retail use of Beardcat. Those will probably start to

14 become more of the sit-down use with Beardcat.

From this diagram, you can tell a number of public parking areas. A lot of the traffic right now would be walk-up, locals. With that said, we try to max out as much parking as we can already with

that site. Can't really add a whole lot more.THE CHAIRPERSON: Any public comment to

21 this application? Public comment section closed.

22 Anything to add, Joe?

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.
THE CHAIRPERSON: Duke.

MR. WRIGHT: What are the hours, operating

24 ordinance.

25

Page 9

Page 6

1 hours? MR. CARMOLA: The coffee shop hours that 2 3 we're allowed to do I think are 7:00 a.m. to 10:00

4 p.m.

5

6

8

1

2

12

MR. HENDERSON: 6:00 to 10:00.

MR. WRIGHT: Most of your traffic is

7 during non-peak for traffic anyway.

MR. CARMOLA: I would think so.

MR. WRIGHT: During the day as opposed to 9 evening. I am fine with it. 10

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm also fine with it. 11 12 Looking better and better every day. Mark.

MR. HOWARD: That was the only question I 13 14 had with the overlapping hours. They're not going to 15 overlap.

MR. CARMOLA: The peak hours for the 16 17 coffee shop are very different than the peak hours 18 for the restaurant.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna. 19

20 MS. WEBB: I am perfectly okay with it. I 21 always end up getting the first parking spot that's 22 parallel. A lot of people don't realize that's a

23 spot. That's been my personal spot for the last few

24 months for the restaurant. Yes, I'm perfectly fine 25 with the space.

Page 7

1 the rear yard, less visible portion of the yard.

As I mentioned, in 2005 the DRB approved

3 the position of the pool and also required the

4 property owners to install landscaping around the

5 foundation of this historic home. The property

6 owners did not install the landscaping as required.

7 And that was also installed here recently at the 8 site.

9 There are no requests for increases to the 10 impervious surface. They meet the ordinance 11 requirement. And I'll defer to you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: This is more in 12 13 conforming with our ordinance basically going back?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. If they 14 15 requested it today, they wouldn't be permitted to 16 install a pool on road frontage.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Presenter, yes, sir. 17

18 Nothing more to add?

MR. LITTLE: Basically, the homeowner that 19 20 I'm requesting for is not the original homeowner that 21 did not install the landscaping. He bought the home,

22 basically inherited -- finding out the issues when

23 the home was renovated and lived in, that the

24 existing homeowner was supposed to do the landscape

25 plan and the zone had two driveways.

The homeowner purchased the home to find 2 out that the previous homeowner did not do that.

3 Basically, I'm just proposing that the new homeowner

4 has already landscaped all the front and the sides to

5 be compliant and is willing to remove the second

6 driveway, if we can get the pool in the backyard.

MR. HENDERSON: There's one additional 8 requirement that staff needs to see from the

9 property, that is, the removal of the driveway

10 closest to Osceola on Station 11. That was also a

11 requirement for the previous property owner. And the

12 new owners have removed agreed to remove this 13 driveway.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any public 14 15 comment to this application? Public comment section

16 is closed. Rhonda, you want to start on this one?

17 MS. SANDERS: Looks good.

18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I think it's a beautiful job. 19

20 Removing that second driveway is going to create one

21 beautiful property. I'm okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark. 22

23 MR. HOWARD: Pretty good in conformity,

24 I'm fine. 25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yep, I'm all for it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: I'm fine. I don't see it

3 any different than the rest of the parking.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Moving right 4 5 along. Do I hear a motion?

MR. HOWARD: Motion that we approve as 6 7 submitted.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Second? 8 9

MR. WRIGHT: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? 10 11 (Ayes were stated by all board members.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir.

13 1026 MIDDLE STREET 14

THE CHAIRPERSON: 1026 Middle Street.

15 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item D-1, 16 COA request for 1026 Middle Street. The applicant,

17 Jeff Little, is requesting approval to modify a

18 previously approved COA that was issued by the board

19 in 2005.

20 This is a Sullivan's Island landmark by 21 way of survey card 321. This request includes 22 relocating a previously approved location for an

23 in-ground pool to the rear yard of the property.

24 Previously it was on a road frontage, on Station 25 18 -- I'm sorry, Station 11. They're placing it in

There are also -- and, honestly, I'm not

2 sure if we even need the 15 percent on the front

20 porch.

21

Page 10

1 Duke. MR. WRIGHT: I'm okay. 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. Do I hear a 3 4 motion? MR. HOWARD: Motion we approve as 5 6 submitted. THE CHAIRPERSON: Second? 7 MR. WRIGHT: Second. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? 9 (Ayes were stated by all board members.) 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Could you state your 11 12 name, please. MR. LITTLE: Jeff Little. 13 **402 STATION 12** 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: 402 Station 12. 15 MR. HENDERSON: This is agenda item E-1, 16 17 402 Station 12. Property presented by Blake 18 Middleton, the applicant. He's requesting conceptual

19 design approval to construct a second floor front

22 made for encroachment into the front setback 15

23 percent, which is allowed by the DRB, and also 15

24 percent for the additional front setback. Again, the

25 additional front setback is an increase of three feet

Request for this presentation is being

3 setback encroachment because this is the 25-foot 4 setback. This would be the 15 percent. So the 5 existing steps are already into the setback. And 6 we're leaving them pretty much in the same spot. Really, I think the main thing we'd ask for is the 15 percent on the additional setback. THE CHAIRPERSON: Any public comment? 9 MR. NICKLES: I'm actually the homeowner. 10 11 Well, I shouldn't say that. Actually my wife is the 12 homeowner. I don't know if you guys are married. I 13 have a house in Atlanta that's mine and hers. The 14 one here is somehow hers. This is my family right here. I don't 16 know if you guys can see that. I'm wearing what's 17 called a sea island shirt. My wife decided 18 Sullivan's Island is a better place to put money in. 19 We now have a house on Sullivan's Island. Going forward, I know design review 20 21 boards, a lot of boards like to refer to things by

Page 11

1 from 15 percent, and the encroachment within the 2 front setback is by 3.75 feet. I will pass around some photos to go along 3 4 with the presentation. THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, sir. 5 MR. MIDDLETON: I'm Blake Middleton of the 6 7 Middleton Group. Some photos here also, too. This is the existing house. It's got a 9 one story front porch. It's only six feet deep. As 10 you know, six-foot deep porch is not very useable as 11 a porch. The owners would like to remove the 12 six-foot porch, add a ten-foot deep front porch and 13 two stories. And the principal view from this property 15 is out front. You can see marsh and the waterway

16 from that view, so they'd like to utilize the front 17 of the house for living space. We also have some photos of, you know, 19 obviously the two-story porches. Pretty big place on 20 the island as far as...

Front elevation, existing and proposed, 21 22 with the new two-story front porch. We're leaving 23 the stairs as they are. They're going to recess into 24 the new front porch, so we're not moving the steps 25 any closer to the road.

Page 13

Page 12

1 We're really excited about what Sullivan's Island 2 offers to us as a family. Thank you very much.

22 address. We call this Camp Coconut, named after our

24 be greatly appreciated. We want to make the house

25 look better. We're trying to spend some money on it.

23 chocolate lab. Any flexibility you have here would

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. 3

Any other public comments? 4

No e-mails? I bumped into Mark. 5

MR. HENDERSON: There was one e-mail from 6 7 a neighbor in support of this.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Duke. 8

MR. WRIGHT: No, I'm fine. I think it

10 tremendously improves the front elevation of the

11 house, and I'm very good with it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, makes the house 12

13 look a lot better. So I'm in favor of it. Mark.

MR. HOWARD: Just a couple. Overall, I'm 14

15 very fond of it. It's nice. I think the front

16 door -- there's going to be a door put on the side?

MR. MIDDLETON: Yes, there would be. 17

MR. HOWARD: That would be in the center? 18

MR. MIDDLETON: Yes, replaces the center 19

20 window.

9

MR. HOWARD: Are there any changes on the 21 22 back porch? Drawings different.

MR. MIDDLETON: No changes. This shows 23

24 the existing as a light grey color whereas this is

25 darker. Just the way software showed it.

Page 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna. 1 2 MS. WEBB: I think it looks more like 3 traditional island. Very nice. THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda. 4 5 MS. SANDERS: Porch looks a lot better. 6 Welcome to the island. 7 MR. NICKLES: Thank you. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion? MR. HOWARD: Motion that we approve as 9 submitted. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: A point of fact, this 11 12 has been submitted as conceptual. Just make it easier on the homeowner, could we say this is final? MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve as final. 14 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Is that okay with 16 you? 17 MR. HENDERSON: It's the discretion of the 18 board to give final approval. THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second on 19 20 the motion? 21 MR. HOWARD: I'll second. THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? 22 (Ayes were stated by all board members.) 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. 24

1 and remove the concrete. We feel that the view would 2 be greatly improved if we were able to do so. Also, a couple things I'd like to draw 4 your attention to. A lot of the front piers is taken 5 up by the concrete, like I said, out front where 6 people park. And also the driveway here that you 7 enter on the side to go back to the rear of the house 8 where there's a garage, that's all impervious as 9 well. 10 So as a trade-off for allowing us to do 11 the porches as we have it designed with the three 12 feet of encroachment, what the Krells are planning to 13 do is rip out all of the concrete up front and 14 replace it with sod, landscaping, impervious surface. In addition, also tear out the side and 16 rear driveway. So cumulatively that would be taking 17 out 4,200 square feet of impervious surface, which is 18 28 percent of the whole lot itself and replacing it with pervious surface. On one hand, we feel it's great for 21 drainage. I think we all know, at some point, this 22 island is going to be under a little bit of water. I 23 feel it's good to proactively rip out as much of the 24 impervious as possible and replace it with something

Page 15

Page 17

MR. HENDERSON: This next item is a COA 2 3 request of 3014 Marshall Boulevard. The applicant is Barry Krell. 4 The property owners are requesting 6 conceptual design review approval for a front porch addition and site modifications. Relief is requested 8 from the design standards for encroachment on the

3014 MARSHALL BOULEVARD

THE CHAIRPERSON: 3014 Marshall Boulevard.

10 The owners are requesting removal of the 11 central entrance feature, the brick terrace steps. Removal of 1,560 square feet of concrete driveway area, walkway and parking. And also removal of 2,714 square feet of concrete driveway that wraps around the back of this home. 15

The front setback encroachment is for the 16 addition of a front porch, and they are requesting 17 the full 15 percent, which is 3.75 feet. And that 18 comes to a final encroachment of 21 feet, three inches. I'll defer to the applicant. 20

21 MR. HAMMOND: Good evening. I'm Phillip 22 Hammond. I represent Barry and Kevin Krell. And as you can see, the home as it is now is a lot of 24 concrete for parking up front and a great view of the 25 home. However, what we'd like to do is add a porch

Also, this will create a situation where 2 people won't be able to park in the front. And I 3 think that also looks better from the street than as 4 it is now whereas, in this photo, people are parking 5 in the front. This will make people park around the 6 side and just improve the look of the property overall anyway.

25 that will help facilitate water drainage.

And a good portion of the three feet that we're asking for is to take these stairs that would be necessary for the porch and just cover those up as well. I think it's an additional thing that would just help improve the look of the home from the street as much as possible.

14 And I'll be happy to answer any questions 15 y'all have.

16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. Is there any public comment on this application? MR. KRELL: Barry Krell. The front stairs 18 19 actually will be taken out completely, not covering 20 that up. They're going to be removed completely, and 21 the entrance is going to be underneath the house. 22

THE CHAIRPERSON: Any other public 23 comment? Public comment section is closed. Joe. 24 anything to add?

MR. HENDERSON: I passed around an

25

1

5

9

front setbacks.

25

Page 21

Page 18

additional site plan that shows the landscaping and
 layout of the new driveway and where exactly these
 materials are going to be removed for your reference.
 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark, you want to start
 this one?
 MR. HOWARD: Overall, a great improvement.

7 Much softer to the eye. Certainly better enjoyment.
8 I think for me initially it's a lot to comprehend.
9 It's a major change. I don't have too much problem

10 with the front. Side setbacks on the side -11 MR. HAMMOND: No, sir, the side -12 MR. HOWARD: -- dealing with the front.

Other than it's a lot to swallow. It certainly seemslike a very nice improvement.

15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I think it's great. It's very creative modification to a house that doesn't really fit with the island character of most of the traditional older homes. And I think it's very creative.

My question, I love taking out some of the concrete. It looks like you've got shingle going where the stucco was above. Will that replace some of the stucco around the house, or is that just like in the front?

and 1 the house.

13

I just have one comment, and our real architect is not here tonight, Steve Herlong. I'm curious about the little fencing on top of what you call turrets. I personally don't care for that. I'm not going to shoot it down because of that. It's just a personal opinion. Other than that it's such

an improvement, I can't have any objection.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion?MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion to approve. Do I 12 hear a second?

MR. HOWARD: On conceptual?

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Conceptual, right.

MR. HENDERSON: We had a discussion at our last meeting about applying conceptual. If the board chooses to issue final approval, we'll leave that up to you.

MS. SANDERS: Are there any changes?

MR. HENDERSON: If there are any requested

changes that are binding to your decision then --THE CHAIRPERSON: Looks to me like a work

THE CHAIRPERSON: Looks to me like a significant in progress. I don't mind giving final overseeing.

24 Since it's a work in progress, things change, they

25 may have to come back. I don't know. I don't mind.

Page 19

MR. KRELL: The stucco on the house now isplanning to stay.

MS. WEBB: Just a few accents of shingle,
which is good. Adds another dimension to the house.
I love what you've done. I think it's a very

6 creative plan.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: I think it's very reasonablerequest and really nice porch.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm glad to see a
Sullivan's Island family going to occupy it and
taking a house that I've often noted that was just an
amazing amalgam of somebody's imagination and
improving it immensely. I'm all for it.

MR. WRIGHT: I would call it something

16 other. I live a few doors down the street, and I go
17 by this place every day either walking or driving.
18 And I can only say that it's a significant
19 improvement.

I would caution you to, I don't know what you have, parking, not your parking but beach people parking, along marsh over there in summer is pretty

23 heavy duty. You may want to consider something along 24 where your setback is from the street. Because I'll

25 guarantee you'll have a lot of traffic in front of

MR. HENDERSON: Unless there are changes that you recommend for the applicant, I would

3 recommend final approval.

MS. SANDERS: Motion for final approval as

5 is.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a second?

7 MR. WRIGHT: Second.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?

MR. HOWARD: Again, I have one hesitation.I do wish that Steve was here. Like I say, it looked

very good on paper. And it's a great improvement.I'm a little hesitant that I don't know all the ducks

13 in order. Is this the best we can do, that type of

14 thing. 15

15 I'm a little hesitant. I kind of wish we 16 had the whole board here.

MR. WRIGHT: I understand what you're saying.

MR. HOWARD: Do you?

MR. WRIGHT: Having looked at this house 30 years.

MR. HOWARD: I wouldn't want to hold up.
THE CHAIRPERSON: It has an amazing

24 structure. Everybody in favor of the motion?

25 (Ayes were stated by all board members.)

1

2

3

Page 24

Page 22

910 MIDDLE STREET

THE CHAIRPERSON: 910 Middle Street.

MR. HENDERSON: Agenda item E-3. New 4 construction proposed at 910 Middle Street. Julia

5 Martin, and Michael and Stacey Koon are requesting

6 final design review and approval for this proposed 7 construction.

R We reviewed this during the October 15th 9 meeting. The board made several modifications, requests for modifications for the additional design. 11 This is contemporary style, new construction.

12 After meeting several times with the 13 applicants and Julia, I recommended that they 14 formulate a way to go through each one of the 15 requested changes from the board. They provided a 16 memorandum that I sent out to you in your packets 17 and, also, they have provided a PowerPoint so they 18 can walk through each one of these elements, show 19 what you requested and also their design response to 20 this property. And I'll let the applicant take over.

21 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, sir. 22 MR. KOON: Ladies and gentlemen, you get 23 to hear from another Sullivan's Island family 24 tonight. Introductions, I'm Michael Koon, and my 25 wife Stacey. We are 910 Middle Street. We are the first time.

8

But we went back. We took the criticisms 2 3 that we got here to heart. We made some changes.

4 And I think, although it's still true to what we

5 wanted to do, I think you'll find, as we believe,

6 that it really is a group project. We owe you all an

7 acknowledgement as well.

Joe, if we can have the first slide.

9 We're trying to break this up into two segments. The 10 first is site and neighborhood context. I think we

11 did not do a great job of laying out where this house

12 is, where this house was cited, what it would look

13 like. Joe's term was ground truth. I think that's

14 important for this group to have. I don't think we

15 did a very good job of doing that last time. We want

16 to talk about that.

17 Then we want to talk about specifically 18 what's in that memo that you were given, how we 19 reacted to the specific comments that you gave us

21 If we can have the next slide. This is 22 where we are right now. I love these laser pointers. 23 I use this under any circumstance; it's particularly

24 good here. This is the property. This is the

25 existing house right here. This is Osceola Avenue,

Page 23

Page 25

1 ones trying to do this down here at the end of the 2 island. I hope you'll find that we have made changes

3 that are consistent with the guidance that we got

4 from this board last time we were here.

5 Also seated with us is Julia Martin. 6 Julia is our architect. This is actually our third project. Both of the other two were downtown. They 8 were historic. One was up for Carolopolis award. We're very proud of that. We specifically wanted to 10 bring her historic and her modern chops to bear on 11 this. We talked a little bit about our theory behind

this house to help you understand why we're doing 13 what we're doing.

14 Before we get any further, I wanted to acknowledge a couple people. I wanted to acknowledge Randy and Joe. I don't know that you spend that much time with everybody who comes here. They were very generous with their time. They helped us do research

here at the city. We could not have put this

together without you. If it turns out to be

terrible, it's our problem, not yours. You certainly

gave us every opportunity to do it the right way. 23 We also want to thank the board. It's

24 sort of hard to have your baby criticized in public.

25 Fortunately, I was out of town last time. This is my

1 and this is Middle Street right here, and this is 2 Station Nine.

3 And as those of you who are down there by 4 the boat dock know, this goes right into the town

5 dock. This area here is open marsh to the

6 intracoastal waterway and to the cove. The house

7 that we are proposing will be essentially within the

8 existing footprint of this house. It will reach out

9 to the edges of that for about 20 feet on each side.

And then as it moves forward, it's narrower than the 11 existing house. This is the site we're looking at.

12 One of the things that we were surprised 13 by when we were here before was concern by the board about the appropriateness of the house and size and scale and how it would look in the neighborhood. We were surprised because we looked pretty hard at that, because we got support and continue to have support from the neighborhood.

What we did, we went back and took a look 20 at what the new house would look like in comparison 21 with what's there now. There really is three street 22 to street lots in this area. One right here on the

23 corner of Station Nine. This is our immediate

24 neighbor to the right. If you face the house, and

25 this is what will probably be forever known as the

Dixie Dunbar house, which fronts Osceola.

What we took a look at was how our

proposed house would compare to those, to make sure

that we weren't out of scale or out of step with it.

And what I think you'll find is that there are two

things that set ours apart; number one is ours is the

only one that has significantly oversized setbacks,

front and back. So this house would be well off both

9 Middle and Osceola.
10 Typically what you have is long thin lots.
11 The house fronts up against either Osceola or Middle.
12 Ours is going to be 95 feet back from Osceola Avenue,
13 little over 100 feet back from Middle Street.

The other thing I wanted to point out is
that these houses are staggered. Because our house
is sort of in the middle of the lot, nobody has to
look cheek by jowl to houses that are fronted at the
same, fronting the street. Everybody has a little
bit of open space, even though the houses are pretty
close together.

I know one of the big issues for this
group, appropriately, is side setback. We took a
look at where we would be in comparison to the side
setbacks of others on the street, street lots and
this neighborhood.

some discussion last time about monumental scale and
 monumental style. We wanted to specifically make
 sure we addressed that. Joe, if we could have the
 next slide.

This is just a summary. We think the size and scale is compatible. We think the side setback is consistent with the area. We think the oversized front and rear setback provides additional relief to an area that's pretty densely subdivided.

The existing vegetative buffers on both sides will remain. It will be enhanced. I think if you look at the fourth page of our submission, it shows a rough diagram of what we plan to do with regard to additional vegetation.

15 We considered actually doing a sun study 16 because there was some concern that the building 17 would shade inappropriately neighbors on either side. 18 When we started doing it, the trees and vegetation, 19 essentially there's no shadowing impact to the

19 essentially there's no shadowing impact to the 20 neighboring houses.

21 I'll move on to the next slide, which is 22 sort of how we responded to the discussion last time. 23 I think looking through the transcript, softening was 24 kind of the word of the evening when we were here 25 last time. There's a lot of attention focused on

Page 27

The maximum relief we're requesting would reduce the side setbacks down to ten feet. We were looking to see what the other lots were. Each of these other lots has side setbacks, at least one side and usually most of ten feet or in fact less. This one here has a side setback of eight feet, eight inches.

7 inches.
8 I think overall, I think what we come away
9 with is -- let's skip ahead to the next one here.
10 Also I want to point out, in addition to the side
11 vegetation shown in the prior slide, this nine-foot
12 hedge back there will stay. In addition to the
13 95-foot set back from the street, it will be screened
14 by that hedge when the structure is built.

We also seek to sort of, as a matter of
curiosity -- this house is actually our neighbor to
the immediate left as you're facing Middle Street.
That house and that house and the two next to us are
between 12 and 15 feet taller than ours. Those
obviously were built at a time when height

restrictions were more relaxed.

But in terms of trying to figure out how
this house fits into the neighborhood, I think what
you'll see, it looks smaller and shorter than a lot
of the houses on the neighborhood. I think there's

1 what we call the portico elevation, the rear2 elevation, which is the one that fronts onto Osceola.

What we were trying to do, and this clearly didn't come through, is we're trying to

5 create a structure that looked like a modern version6 of three Charleston single houses clustered together.

7 If you look at the front of the house, there's sort

8 of three houses that are similar in size and shape,

9 three segments of the structure that are similar in10 size and shape. They're not identical; slightly

11 asymmetrical.

The back didn't come off at all. What we ended up, a straight roof line on the porch across the back. There was a crown on the roof line and it didn't come across as three segments of anything. It

just came across as being too big to this group.
 So we went after it. We redesigned the
 roof line. We lowered the two side segments, which

19 are the segments obviously closer to our neighbors.

20 Slightly raised the center segment, although it's

21 still a foot below maximum. That created a

variegated roof line that looks a little bit more like the front.

We reduced the column dimensions, which hold up the portico by about 30 percent, which was

Page 29

Page 28

1 intended to make them feel lighter, less ponderous. 2 We got rid of the glass railings and substituted 3 metal railings with very strong horizontal lines that 4 sort of stretch the building out so it didn't look 5 quite so tall.

If you take a look at the 10th page of the 7 submission, it's coded page R-1.2. It's sort of a 8 before and after. It shows up, in the upper 9 left-hand corner, what you were presented with in 10 October. And it shows lower down what we're 11 proposing now and calls out the changes that have 12 been made to the back of the house.

If we can go to the next one. Won't spend 13 14 a lot of time on this, but I want to focus on one 15 aspect. We also applied these same softening efforts 16 to the side of the house. Even though it's pretty 17 hard to see the side of the house from any place. 18 It's even hard to see it from our property looking up 19 at it. Again, we tried to eliminate the glass 20 railings in favor of something that was a little less 21 glossy.

22 And I think something that we'll talk 23 about with the next slide that I want to specifically 24 mention, there's a fair amount of discussion about 25 the point of maximum relief requested. The original Page 32

I think there may have been some 1 2 confusion. There's discussion that the preferred

3 location for anything that's built to maximum height 4 was to be sort of in the center of the property and

5 as far away from your neighbor as you can get it.

6 That's exactly where it is. That's actually where it

7 always was. I don't think it was called out very

well in our last presentation.

9 So, Joe, if you do the next one. This is 10 where we are left. The front facade, which is 11 characterized, I think, pretty positively the last 12 time hasn't changed a lot. There is articulation 13 around the window there above the porch on the right 14 side. Not very visible here because of the sun 15 bleaching. Again, the concept here is sort of three

16 Charleston single-family houses clustered together. 17 Next slide, please. This is the view from 18 Osceola. If you were taking a look before when I

19 mentioned about before and after, this is the same 20 picture that appears on that page. Again, you can 21 see that the bottom floor of the house is completely

22 shielded by the fence, as is about half of the first

23 occupied floor. And, again, the idea here is to

24 variegate that roof line so that, again, it's not so

25 monolithic. We've taken away the crown around the

Page 31

1 edge so it doesn't stand out as a single

2 architectural element so much.

What's interesting about this, when we 3 4 moved that HVAC enclosure back, it really disappears from view, from the street level. So the house, as a 6 whole, looks a little lower from the street view.

This last slide was a great idea Randy 8 had, which is you don't get very many opportunities 9 to get far enough away from the house so you can get 10 sort of the whole neighborhood in one picture. So I 11 invested \$20 in a guy with a bass boat to take me

12 out. And I took this picture myself, which is why

13 it's not the same quality as everybody else's.

If you look at this, this is the house 15 here. If you look at this house, this house, this 16 house, and this house, which are our immediate 17 neighbors, they're all taller than ours. If you look at this house, this house and this house, they're all

19 wider than ours.

20 So I note that contemporary is not 21 necessarily everybody's favorite approach to home 22 building on the island, but I think from a massing, 23 from a scale and from an appropriateness standpoint, 24 it should pass muster. And Julia and I are happy to

25 answer any questions you have.

1 plan was a plaque wall that went up 37 feet. That 2 attracted a lot of attention. There was some

3 discussion that was too much, too close. The only purpose of the top 5 three-and-a-half, four feet of that parapet wall was

6 to shield the HVAC equipment, which was going on the 7 roof, from our neighbors whose houses are actually 8 taller than hours. We figured we can do that without having to run the parapet wall all the way up.

10 We've eliminated the top three feet or so 11 of the parapet wall. We moved the HVAC enclosure 12 back about eight feet from both sides, so that 13 portion of the house where the air conditioning 14 enclosure is will be about 14 feet off the property 15 line each way. What that also does is account for 10 percent reduction in the height of that wall and about 140 square feet of wall that was before this group last time that isn't there anymore. 18

19 Go on the next one, please. There's some other issues raised. I'm not sure we need to spend a lot of time on them. Helpful comments about trying to keep water from encroaching around windows. Where the windows are not protected by overhang, we've 24 added some articulation around there so there's at 25 least a little bit of protection.

Page 33

	Page 34	Page 36
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of different kind of architecture, so I'm for it. Mark. MR. HOWARD: I think we both learned. I didn't want you to feel that we were just saying no to modern architecture. I think with what you have done with as little direction as we gave you, I think it's been a great improvement. It has done the softening that we were asking. I think you'll be much happier with it.	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion? MR. WRIGHT: I'm okay with it. THE CHAIRPERSON: You want to talk now? MR. WRIGHT: I almost didn't. THE CHAIRPERSON: Hear a motion? MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve as final. THE CHAIRPERSON: Second? MR. HOWARD: Second. THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor? (Ayes were stated by all board members.) MS. SANDERS: Thank you very much. THE CHAIRPERSON: We're adjourned. (The hearing was concluded at 6:40 p.m.) THE CHAIRPERSON: We're adjourned.
-	Page 35	Page
1	•	1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 Then we came back and said: Those guys were right. 2 2 This looks better. I, Lora McDaniel, Registered Professional 3 MR. HOWARD: When things come together 3 Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South 4 4 like that, no more needs to be said. Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the 5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna. 5 foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and 6 MS. WEBB: Some real specific things that 7 complete record. 7 really jump out at me and really make a difference. I further certify that I am neither related 8 8 And I'm a modern architecture fan and still trying to to, nor counsel for, any party to the cause pending 9 9 live within, keep it appropriate for the or interested in the events thereof. 10 10 neighborhood, of course. I love doing the horizontal Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my 11 11 iron railing. I think that really, versus the glass official seal this 29th day of December, 2014 at 12 12 panels, I think that really, really helps. Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. 13 The look of the house, I think you'll be 13 14 happier with the maintenance and everything. I love 14 15 the wood trellis. Just that simple little detail off 15 16 the side really adds a nice touch to that house. And 16 17 then opening up some of the stucco underneath to, you 17 18 know, for practical purposes but also aesthetically I 18 19 19 think it allows that space to be a little airier. I'm pleased with what you guys have come 20 Lora L. McDaniel, Registered Professional Reporter My Commission expires: September 18, 2016 20 21 back with. I love the presentation and showing us 21 22 exactly how it's going to look on the lot. A visual 22 23 is very helpful, so thank you for going the extra. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda. 24 24 MS. SANDERS: I like it a lot. I like 25 25

		Page 38	
1	INDEX		
2		Page	
	WITNESS/EXAMINATION		
	BEARDCAT SWEET SHOP	3	
	1026 MIDDLE STREET	7	
1	402 STATION 12	10	
	3014 MARSHALL BOULEVARD	14	
	910 MIDDLE STREET	22	
9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	37	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	EXHIBITS		
18			
19	(No Exhibits Proffered)		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		į	
		j	

THE DECISIONS OF THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD SHALL BE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE CERTIFICTE OF APPROPRRIATNESS. THESE MINUTES WILL BE USED AS AN OFFICIAL RECORD TO THE DECISIONS MADE UPON RATIFICATION.

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED THIS DAY OF JANUARY 21, 2015

PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRMAN

DUKE WRIGHT, SECRETARY