1		JLLIVAN'S ISLAND
2	DESIGN	REVIEW BOARD
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	HEARING BEFORE:	PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRPERSON
12	DATE:	March 18, 2015
13	TIME:	6:00 PM
14 15	LOCATION:	Sullivan's Island Town Hall 2050-B Middle Street Sullivan's Island, SC 29482
16		
17	REPORTED BY:	LORA L. McDANIEL, Registered Professional Reporter
18		
19	A. WILLIAM RO	DBERTS, JR. & ASSOCIATES
20	Fast, Ad	ccurate & Friendly
21		lton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC
22	(843) 722-8414 (84	43) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376
23		
24		eenville, SC Charlotte, NC 54) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919
25		

1	APPEARANCES:	2
2		
3	PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRPERSON STEVE HERLONG, BOARD MEMBER	
4	MARK HOWARD, BOARD MEMBER DONNA WEBB, BOARD MEMBER	
5	RHONDA SANDERS, BOARD MEMBER BILLY CRAVER, BOARD MEMBER	
6	RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL JOE HENDERSON, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR	
7	KAT KENYON, PERMIT TECH	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	(	
17	(INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. HERLONG: Design Review Board of
2	Sullivan's Island will meet March 18, 2015, at 6:00
3	at the town hall. It is now 6:00. And the members
4	in attendance are Billy Craver, Rhonda Sanders, Donna
5	Webb, Mark Howard, and Steve Herlong.
6	The Freedom Of Information requirements
7	have been met for this meeting. Items on tonight's
8	agenda are, first item is the approval of the
9	February 2015 minutes. Do I hear a motion?
10	MR. HOWARD: I motion that we approve the
11	minutes as submitted.
12	MR. HERLONG: Do I hear a second?
13	MS. SANDERS: Second.
14	MR. HERLONG: All in favor?
15	(Ayes stated by board members present.)
16	MR. HERLONG: Any opposed?
17	2114 ATLANTIC AVENUE
18	MR. HERLONG: Item two, 2114 Atlantic
19	Avenue, some addition and renovation. So Joe, tell
20	us about it.
21	MR. HENDERSON: Sure, this first agenda
22	item is a certificate of appropriateness request for
23	a non-historic property at 2114 Atlantic Avenue. The
24	applicant is Beau Clowney, architect, requesting

conceptual approval for several small additions to

2.2

the home and also interior renovations.

Full scope of work includes an in-ground pool to be located off of Pettigrew Street; second story porch extension off the Pettigrew side of the property; additions to the Atlantic Avenue elevations; additions to the east and west elevations; request to reconfigure the steps and decks on the Atlantic Avenue side; and also replacing windows, doors and dormers.

I'll let Kate elaborate a little bit more on the scope of work. The applicants are requesting relief of two provisions of the zoning ordinance. The first is principal building square footage of 21 percent. The DRB is allowed to give 25 percent.

And they're also requesting relief from the building orientation requirements. They're requesting to place a pool on the Pettigrew Street side of the property, and the ordinance requires that you place the pool in the rear yard. This comes from the requirement that all homes have to face the ocean.

What I'll do is just turn it over to the board for any questions and allow Kate to elaborate on the scope of work.

MS. CAMPBELL: I'm Kate Campbell with Beau



2.2

Clowney Architects. This is a built -- house is built in the early '90s. As you can see, we are going to be doing kind of a little bit of everything in this house. We want to bring it up-to-date in terms of detailing and colors. Dormers are going to be replaced on the front and back of the house, as well as some extensions on the front facade on here in the bedroom areas and just making it -- updating it for the family that purchased it a couple years ago.

It was purchased about two years ago as 2114 Atlantic Avenue. I think originally this property was front beach, we were told by the previous owners. And if you look at the block as a whole, it is a little bit of an anomaly in terms of its symmetry and how it addresses both street fronts.

This is the Atlantic Avenue side. And this is the Pettigrew Avenue side. And so from each right-of-way, it is pretty much centered in the block and has a central symmetrical facade or what you could call a front facade.

As I said, the house is built in the '90s. All the other houses in the most adjacent block have street access off of Atlantic Avenue, which is the most traveled street. There is a neighbor with a

2.2

pool in the rear yard here.

The house itself has literally two front doors, and we are maintaining that in terms of what we're proposing for renovation. The back of the house, the Atlantic Avenue side, maintains these two pavilions that come out symmetrically towards the Atlantic Avenue right-of-way. And the dormers get adjusted as such to be more in keeping with the neighborhood quality and details of Sullivan's Island.

On the Pettigrew Avenue side, you can see we are maintaining the screen porch that's there now but updating it in terms of detailing; then extending the deck out, removing the paving that goes out to the street and creating a back courtyard and pool for how the clients use the property currently.

We feel that, you know, this house is, again, an anomaly in this block. To us it makes more sense to have the pool in the rear yard, mainly also because of the neighborhood compatibility of the block itself. You would end up with a pool adjacent to another pool that's on the same side of the street.

MR. CLOWNEY: One kind of interesting thing, when they bought the house, they bought the

2.2

house, it was 2114 Atlantic. And so then they were requested soon right after that to -- that they needed to change, because there were a lot of problems with the mailbox or post office or something like that, they were requested to change the address to either 2113 Atlantic or 2114 Pettigrew. And they decided to change it to 2113 Atlantic and went ahead and got a mailbox and a sign made and all that kind of stuff.

After that they got a call from the town and said: You need to change it to 2114 Pettigrew.

They would've never, ever changed the address to Pettigrew had they ever thought that it would jeopardize their putting a pool where they would want to put the pool from the day they bought the house. It only makes logical sense.

There's a pool on the beach side of the house and the next door neighbor has a pool on the beach side of the house as that's the way to do it.

As Kate said, it's very symmetrical in its layout and center of whole house and symmetrical in both elevations. We firmly think this as the rear part, and this will be the entrance to the house.

I feel I understand the whole reason for the address being 2114 Pettigrew is because the town

1	called and said we need you to change it to that and	
2	they were like: Okay, we'll do that.	
3	That all happened within the last year.	
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: Y'all done?	
5	Is there any public comment to this	
6	application?	
7	MR. CLOWNEY: The only other comment I'll	
8	make about the architectural changes, they feel like	
9	the house just kind of looks like a '90's house.	
10	They really want it to feel like an old house that's	
11	always been there. We're changing all the detailing	
12	to make it feel a little more historic and classic	
13	beach house, basically.	
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have anything to	
15	add?	
16	MR. HENDERSON: Just to elaborate on that	
17	one point regarding the orientation, this is overhead	
18	view of Pettigrew Street here. Here's the subject	
19	property. Each of all of the addresses on this	
20	street are oriented or addressed off of Pettigrew.	
21	We do have a pool here directly to the	
22	left of the house, if you're standing on Pettigrew.	
23	But, again, all of these are addressed off of	
24	Pettigrew except for this one, the subject property.	
25	And it's addressed off of Atlantic.	

This one

I think that the intent and spirit of this 1 2 section of the ordinance is to establish a front yard along the ocean side of each of these properties and 3 require the pool to be in the backyard. 4 just happens to be addressed off of Atlantic. 5 6 I do have some pictures that show the 7 streetscape, if you want to look at some of those. 8 Turn it back over to you. 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. 10 MR. CLOWNEY: Can I make one more comment 11 to that? 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. 13 MR. CLOWNEY: I would just like to argue, 14 too, point out that this house and the house next to 15 it, they were built, appears to be in the same 16 period, almost look exactly the same. This one has a 17 pool in front of it. It would just be very odd, your 18 next door neighbor, you have the pool in the front. 19 Now they're going to have to put their pool in the 20 back. It doesn't make any sense at all. Completely 21 illogical. 2.2 They're really wanting to do what they 23 planned to do when they bought the house. always been an Atlantic address. 24

MS. CAMPBELL:

25

In terms of orientation

2.2

question aside, to me it's a question of what is -we always look at the block and the adjacencies and
what is compatible in terms of neighborhood
compatibility. Is it compatible to have a pool on
one side of the block and another one on the other
side or is it more compatible for all of those uses
to be in the same part of the block.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Steve, do you want to start?

MR. HERLONG: I completely agree. The side I would want the pool on is that oceanside as well. It's extremely confusing at best all over the island because you've got irregularities that occur.

I would just think that is the preferred side for anyone to have a pool where you could hear and see the ocean potentially. You have the ocean sea breeze on that side.

In this case, the house is sited so it allows the pool to be there. Sometimes a siting issue conflicts with where you might want a pool.

In this case, if you put it on either side, it's a symmetrical house front to back. I would think for sure that's the side to put it on.

And then I guess you're also asking for certain -- some relief?

In Re: MR. HENDERSON: Principal building square 1 2 footage. MS. CAMPBELL: One thing I want to 3 clarify, I actually did my math before I came here. 4 And I realize I done it wrong. The total that we're 5 requesting on the square footage is correct, 4,981. 6 7 The second floor number is incorrect; instead of 1,794 for the proposed second floor square footage, 8 9 it should be 1,960; 1,960. Asking for same amount. 10 MR. HENDERSON: Still 21 percent? 11 MS. CAMPBELL: It's still 21 percent, yes. 12 And the house currently is around 4,467. Where we're 13 adding that square footage is extending these bedroom bays out on the first floor. We're putting a little 14 15 window bay here to break up the facade of that. 16 Then we're adding two bathrooms, a master 17

bathroom on one side and then two bathrooms on this side above the footprint of the house.

Existing bedrooms actually shared a very small bathroom and the same thing over here.

MR. HERLONG: Again, I'm fine with requesting that relief as well.

> THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark?

MR. HOWARD: Exactly how old is the ordinance to try to face all the properties?

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

25

12

MR. HENDERSON: 2005.

MR. ROBINSON: 2005.

MR. HOWARD: 2005. So the pool next door

is older than 2005?

MR. ROBINSON: Correct. I built it.

MR. HOWARD: You're coming out towards

Atlantic on the wings?

MS. CAMPBELL: Yes.

MR. HOWARD: On the side, both sides?

MS. CAMPBELL: Both sides come out here.

This is Atlantic Avenue.

12 MR. HOWARD: How much setback is there

13 | with the addition?

14 MS. CAMPBELL: Setback for the Atlantic

Avenue side, this is where we're bumping out, and the

setback is over here. It's actually the same on both

17 | sides.

MR. CLOWNEY: Pretty big lot.

MS. CAMPBELL: 25 feet on both sides.

MR. HOWARD: I guess my initial comment on

the pool thing is that, 2005, we're trying to put

22 | some organization into every street that is not

23 organized. I don't think you can do a street on the

24 | island and have some sort of continuity.

The ordinance seems to be old enough. I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

13

not quite sure I see the hardship of putting it on the Atlantic side. There's plenty of room. Much space for buffer landscaping, whatever you might.

So I'm not sure that I only see the Pettigrew side as the only place you can put the pool. You have lots of land on the Atlantic side. I don't know. It would be just in line with the ordinance as it stands. Those are my initial thoughts.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: If the neighbor directly next door didn't already have that landscape established, I would say go ahead and follow the ordinance. But with the house being symmetrical and clearly you can clearly establish that's the front, and the neighbor's pool is there, I don't see any harm in allowing the pool. And I have no issue with the square footage.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: You said this was conceptual

but it's final; right?

MR. CLOWNEY: It be would great if it was.

MS. SANDERS: It says final on here. I

just want to clarify that.

MR. HENDERSON: We decided as a group, we

	Town of Sullivans Island Meeting v Design Review Board Meeting In Re:  March 18, 20
1	14 would begin all projects as conceptual. Then the DRB
2	could vote to issue final approval, so it's up to the
3	group.
4	MS. SANDERS: It says final on here. I'm
5	fine with the square footage. I think there's plenty
6	of space. It's within our purview.
7	You have a pool right next door. How can
8	you say no? I mean, like you said, it has two front
9	doors. I think it's mute. I don't think we should
10	set a precedence for having pools in the front.
11	There are pools in the front all over the place.
12	I think you have to look at your
13	neighbors. I don't see any problem with it.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
15	MR. CRAVER: None of the neighbors came in
16	and said they don't want it there. There's a pool in
17	the yard next door. It's an odd block with two
18	blocks where Pettigrew are different. I just don't
19	see a problem with it. I'm in favor of letting them
20	do it.
21	MR. HOWARD: Can I make a question?
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure
23	MR. HOWARD: I'm not quite sure. Is the
24	location of this pool really not a zoning issue?

MR. HENDERSON:

Well, it is a zoning issue

but much like the board can give relief for principal building square footage, they can also modify the orientation. That's the relief.

The DRB can actually change the orientation of the structure and deem the backyard the backyard or the front yard the front yard. So, essentially, what this group is doing, they're saying that the Pettigrew side is the backyard.

I can read the language verbatim from the ordinance, if you want.

MR. HOWARD: I'm just asking. It just seems to me, in the past, we've had location issues with pools. They always seem to go back to zoning versus here.

MR. HENDERSON: Board of Zoning Appeals?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, I'm sorry if I didn't

make myself clear.

MR. HENDERSON: I think this falls in the purview of the Design Review Board.

MR. ROBINSON: Orientation falls -- what y'all are doing, y'all are making Atlantic Avenue the front of this house. Once y'all make Atlantic Avenue the front of this house, then we can allow a pool to go in the rear yard.

y'all aren't allowing a pool there. Y'all

2.2

are allowing change in orientation only of the structure. Once you change orientation, now the backyard is on Pettigrew, and we can allow not only the pool, but if they want a garage, if they want any other kind of accessory structures, all that kind of stuff can be put on the Pettigrew side now because y'all have changed the orientation.

It's more than just a pool y'all are approving. Y'all can't approve a pool in the front yard. That has to go to BZA.

Y'all are changing this lot the whole way around. Anything that the ordinance only allows in the rear yard, y'all are allowing by approving this change in orientation in the building.

Let me give you an example. They want to put a five-foot fence on Pettigrew, they can put a five-foot fence. If that's forward of the principle building, we would be limiting them to a four-foot fence. Everybody else in the block would have a four foot fence. This one will be able to have a five. That's just what I can think of now.

Just want y'all to keep that in mind.

MR. CLOWNEY: Can I make one other comment, too? It might help also with future applications like this. One of the things we

2.2

determined is that this would overprogram the

Atlantic side. In other words, Pettigrew has the

ability for no program. It has to be there and look

pretty.

The back, we have to enter with parking, coming, the driveway, we see that as the front of the house. That is the front of the house is Atlantic.

We want the pool in the backyard.

It adds program to it. If we didn't get permission for that, then the Atlantic side would be completely overprogrammed with coming and going, cars back and forth, garage, pool, everything and then you have the other side with nothing happening. It's really a case-by-case thing. It really depends on the adjacent neighbors and the block that it's in.

MS. CAMPBELL: It's also an existing condition, which I think is an important point. There's existing garage and driveway access off of Atlantic and will be maintained that way. It's not a new project coming before you, trying to put the pool in. We have existing conditions that we're dealing with, with this project in that yard.

MR. CLOWNEY: We don't want to switch the garage to Pettigrew. We're never going to do that.

No one in their right mind would do that.

2.2

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'll make my comments.

I think this is a, sort of an example of how the ordinance is the most ridiculous ordinance we have here that wants to overregulate everything on Sullivan's Island that got done, like you said, several years ago.

And this is a perfect example of how the town in its most wisdom passed this ordinance and thought they would try to regulate everything but people may or may not want to do.

I think this works because I don't think the neighbors are having a problem with this. If they did have a problem with it, they would be here tonight saying something, writing letters or complaining about it.

In this particular instance, like Steve said, if I lived in this house, I'd want the pool there. I want to be looking at the ocean with my children and friends in the pool and ocean right there.

We're trying to make -- we're trying to write something in these ordinances, making it difficult for people who want to live here or live an attractive life in their homes. This is a perfect example.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

19

One of the great things about this job, we can stick a finger in the eye of these ridiculous ordinances and make a difference as we could tonight, I would like to think. I'm for this application.

MR. HERLONG: I think this particular section of the ordinance, the orientation issue is one of the weaker parts of the ordinance when it was discussed and changed. A lot of other sections were much more important than that building orientation portion. My observations.

MR. HENDERSON: I think to speak to your point, Pat, that's the reason why we have all this relief, you know. The ability for this group to modify these regulations.

In other jurisdictions, that's reserved exclusively for the Board of Zoning Appeals. It's very unique here on Sullivan's Island that you can, for each one of these design standards, a separate group can step in and give relief.

I think that's really unique. It's a unique function of this board that's tailored for Sullivan's Island.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good point.

MR. CLOWNEY: Keeps the island eclectic.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Good point.

2.0 1 MR. HENDERSON: Absolutely. I think 2 that's why you're allowed to do this. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we vote on this or talk some more about it? 4 MR. CRAVER: We have to have a motion 5 before you can have vote. 6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: I mean to make a motion. MR. HOWARD: I think you helped explain 8 9 some of the hardship I thought very well. 10 MR. CLOWNEY: Thank you. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Can I hear a 12 motion? MR. CRAVER: When you're trying to design 13 14 an ordinance like this and you're not able to keep 15 the other six members of the planning commission to 16 get rid of a provision like this, you put in a 17 modification provision. 18 I'll make a motion to approve the 19 application based on the orientation of the house 20 being with Atlantic Avenue as the front of the 21 property and the other stuff on here that they have 2.2 asked to approve it. This to be final approval. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Do I hear a second? 24

25

MS. SANDERS: Second.

1	21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? Everybody
2	in favor?
3	(Ayes stated by board members present).
4	MS. CAMPBELL: Thank you.
5	2618 RAVEN DRIVE
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: 2618 Raven. New
7	construction.
8	MR. HENDERSON: This item is for 2618
9	Raven Drive. The applicant, Rachel Burton, is
10	requesting conceptual approval for a new home
11	construction. The applicants are requesting relief
12	from the principal building coverage requirements and
13	also from the requirements for foundation height.
14	Just to give you a little background on
15	this property, I will get to it here on our Google
16	Earth. On May 9, 2013, this property received
17	multiple property dimensions. The reason why, over
18	half of it is marsh land. If you apply the setback
19	to this piece of property, you would be left with no
20	buildable area.
21	It came back on February 12th of this year
22	and received a revision to all these variances
23	because there was a discrepancy in survey data, but
24	it does not affect really the duty of this board

tonight, and that is to issue up to 20 percent in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

principal building coverage. That's what you're allowed to give. The applicants are requesting 18 percent.

You're also allowed to give up to one foot in relief to the finished floor foundation height.

I can entertain any questions from you-all, but essentially the Board of Design -- the Board of Zoning Appeals set a certain threshold for relief that you-all can give and principal building coverage and the finish floor height relief falls in that allowable relief that you can give.

I'm sorry if I'm confusing you. Rachel, I don't know if you want to elaborate on any of this.

I know the minutia of it is very confusing. I don't know how deep you want to get into it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thanks, Joe.

MS. BURTON: My name is Rachel Burton of Swallowtail Architecture. I also wanted to introduce my client, Bill Conklin, who is here tonight.

MR. CONKLIN: Hi, I'm Bill. We just want to build a beautiful, modest home here on Sullivan's that I think we all could be happy to have as a neighbor and proud of.

MS. BURTON: So as Joe was explaining to you, we were recently at the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2.2

We were asking for clarifications about the zoning issues with this site.

And what we have is we have a front yard setback for a one story and a two-story portion. We have side yard setbacks on both sides. And then a shape that was developed that really is, you know, more or less trying to be 15 feet off the OCRM line. So we were given a footprint shape that we have to stay within.

And what we're asking for is relief on two items; one is principal building coverage. Because by staying in that footprint, we actually need relief in order to be able to have a home built.

And the second is we need relief on the foundation height so that we can have adequate space under the house to fully use it.

So what I want to do is briefly show you the design and then just show you why we need to have that relief. The site plan is pretty straightforward. It's really just about all of those zoning setbacks to get the shape of the house. It's all predetermined.

Our first floor is the bedroom floor for this home. It's going to be a reverse plan. We have two smaller bedrooms, a master bedroom with master

2.2

bath, all facing the marsh. And then the center front entry with stairs.

And the second floor is a great room, kitchen, dining area. And it has a marsh side porch. Our marsh side porch and the front porch are the only porches we can fit within the shape of the house.

So the view from the street with our central porch, the side view so you can see the porch facing the marsh. And then below that is part of the bedroom. What we wanted to do is make it appear like it was a porch, but it was filled in so that it looked appropriate in the architecture.

This is the side facing the marsh itself where we have the upper porch and the bedroom below that.

So the reason why we need to do this is just simply we can't fit the amount of heated square feet that was allowed within our zoning ordinance.

We're allowed to have 2,639 square feet. What we actually have is 2,550. To use that, we're using almost all the area within our little footprint. You can see that best on our first floor plan. It really is like a very small porch facing the street.

And then the other variance that we're asking for is just increased foundation height so

- 17
- 18 fitting that perfectly on that lot, and I like it.
- 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.
- 20 MS. WEBB: I'm okay with it.
- 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark.
- 22 MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I'm good. You should
- 23 go another foot up on that location.
- 24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Steve.
- 25 MR. HERLONG: I'm fine with it.

26 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm fine with it also. 1 2 It's not a large house. It's going to probably sit fairly well on that lot considering how small it is, 3 the buildable part of the lot. I'm fine with it 4 5 also. Do I hear a motion? 6 7 MR. CRAVER: Make a motion we approve it as submitted and give final approval. 8 9 MR. HOWARD: I'll second that. 10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? Everybody 11 in favor? 12 (Ayes stated by board members present.) 13 MS. BURTON: Thank you very much. 14 2662 I'ON AVENUE 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: 2662 I'on. 16 construction. 17 (Mr. Herlong exited the room.) 18 MR. HENDERSON: Pat, this is a request 19 from Herlong & Associates. The applicants are 20 requesting conceptual approval for a new home 21 construction. They're requesting modifications in 2.2 the zoning standards for the orientation for the home 23 and also requested relief from the side setbacks. 24 They're requesting 10.2 percent, and the 25 DRB is allowed to give 25 percent modification of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2.7

1 | setbacks. That falls within your authority.

The applicants, much like the first agenda item tonight, the applicants are requesting to orient the home towards Middle Street and install an in-ground pool on the I'on side or on the ocean side of the development, deemed the I'on side as the rear yard so it will accommodate the pool.

In order for staff to authorize this, we would have to have the board deem that as the rear yard. I do have some photographs of both street frontages, if you guys want to look at that.

I'll entertain any questions you might have.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great, thank you. Yes, ma'am.

MS. LURKIN: I'm Bronwyn Lurkin with Herlong & Associates. I'm here to present 2662 I'on. Since we have applied over a month ago, the client did make some changes, so I wanted to present with you an updated packet. We did go from a two-story to a one story house. So I want to talk about that a little bit.

When the clients came to us, they have this current house existing, and we're going to be tearing down. And they are currently using Middle

2.2

Street as their main functioning entrance. There's a curb cut there. And it also has two fronts to the home. And I have some additional photos I can pass along.

They currently use the house from Middle Street, and they wanted to keep that function of their home and as well enjoy the breezes and the entertaining space more on the I'on side.

One of our challenges, as we were working through the design, was to address both Middle Street and I'on and give both of them a facade frontage presence.

We did site the house more in the middle of the property, giving nice relief from all sides so that it was not pushed to any one property line.

There are pools in the front yard in this area, not on this particular block, but we felt that, on the street, more importantly, was keeping the fence line and the tree line as somewhat of the consistency of massing.

When we take a look at the elevations that are different from what you originally had, we have a home that fits nicely within the neighborhood. It's very compatible from a scale perspective, as well as detailing and has a very small cottage feel to it.

2.2

You can see the south elevation. This is I'on. It has somewhat of a symmetrical appearance. It also could look as it is the front of the home even though we're looking at the orientation to be the rear of the home.

On Middle Street, we would be using this as the entry garage area as well as the main entrance or front door.

So as we were making some minor modifications, we are looking at an orientation change as well as four feet of relief for the side setback stair. But the stair does not go beyond the existing structure that exists. And in so doing, for bringing this from a two-story home to a one story home, we reduce the square footage by over 700. And it put us over the lot coverage by 47 feet. So we were looking for 7 percent relief for the coverage, the principal building coverage area.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great.

MR. HENDERSON: That's a change that wasn't included in the previous computation.

I would just add it's the same scenario that we saw before, except the address would be off of 26 -- would be off of I'on. The ordinance requires any new construction face the ocean.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

30

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application? Public comment section closed.

Nothing more to add, Joe; right?

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark, you want to start.

MR. HOWARD: The home, I have no problem with it at all. Again, we're back to the pool, front of the house, rear of the house. Again, where is the hardship? What's the neighbors?

We're building a whole new home. We can back this up, move it, and redesign it. I'm not sure that I see the hardship of getting this pool located in the rear yard.

MS. LURKIN: I think to Beau Clowney's office point beforehand, programmatically it does put a lot of emphasis on everything happening on the other side. And I think if you go along this street, many homes are setback farther from what would be the front of the home and have, you know, nice landscaping and nice yards. They're more garden-like to accept the breezes from the ocean.

I feel programmatically, you know, looking at this, if we were to say -- even just flipping this, or trying to add a pool into that garage

2.2

backyard area, it programmatically becomes more difficult to do.

And I think that along this street, if you look at some of those photographs, directly across the street from them are several -- it's almost an alleyway of sorts where it's just several garages down the street. It's the rear of people's homes that have no fencing, no landscaping. So I don't feel it would be a hardship for anyone around them to have the pool in what would be the front yard or rear yard.

But I think that what we tried to do is set it back enough from the street that can be landscaped, and we can maintain this fence and these existing trees to maintain what would feel as the streetscape.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: How will it be, looking at the lot and where the current house is, how will it be situated in relation to the neighbors? Will it scoot back?

MS. LURKIN: It'll be slightly -- mostly the main portion is not quite -- it's a little bit -- it's centered but a little bit closer to I'on. So it wouldn't be much farther back than the house to the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 right. So it would still be somewhat in line but 2 pushed slightly back.

MS. WEBB: For lifestyle, you know, if it was my home, I would much prefer my pool there.

MS. LURKIN: It's not on this photograph, but the two houses down that's on the corner, that home is actually almost on the corner of Middle Street. It's set completely back.

MS. WEBB: Sounds like it's the right thing to do. That area is just, you know, there's not real definition with fronts and driveways. It's a little eclectic.

MS. LURKIN: Very eclectic. It's very open as well. I think north and south of that four or five block area is much more dense, either with landscaping or the way the houses are situated. And that field is almost clear cut.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: I think it's a great design.

I have no -- I think it's beautiful. I guess my
question is: We're having a lot of these issues
where we're changing orientation of homes. Is it
okay for every other house to have different
orientation? I mean, you know what I'm saying? I'm
not really sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

33

MR. CRAVER: Yes.

MR. HENDERSON: It's up to the board to deem. The way to couch this is for the board to deem specifically that changing the orientation achieves greater neighborhood compatibility.

MS. SANDERS: If there's no neighborhood compatibility anyway then how do you -- know what I'm saying?

MR. HENDERSON: That's the only way you can give it.

MS. SANDERS: That's what I'm saying. I don't want to say tear downs, but tear downs. A lot of things are all different. Whole island is different. I don't know how you can define.

MR. CRAVER: On that block we have one house is not a tear down.

MS. LURKIN: Right, there's only one house of recent new construction. I think a good way to look at it for us, this is going to substantially improve the street and, hopefully, try to make some organization, rhyme or reason to it. The fact that we are addressing both streets, and the fact that both could look like a front facade, that help represents the compatibility.

MS. SANDERS: I think it looks okay as

2.2

long as you, the fire chief and everybody are okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.

MR. CRAVER: You know, I don't have a problem with it. It's going to be a new house. The house looks like it's covering a lot of the lot.

The house next door, the Beaver Galls house is a one story house. This one is going to fit in with that. I don't know anybody on the block who would gripe about it.

I mean, I'm right down there. Probably work fine. It would be nice to have a new house.

Don't have a problem with it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I agree. I'm for it.

I'm not sure, again, where this ordinance came from,
where you need to hide the pool and everything else.

I go up and down that street pretty regular and all.

There's a couple, two blocks down, there's a pool in
front of Station 25 and 26 on I'on. I sort of like
seeing it.

The other aspect of it is I'd rather look at people hanging around the pool, if I'm walking up and down the street than I would rather look at a couple bushes or trees or something, you know. You got humanity there. Got people there. I think that's much more pleasant to deal with as a community

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue and bringing people together, feeling like, you
know, we are somewhat part of Sullivan's Island and
everything else.

You may or may not get to interact as well they may or may not get to interact with you. As far as looking at them, just people. It's a people-oriented place, pool is. So that's a good thing in my opinion; that's where anything that brings people together and all. So I see it as not an issue. I'm on board also.

Do we hear a motion?

MR. CRAVER: I make a motion we approve the application as submitted based on an orientation of the lot, of the house with the back of the house being on the I'on Avenue side.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Second?

MR. HOWARD: Are we preliminary?

MR. CRAVER: Final approval.

MS. SANDERS: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion.

MR. HOWARD: Again, I guess my leanings, again, the ordinance exists. Brand new house. I'm not sure I can support willy-nilly deciding.

If all the other neighbors are tear downs, they're going to want the same relief. They're going

36 to build something brand new, too. 1 2 The ordinance exists. There's not a hardship because it's all brand new and can be 3 designed. Otherwise I hate to say that because the 4 5 issue we're dealing with is a pool and the design is great. That's my feeling. 6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. All right. Do we have a motion on the 8 9 floor? 10 MR. CRAVER: Yes. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we have a second? 12 MS. SANDERS: Second. THE CHAIRPERSON: We did a discussion. 13 14 Everybody in favor? 15 (Mr. Ilderton, Ms. Webb, Ms. Sanders and 16 Mr. Craver raised their hands). 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Anybody opposed? 18 MR. HOWARD: (Raised hand). 19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, sir. 20 MR. CRAVER: Let me ask you a question. 21 Is there a requirement that there be a hardship, or 22 is it a matter of us making a decision we believe the 23 orientation is fine? 24 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. Your

25

In Re:

required finding of the DRB is that the

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

re-orientation away from the front yard, away from
the beach achieves greater neighborhood
compatibility.

MR. CRAVER: We don't need a hardship?

MR. HENDERSON: No.

MR. HOWARD: I don't want you to feel.

I'm just saying by hardship, you know --

MR. CRAVER: Hardship is a standard that's used in zoning, in different zoning contexts. That isn't one that's applicable here.

MS. SANDERS: That's 'cause the ordinance is a hardship.

MR. CRAVER: With a new house, I don't think there is a hardship. The orientation they're asking for is fine. I'm in favor of it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: 2320 I'on. New construction.

MR. HENDERSON: I think Randy wanted to add something, Pat.

(Mr. Herlong entered the room.)

MR. ROBINSON: Can I just say one thing before we get out of this orientation thing. You know, I don't have a problem with y'all doing your jobs and saying front or rear or whatever. There is an unintended kind of consequence here for staff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

38

When people walk in the door and we have a ordinance that says this is your front yard. And we tell people, similar to this guy, that he can't have a pool in his front yard, which he wanted. And he goes and he builds this house. And he puts a pool in the backyard.

Now y'all give the guy two doors down a pool in his front yard. We have to explain that. So if y'all would maybe draft a letter to town council and say: This pool ordinance really needs to be looked at. Because it really puts us in a bad light with the residents of Sullivan's Island. It exacerbates a problem that we're having now: Why did you allow that guy to do it and you're not allowing me to do it?

Anyway I just want to throw that out.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

MS. SANDERS: This one in particular is going to have the pool not on the -- in the same side of the house as the other one.

MR. HENDERSON: This guy was required.

MS. SANDERS: In the other instance on Pettigrew, it was on the same.

MR. ROBINSON: No, Ms. Robinson actually came to us and wanted to put a pergola in her front

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1	yard.	I told her, no, that was the front of the
2	house,	you couldn't have a pergola in the front yard.

MS. SANDERS: There needs to be some kind of something.

MR. ROBINSON: I don't have a problem. I didn't have a problem with it. They come to us and ask for advice. We give them the best advice we can give them. If y'all feel -- y'all have six members up there. If y'all feel that, as six residents of Sullivan's Island, this ordinance needs work --

MS. SANDERS: Well, yeah.

MR. ROBINSON: My suggestion is that something needs to go to council. It was brought up a while back, and it just kind of end up dropped.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Worked like illuminade.

MS. SANDERS: I want to work on that right after taxes.

MR. ROBINSON: That's fine. That's fine. That's the point of having Design Review. You all have the ability to approach council and suggest modifications with regard to design.

MR. HOWARD: I guess I have this theory, going down the street, this is front yard, that's backyard. Most towns have some uniformity as far as front yard, backyard. Isn't that what we are trying

1 | to establish?

MS. SANDERS: There's nothing uniform on this island. A lot of towns don't have street-to-street lots.

5 MR. HERLONG: Street-to-street lots that 6 we have are extremely unusual.

MR. HENDERSON: It's very unique.

8 | Absolutely is.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HERLONG: That's creating confusion.

It actually, I think as Pat says, give us a chance to have a lot of varied architecture and different conditions as you go down the streets.

MS. SANDERS: The ordinance is a hardship.

MR. HOWARD: When you think about it in those terms, is it working? One where we had existing, here we have brand new house. I think at least it became more questionable for me. Where you have an existing neighborhood, then you know what to feed off of. Here we have a brand new house, everything around it supposedly a tear down, which means they're all going to be brand new houses. It's time to come in conveyance with the existing ordinance in my mind.

MR. CRAVER: I guess my feeling, Randy, in the future, if somebody comes in, they say I'd like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

my swimming pool on this side of the house instead of that, looking at it, you say, well, you got to have it in the back of the house and it looks like you're putting it in the front, if you want to try to do it the other way around, you can apply for relief from the Design Review Board.

MS. SANDERS: Then we will be here for three hours every night.

MR. ROBINSON: That's what we're doing.

MR. CRAVER: I don't have a problem with that because we can get it changed by council. This goes to council. Council probably would do something to stop us from having this flexibility.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You're probably right there.

MR. CRAVER: I know I'm right.

MR. ROBINSON: They do want staff to make decisions. It makes it really hard to make decisions and help people when we have kind of two sides of the coin.

MR. CRAVER: I got you.

MR. ROBINSON: We want to help y'all out and try to make those decisions and tell people what to do so y'all don't have a big workload.

MR. CRAVER: I don't mind being here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

42

MS. SANDERS: Why don't we have a discussion about this some other time.

## 2320 I'ON AVENUE

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. 2320.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir, this is a historic special exception request at 2320 I'on Avenue. Heather Wilson is the applicant. She's requesting preliminary review and approval.

This was a previously issued historic special exception. It's a traditional island resource, listed under historic survey card 149.

This originally came to the Board of Zoning Appeal on November 12, 2009. They gave the approval. Then it came to the DRB in 2009, and you issued conceptual approval of a new home to be constructed.

That new home was 2,913 square feet in size. And the presentation tonight is just slightly larger than that. The property owners have held off and redesigned their new home. It's largely the same project.

Just a little bit about the intent or your role tonight; that's to find that the height scale mass and placement of the second structure on this lot is appropriate and compatible with the lot and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the character of the historic structure and surrounding neighborhood. That's what you're reviewing this design for. And I'll defer to you, if there are any questions.

MR. HOWARD: They got a variance from zoning on what?

MR. ROBINSON: They didn't receive a variance. They received a special exception for preserving the little historic cottage on the front. That structure has to be 1,200 square feet or less.

In exchange for preserving that little cottage, they get to build a second dwelling, a larger home on that lot. That's part of the special exception incentive, I guess, for historic preservation.

THE CHAIRPERSON: One of the first things that came before us, Mayor Lipman's old house.

One of the first things that came before this board.

MR. HOWARD: They don't have to do that for every time? Isn't that a standard variance?

MR. HENDERSON: No, they have to issue --

MR. HOWARD: For each house, okay.

MR. ROBINSON: They have to make specific findings in order to grant a special exception.

Another special exception is a coffee shop for

AWR

2.2

commercial district. There's certain uses that can be afforded the special exception through the Board of Zoning Appeals.

THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, yes, ma'am.

MS. WILSON: I'm Heather Wilson. I'm here on behalf of the owners of the property. As just said, the configuration of the site plan is largely the same. The owners would like to face the ocean and pull off of middle as much as they can so they're facing I'on.

And honestly the depth back is off of I'on. It's been set by the VE zone. They're trying to stay out of the VE zone. The flood plan goes right through their property.

It does work out nicely in that it gives the cottage a good bit of breathing room. They have their own autonomy in terms of their massing. You can see that on the site plan.

This is the existing cottage that they've restored, and then this is the house. It's an L-shaped house running along Station Street. It was basically very similar to this. It was quite a bit wider originally. I should've brought the preapproved plans.

We just want to make it a little bit

2.2

smaller. Even though it has to be raised higher than the existing cottage to get the proportions to relate.

This is the side view. So if you're standing on the left-hand property side, this is the back of the little cottage. And this is the side of the proposed massing.

The plans I submitted -- actually, originally we looked at a hip on the front and have gone to gable. We're currently the same except for gable on that front piece. Again, the side's got a wrap-around porch that ends in a Sullivan's Island pavilion sitting room on the backside of the property.

And this is looking from the corner, so that's the side of the existing cottage. And this is the side here. These are two bedrooms on the first floor, the wraparound porch. Living is across the front. This is sort of a connection piece. They did need an elevator to get down to parking, and a small porch. And sort of mud room entry off the side on that one story porch roof.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Any public comment to this application?

Public comment section is closed. Joe.

1	46 MR. HENDERSON: Heather, you mentioned
2	that there might be several modifications to the roof
3	line. Is that all?
4	MS. WILSON: In their packet, it was all
5	hip in the front. We went to a gable with a shed on
6	the back with one story sleeping area and gable on
7	the front. Those are the two changes. Changing of
8	two hips to two gables.
9	MR. HENDERSON: This is the final design
10	after those changes were made?
11	MS. WILSON: Yes.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. I'll
13	go first on this. I think it's fine. Looks good.
14	I'm for it. Steve.
15	MR. HERLONG: I agree. I like the change
16	to the gables over the hips.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark.
18	MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I'm fine. I just
19	got that all seems conceptual. You actually have
20	the windows and stuff here.
21	MS. WILSON: That sketch-up is really just
22	to show the massing and how it relates.
23	MR. HOWARD: That's why I'm a little
24	behind. I'm looking at that and all I saw was
25	MS. WILSON: Walls.

1	47 MR. HOWARD: Conceptual. The height is
2	what I guess it has to be in order to be two-story;
3	right?
4	MS. WILSON: It is, yes. They have
5	nine-and-a-half first floor and eight-and-a-half on
6	second floor in order to get that 38, with that 45
7	degree.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.
9	MS. WEBB: I'm fine with it.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
11	MS. SANDERS: Me as well.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
13	MR. CRAVER: House is fine.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Motions.
15	MR. CRAVER: Move for final approval as
16	submitted.
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Second?
18	MR. HERLONG: I second.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor?
20	(Ayes stated by board members present.)
21	2614 MYRTLE AVENUE
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: 2614 Myrtle.
23	MR. HENDERSON: 2614 Myrtle Avenue. This
24	is a traditional island resource. Heather is also
25	the applicant here. They're requesting conceptual

2.2

review and approval for two additions, two heated square footage additions. And also a demolition of a non-historic garage structure and several additions that were put on at around the 1980s, 1990s from what we think. In their place will be these additions off the backside of this structure.

There aren't any increases to the ordinance standards requested but is a historic structure and requires a certificate of appropriateness.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, ma'am.

MS. WILSON: This is the picture, the color is the picture of what's existing. And the front is actually a really nice cottage. It's been added on into in a series of sheds on the back, which you can see here, kind of hodgepodge of hedges along the back that make it sort of difficult.

Also, you can see the lowest piece on the right, right where his cursor is, it's right there.

You walk down about five steps into the bathroom.

The bathroom is below flood. It's the laundry room and all of that.

And there's no way to work within that roof line. It's not historic anyway, so we're proposing removing some of those sheds. Not this

piece here. They didn't want to do a full overhaul.

They're going to keep this, and she'd like to do a
suite for her parents.

So along the back, if we're looking at that elevation up there, we would be changing it to this. That's those two lower roofs that you see in the middle. And we're adding a dining room pavilion off to the side and a hip. Slightly longer pavilion piece for her parents as a bedroom there.

In doing so, we get rid of that lower piece and accommodate a bathroom back there that's out of the flood zone.

The pool is existing. There's a humongous stepping deck that goes all across and steps down the back that we're removing. We're going to contain it between these two additions, straight across; just one layer. Sort of clean up the site plan as well.

The other addition that Joe referenced, this is that dining pavilion that's here at the rear. You can see it on the right-hand side. You come off with a shed roof here. There's no master bathroom or closets. There's a tiny hyphen that exists right there.

We would basically be extending that out, coming off that back corner, trying to give as much

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you.

Billy?

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. CRAVER: I'm fine with it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: Me to.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.



1	MS. WEBB: I'm fine with it.
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark.
3	MR. HOWARD: How old is this house?
4	MS. WILSON: The original house is
5	MR. HENDERSON: 1910. It has some
6	designation that's historic anything.
7	MR. HENDERSON: Yes, it's Sullivan's
8	Island landmark.
9	MR. HOWARD: This chimney on the right,
10	you're enclosing the existing?
11	MS. WILSON: The chimney, yeah, it would
12	be. Yes.
13	MR. HOWARD: You're enclosing
14	MS. WILSON: That's not part of historic.
15	It is not original fireplace inside. It's not
16	original masonry.
17	MR. HOWARD: It's not functional. You're
18	just enclosing it?
19	MS. WILSON: Yes.
20	MR. HOWARD: On the left, I couldn't make
21	heads or tails. Is it existing garage? It's going
22	to have rental unit?
23	MS. WILSON: No.
24	MR. HOWARD: Is it going to stay there?
25	MS. WILSON: No.
	i l

	Maich 16, 2015
1	MR. HOWARD: It's coming down?
2	MS. WILSON: It's coming down.
3	MR. HOWARD: The whole thing?
4	MS. WILSON: Yes.
5	MR. HOWARD: I couldn't make sense of it.
6	MS. WILSON: Yeah, what they originally
7	wanted to do is basically slice it at the ridge and
8	keep half of it shedding under the house to use as
9	golf part storage. It's gone.
10	MR. HOWARD: I'm fine.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Steve.
12	MR. HERLONG: I think it's a nice job. I
13	like it.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, I like it, too.
15	It's keeping it together. It's going to look like a
16	good thing. So do I hear a motion?
17	MR. CRAVER: Move we give final approval
18	as submitted.
19	MS. SANDERS: Second.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion?
21	MR. CRAVER: Keeping up a lot of angles.
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Everybody in favor?
23	(Ayes stated by board members present.)
24	2702 JASPER BOULEVARD
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: 2702 Jasper.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. This is another 1 2 historic structure. Heather is also presenting this. She is requesting conceptual review and approval. 3 This is to enclose the left portion of the front 4 This is a Sullivan's Island landmark. 5 There's some historic data on this, describing that 6 7 originally it had two open air pavilions on the front porch. Sometime prior to 1985, the right side was 8 9 enclosed. So the property owners are now requesting 10 to enclose the left side. 11 And they're also doing an internal 12 renovation. No increases are requested to do this 13 There's no expansion of the footprint of the 14 house. They are increasing the heated square 15 footage, but no requests are needed, just C of A. 16 THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Yes, 17 Excuse me, excuse me. Sorry. 18 MS. WILSON: As a young family moving out

here, they have three kids. They need another room and don't want to add on and increase the size of the house. It is an unusual original plan in that it has these four very small porches. They're just under 12 by 12 on each of the four corners of the house.

This is looking from Jasper, and this is the rear porch that is staying open. And this is the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.2

1 one that faces the street.

In the plans originally, I mirrored this so that this one, which Joe then told me had originally been a porch; it has two of the older windows in the front, and I mirrored that.

In talking to Joe and out of respect, thinking about how best to honor the history of the house, looking at detailing at where the rails stay, we do casement windows enclosed to make it more continue to read as a porch. This is the front piece facing Jasper.

This is an actual picture of what is there now, and what we would be doing. We know that we are enclosing a porch, which is never a popular thing here, but it is facing Jasper. It's relatively close to Jasper.

They have a very large backyard. The reality is they're going to be spending all of their time, outside time in the back. And they just do need another room enclosed. They would be coming on to the porch and going to that room.

I think it still will maintain a very much a porch character, but it would be -- that would be the sacrifice to keep the house, the overall massing of the house the same size.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

55

MR. HOWARD: Can I ask a question?

MS. WILSON: Sure.

MR. HOWARD: Are you doing the three windows where it's all glass or two windows as on this drawing?

MS. WILSON: That is what we submitted.

After I submitted that, talking to Joe and history of the house, I just came up with this as an option to show the board to see if there's something you would prefer to see as a way to really keep more of the porch character to it. The owners are open to both.

MR. HOWARD: Okay, so this is our decision.

MS. WILSON: The owners are here. I just wanted to offer this a suggestion.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Thank you. Is there any public comment to this application?

MS. O'LEARY: I don't know if there's anything that I can say to help. We love the old character of the house. That's something that my husband and I, you know -- I essentially grew up on Sullivan's in the summers and everything. Being out here is going to be wonderful, and just having a little bit more space so that he can work and we can just be in that little -- it's beautiful like it is.

Having a little more space so that he has a work
space is going to make a big difference for us.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Joe, anything to

4 add?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HENDERSON: No, sir.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Steve.

MR. HERLONG: I think that's a great solution right there. I really don't think it's a problem enclosing that section of the porch as the other side had been closed. I lived in that house. First house I lived on the island. That's very noisy up there on the street. I lived there for a year and probably never stepped foot on that porch. So noisy with all the traffic. Much better, and you still have porch. It's still an entryway.

MS. O'LEARY: I think it's about 20 feet of porch left even when it's enclosed.

MR. HERLONG: I think it's a great solution.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Mark.

MR. HOWARD: Let me ask you about this.

If we are doing that -- what I like about here, if you're allowing this, what I like about it here, there's some uniformity. If you change the windows on the left, should you not change the windows on the

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HERLONG: I think it's more interesting being less uniform, quite honestly. That corner faces seabreeze right there and that's where I think the windows should go. That's the main front corner of the house right there. I don't think it needs to read as a symmetrical facade. That would work, but I think that's better.

MR. HOWARD: I don't know if I just stepped into your speaking time.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Y'all go at it.

Anything else?

MR. HOWARD: My heart wants to say something to you, which is the historic nature, the historic nature of the structure and Sullivan's Island does denote front porches.

MS. O'LEARY: Yeah, I understand.

MR. HOWARD: I would love to actually -- whether you utilize it or not, I can't speak to that. I don't have a personal opinion. I realize Jasper is a busy road.

I guess what I would prefer would be to have the porch taken back to the original and for you to expand into that large wonderful backyard you have, which I know is wooded and very nice. It would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

58

keep the character of the house and build a little more to the side and rear or out in the rear and give you the space that you wanted.

I don't know that -- you might not be happier. I think the historic nature of the house would be better preserved. Those are my first reactions.

MS. O'LEARY: Do you want me to respond to that?

MR. HOWARD: Excuse me?

MS. O'LEARY: Do you want me to say

anything back?

MR. HOWARD: Sure.

MS. O'LEARY: I mean, it does make sense to expand to the back part of the house, but I also feel enclosing and putting an office on the front part of the porch, we'll actually use the front of the house more because that will be my husband's work space. He will have the door open. He will be coming and going from that space.

Whereas, if we leave it all open, we are still going to live on the back porch no matter what, you know. It's just going to be the nature of how it is. So I actually think we'll probably utilize the front of the house more.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

59

I understand your point of having 24 over one window there, too, because there's actually a window that we can borrow from the side of the house that we can actually restore the 24 over windows to the front of the house, which is what we looked at. Then you take the vinyl siding off. You bring it all back to wood. I mean, these are all things that we want to do because we want to restore it as best as possible.

At this point, opening up the other side doesn't make sense for us. That's not something that we wouldn't consider down the road.

MR. HOWARD: I understand. At least you understand what I'm saying about --

MS. O'LEARY: Yeah, I haven't seen a picture of the other side open. This shows one side open.

MR. HOWARD: It was done sometime in the 1980s.

MR. HENDERSON: Right.

MS. O'LEARY: No, no, there's pictures from like in the Arcadia Publishing book from like a long time ago I think when the Pritchards had the house.

MR. HENDERSON: Really. It was enclosed

then?

Ms. O'LEARY: When one was open and one was closed. I think it's been closed for a long time. I can check with Mr. Pritchard. His family used to live in the house.

MR. HENDERSON: These surveys were done by consultants that came in and did evaluations of the house. They pulled historic data.

MS. O'LEARY: We've been looking to try to find pictures.

MR. HENDERSON: It could've been an earlier enclosure. It was built in 1900. It could've been enclosed in the '20s. That would give historical significance to the enclosure, I suppose.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I don't have any issue with either interpretation of the enclosure. Bringing that other window up to match and having it fully enclosed. I paint and I really like Heather's interpretation of the glass windows. That would be the perfect studio, but I do not have an issue with the other direction either.

You're getting rid of the screen on that front. I think that overall, either way, I think it would be a nice upgrade to the cottage and give you

1	guys a little more space, make it a little more
2	different.
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Rhonda.
4	MS. SANDERS: I like them both. If it
5	were me, I probably prefer the first just because of
6	the noise on Jasper. I mean, I think y'all got to do
7	what you want to do as long as you're keeping the
8	integrity of the historic. Sounds like you are.
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Billy.
10	MR. CRAVER: I'm good with closing in the
11	office.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm good with it also.
13	Let's hear our motion.
14	MR. CRAVER: I move we approve as
15	submitted, enclosing the office.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Great. Second?
17	MS. SANDERS: Second.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Discussion? Everybody
19	in favor?
20	(Ayes stated by board members present.)
21	2214 MIDDLE STREET
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: The next one I have to
23	turn this meeting over to Billy because I've been
24	told that you and I have to recuse ourselves. Is
25	that correct? We should recuse ourselves. I should

1	recuse myself, and Steve is going to recuse himself;
2	is that correct?
3	MR. HENDERSON: We recently received a
4	determination from the South Carolina Ethics
5	Commission regarding property owners of the
6	commercial district not being a large class exemption
7	from hearing matters involving the commercial
8	district. These are two separate boards; actually,
9	the planning commission and also town council. A
10	member of from each one of those bodies was told and
11	issued a formal written correspondence regarding
12	that.
13	Staff cannot tell any individual board
14	member specifically to recuse.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Right, it would be a
16	good idea.
17	MR. HENDERSON: We can only suggest to err
18	on the side of caution. South Carolina Ethics
19	Commission.
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: So I'm recusing myself.
21	MS. SANDERS: Accusing?
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is Steve recusing
23	himself? Is that correct?
24	MR. CRAVER: What's your connection with
25	this?

I have no idea, but I've 1 THE CHAIRPERSON: 2 been told it's a good idea. I'm a commercial property owner. I might vote for or against this to 3 either benefit or try to screw the other guy, I 4 5 think. MR. HENDERSON: In a nutshell, yeah, 6 7 that's what it is. You can't leave, though. 8 MS. SANDERS: THE CHAIRPERSON: 9 Steve and I are going to 10 go out and gossip outside. 11 (Mr. Ilderton and Mr. Herlong exited the 12 room.) 13 MR. HENDERSON: This is a historic 14 structure located at 2214 Middle Street. Herlong & 15 Associates, the applicants, are requesting conceptual approval to place a front facade addition and a rear 16 facade addition onto a traditional island resource. 17 18 The front elevations of -- the proposed 19 front elevations will project 18 feet, two inches 20 towards the front property line, meeting the required front setbacks. 21 2.2 The rear addition will be located on the

east elevation, projecting 13 feet six inches towards the east property line.

After doing a review for the standards,

23

24

25

2.2

the buildable standards for the commercial district, Section 2153-C1, town staff has found they meet those minimum standards regarding maximum structure size.

I sent out a staff report to you all in reference principal building square footage and principal building coverage. After studying this ordinance a little bit more with the applicants, we deem there's a section of the commercial district ordinance that takes the place of those two that determine maximum structure size.

And so after going through this relatively complex calculation, we found that they fall within that standard. Because this is in the commercial district, they're here presenting this project to you for approval.

Also, it's a historic structure, so it requires DRB approval.

I do have one other item to mention regarding the side setback, but I'll handle that after the applicant's presentation.

MR. SELVITELLI: James Selvitelli with Herlong & Associates, and presenting our project for 2214 Middle Street.

We did, as Joe just mentioned, go through the calculations. I think we met with Joe and Randy

2.2

the other day and talked about the different zoning ordinances. And some of the items that were looked at on that sheet and is typically filled out is really from the single family residential side.

That's article three, whereas this should fall CCOD overlay district into the community commercial side, which is article four. It has a little different standard.

Joe asked me to update our site plan, which is a slight change to the front elevation. We have a table on it. I'll hand you a larger scale since it's pretty minuscule. This is a table laying out the comments or the sections of the ordinance under article four of CCOD, Community Commercial District. It has a few different stipulations. And, again, as Joe said, we need all of what's laid out in article four.

I'll go a little bit through the design.

This is the existing structure. This will be Middle

Street here. I should turn it. My drawing is

probably not the same way you're used to seeing it.

This is from Middle Street.

The porch has been enclosed for years.

We've been using it as office space, as long as we've been there. This is also office space in the

2.2

background. The two areas we're developing are here in gray, towards the street. We are going to develop another conference room. And in the back we have more office space for more of the staff.

We chose -- we're a little bit limited by -- this is where the curb cut is in our parking area, getting around back. So the places to develop were either in the front of the lot where we could get to within a five-foot setback. Held back a little bit from that.

This is sort of the most characteristic portion of the building. The porch had been closed in, has some nice windows on it and has those nice craftsman style columns. And we brought the conference room out, sort of mimicking the gable that you can see in that photo now. Basically, pulling that gable forward and using a similar window to it. Facing the street you'll have a similar view and that gable will just extend out.

From the side, this is our neighbor Poe's right there. You'll see the side of this, to do a similar window which will help break down the scale of the elevations so you don't just get this long side of the building.

On the backside, coming around the parking



2.2

lot, more of the office side of the building, and we're developing two new office spaces in here. We wanted to get them, you know, as close as we could to the property line.

In looking at the setbacks, in the stipulation it says: Setback needs to be zero to five feet. So we're holding back one foot from the property line in order to get two decent size offices. If we held back much further, we really wouldn't be able to get as much space and still use the parking lot the way we are now. We're trying to keep the parking the same exact way we have it now.

As you walk around the building, I don't know if you have the elevations. I think I showed that elevation. These are the existing elevations of the building. That's the front from Middle Street -- sorry, that's the side. Lower one is the front for Middle Street, facing south.

Here it is, the darker -- if you're looking at these drawings, darker windows are newer construction pieces. That's one way to kind of make sense of these drawings.

Same gable piece, instead of being a little bit behind the porch is now coming forward of the porch.

2.2

On the side, we're adding on here right now, there's an existing ramp, but we're adding coming forward this way. Put just another simple gable. Can't have fenestration on that side of the building because we're getting close enough to lot line that we need to meet fire codes and things. We can't have the windows there with that type separation.

That's about it. From the rear side, our back door facing our parking lot. Over here you're seeing the side of it where we're, again, just kind of, using again that view of the gables and couple different roof forms to keep it similar to the building and not do some massive new roof form.

That's it.

MR. CRAVER: Okay. I guess public comment. No public comment. Public comment section is closed.

Mark.

MR. HENDERSON: Could I add one more piece?

MR. CRAVER: Sure.

MR. HENDERSON: I'd like to refocus on the addition off of the rear side facade here. This is Middle Street out front. And the rear addition will

come off the side here. Am I correct in saying that?
MR. SELVITELLI: Yes.
MR. HENDERSON: Will come across. I guess
the property line runs right about here?
MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah, probably a little.
MR. HENDERSON: Closer?
MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah, good deal closer.
MR. HOWARD: More in line with the shaded,
isn't it?
MR. SELVITELLI: Four feet in front of the
porch that's there now. Four foot nine. This is the
side of the porch that you're seeing towards the back
of his photo. We're coming out four foot nine
inches.
MR. HENDERSON: So right here?
MR. SELVITELLI: Yes.
MR. HENDERSON: So the property line is
one foot off of that.
MR. SELVITELLI: Yes.
MR. HENDERSON: The section of the zoning
ordinance that Randy and I had a question about
hand this out; have you guys read this with me. It's
under C. It states: The minimum side yard setback
is buildable standards for commercial construction.

The required side yard setback shall be zero foot to

1 | five feet.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Number two: A zero foot side yard setback shall be permitted on one side if the principal building is developed as an attached building to an adjacent principal building located on an adjacent lot.

In that provision, it says if you're going to zero foot setback, you can only do that under the circumstance where you have an adjacent building to attach to.

MR. CRAVER: You're not zero. What's the setback?

MR. SELVITELLI: We're holding back a foot from that.

MR. HENDERSON: They're going to one foot, not to zero. But when reviewing or drafting this ordinance, I wasn't here, but the thought was that the intent --

MR. CRAVER: I was.

MR. HENDERSON: That's good. You were on the planning commission.

MR. CRAVER: I was the draftsman.

MR. HENDERSON: Let me ask you directly. Was the intent of that section of the ordinance to only allow a new construction to go to five feet, or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was it allowed to go much closer? Anywhere between zero and five.

MR. CRAVER: You know what? I don't recall, but I don't have to. I can just read what's here. You get it from the face of the ordinance regardless of what somebody said the intent was.

And the intent is -- and what it says, you can't have zero unless you have another building there. And we have one. From a legal standpoint, I think we are cool.

MR. HENDERSON: I just want to clarify that for the applicants. Thank you. That's all I have.

MS. SANDERS: I don't understand what you just said.

MR. CRAVER: What I just said, it says if you want a zero side foot setback, you have to have two buildings. We have one foot setback. It's not a zero. We're not even -- we're not dealing with zero issue.

MR. HOWARD: I think what Joe was talking about, since you have no buildings, should you not be that far back as five, kind of thing.

MR. CRAVER: It says: Zero to five. It says: Zero only if. And so, the other conclusion

- 1 is, if you have anything other than zero, you're okay 2 without the other building.
- MR. ROBINSON: I agree, Bill, that's the
  way it was written. I don't know about the intent.

  That's the way it was written so we have to go by how
- 5 That's the way it was written so we have to go by how 6 it was written.
  - MR. HENDERSON: If we deny the permit based upon our interpretation contrary to what the language states, I don't think a judge would rule in our favor.
- MS. SANDERS: Who wrote this thing?
- MR. HENDERSON: I mean, if it said, under number two, if it said if you would like -- if you
- MR. CRAVER: But it doesn't say that.

wish to go below five feet, then X, Y, Z.

- MR. HENDERSON: But it doesn't say that.
- 17 | That's all I have.

7

8

9

10

14

- MR. HOWARD: It really becomes a
  maintenance issue in the future. If there's another
  building there, you only have one foot. Anyway.
- MR. CRAVER: We don't have another building there.
- MR. HOWARD: I agree.
- MR. CRAVER: It's not an issue.
- MR. HOWARD: I agree.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

73

MS. SANDERS: Not yet.

MR. CRAVER: Any comments?

MS. SANDERS: You can't have a window

4 because of the fire because it's a foot off?

MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah, I think the way the code reads, you have to be further back, like five feet. They give different range of what larger openings can be, so we're trying to alleviate that. We haven't fully gone through a code search yet on that. We're trying to get through this first.

Our intent would then be -- we just heard this the other day when we sat down and met with Joe and Randy. Our thinking is, if we can get through the approvals for what meets the DRB side, then we'll work at a staff level to make sure we meet the building code or the fire code and do what we need to do there.

MS. SANDERS: I just think the addition takes away from the historic nature, if it has no windows, and it's a big old cement wall, but that's just my thought.

MR. SELVITELLI: That's why it's sort of tucked along as far back as we can.

MR. HENDERSON: James, are you going to duplicate this window on the front elevations of your

new addition?

2.2

MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah, it will look like that bay was pulled forward, and it will be on the side facing Poe's. As that piece of the building comes forward for the new conference room, we developed a bay. I think it's different in the site plan I just gave you than what was probably originally in the packet, to sort of help bring down that scale a little bit.

From the other side we have right now a pair of doors or windows facing further east.

MR. HENDERSON: Are the other windows, the historic windows on the structure going to be preserved?

MR. SELVITELLI: No, I mean, we would get a new window. It's kind of older. Historic windows come along the back.

MR. HENDERSON: So the new window will have the same design but it won't be the original. How about the other windows on the structure here all along?

MR. SELVITELLI: Keeping the pairs behind that. We're adding new windows at the addition and at the front of it. Then as you go down the side, those would stay the same.

1 MR. HENDERSON: Only new windows on the 2 new additions.

MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah.

MS. WEBB: I agree with Rhonda. Hopefully you can get through that and have, you know, windows. I agree that kind of is like ahh. At least you can't see it from the street and from the side.

And I haven't really looked behind there to see what would see that. I would just say try to get windows so that it looks like original part of the structure.

MS. SANDERS: Not like a commercial wall.

MR. SELVITELLI: We don't know what kind of other building might be developed alongside it. You look across the street, the buildings are three feet apart, and there's no windows at all or very little light at all. We're developing with what we know we can do right now to meet future requirements. There's a tree there now that screens it pretty well from the street.

The best view of the existing building is coming this direction right now. Screen what we have. That's really the focal point, and we'll keep that the most important part of the historic structure. We're using similar motifs of the

	76
1	architecture; changing the roof lines just at the new
2	additions, trying to work within that. I think we're
3	keeping as much as we possibly can.
4	MS. WEBB: How many feet does it come
5	off the
6	MR. SELVITELLI: Forward?
7	MS. WEBB: Yeah.
8	MR. SELVITELLI: Eleven foot seven from
9	the existing face forward.
10	MS. WEBB: From the fence, how far? How
11	much space do you have?
12	MR. SELVITELLI: It's probably about five
13	feet. The fence is setback a little bit off the
14	street, and then we're holding back another foot back
15	from the five-foot setback line, roughly, whereas
16	that's another thing.
17	We talked about what a lot of neighboring
18	buildings are doing. Here's the office. Our
19	building will be coming forward over here. You'll
20	still see this, which is really front door to the
21	office.
22	Right back here is Poe's. The porch comes
23	further forward than ours currently does,
24	considerably further. Then they're seating people

basically all the way out to the sidewalk.

2.2

So being commercial or being an office, we need to be interior. We can't have a porch out there all the way. We can't be sitting on the sidewalk.

We're already holding back. There's only so much we can develop with the lot. We wanted to hold this front yard as much as we could because this is where the clear view is if you're coming the opposite way down the street. I think we have a good shot of that.

The building is blocked more by Poe's as you're coming the other way.

MR. HENDERSON: That addition will come out just about to the leading edge of the sign here?

MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah, that's probably about right. Yes, that's our sign now.

MR. HOWARD: I walked down and measured it. It comes out to -- the post closest to the street on their sign is exactly how far the building comes out, which brings me to -- I have no problem with the addition in the back, don't want to discuss it, quite frankly. This could not be the worst night for me. I'm batting zero.

I want to implore the board members not to allow this addition to the front. This ruins the site line on this commercial district. It takes away

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

from the village type, whatever atmosphere. My vocabulary is going to fail me here.

You're building a wall against the left side of Poe's. You'll be sitting in Poe's, and you'll be blocked on one side, whereas as right now today you have a view up and down the entire commercial district. I couldn't be more adamantly against this.

I'd just like for the board members to consider what we're doing here. We're going to build a wall right out to almost the edge of the street, a couple feet away from the existing sign and obliterate that line of sight down the commercial district.

Like I say, I think it's best emphasized by kind of old residential village-type setting that we have there. That patch of lawn is almost precious within this area.

Like I said, adding to the back is fine.

I think addition to the front would be ruinous to the commercial district.

MR. SELVITELLI: Can I comment to that?

MR. CRAVER: Donna, are you finished?

MS. WEBB: I haven't walked it, measured

it. That's what my curiosity was, how much of the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

79

| scale, you know. 11 feet.

MR. HOWARD: It's 18 from the point --

MR. CRAVER: 17 from.

MR. HOWARD: 18-and-a-half from here is

5 | what this is saying.

MR. SELVITELLI: I just did the drawing today, looked at it again. We did scale back a little bit since the drawing you have, which had this champer corners. It is 17 foot one. I measured that on the computer today.

MR. HOWARD: I wouldn't say a foot would change my mind. I took that measurement. I took a tape measurer. I measured. That's how far I got out to here.

If you're sitting at Poe's, you can look to your left and you can look to your right. When this is done, you will not. The wind, the view, the whole line of sight changes. It's kind of an assault on the street that I don't think we need.

I think we need more openness in the commercial district than we do enclosure. That being said.

MR. CRAVER: Donna.

MS. WEBB: I'd like to hear from you guys

first.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SANDERS: We're not here to be popular. It's okay.

MR. HOWARD: I'm certainly not today.

MS. WEBB: Like I said, I hadn't driven by there with it, you know, the concept in my head of how wide and how far out that would extend. I mean, on paper, it looks like a nice -- it looks like it would be in keeping from the front with a craftsman style home but.

MR. HOWARD: Linearly, when you look at the drawing, you're not changing really anything of the front. When you go into 3-D mode, you're coming out towards the street. I mean, so it's a whole different perspective from just a drawing to what you're really doing.

When you turn this corner up at the Exxon station, you won't see down the street anymore.

You're going to be stopped by this addition.

MR. CRAVER: Rhonda.

MS. SANDERS: I think maybe, conceptually, it should be setback. I don't know. I agree on historic. I'm saying the back. Can it not be expanded in the back? I mean, do you have to have that many parking for office?

MR. SELVITELLI: We fill up the parking

now, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. SANDERS: I didn't ask if you fill it

up. I said: Do you have to have those spaces?

MR. HOWARD: Legal requirement.

MS. SANDERS: Legally? I understand that.

MR. SELVITELLI: I thought the requirement was that they were trying to make less people parking on the street. I thought it was helping the neighborhood for us to be parking as much as we can along the back.

I find it hard to talk about the streetscape when this is the opposite side of the street and what you see. And, you know, all of these buildings, especially the Home Team and all of them are essentially right up in front of the cars onto the sidewalk, and they're using all that space.

You're limiting us because you like our front lawn.

MR. HOWARD: I do like your front lawn.

MR. SELVITELLI: Everyone else is as far forward as they possibly can be.

MR. HOWARD: Those are porches. There's a line of sight that actually exists that you would be impeding.

MR. CRAVER: I think we all get that.

2.2

MS. SANDERS: I think it should be reconsidered. If there's a possibility to do it in the back because it is historic. It has a historic front.

MR. SELVITELLI: If you look through the numbers that we went through and tabulated, the intent when you good through article four, very beginning of the section of community commercial district is: To encourage a healthy economic environment for commercial and professional uses.

When you go through the numbers, if you look at the top of the sheet I gave you, you can have a maximum structure size of up to 4,500 square feet. You can have maximum impervious modification up to 4,525 square feet. We're asking to build out to 2,244 square feet and 2,370. We could be asking for double what we are asking right now.

The intent of the commercial district would say that you're allowed, by what they have allowed in their formulas, much more development of this site. In fact, most of the buildings across the street are much more developed. Poe's is developed fully to the street and filling up the backside of theirs.

We get that ours is historic. It also



says that it's encouraging commercial and retail and office space, and that's what we are. Everyone else along the street is restaurant or using cafe space out there. The idea is to encourage a little bit of well-done commercial use on the island, then we don't have lots of other options. We need to be able to get into our site and the right place for that conference room is in front.

I don't think it limits the sight lines from all sides of the street. In fact, Poe's limits the sight lines that are coming down the street in the opposite direction. I don't think it's as jarring as the rest. Lots of other things have been done including the whole opposite side of the street.

MS. SANDERS: And not historic.

MR. HENSHAW: When the Green building next to us gets developed, it can be a lot larger. It's not historic. It can a lot taller.

MS. SANDERS: Right.

MR. HENSHAW: It can be a lot more square footage. All we're really trying to do is bring that one story element, crawl space. It doesn't meet flood right now. Bring that one story element out towards the street, which I think fits the streetscape better. Not bringing it all the way to

the fence, just bring that towards the street. I
think it's going to work well.

MR. SELVITELLI: Still have palm trees and lawn in front. Whereas the other side of the street, again, you know, your sidewalk and heartscape right up to the side. We're going to keep -- there's good six feet, still have nice little white picket fence and some lawn and palm tree before you setback.

MS. SANDERS: Honestly, as historic as that is, you just kind of are losing the argument for me further. If the one next door is not historic, it can be any size, why not expand that then? If that means that is the only historic cottage left in the commercial district, correct? That's all the more reason not to add onto the front.

MR. CRAVER: The only reason they're here is because it's historic.

MR. HENDERSON: It's historic and also commercial zoning. Any commercial.

MR. CRAVER: I can't point to where it is in the ordinance, but I know that one of the things that we were trying to do, we were trying to encourage the commercial development to go all the way up to the sidewalk. That was one of the purposes.

1	Maiori 16, 2010
1	85 When you look at ZIP's building, it's all
2	the way up, that was one of the things that was to be
3	encouraged in the commercial district. That was part
4	of the planning commission's plan. The sidewalk is
5	out here so there's six feet here. I'm not sure
6	where the porch is for Poe's.
7	MR. SELVITELLI: Eight feet off the
8	street.
9	MR. CRAVER: It may be two feet further
10	back than this. This is coming up to about the same
11	level.
12	MS. SANDERS: If this is a historic
13	cottage of a home anywhere, we would not be allowing
14	this addition on the front of it.
15	MR. CRAVER: Well, maybe not.
16	MS. SANDERS: That's my point. Yes? Am I
17	wrong?
18	MR. CRAVER: You know, I probably would
19	be, but you know me.
20	MS. SANDERS: Yeah, you would let
21	anything. I don't know.
22	MR. CRAVER: I actually like the addition.
23	MS. SANDERS: I do, too. I like it as
24	well.
25	MR. CRAVER: Mark, we can agree to

disagree on this. I think it fits well within the historic district because -- within the commercial district. You could be coming in and saying let's add a second story, let's go up, let's do this, that or the other.

MS. SANDERS: I don't think you can do that to a historic cottage, though.

MR. HOWARD: Let me ask the board.

MR. SELVITELLI: We could double the height by the code.

MR. HOWARD: That we postpone this, and let the board members themselves and go out and picture this as a built-in extension. Measure it out to the 17 feet instead of the 18 that I measured, and see if you want that addition sticking out where they're proposing to put it. And does it change, in fact, the character of the commercial district? I don't think we can do that here behind this table.

I'm asking you to take the time to allow the individual members to go do that in person. Go out there, take a tape measurer, then picture the addition within the scope of its setting. I just don't think we can make that decision right here with this much concern.

MS. SANDERS: It has nothing to do with it



1 | being commercial district to me.

MS. WEBB: I think that it kind of does.

MR. CRAVER: It has a lot to do with

4 | commercial district.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

21

2.2

24

MS. WEBB: That section is never going to be a residential section. The biggest thing will -- I know that they'll do a nice job in keeping it as, you know, in character with the building and with the cottages.

MR. CRAVER: It's highly desirable use.

MS. SANDERS: And might be kind of nice

12 | not to see Poe's.

MS. WEBB: Highly desirable use.

14 MR. CRAVER: In the commercial district, I

truly am -- I just don't get not letting them do

that. Again, Mark, we just agree to disagree.

MR. HOWARD: You've eaten in Poe's.

18 MR. CRAVER: I sit on the porch of Poe's

19 once a week. I know exactly what --

MR. HOWARD: You look to the left, you

look to the right. You just eliminated your left

view.

MR. CRAVER: I don't think I have, not to

the extent that you're saying because I think that

25 | building is going to be about two feet further

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

88

forward than the porch. I sit on the railing of the porch. The view that I'm looking at is on the street and people on the street.

You know, I'm not eliminating a view to the left that has anything to do with me sitting on the porch at Poe's or anything to do with the view I'm looking for. I truly view it -- 6:15 on Sunday night, if you want to find my wife and me, we'll be sitting on the porch at Poe's, looking up and down the street. I'm not bothered by it at all.

MR. HOWARD: Again, I would just request the board members to do that in person. Go take a 17-foot measure, sit on the porch at Poe's, see if it affects you. It's a big decision. You're changing --

MS. SANDERS: With a quorum, you need for straight yeses; is that correct?

MR. CRAVER: We need three of four to vote in favor of it or it dies 'cause it's not approved.

First we would need a motion to approve or disapprove. And a second. Then we can have discussion. Then we can have a vote.

Is there a motion to approve it or disapprove?

MR. HOWARD: I motion we disapprove and

2.2

set it aside so the board can review. Can I do that.

MR. HENDERSON: Or if you prefer, tabling an item, an agenda item for additional information.

MR. CRAVER: Make a motion to defer it.

MR. HENDERSON: Is there anything that you think would benefit the board's discussion for the next meeting? I know that sometimes architectural, three-dimensional architectural renderings can be developed where you don't have that 2-D straight on. You can have it at the angle so that you can see the projection of the addition on the front.

Do you think that would be easy enough?

MR. SELVITELLI: It takes some work, we can certainly do it, yeah. We thought of that when we were doing it. This is sort where we came to.

We had a number of different schemes when we first started the project about adding on something large, higher, more towards the back, or going up. This seems the most to do, two smaller additions, low roof line on it. They're the same roof line as the existing gable. We thought that was sort of doing the least to detract from what was already there.

MR. HENDERSON: I'm just trying to think of a way --

	In Re:
1	90 MS. SANDERS: Maybe bring some prettier
2	pictures. I don't know. I'm having a hard time
3	visualizing it not looking real brand new. I don't
4	know.
5	MR. CRAVER: Mark, do you want to make a
6	motion?
7	MR. HOWARD: I would make the motion to
8	defer. I don't know that it would pass. I'll make a
9	motion to defer and study it.
10	MR. CRAVER: Is there a second to his
11	motion?
12	MS. WEBB: Can I just say
13	MR. CRAVER: Let's see if there is a
14	second. Then that will die. See if there's another
15	motion.
16	MR. CRAVER: No second. I guess that one
17	dies. Is there another motion?
18	MS. SANDERS: I don't think it's ready for
19	final.
20	MR. CRAVER: I'm the chair. I'm not
21	supposed to make a motion, I don't think.
22	MS. WEBB: I'm not sure how to word the
23	motion. I feel like I need a little more detail
24	like, you know, if we keep that front window and like

25

relocating that window. I feel like historic details

- 1 | were a little more solid.
- MR. HOWARD: You could do a conceptual,
- 3 and make it come back.
- 4 MR. CRAVER: Make a motion. Give some
- 5 | instruction.
- 6 MR. HOWARD: I would actually second that,
- 7 | if you want to do that.
- 8 MS. WEBB: You know, maybe clarification
- 9 on the back wall, the rear of the building.
- 10 MR. CRAVER: Mark, you want to make a
- 11 | motion for conceptual approval?
- 12 MR. HOWARD: I would make a motion for
- 13 | conceptual approval.
- MR. CRAVER: Is there a second?
- MS. SANDERS: Second that.
- 16 | MR. CRAVER: Any discussion?
- 17 | MR. HOWARD: I would implore all present
- 18 | and non-present members to visually take a tape
- 19 measurer, sit at Poe's, sit on the other side and
- 20 | view this as the wall.
- 21 MS. SANDERS: I have no desire to go
- 22 there.
- MR. HOWARD: Excuse me?
- 24 MS. SANDERS: I have no desire to go
- 25 there.

```
92
 1
                 MR. HOWARD:
                              Then we may be wasting our
            Billy I ask you to.
 2
     time.
                 MR. CRAVER: I'll do it this Sunday.
 3
                 MS. SANDERS: Y'all do everything
 4
     beautiful. I'm sure it would be beautiful. I quess
 5
     my concern is, what little historic things we do have
 6
 7
     left that aren't being pushed out to the street, not
     that one -- I think these other two, I think the rest
 8
     of it is awful. I think commercial district has
 9
10
     been just -- I'm not going to say the word I think.
11
                 MR. CRAVER: Motion and second. Any more
12
     discussion?
13
                 MS. SANDERS: No.
14
                 MR. CRAVER: All in favor of the motion,
15
     say aye.
16
                 (Ayes were stated by Ms. Sanders, Ms.
17
     Webb, Mr. Craver, Mr. Howard.)
18
                 (Mr. Ilderton and Mr. Herlong entered the
19
     room.)
20
                 THE CHAIRPERSON: That's it; right?
                                                       Are
21
              Are we done?
     we over?
2.2
                 MR. CRAVER: Yes, we're done.
23
                 THE CHAIRPERSON: We are adjourned.
24
                 (The meeting was concluded at 7:30 p.m.)
25
```

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lora McDaniel, Registered Professional

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South 4 5 Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the 6 foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and

7 complete record.

> I further certify that I am neither related to, nor counsel for, any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

> Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 1st day of April, 2015 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Lora L. McDaniel,

Registered Professional Reporter My Commission expires:

September 18, 2016

1	INDEX		94
2		Page	
3			
4	2114 Atlantic Avenue	3	
5	2618 Raven Drive	21	
6	2662 I'on Avenue	26	
7	2320 I'on Avenue	42	
8	2614 Myrtle Avenue	47	
9	2702 Jasper Boulevard	52	
10	2214 Middle Street	61	
11	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	93	
12			
13	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX		
14			
15	(No Information Requested)		
16			
17			
18			
19	EXHIBITS		
20			
21	(No Exhibits Proffered)		
22			
23			
24			
25			

	2,370	11:12	actual	27:2 89:3
1	82:16	4,500	54:12	agree
	2,550	82:13	adamantly	10:10 34:13 46:15
1,200	24:20	4,525	78:7	72:3,23,25 75:4,6
43:10	2,639	<b>4,525</b> 82:15	add	80:21 85:25 87:16
1,794	24:19		8:15 25:10 29:22	ahead
11:8		4,981	30:4,25 37:19	13:13
	2,913	11:6	50:4,25 57.19	
1,960	42:17	45	68:20 84:15 86:4	ahh
11:9	2-D	47:6		75:6
10.2	89:9	47	added	air
26:24	20	29:16	48:15	53:7
100	21:25 56:16	27.10	adding	alleviate
25:3			11:13,16 49:7	73:8
11	2005	6	68:1,2 74:23 78:19	
79:1	12:1,2,3,4,21		89:17	alleyway
	2009	6:15	addition	31:6
12	42:13,14	88:7	12:13 49:18 63:16,	allowable
42:13 53:22,23	2013		17,22 68:24,25	22:11
12-foot	21:16	7	73:18 74:1,23	allowed
50:3			77:12,20,24 78:20	20:2 22:2,4 24:18
12th	20s	7	80:18 85:14,22	19 26:25 71:1
21:21	60:13	29:17	86:15,22 89:11	82:19,20
	21		· ·	•
13	11:10,11	700	additional	allowing
63:23	2153-C1	29:15	28:3 89:3	13:17 15:25 16:1,
13-foot	64:2	7:30	additions	13 38:14 56:23 85:13
50:4	2214	92:24	48:1,2,3,5 49:16	
149	61:21 63:14 64:23		75:2 76:2 89:20	alongside
42:11		9	address	75:14
	2320		9:24 28:10 29:23	amount
15	37:16 42:3,4,6	9	addressed	11:9 24:17
23:7	24	21:16	8:20,23,25 9:5	angle
17	59:1,4		i i	89:10
79:3,9 86:14	25	90's	addresses	
17-foot	12:19 26:25 34:18	8:9	8:19	angles
88:13			addressing	52:21
	26	A	33:22	anymore
18	29:24 34:18		adds	80:17
22:2 63:19 79:2	2614	ability	17:9	Annool
86:14	47:21,22,23	17:3 19:13 39:20		Appeal 42:13
18-and-a-half	2618		adequate	
79:4	21:5,6,8	<b>Absolutely</b> 20:1 40:8	23:15	Appeals
1900			adjacencies	15:15 19:16 22:8,
60:12	2662	AC	10:2	25 44:3
	26:14,15 27:17	25:3	adjacent	appearance
1910	2702	accept	17:15 70:5,9	29:2
51:5	52:24,25	30:22	· ·	onnoons
1980s	,	access	adjourned	appears 9:15
48:4 59:19		17:18	92:23	
1985	3		advice	applicable
53:8	2.5	accessory	39:7	37:10
	3-D	16:5	affect	applicant
1990s	80:12	accommodate	21:24	21:9 42:7 47:25
48:4	38	27:7 49:11		applicant's
	47:6		affects	64:20
2		Accusing	88:14	
	4	62:21	afforded	applicants
	4	achieves	44:2	21:11 22:2 26:19
2,244		1 22 4 27 2	1	27:2,3 63:15 64:7
<b>2,244</b> 82:16	4,467	33:4 37:2	agenda	71:12

## In Re: application 8:6 19:4 20:19 25:8 30:2 35:13 45:24 50:14 55:17 applications 16:25 applied 27:18 apply 21:18 41:5 approach 39:20 appropriateness 48:10 approval 14:2 20:22 21:10 26:8.20 35:18 42:8,14,15 47:15 48:1 52:17 53:3 63:16 64:15,17 91:11,13 approvals 73:14 approve 16:9 20:18,22 26:7 35:12 61:14 88:20, 23 approved 88:19 approving 16:9,13 Arcadia 59:22

0:	1	1	

<b>architecture</b> 22:18 24:12 40:11 76:1
area 21:20 24:4,21 28:16 29:7,18 31:1 32:10,15 46:6 66:7 78:18
areas 66:1
<b>argue</b> 9:13
argument 84:10
article 65:5,7,14,17 82:7

architectural

8:8 89:7,8

and Meeting v
<b>Associates</b> 26:19 27:17 63:15 64:22
Atlantic 8:25 9:5,24 12:7, 11,14 13:2,6 15:21,22 17:2,7, 10,19 20:20
atmosphere 78:1
<b>attach</b> 70:10

10,19 20:20
atmosphere 78:1
<b>attach</b> 70:10
attached 70:4
attractive 18:24
authority 27:1
authorize 27:8
autonomy 44:17
<b>Avenue</b> 12:11,15 15:2

<b>Avenue</b> 12:11,15 15:21,22 20:20 26:14 35:15 42:3.7 47:21.23
awful 92:9
<b>aye</b> 92:15
aves

52:23 61:20 92:10	6
	_

D
back
9:8,20 10:22 15:13
17:5,12 21:21
30:8,12 31:13,21,
25 32:2,8 35:14
39:14 41:3 44:11
45:6 46:6 48:15,17
49:4,11,15,25 50:2
54:19 57:23 58:12,
15,22 59:7 66:3,7,
9 67:7,9 68:10
69:12 70:13 71:23
73:6,23 74:17
76:14,22 77:4,20
78:19 79:7 80:22,
23 81:10 82:3
85:10 89:18 91:3,9
background

23 81:10 82:3 85:10 89:18 91:
background 21:14 66:1
backside

45:13 48:6 66:25 82:23
backyard 9:4 15:5,6,8 16:3 17:8 31:1 38:6 39:24,25 54:17 57:24
<b>bad</b> 38:11
<b>based</b> 20:19 35:13 72:8
basically 8:13 44:22 49:24 52:7 66:16 76:25
<b>bath</b> 24:1
<b>bathroom</b> 11:17,20 48:20,21 49:11,21 50:10
<b>bathrooms</b> 11:16,17
batting 77:22
<b>bay</b> 11:15 74:3,6
<b>bays</b> 11:14

<b>bathrooms</b> 11:16,17
batting 77:22
<b>bay</b> 11:15 74:3,6
<b>bays</b> 11:14
<b>beach</b> 8:13 37:2
<b>Beau</b> 30:15
<b>beautiful</b> 22:21 32:20 55:25 92:5
Beaver 34:6

89:1 board's

89:6

boards 62:8

**bodies** 62:10 book 59:22 borrow 59:3 bothered 88:10 bought 9:23

**BOULEVARD** 52:24

35:22 36:1,3

11:15 66:22

breathing

44:16

40:16,19,21 90:3

brand

break

behalf 44:6
<b>benefit</b> 63:4 89:6
<b>big</b> 12:18 41:24 56:2 73:20 88:14

D D.	
Design Re	view Board Meeting March 18, 2015
<b>biggest</b> 87:6	<b>breeze</b> 10:17
<b>Bill</b> 22:19,20 72:3	<b>breezes</b> 28:7 30:22
Billy 14:14 25:12 34:2	<b>briefly</b> 23:17
47:12 50:21 61:9, 23 92:2 <b>bit</b>	<b>bring</b> 59:6 74:8 83:21,23 84:1 90:1
27:22 31:23,24 42:22 44:16,22,25 55:24 64:7 65:18 66:5,10 67:24 74:9 76:13 79:8 83:4	bringing 29:14 35:1 60:17 83:25 brings 35:9 77:19
block 10:2,5,7 14:17 16:19 17:15 28:17 32:15 33:15 34:8	Bronwyn 27:16 brought
<b>blocked</b> 77:10 78:5	39:13 44:23 66:14 <b>buffer</b>
<b>blocks</b> 14:18 34:17	13:3 <b>build</b>
board 15:1,15,19 19:16, 21 21:3,24 22:7,8, 25 26:12 27:9 33:2,3 35:10 41:6	22:21 36:1 43:12 58:1 78:10 82:15 <b>buildable</b> 21:20 26:4 64:1 69:24
42:12 43:18 44:2 47:20 52:23 55:9 61:20 62:13 77:23 78:9 86:8,12 88:12	building 11:1 15:2 16:14,18 19:9 21:12 22:1,9 23:11 29:18 30:11

21:20 20:4 04:1
69:24
07.24
building
11:1 15:2 16:14,18
19:9 21:12 22:1,9
23:11 29:18 30:11
64:5,6 66:12,24
67:1,13,16 68:5,14
70:4,5,9 71:8 72:2,
20,22 73:16 74:4
75:14,21 76:19
77:10,18 78:3
83:16 85:1 87:8,25
91:9
buildings
71.18 22 75.15
11:12 // /3:13

20,22 73:16 74:4 75:14,21 76:19 77:10,18 78:3 83:16 85:1 87:8,25 91:9
<b>buildings</b> 71:18,22 75:15 76:18 81:14 82:21
<b>builds</b> 38:5
<b>built</b> 9:15 12:5 23:13 60:12
<b>built-in</b> 86:13
bumping 12:15
<b>Burton</b> 21:9 22:17,24

26:13

bushes

34:23

aspect

34:20

assault

79:18

busy	24 26:1,10,15	clarify	comments	conference
57:21	27:14 29:19 30:1,6	11:4 13:24 71:11	18:1 65:13 73:2	66:3,15 74:5 83:8
BZA	31:17 32:18 34:2,	class	commercial	configuration
16:10	13 35:16,20 36:7,	62:6	44:1 62:6,7 63:2	44:7
10.10	11,13,17,19 37:16	classic	64:1,8,13 65:6,14	conflicts
	38:17 39:15 41:14	8:12	69:24 75:12 77:1,	10:20
	42:4 43:16 44:4 45:23 46:12,17		25 78:7,13,21	
cafe	47:8,10,12,14,17,	<b>clean</b> 49:17	79:21 82:8,10,18	<b>confusing</b> 10:12 22:12,14
83:3	19,22 48:11 50:13,		83:1,5 84:14,19,23	· ·
calculation	20,23,25 51:2	clear	85:3 86:2,17 87:1,	confusion
64:12	52:11,14,20,22,25	15:17 32:17 77:7	4,14 92:9	40:9
calculations	53:16 55:16 56:3,	client	commission	Conklin
64:25	6,20 57:11 60:15	22:19 27:18	20:15 62:5,9,19	22:19,20
	61:3,9,12,16,18,22	clients	70:21	connection
called	62:15,20,22 63:1,9	27:23	commission's	45:19 62:24
8:1	92:20,23	close	85:4	consequence
CAMPBELL	challenges	54:15 67:3 68:5	community	37:25
9:25 11:3,11 12:8,	28:9	closed	34:25 65:6,14 82:8	considerably
10,14,19 17:16 21:4	champer	25:9 30:3 45:25	compatibility	76:24
	79:9	50:15 56:10 60:3	10:4 33:5,7,24	consistency
card	chance	66:12 68:18	37:3	28:19
42:11	40:10	closer	compatible	constructed
Carolina	change	31:24 69:6,7 71:1	10:3,4,6 28:24	42:16
62:4,18	8:1 15:4 16:1,2,14	closest	42:25	construction
cars	29:11,20 46:15	77:17	complaining	21:7,11 26:16,21
17:11 81:15	56:24,25 65:10	closets	18:15	29:25 33:18 37:17
case	79:12 86:16	49:22	completely	67:21 69:24 70:25
10:18,21	changed	closing	9:20 10:10 17:11	consultants
case-by-case	16:7 19:8 41:11	61:10	32:8	60:7
17:14	changing	CLOWNEY	complex	contexts
casement	8:11 16:11 32:22	8:7 9:10,13 12:18	64:12	37:9
54:9	33:4 46:7 49:5	13:22 16:23 17:23	computation	continue
caution	76:1 80:11 88:15	19:24 20:10	29:21	54:10
62:18	character	Clowney's	computer	
CCOD	43:1 54:23 55:11,	30:15	79:10	continuity 12:24
65:5,14	20 58:1 86:17 87:8	CMU	concept	
	characteristic	50:7	80:5	contrary
<b>cement</b> 73:20	66:11			72:8
	check	<b>code</b> 73:6,9,16 86:10	conceptual 13:20 14:1 21:10	conveyance
center 24:1	60:4	* *	26:20 42:15 46:19	40:22
	chief	codes 68:6	47:1,25 53:3 63:15	cool
centered	34:1		91:2,11,13	71:10
31:24	children	coffee	conceptually	corner
central	18:19	43:25	80:20	32:6,7 45:15 49:2
24:8	chimney	coin	concern	57:4,6 80:16
certificate	51:9,11	41:20	86:24 92:6	corners
48:9	chose	color	concluded	53:23 79:9
chair	66:5	48:13	92:24	correct
90:20		columns		11:6 12:5 61:25
CHAIRPERSON	circumstance 70:9	66:14	conclusion 71:25	62:2,23 69:1 84:1
8:4,14 9:9,12 10:8		comment		88:17
11:23 13:10,19	clarification	8:5,7 9:10 12:20	condition	correspondence
14:14,22 18:1	91:8	16:24 25:8,9 30:2	17:17	62:11
19:23,25 20:3,7,	clarifications	45:24,25 50:14,15	conditions	cottage
11,23 21:1,6 22:16 25:7,12,15,19,21,	23:1	55:17 68:17 78:22	17:21 40:12	28:25 43:9,12
23.1,12,13,17,21,				44:16,19 45:2,6,10

In Re:				March 18, 2015
48:14 50:1 60:25		depth	dining	DRB
84:13 85:13 86:7	D	25:2,4 44:11	24:4 49:7,19 50:2	14:1 15:4 26:25
cottages		describing	direction	36:25 42:14 64:17
87:9	darker	53:6	60:22 75:22 83:12	73:14
	67:19,20			Drive
couch	data	design	directly	21:5,9
33:3	21:23 53:6 60:8	15:19 19:18 20:13	8:21 13:11 31:4	· ·
could've		22:7 23:18 25:13,	70:23	driven
60:11,13	day	17 28:10 32:19 36:5 39:19,21 41:6	disagree	80:4
council	65:1 73:12	43:3 46:9 65:18	86:1 87:16	driveway
38:9 39:13,20	deal	74:19	disapprove	17:6,18
41:11,12 62:9	34:25 69:7		88:21,24,25	driveways
couple	dealing	designation	discrepancy	32:11
34:17,23 68:12	17:21 36:5 71:19	51:6	21:23	dropped
78:12	decent	designed	discuss	39:14
	67:8	36:4	77:20	duct
<b>coverage</b> 21:12 22:1,10		desirable		25:6
23:11 29:16,17,18	decided	87:10,13	discussed	
64:6	13:25	desire	19:8	duplicate
	deciding	91:21,24	discussion	73:25
covering	35:23	· ·	21:1 26:10 35:20	duty
34:5	decision	<b>detail</b>   90:23	36:13 42:2 52:20	21:24
craftsman	36:22 55:13 86:23		61:18 88:22 89:6	dwelling
66:14 80:8	88:14	detailing	91:16 92:12	43:12
Craver	decisions	8:11 28:25 54:8	district	
14:15 20:5,13	41:18,23	details	44:1 62:6,8 64:1,8,	
25:13 26:7 33:1,15	deck	90:25	14 65:6,15 77:25	
34:3 35:12,18	49:14	determination	78:7,14,21 79:21	earlier
36:10,16,20 37:4,		62:4	82:9,18 84:14 85:3	60:12
8,13 40:24 41:10,	<b>deem</b> 15:5 27:9 33:3	determine	86:2,3,17 87:1,4,	Earth
16,21,25 47:13,15	64:8	64:10	14 92:9	21:16
50:22 52:17,21 61:10,14 62:24		determined	Donna	
68:16,22 70:11,19,	deemed	17:1	13:10 25:15,19	east
22 71:3,16,24	27:6		31:17 47:8 50:25	63:23,24 74:11
72:15,21,24 73:2	deep	detract	60:15 78:23 79:23	easy
78:23 79:3,23	22:15	89:22	door	89:12
80:19 81:25 84:16,	deeper	develop	9:18 12:3 13:12	eaten
20 85:9,15,18,22,	25:5	66:2,7 77:5	14:7,17 29:8 34:6	87:17
25 87:3,10,14,18,	defer	developed	38:1 58:19 68:10	eclectic
23 88:18 89:4	43:3 89:4 90:8,9	23:6 70:4 74:6	76:20 84:11	19:24 32:12,13
90:5,10,13,16,20	define	75:14 82:22 83:17	doors	economic
91:4,10,14,16	33:14	89:9	14:9 38:7 74:11	82:9
92:3,11,14,17,22	definition	developing	double	
crawl	32:11	66:1 67:2 75:17	82:17 86:9	edge 77:13 78:11
83:22		development	downs	
creating	degree	27:6 82:20 84:23	33:12 35:24	eight-and-a-half
40:9	47:7	die	draft	47:5
curb	demolition	90:14	38:9	eight-foot
28:2 66:6	48:2	dies	drafting	25:1
curiosity	denote	88:19 90:17	70:16	elaborate
78:25	57:16			8:16 22:13
	dense	difference	draftsman	element
<b>current</b> 27:24 31:19	32:15	19:3 56:2	70:22	83:22,23
	deny	difficult	drawing	elevation
cursor	72:7	18:23 31:2 48:17	55:5 65:20 79:6,8	29:1 49:5 63:23
48:19	depends	dimensions	80:11,14	65:10 67:15
cut	17:14	21:17	drawings	elevations
28:2 32:17 66:6	17.17		67:20,22	28:21 63:18,19
				20.21 03.10,17

In Re:				March 18, 2015
66:23 67:14,15 73:25	environment 82:10	22:24	<b>feed</b> 40:19	finger 19:2
elevator 45:20	err 62:17	extend 66:19 80:6 extending	feel 8:8,10,12 28:25	finish 22:10
Eleven 76:8	essentially 15:7 22:7 55:21	11:13 49:24 extension	30:23 31:9,15 37:6 39:8,9 58:16 90:23,25	<b>finished</b> 22:5 78:23
eliminated 87:21	81:15 <b>establish</b> 9:2 13:15 40:1	86:13 extent	<b>feeling</b> 35:1 36:6 40:24	<b>fire</b> 34:1 68:6 73:4,16
eliminating 88:4	9:2 13:13 40:1 established 13:12	87:24 extremely	<b>feet</b> 12:19 23:7 24:18,	fireplace 51:15
emphasis 30:17 emphasized	Ethics 62:4,18	10:12 40:6 <b>Exxon</b> 80:16	19 29:11,16 42:17 43:10 56:16 63:19, 23 67:7 69:10	fit 24:6,17 34:7 fits
78:15 enclose	evaluations 60:7	eye 19:2	70:1,25 72:14 73:7 75:16 76:4,13	28:23 83:24 86:1 <b>fitting</b>
53:4,10 enclosed	exacerbates 38:13	F	78:12 79:1 82:13, 15,16 84:7 85:5,7, 9 86:14 87:25	25:18 <b>five-foot</b>
53:9 54:9,20 56:17 59:25 60:13,19 65:23	<b>exact</b> 67:12	facade	<b>felt</b> 28:17	16:16,17 66:9 76:15
enclosing 51:10,13,18 54:14	exception 42:6,10 43:8,14, 24,25 44:2	11:15 28:11 33:23 57:7 63:16,17 68:24	<b>fence</b> 16:16,17,19,20	flexibility 41:13 flipping
56:9 58:16 61:15 enclosure	exchange 43:11	face 11:25 29:25 44:8	28:18 31:14 76:10, 13 84:1,7 <b>fencing</b>	30:24 <b>flood</b>
60:12,14,17 79:21 encourage 82:9 83:4 84:23	exclusively 19:16	71:5 76:9 <b>faces</b> 54:1 57:4	31:8 fenestration	44:13 48:21 49:12 83:23
encouraged 85:3	excuse 25:15 53:17 58:10 91:23	facing 24:1,9,13,23 44:10	68:4 <b>field</b> 32:17	floor 11:7,8,14 22:5,10 23:23 24:3,22 36:9
<b>encouraging</b> 83:1	exemption 62:6	54:11,15 66:18 67:18 68:10 74:4, 11	fill 80:25 81:2	45:18 47:5,6 <b>focal</b> 75:23
end 39:14	existing 11:19 17:16,18,21 27:24 29:13 31:15	<b>fact</b> 33:21,22 82:21	<b>filled</b> 24:11 65:3	follow 13:13
ends 45:12 enjoy	40:16,18,22 44:19 45:2,16 48:13	83:10 86:17 <b>fail</b> 78:2	<b>filling</b> 82:23	<b>foot</b> 16:20 22:4 25:5,23
28:7 enter	49:13 51:10,21 65:19 67:15 68:2 75:21 76:9 78:12	<b>fairly</b> 26:3	final 13:21,23 14:2,4 20:22 26:8 35:18	56:13 67:7 69:11, 13,18,25 70:2,8, 13,15 71:17,18
17:5 <b>entered</b> 37:20 92:18	89:21 <b>exists</b> 29:13 35:22 36:2	<b>fall</b> 64:12 65:5	46:9 47:15 52:17 90:19	72:20 73:4 76:8,14 79:9,11
entertain 22:6 27:12	49:22 81:23 exited	falls 15:18,20 22:10 27:1	<b>find</b> 42:23 60:10 81:11 88:8	<b>footage</b> 11:2,6,8,13 13:18 14:5 15:2 29:15
entertaining 28:8	26:17 63:11 <b>expand</b> 57:24 58:15 84:12	<b>family</b> 53:18 60:4 65:4	finding 36:25	48:2 53:15 64:5 83:21
entire 78:6	80:23	<b>farther</b> 30:19 31:25	findings 43:24	footprint 11:18 23:8,12 24:21 53:13
entrance 28:1 29:7 50:9 entry	expansion 53:13	<b>favor</b> 14:19 21:2 26:11 36:14 37:15 47:19	fine 11:21 14:5 25:25 26:1,4 34:11 36:23	<b>form</b> 68:14
24:2 29:7 45:21 entryway	<b>explain</b> 20:8 38:8	52:22 61:19 72:10 88:19 92:14	37:15 39:18 46:13, 18 47:9,13 50:22 51:1 52:10 78:19	<b>formal</b> 62:11
56:15	explaining	February 21:21	31.1 32.10 70.17	<b>forms</b> 68:13

# In Re: formulas 82:20 forward

found 64:2,12 foundation 21:13 22:5 23:15 24:25 four-foot 16:18 frankly 77:21 friends 18:19 front 9:2,17,18 10:22 13:15 14:8,10,11 15:6,22,23 16:9 17:6,7 20:20 23:3 24:2,5 28:16 29:3, 8 30:8,20 31:10 33:23 34:18 37:1, 24 38:2,4,8,25 39:1,2,23,25 41:4 43:9 45:9,11,19 46:5,7 48:14 50:1, 11,18 53:4,7 54:5, 10 57:5,16 58:16, 17,25 59:5 60:24 63:16,18,19,20,21 65:10 66:8 67:16, 17 68:25 69:10 73:25 74:24 76:20 77:6,24 78:20 80:8,12 81:15,18, 19 82:4 83:8 84:4, 15 85:14 89:11 90:24 frontage 28:11 frontage 28:11 frontages 27:11 full 49:1 frontages 27:11 full 49:1 frontages 27:11 full frontages 28:23 function 19:21 28:6 function 19:21 28:6 functional 51:17 good Google 21:15 goosip 63:10 grant 43:24	16:17 66:17 67:24 68:3 74:3,5 76:6,9, 19,23 81:21 88:1	16:24 75:18
9:2,17,18 10:22 13:15 14:8,10,11 15:6,22,23 16:9 17:6,7 20:20 23:3 24:2,5 28:16 29:3, 8 30:8,20 31:10 33:23 34:18 37:1, 24 38:2,4,8,25 39:1,2,23,25 41:4 43:9 45:9,11,19 46:5,7 48:14 50:1, 11,18 53:4,7 54:5, 10 57:5,16 58:16, 17,25 59:5 60:24 63:16,18,19,20,21 65:10 66:8 67:16, 17 68:25 69:10 73:25 74:24 76:20 77:6,24 78:20 80:8,12 81:15,18, 19 82:4 83:8 84:4, 15 85:14 89:11 90:24 frontage 28:11 frontages 27:11 frontages 27:11 frontage 28:23 function 19:21 28:6 functional functional frant	68:3 74:3,5 76:6,9, 19,23 81:21 88:1 found 64:2,12 foundation 21:13 22:5 23:15 24:25 four-foot 16:18 frankly 77:21 friends 18:19	75:18  gable 45:10, 66:15, 68:4 8  gables 46:8,1  Galls 34:6 garage
1	9:2,17,18 10:22 13:15 14:8,10,11 15:6,22,23 16:9 17:6,7 20:20 23:3 24:2,5 28:16 29:3, 8 30:8,20 31:10 33:23 34:18 37:1, 24 38:2,4,8,25 39:1,2,23,25 41:4 43:9 45:9,11,19 46:5,7 48:14 50:1, 11,18 53:4,7 54:5, 10 57:5,16 58:16, 17,25 59:5 60:24 63:16,18,19,20,21 65:10 66:8 67:16, 17 68:25 69:10 73:25 74:24 76:20 77:6,24 78:20 80:8,12 81:15,18, 19 82:4 83:8 84:4, 15 85:14 89:11 90:24 frontage 28:11 frontages 27:11 fronts 28:2 32:11 full 49:1 fully 23:16 60:18 73:9 82:23 function 19:21 28:6 functional	48:3 5 garages 31:6 garden 30:21 gave 42:13 get all 25:3 give 15:1 1 21:14 26:8,2 33:10 40:10 52:17 25 73: giving 28:14 glass 55:4 6 golf 52:9 good 19:23, 33:18 46:13 12 62: 70:20 84:6 Google 21:15 gossip 63:10 grant

# functioning 28:1 future 16:24 40:25 72:19 75:18 $\mathbf{G}$ able 45:10,11 46:5,6 66:15,17,19 67:23 68:4 89:21 ables 46:8,16 68:12 Falls 34:6 arage 16:4 17:12,18,24 25:1 29:7 30:25 48:3 50:7 51:21 arages 31:6 arden-like 30:21 ave 42:13 74:7 82:12 et all 25:3 15:1 16:15 19:19

21:14 22:2,4,9,11 26:8,25 28:11 33:10 38:7 39:7,8 40:10 49:25 50:3 52:17 58:2 60:13, 25 73:7 91:4
<b>giving</b> 28:14
<b>glass</b> 55:4 60:20
<b>golf</b> 52:9
good 19:23,25 25:13,22 33:18 35:7 44:16 46:13 52:16 61:10 12 62:16 63:2 69:7 70:20 77:8 82:7 84:6
Google 21:15

<b>gray</b> 66:2
great 9:9 10:8 13:19,22 19:1 20:11,23 22:16 24:3 25:7,17 27:14 29:19 32:19 36:6,7 45:23 46:12 50:13,20 53:16 55:16 56:3,7,18 61:16
<b>greater</b> 33:5 37:2
<b>Green</b> 83:16
<b>grew</b> 55:21
<b>gripe</b> 34:9
<b>group</b> 13:25 14:3 15:7 19:13,19
guess 10:24 12:20 32:20 35:21 39:22 40:24 43:14 47:2 57:22 68:16 69:3 90:16 92:5
<b>guy</b> 38:3,7,14,21 63:4
<b>guys</b> 27:11 61:1 69:22

hard

harm 13:16

hate

head

heads

healthy

hear

heard

hearing

84:5 heated

Heather

Heather's 60:19 hedges 48:16 height

held

helped 20:8 helping 81:8

21:13 22:5,10

42:19 66:9 67:9

**HENDERSON** 8:16 11:1,10 12:1

13:25 14:25 15:15,

18 19:11 20:1 21:8 25:11 26:18 29:20

30:5 33:2,9 36:24

37:5,18 38:21 40:7

23:15 24:25 42:23 47:1 50:3 86:10

heartscape

heart

hardship

38:3,7,14,21 63:4
<b>guys</b> 27:11 61:1 69:22 79:24
H
half 21:18 52:8
<b>hand</b> 36:18 65:11 69:22
handle 64:19
<b>hands</b> 36:16
hanging 34:21
happened 8:3
<b>happening</b> 17:13 30:17
<b>happier</b> 58:5
<b>happy</b> 22:22

Design Re	eview Board Meeting March 18, 2015
hard 41:18 81:11 90:2	42:5 43:21 46:1,9 47:23 50:17 51:5,7
hardship 13:1 20:9 30:10,13 31:9 36:3,21 37:4, 7,8,12,14 40:13	53:1 56:5 59:20,25 60:6,11 62:3,17 63:6,13 68:20,23 69:3,6,15,17,20 70:15,20,23 71:11
<b>harm</b> 13:16	72:7,12,16 73:24 74:12,18 75:1
<b>hate</b> 36:4	77:12 84:18 89:2, 5,24
<b>nead</b> 80:5	HENSHAW 83:16,20
<b>heads</b> 51:21	<b>Herlong</b> 10:10 11:21 19:5
healthy 82:9	25:25 26:17,19 27:17 37:20 40:5,9 46:15 47:18 52:12
hear 10:15 20:11,24 26:6 35:11 52:16 61:13 79:24	56:7,18 57:2 63:11,14 64:22 92:18
heard 73:11	<b>hide</b> 34:15
hearing 62:7	<b>higher</b> 45:1 89:18
h <b>eart</b> 57:13	<b>highly</b> 87:10,13
heartscape 84:5	<b>hip</b> 45:9 46:5 49:8
heated 24:17 48:1 53:14	<b>hips</b> 46:8,16
Heather 42:7 44:5 46:1 47:24 50:17 53:2, 17	historic 8:12 42:6,9,11 43:1,9,14 48:8,24 50:11 51:6,14 53:2,6 57:14,15
<b>Heather's</b> 60:19	58:5 60:8 61:8 63:13 64:16 73:19
hedges 48:16	74:13,16 75:24 80:22 82:3,25 83:15,18 84:9,11,
asiaht	03.13,10 04.9,11,

# 13,17,18 85:12 86:2,7 90:25 92:6

historical 60:14
<b>history</b> 54:7 55:7
hodgepodge 48:16
<b>hold</b> 77:5

11.5	
holdin	g
67:7 °	70:13 76:14
77:4	
homo	

home
21:10 22:21 23:13,
24 26:20,22 27:4

In Re:				March 18, 2015
29.2 7 22 20.2 5	hughand	inonogina	iaanad	
28:3,7,23 29:3,5,	husband	increasing	issued	
14,15 30:7,11,20	55:21	53:14	42:9,15 62:11	$\mathbf{L}$
32:4,7 42:15,17,20	husband's	individual	issues	
43:13 80:9 81:14	58:18	62:13 86:20	15:12 23:2 32:21	L-shaped
85:13				44:21
1	hyphen	information	item	
homes	49:22 50:9	89:3	21:8 27:3 64:18	laid
18:24 30:19 31:7		initial	89:3	65:16
32:22				land
honestly	I	12:20 13:8	items	13:6 21:18
44:11 57:3 84:9	<del></del>	inside	23:11 65:2	13:0 21:18
44.11 37.3 64.9	I'on	51:15		landmark
honor	26:14,15 27:5,6,17		J	51:8 53:5
54:7	28:8,11 29:2,24	install		
hours	31:24 34:18 35:15	27:4	_	landscape
	37:16 42:3,6	instance	James	13:12
41:8		18:16 38:22	64:21 73:24	landscaped
house	44:10,12		jarring	31:14
8:9,10,13,22 9:14,	idea	instruction	83:13	
23 10:18,22 11:12,	62:16 63:1,2 83:4	91:5	65:15	landscaping
18 13:14 15:22,23			Jasper	13:3 30:21 31:8
	Ilderton	intact	52:24,25 53:24	32:16
17:7 18:17 20:19	36:15 63:11 92:18	50:11	54:11,15,16 57:20	
23:16,21 24:6 26:2	illogical	integrity	61:6	language
27:21,24 28:5,13	9:21	61:8		15:9 72:9
30:9 31:19,25			job	large
32:23 33:16,17	illuminade	intent	19:1 52:12 87:7	26:2 54:17 57:24
34:4,5,6,7,11	39:15	9:1 42:22 70:18,24	jobs	62:6 89:18
35:14,22 37:13	·	71:6,7 72:4 73:11	37:24	02.0 69.16
38:5,20 39:2	impeding	82:7,18	37:24	largely
	81:24	ŕ	Joe	42:20 44:7
40:16,19 41:1,3	impervious	interact	22:16,24 25:10	1
43:17,22 44:20,21	82:14	35:4,5	30:4 45:25 49:18	larger
47:13 51:3,4 52:8		interesting	50:16 54:3,6 55:7	42:19 43:13 65:11
53:14,21,23 54:8,	implore	57:3		73:7 83:17
24,25 55:8,20	77:23 91:17		56:3 64:24,25	laundry
56:10,11 57:6	important	interior	65:9,16 71:21	48:21
58:1,5,15,18,25	17:17 19:9 75:24	77:2	73:12	46.21
59:3,5,24 60:5,8	17:17 19:9 75:24	internal	judge	lawn
	importantly		72:9	78:17 81:18,19
houses	28:18	53:11		84:4,8
32:6,16 40:21		interpretation	jurisdictions	·
Howard	improve	60:17,20 72:8	19:15	layer
	33:20	· ·		49:17
11:24 12:3,6,9,12,	in-ground	introduce		laying
20 14:21,23 15:11,	25.5	22:18	K	65:12
16 20:8 25:22 26:9	27:5	involving		
30:7 35:17,21	incentive	62:7	keeping	leading
36:18 37:6 39:22	43:14		28:18 52:15,21	77:13
40:14 43:5,19,22	inches	irregularities	61:7 74:22 76:3	leanings
46:18,23 47:1		10:13	80:8 87:7	
51:3,9,13,17,20,24	63:19,23 69:14	island		35:21
	included		kids	leave
52:1,3,5,10 55:1,3,	29:21	10:13 12:24 18:5	53:19	58:21 63:8
12 56:21 57:9,13,		19:17,22,24 33:13		
18 58:10,13 59:13,	including	35:2 38:12 39:10	kind	leaving
18 69:8 71:21	83:14	40:3 42:10 45:12	8:9 16:5 37:25	50:10
72:18,23,25 77:16	incorrect	47:24 51:8 53:5	39:3,14 41:19	left
79:2,4,11 80:3,10	11:7	56:11 57:16 63:17	48:16 67:21 68:11	8:22 21:19 50:6
81:4,19,22 86:8,11		83:5	71:23 74:16 75:6,	
87:17,20 88:11,25	increase		13 78:16 79:18	51:20 53:4,10
90:7 91:2,6,12,17,	53:20	issue	84:10 87:2,11	56:17,25 78:3
		10:20 13:17 14:2,		79:16 84:13 87:20,
23 92:1,17	increased	24,25 19:6 21:25	kitchen	21 88:5 92:7
humanity	24:25	35:1,10 36:5 43:21	24:4	left-hand
34:24	increases	60:16,21 71:20		45:5
	48:7 53:12	72:19,24		
humongous	22.12	12.17,47		legal
49:13				
1				1

In Re:				March 18, 2015
71:9 81:4	lot	Mark	39:8 47:20 52:23	Motions
	12:18 16:11 19:8	11:23 25:21 30:6	61:20 77:23 78:9	47:14
<b>Legally</b> 81:5	25:18 26:3,4 29:16	46:17 51:2 56:20	86:12,20 88:12	
	30:17 31:19 32:21	68:19 85:25 87:16	91:18	<b>move</b> 30:12 47:15 52:17
letter	33:12 34:5 35:14	90:5 91:10	mention	61:14
38:9	40:3,11 42:25	marsh	64:18	
letters	43:13 52:21 66:8	21:18 24:1,4,5,9,		moving
18:14	67:1,11 68:5,10	13	mentioned	53:18
letting	70:6 76:17 77:5		46:1 64:24	mud
14:19 87:15	83:17,18,20 87:3	masonry	met	45:21
	lots	51:16	64:25 73:12	multiple
level	13:6 40:4,5 83:6,	mass	middle	21:17
25:1 73:15 85:11	13	42:24	27:4,25 28:5,10,13	
life	love	massing	29:6 32:7 44:9	<b>mute</b> 14:9
18:24	55:19 57:18	28:20 44:17 45:7	49:7 61:21 63:14	
lifestyle		46:22 54:24	64:23 65:19,22	Myrtle
32:3	low	massive	67:16,18 68:25	47:21,22,23 50:7
light	89:20	68:14	mimicking	
38:11 75:17	lower		66:15	N
	49:6,10 67:17	master		
limited	lowest	11:16 23:25 49:21	mind	nature
66:5	48:18	match	16:22 17:25 40:23	57:14,15 58:5,23
limiting		60:18	41:25 79:12	73:19
16:18 81:17	<b>Lurkin</b> 27:16 30:15 31:22	math	minimum	needed
limits	32:5,13 33:17	11:4	64:3 69:23	53:15
83:9,10	32.3,13 33.17	matter	minor	
Linearly		36:22 58:22	29:9	neighbor 9:18 13:11 22:23
80:10	M		minuscule	66:20
		matters	65:12	
lines	made	62:7		neighbor's
50:5 76:1 83:9,11	46:10 50:18	maximum	<b>minutia</b> 22:14	13:16
Lipman's	main	64:3,10 82:13,14		neighborhood
43:17	28:1 29:7 31:23	Mayor	mirrored	10:3 28:23 33:5,6
listed	57:5	43:17	54:2,5	37:2 40:18 43:2
42:11	maintain	means	mode	81:9
live	31:14,15 54:22	40:21 84:13	80:12	neighboring
18:23 58:22 60:5	maintained		modest	76:17
	17:19	measure	22:21	neighbors
lived		86:13 88:13		14:13,15 17:15
18:17 56:10,11,12	maintenance	measured	modification	18:12 30:10 31:20
Living	72:19	77:16 78:24 79:9,	20:17 26:25 82:14	35:24
45:18	make	13 86:14	modifications	
located	8:8,12 9:10,20	measurement	26:21 29:10 39:21	newer
30:13 63:14,22	14:21 15:17,22	79:12	46:2	67:20
70:5	16:23 18:1,21 19:3	measurer	modify	nice
location	20:7,18 24:10 26:7	79:13 86:21 91:19	15:2 19:14	28:14 30:20,21
14:24 15:12 25:23	27:19 33:20 35:12		month	34:11 48:14 52:12
	41:17,18,23 43:23	meet	27:18	57:25 60:25 66:13
long	44:25 48:17 51:20	64:2 68:6 73:15		80:7 84:7 87:7,11
34:1 59:23 60:3	52:5 54:9 56:2	75:18 83:22	motifs	nicely
61:7 65:24 66:23	58:14 59:11 61:1	meeting	75:25	28:23 44:15
longer	67:21 73:15 81:7	61:23 63:20 89:7	motion	night
49:8	86:23 89:4 90:5,7,	92:24	20:5,7,12,18 26:6,	41:8 77:21 88:8
looked	8,21 91:3,4,10,12	meets	7 35:11,12 36:8	
24:12 38:11 45:9	makes	73:14	52:16 61:13 88:20,	nine-and-a-half 47:5
59:5 65:2 75:8	41:18	member	23,25 89:4 90:6,7,	
79:7	making	62:10,14	9,11,15,17,21,23	noise
losing	15:21 18:22 29:9	*	91:4,11,12 92:11,	61:6
84:10	36:22	members 20:15 21:3 26:12	14	noisy
		20.13 21:3 20:12		56:11,13

In Re:				March 18, 2015
non-historic 48:3	openings 73:8	overhead 8:17	<b>patch</b> 78:17	<b>picture</b> 48:12,13 54:12
non-present 91:18	openness 79:20	overlay 65:6	<b>pavilion</b> 45:13 49:7,8,19	59:16 86:13,21 pictures
north 32:14	<b>opinion</b> 35:8 57:20	<b>overprogram</b> 17:1	50:2 pavilions	9:6 59:21 60:10 90:2
November 42:13	opposed 36:17	overprogrammed 17:11	53:7 people	<b>piece</b> 21:19 45:11,19 48:18 49:1,9,11
number 11:7 70:2 72:13	<b>opposite</b> 77:7 81:12 83:12, 14	overregulate 18:4	18:10,23 34:21,24 35:1,6,9 38:1,3 41:19,23 76:24	50:11 54:10 67:23 68:21 74:4
89:16 <b>numbers</b> 82:6,11	option 55:8	owner 63:3	81:7 88:3 people's	<b>pieces</b> 67:21
nutshell 63:6	options 83:6	owners 42:19 44:6,8 53:9 55:11,14 62:5	31:7 people-oriented	<b>place</b> 13:5 14:11 35:7 48:5 63:16 64:9
0	order 23:13 25:2 27:8	P	35:7 percent	83:7 placement
O'leary	43:24 47:2,6 67:8 <b>ordinance</b>	p.m.	11:10,11 21:25 22:3 25:3 26:24,25 29:17	42:24 places
55:18 56:16 57:17 58:8,11,14 59:15, 21 60:2,9	9:2 11:25 12:25 13:8,13 15:10 16:12 18:3,8 19:6,	92:24 <b>packet</b> 27:20 46:4 74:8	perfect 18:7,24 60:21	66:7 <b>plan</b>
obliterate 78:13	7 20:14 24:18 29:24 34:14 35:22	paint 60:19	perfectly 25:18	23:19,24 24:22 44:7,13,18 49:17 53:21 65:9 74:7
observations 19:10	36:2 37:11 38:2,10 39:10 40:13,23 48:8 64:7,9 65:13	<b>pair</b> 74:11	<b>pergola</b> 38:25 39:2	85:4 planned
occur 10:13	69:21 70:17,24 71:5 84:21	<b>pairs</b> 74:22	<b>period</b> 9:16 • •	9:23 planning
ocean 9:3 10:16 18:18,19 27:5 29:25 30:22	ordinances 18:22 19:3 65:2	<b>palm</b> 84:3,8	permission 17:10 permit	20:15 62:9 70:21 85:4
44:8 oceanside	organization 12:22 33:21	<b>paper</b> 80:7	72:7 permitted	<b>plans</b> 44:24 45:8 54:2
10:11 OCRM	organized 12:23	<b>parents</b> 49:3,9 50:10	70:3	pleasant 34:25
23:7 odd	orient 27:3	parking 17:5 45:20 66:6,25	person 86:20 88:12	<b>plenty</b> 13:2 14:5
9:17 14:17 offer	orientation 8:17 9:25 15:3,5, 20 16:1,2,7,14	67:11,12 68:10 80:24,25 81:7,9	personal 57:20 perspective	Poe's 66:20 74:4 76:22 77:10 78:4 79:15
55:15 office	19:6,9 20:19 26:22 29:4,10 32:22,24	<b>part</b> 10:7 24:9 26:4 35:2 43:13 51:14	28:24 80:14 Pettigrew	82:22 83:10 85:6 87:12,17,18 88:6,
30:16 58:16 61:11, 15 65:24,25 66:4 67:1,2 76:18,21	33:4 35:13 36:23 37:14,22 oriented	52:9 58:15,17 75:10,24 85:3	8:18,20,22,24 13:5 14:18 15:8 16:3,6,	9,13 91:19 <b>point</b> 8:17 9:14 17:17
77:1 80:24 83:2 <b>offices</b>	8:20 original	<b>parts</b> 19:7	16 17:2,24 38:23 <b>photo</b> 66:16 69:13	19:12,23,25 30:16 39:19 59:1,10
67:9 <b>older</b>	51:4,15,16 53:21 57:23 74:19 75:10	pass 28:3 90:8 passed	photograph 32:5	75:23 79:2 84:20 85:16
12:4 54:4 74:16 open	originally 28:22 42:12 44:23 45:9 52:6 53:7	18:8 past	photographs 27:10 31:4	<b>pool</b> 8:21 9:4,17,18,19 10:4,11,15,19,20
32:14 53:7,25 55:11 58:19,21 59:16,17 60:2	43.9 32.0 33.7 54:2,4 74:8 overhaul	15:12 Pat	photos 28:3	12:3,21 13:6,16,17 14:7,16,24 15:23,
opening 59:10	49:1	19:12 26:18 37:19 40:10	<b>picket</b> 84:7	25 16:4,8,9 17:8, 12,20 18:17,19 27:5,7 30:8,13,25
1			1	

31:10 32:4 34:15. 17.21 35:7 36:5 38:4.5.8.10.19 41:1 49:13 pools 14:10,11 15:13 28:16 popular 54:14 80:2 porch 24:4,5,8,11,14,23 45:12,18,21,22 53:5,8,25 54:4,10, 14,21,23 55:11 56:9,13,15,17 57:23 58:17,22 65:23 66:12 67:24, 25 69:11,12 76:22 77:2 85:6 87:18 88:1,2,6,9,13 porches 24:6 53:22 57:16 81:22 portion 19:10 23:4 31:23 53:4 66:12 possibility 82:2 possibly 76:3 81:21 nost 77:17 postpone 86:11 potentially 10:16 preapproved 44:24 precedence 14:10 precious 78:17 predetermined 23:22 prefer 32:4 55:10 57:22 61:5 89:2 preferred 10:14 preliminary

projecting

19 47:20 52:23 61:20 91:17 presentation 42:18 64:20 presenting 53:2 64:14,22 preservation 43:15 preserved 58:6 74:14 preserving 43:9.11 prettier 90:1 pretty 12:18 17:4 23:19 34:16 65:12 75:19 previous 29:21 previously 42:9 principal 11:1 15:1 21:12 22:1,9 23:11 29:18 64:5.6 70:3.5 principle 16:17 prior 53:8 **Pritchard** 60:4 **Pritchards** 59:23 problem

14:13,19 18:12,13 25:14 30:7 34:4,12 37:23 38:13 39:5,6 41:10 56:9 77:19 professional 82:10 program

17:3,9 programmatically 30:16,23 31:1 project 17:20.22 42:21

63:19 64:14,22 89:17

63:23 projection 89:11 projects

14:1

properties 9:3 11:25 property 8:19.24 20:21 21:15.16.17.19 28:14,15 42:19 44:6,14 45:5,14 53:9 62:5 63:3,20, 24 67:4,8 69:4,17 proportions

45:2 proposed 11:8 45:7 63:18

proposing 48:25 86:16 proud

22:23 provision 20:16,17 70:7

public 8:5 25:8,9 30:2 45:24,25 50:14,15 55:17 68:16,17

**Publishing** 59:22 pull

44:9 pulled 60:8 74:3

pulling 66:16 purposes 84:25

purview 14:6 15:19

pushed 28:15 32:2 92:7

put 9:19 10:21.23 12:21 13:5 16:6.16 17:20 20:16 29:16 30:16 38:25 48:4 68:3 86:16

38:5.11 putting 11:14 13:1 41:4 58:16

puts

O

question 10:1 14:21 32:21 36:20 55:1 69:21

questionable 40:17

**questions** 22:6 27:12 43:4

quorum 88:16

R

Rachel 21:9 22:12,17

railing 88:1

rails 54:8

raised 36:16,18 45:1

ramp 68:2

Randy 37:18 40:24 64:25 69:21 73:13

range 73:7 Raven

21:5,6,9 re-orientation 37:1

reactions 58:7 read

15:9 54:10 57:7 69:22 71:4

73:6 ready 90:18

reads

real 32:11 90:3 reality

54:18 realize 11:5 57:20

15:24 16:13 27:6,9 29:5 30:9,14 31:7, 10 37:24 49:19 53:25 58:2 63:16, 22 68:9,24,25 91:9

reason 19:12 21:17 24:16 33:21 84:15,16

recall

71:4

receive 43:7 received 21:16.22 43:8 62:3

recent 33:18

recently 22:25 62:3 reconsidered

82:2 recuse 61:24.25 62:1.14

recusing 62:20.22 redesign

30:12 redesigned

42:20

reduce 29:15 reference

64:5 referenced

49:18 refocus 68:23

regard 39:21 regular

34:16 regulate 18:9

regulations 19:14 relate

45:3 relates 46:22 relation 31:20

relief 10:25 11:22 15:1.3 19:13.19 21:11 22:5,9,10,11 23:10,12,14,19 26:23 28:14 29:11,

relocating 90:25

17 35:25 41:5

removing 48:25 49:15

renderings 89:8



21:3 26:12 27:17,

35:17 42:8

presence

28:12

present

In Re:				March 18, 2015
renovation	reverse	running	45:25 50:15 56:9	<b>shop</b>
53:12	23:24	44:21	64:2,8 68:17 69:20	43:25
rental	review	runs	70:24 82:8 87:5,6	shot
51:22	15:19 39:19 41:6	69:4	sections	77:8
report	42:8 48:1 53:3	<u>S</u>	19:8 65:13	should've
64:4	63:25 89:1		<b>Selvitelli</b>	44:23
represents 33:24	<b>reviewing</b> 43:3 70:16	sacrifice	64:21 69:2,5,7,10, 16,19 70:13 73:5,	show 9:6 23:17,18 46:22
request	revision	54:24	22 74:2,15,22	55:9
26:18 42:6 88:11	21:22	Sanders	75:3,13 76:6,8,12	showed
requested	<b>Rhonda</b> 13:19 25:15 32:18	13:20,23 14:4 20:25 25:17 32:19	77:14 78:22 79:6 80:25 81:6,20 82:5	67:14
25:14 26:23 48:8	47:10 50:23 61:3	33:6,11,25 35:19	84:3 85:7 86:9	shows
53:12	75:4 80:19	36:12,15 37:11	89:13	59:16
requesting	<b>rhyme</b> 33:21	38:18,22 39:3,11,	sense	side
11:6,22 21:10,11		16 40:2,13 41:7	9:20 52:5 58:14	9:3 10:5,6,11,15,
22:2 26:20,21,24		42:1 47:11 50:24	59:11 67:22	17,22,23 11:17,18
27:3 42:8 47:25	<b>rid</b>	52:19 61:4,17	separate	12:9,15 13:2,5,6
53:3,9 63:15	20:16 49:10 60:23	62:21 63:8 71:14	19:18 62:8	15:8 16:6 17:2,10,
requests 53:15	ridge	72:11 73:1,3,18	separation	13 23:5 24:4,5,8,
	52:7	75:12 80:1,20	68:8	13 26:23 27:5,6
require 9:4	ridiculous 18:3 19:2	81:2,5 82:1 83:15, 19 84:9 85:12,16, 20,23 86:6,25	series 48:15	28:8 29:11 30:18 35:15 38:19 41:1 45:4,5,6,16,17,21
required 36:25 38:21 63:20	right-hand	87:11 88:16 90:1,	set	49:8,20 50:6,8
	49:20	18 91:15,21,24	14:10 22:8 31:13	53:8,10 56:10 58:2
69:25 requirement	<b>road</b> 57:21 59:12	92:4,13,16 <b>sat</b>	32:8 44:12 89:1 <b>setback</b>	59:3,10,16 62:18 64:19 65:4,6 66:20,21,24 67:1,
36:21 81:4,6	<b>Robinson</b> 12:2,5 15:20 37:21	73:12	12:12,14,16 21:18	17 68:1,4,9,11,24
requirements		<b>scale</b>	23:4 29:12 30:19	69:1,12,23,25
21:12,13 75:18 requires	38:24 39:5,12,18 41:9,17,22 43:7,23 72:3	28:24 42:23 65:11 66:22 74:9 79:1,7	64:19 66:9 67:6 69:23,25 70:2,8,12 71:17,18 76:13,15	70:2,3 71:17 73:14 74:4,10,24 75:7
29:25 48:9 64:17	role	scenario	80:21 84:8	78:4,5 81:12 83:14
reserved	42:23	29:22	setbacks	84:4,6 91:19
19:15 residential	roof	schemes	23:5,21 26:23 27:1	side's
	45:22 46:2 48:24	89:16	63:21 67:5	45:11
65:4 78:16 87:6 residents	49:21 50:4 68:13, 14 76:1 89:20,21	<b>scoot</b> 31:20	setting 78:16 86:22	sides 12:9,10,17,19 23:5 28:14 41:19 83:10
38:12 39:9	<b>roofs</b>	<b>scope</b>	shaded	sidewalk
resource	49:6	86:22	69:8	76:25 77:3 81:16
42:11 47:24 63:17 respect	<b>room</b> 13:2 24:3 26:17	screen 60:23 75:22	<b>shape</b> 23:6,8,21 24:6	84:5,24 85:4 siding
50:1 54:6	37:20 44:16 45:13,	<b>screens</b> 75:19	<b>shared</b>	59:6
respond	21 48:21 49:7 50:2		11:19	sight
58:8 rest	53:19 54:20,21 63:12 66:3,15 74:5 83:8 92:19	<b>screw</b> 63:4	<b>she'd</b> 49:2	78:13 79:18 81:23 83:9,11
83:13 92:8 restaurant 83:3	roughly 76:15	sea 10:17	<b>shed</b> 46:5 49:21 50:2	<b>sign</b> 77:13,15,18 78:12
restore	ruinous	seabreeze	shedding	significance
59:4,8	78:20	57:4	52:8	60:14
79:4,8 restored 44:20	ruins 77:24	search 73:9	<b>sheds</b> 48:15,25	similar 38:3 44:22 66:17,
retail 83:1	<b>rule</b> 72:9	seating 76:24 section	<b>sheet</b> 65:3 82:12	18,22 68:13 75:25 simple
03.1		9:2 19:6 25:9 30:3		68:3

n Re:				March 18, 2015
simply	sorts	stands	24:7,23 27:4,10	Sullivan's
24:17	31:6	13:8	28:1,6,10,18 29:6	18:5 19:17,22
	C1	-44	30:18 31:3,5,7,13	22:21 35:2 38:12
single	Sounds	start	32:8 33:20 34:16,	39:10 45:12 51:7
65:4	32:9 61:8	10:9 30:6	22 39:23 44:21	53:5 55:22 57:15
sir	south	started		33.3 33.22 37.13
25:11 30:5 36:19	29:1 32:14 62:4,18	89:17	54:1 56:12 61:21	summers
		09.17	63:14 64:23 65:20,	55:22
42:5 53:1 56:5	67:18	stated	22 66:2,18 67:16,	
sit	space	21:3 26:12 47:20	18 68:25 75:7,15,	Sunday
26:2 87:18 88:1,13	13:3 14:6 23:15	52:23 61:20 92:16	20 76:14 77:8,18	88:7 92:3
91:19	28:8 55:24 56:1,2		78:11 79:19 80:13,	support
		states	17 81:8,13 82:22,	35:23
site	58:3,19,20 61:1	69:23 72:9		33:23
23:2,19 28:13	65:24,25 66:4	station	23 83:3,10,11,14,	suppose
44:7,18 49:17 65:9	67:10 76:11 81:16		24 84:1,4 85:8	60:14
74:6 77:25 82:21	83:2,3,22	34:18 44:21 80:17	88:2,3,10 92:7	
83:7	an a a a	stay	street to street	supposed
85:7	spaces	23:9 44:13 51:24	street-to-street	90:21
sited	67:2 81:3		40:4,5	gunn agadle:
10:18	speak	54:8 74:25	streets	supposedly
	19:11 57:19	staying	33:22 40:12	40:20
siting		23:12 53:25	33.22 40.12	surrounding
10:19	speaking		streetscape	43:2
	57:10	step	9:7 31:16 81:12	43:2
sitting		19:19	83:25	survey
45:13 77:3 78:4	special		03.23	21:23 42:11
79:15 88:5,9	42:6,10 43:8,13,	stepped	structure	
	24,25 44:2	56:13 57:10	15:5 16:2 29:13	surveys
situated		stepping	42:24 43:1,10	60:6
31:20 32:16	specific		48:3,6,9 53:2	C
size	43:23	49:14		Swallowtail
42:18 53:20 54:25	specifically	steps	57:15 63:14 64:3,	22:18
		48:20 49:14	10,16 65:19 74:13,	swimming
64:3,10 67:8 82:13	33:4 62:14		20 75:11,25 82:13	41:1
84:12	spending	Steve	structures	
sketch-up	54:18	10:9 18:16 25:24		switch
46:21		46:14 52:11 56:6	16:5	17:23
	spirit	62:1,22 63:9	studio	
sleeping	9:1		60:21	symmetrical
46:6		stick		10:22 13:14 29:2
	square	19:2	study	57:7
slice	11:1,6,8,13 13:18	sticking	90:9	system
52:7	14:5 15:2 24:17,19		~43	
slight	29:15 42:17 43:10	86:15	studying	25:5
	48:2 53:14 64:5	stipulation	64:6	
65:10	82:13,15,16 83:20	67:6	stuff	
slightly			16:6 20:21 46:20	1
31:22 32:2 42:18	staff	stipulations	10.0 20.21 40.20	
49:8	27:8 37:25 41:17	65:15	style	table
	62:13 64:2,4 66:4		66:14 80:9	65:11,12 86:18
small	73:15	stop		
11:20 24:23 26:3		41:13	subject	tabling
28:25 45:20 50:8	stair	stopped	8:18,24	89:2
53:22	29:12	80:18	submitted	tabulated
33.22	4 •	80.18		82:6
smaller	stairs	storage	26:8 35:13 45:8	
23:25 45:1 89:19	24:2	52:9	47:16 52:18 55:6,7	tailored
	standard		61:15	19:21
solid		story	substantially	
91:1	37:8 43:20 64:13	23:4 27:21 29:14		tails
solution	65:8	34:7 45:22 46:6	33:19	51:21
	standards	83:22,23 86:4	suggest	takes
56:8,19	19:18 26:22 48:8	·	39:20 62:17	64:9 73:19 77:25
sort	63:25 64:1,3 69:24	straight		
12:24 18:2 34:18		49:16 88:17 89:9	suggestion	89:13
45:19,21 48:17	standing	straightforward	39:12 55:15	talk
	8:22 45:5		suite	20:4 27:21 81:11
49:17 66:11,15		23:20		
73:22 74:8 89:15,	standpoint	street	49:3	talked
22	71:9	8:18,20 12:22,23		65:1 76:17
		3.10,20 12.22,23		

<b>talking</b> 54:6 55:7 71:21	<b>today</b> 78:6 79:7,10
taller 83:18	<b>told</b> 39:1 54:3 61:
<b>tape</b> 79:13 86:21 91:18	62:10 63:2 tonight
<b>taxes</b> 39:17	18:14 19:3 22 22:19 27:3 42 23
<b>Team</b> 81:14	top 82:12
tear 33:12,16 35:24 40:20	<b>total</b> 11:5
tearing 27:25 terms	<b>town</b> 18:8 38:9 62: 64:2
9:25 10:3 40:15 44:17	<b>towns</b> 39:24 40:3
<b>theory</b> 39:22	<b>traditional</b> 42:10 47:24 6
thing 11:3,20 12:21	<b>traffic</b> 56:14
17:14 32:10 35:8 37:21,22 52:3,16 54:14 71:23 72:11	<b>tree</b> 28:19 75:19 8
76:16 87:6 things	trees 31:15 34:23 8
16:25 19:1 33:13 43:17,18 59:7 68:6 83:13 84:21 85:2	tricky 50:5 truss
92:6 thinking	25:5 tucked
54:7 73:13	73:23 <b>turn</b>
<b>thought</b> 18:9 20:9 70:17 73:21 81:6,8	9:8 61:23 65: 80:16
89:14,21 <b>thoughts</b> 13:9	two-story 23:4 27:20 29 47:2
three-dimensional 89:8	<b>type</b> 68:7 78:1
threshold 22:8	typically 65:3
<b>throw</b> 38:16	U
tie 50:2,4	understand 57:17 59:1,13
time 40:22 42:2 43:20 54:19 57:10 59:23	71:14 81:5 <b>uniform</b>
60:4 86:19 90:2 92:2	40:2 57:3 uniformity
<b>tiny</b> 49:22	39:24 56:24 unintended 37:25

V	
80:3	<b>unique</b> 19:17,2
:24	<b>unit</b> 51:22
	<b>unusual</b> 40:6 53
21:25 12:18,	<b>update</b> 65:9
	updated 27:20
	upgrade 60:25
V-0	<b>upper</b> 24:14
2:9	<b>utilize</b> 57:19 5
63:17	<b>variance</b> 24:24 4
	variance 21:22
84:8	<b>varied</b> 40:11
84:3	<b>VE</b> 44:12,1
	verbatin 15:9
	versus 15:14
	view 8:18 24
5:20	66:18 6 77:7 78 87:22 8
29:14	91:20
	village 78:1
	village-ty 78:16
	<b>vinyl</b> 59:6
	visualizi
3,14	visually 91:18

update 65:9
updated 27:20
upgrade 60:25
<b>upper</b> 24:14
<b>utilize</b> 57:19 58:24
v
variance 24:24 43:5,8,20 variances
21:22 varied
40:11 <b>VE</b>
44:12,13 <b>verbatim</b> 15:9
versus 15:14
view 8:18 24:7,8 45:4 66:18 68:12 75:21 77:7 78:6 79:17 87:22 88:2,4,6,7 91:20
village 78:1
<b>village-type</b> 78:16
<b>vinyl</b> 59:6
<b>visualizing</b> 90:3
visually 91:18
vocabulary 78:2
<b>vote</b> 14:2 20:3,6 63:3 88:18,22
S, JR., & ASSOCI

<b>inique</b> 19:17,20,21 40:7	W	V
ınit	walk	
51:22 ınusual	38:1 48:20 67:13	v
40:6 53:21	walked	
ıpdate 65:9	77:16 78:24 walking	
ıpdated	34:21	
27:20	<b>wall</b> 50:3,4 73:20 75:12	
ıpgrade 60:25	78:3,11 91:9,20	V
apper	<b>Walls</b> 46:25	v
24:14 utilize	wanted	v
57:19 58:24	22:18 24:10 27:19 28:6 37:18 38:4,25	•
<b>T</b> 7	52:7 55:15 58:3	V
V	67:3 77:5 wanting	v
variance 24:24 43:5,8,20	9:22	·
variances	wasting 92:1	V
21:22	weaker	v
v <b>aried</b> 40:11	19:7	
VE	Webb 13:11 25:20 31:18	V
44:12,13	32:3,9 36:15 47:9	
v <b>erbatim</b> 15:9	51:1 60:16 75:4 76:4,7,10 78:24	
versus	79:24 80:4 87:2,5, 13 90:12,22 91:8	1
15:14 v <b>iew</b>	92:17	'
8:18 24:7,8 45:4	<b>week</b> 87:19	V
66:18 68:12 75:21 77:7 78:6 79:17	well-done	v
87:22 88:2,4,6,7	83:5	•
91:20 v <b>illage</b>	<b>white</b> 84:7	V
78:1	wide	v
v <b>illage-type</b> 78:16	80:6	,
/8:16 v <b>inyl</b>	<b>wider</b> 44:23	V
59:6	wife	v
v <b>isualizing</b> 90:3	88:8	
visually	willy-nilly 35:23	V
91:18	Wilson	v
v <b>ocabulary</b> 78:2	42:7 44:5 46:4,11, 21,25 47:4 48:12	_
vote	50:19 51:4,11,14, 19,23,25 52:2,4,6	V
14:2 20:3,6 63:3 88:18,22	53:18 55:2,6,14	V
	wind	•
	79:17	V

<b>window</b> 11:15 59:2,3 60:18
11:15 59:2,3 60:18 66:17,22 73:3,25 74:16,18 90:24,25
<b>windows</b> 46:20 54:5,9 55:4
56:24,25 57:5 59:4 60:20 66:13 67:20
68:7 73:20 74:11, 12,13,16,20,23
75:1,5,10,16 wings
12:7 wisdom
18:8
<b>wonderful</b> 55:23 57:24
<b>wood</b> 59:7
<b>wooded</b> 57:25
<b>word</b> 90:22 92:10
words 17:2
<b>work</b> 25:6 34:11 39:10,
16 44:15 48:23 53:13 55:24 56:1
57:8 58:18 73:15 76:2 84:2 89:13
Worked 39:15
working 28:9 40:15
workload 41:24
works 18:11
worst 77:21
wrap-around
45:12 wraparound
45:18 write
18:22 writing
18:14 written
62:11 72:4,5,6
wrong 11:5 85:17
wrote

In Re:	March 18, 201
72:11	
Y	
y'all 8:4 15:21,22,25 16:7,8,9,11,13,22 37:23 38:7,9 39:8, 9 41:22,24 57:11 61:6 92:4	
yard 9:2 14:17 15:6,24 16:10,13 17:22 23:3,5 27:7,10 28:16 30:14 31:10, 11 37:1 38:2,4,8 39:1,2,23,25 69:23,25 70:2 77:6	
yards 30:21	
year 8:3 21:21 56:12	
years 18:6 65:23	
<b>yeses</b> 88:17	
<b>you-all</b> 22:7,9	
young 53:18	
z	
<b>ZIP'S</b> 85:1	
<b>zone</b> 44:12,13 49:12	
zoning 14:24,25 15:13,15 19:16 22:8,25 23:1,21 24:18 26:22 37:9 42:13 43:6 44:3 65:1 69:20 84:19	