```
0001
 1
 2
 3
      MEETING OF THE SULLIVAN'S ISLAND DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
              April 21, 2010
10
     DATE:
                6:00 PM
     TIME:
11
     LOCATION: SULLIVAN'S ISLAND TOWN HALL
12
                1610 Middle Street
                Sullivan's Island, SC 29482
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
     REPORTED BY: NANCY ENNIS TIERNEY, CSR (IL)
23
                 CLARK & ASSOCIATES
                 P.O. Box 73129
24
                 North Charleston, SC 29415
25
                 (843) 762-6294
0002
 1
                    APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS:
 4
 5
     STEPHEN HERLONG - Chair
     DUKE WRIGHT - Secretary
 6
     BETTY HARMON - Member
     JON LANCTO - Member
 7
     BILLY CRAVER - Member
 8
 9
10
11
12
     ALSO PRESENT: Kat Kenyon - Administrative
13
                   Randy Robinson - Zoning Administrator
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

```
23
24
25
0003
                 MR. HERLONG: This the April 21, 2010
1
     meeting of the Sullivan's Island Design Review Board.
 2
     It is now 6:08. The members in attendance are Duke
 3
     Wright, Betty Harmon, Jon Lancto and myself, Steve
     Herlong. The Freedom of Information requirements have
 5
     been met for this meeting.
 6
                     The items on tonight's agenda are,
 7
     first, the approval of the February 2010 minutes.
 8
     hear a motion to approve the minutes?
 9
                MR. WRIGHT: I move that the minutes be
10
     approved as written.
11
                 MR. HERLONG: Is there any discussion?
12
                 MR. LANCTO: I second.
13
                 MR. HERLONG: Okay, that is a second. Is
14
     there any discussion about the minutes? All in
15
     approval?
16
                 MR. HERLONG: Aye.
17
                 MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
18
                 MS. HARMON: Aye.
19
                 MR. LANCTO: Aye.
20
                 MR. HERLONG: Okay. And so do I hear a
21
     motion to switch the order?
22
                 MR. WRIGHT: I move that we reverse agenda
23
     Items 2 and 3 and take up agenda Item 3, 3030 Jasper
24
     Boulevard first and 2708 Goldbug second.
25
0004
                 MR. HERLONG: Do I hear a second?
 1
                 MS. HARMON: Second.
 2
                 MR. HERLONG: Any discussion? All in favor
 3
     of the motion?
 4
                 MR. HERLONG: Aye.
 5
                 MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
 6
                 MS. HARMON: Aye.
 7
                 MR. LANCTO: Aye.
 8
                 MR. HERLONG: So the first item on the
 9
     agenda is 3030 Jasper Boulevard, new construction.
10
                     Randy, do you have -- can you fill the
11
     board in? And, yeah, you can go ahead and --
12
                 MR. ROBINSON: Again, this is an application
13
     for new construction. They are asking for a final
14
     approval. And, anyway, you have the plans in front of
15
16
     you.
                     The first thing is going to be a site
17
     plan of all the houses in the area showing their square
18
     footage, a survey, the site plan for the new structure,
19
     ground floor plan. You have a first floor plan.
20
                     Let me just bring your attention to the
21
     first floor plan. There is an accessory building on the
22
     first floor plan. It is a separate structure. It's
23
     built as a separate structure. I believe the applicant
 24
     is going to give you an option on something else he
 25
 0005
     might want to do with that accessory structure.
```

```
talked about it earlier today.
                    And then you have a second floor plan,
    third floor plan and, of course, elevations on all
4
    sides. The application worksheet, Form C, when I
5
    reviewed this I found a couple of errors on the
    submittal that need to be asked for.
7
                     There is, in setbacks in D, they need
8
    100 percent relief on the side yard -- I mean the side
9
    setback second floor.
10
                     Also, when you come down here to lot
11
    coverage on G, they have asked for some relief. You
12
    know, the way our ordinance is you can only use grass
13
    pavers, so they can't really get that relief.
14
                     I have talked with the applicant.
15
    can work that out during plans to put in a pervious
16
     surface around the pool or something like that to create
17
     the -- to keep them from having to ask for that.
18
                     The third story, they are asking for the
19
    maximum relief on that. I came up with a little bit
20
    more square footage. And, again, we can work through
21
     that. If you-all approve the plan, we can work through
22
     that to reduce it just a little bit. It's about
23
     11 square feet. It's not a lot of space.
24
                     Then when you come down to design
25
0006
     standards and principal building side facade, there are
 1
     two straight side facades on either side. I believe
 2
     it's -- on the east side it's a 35-foot wall and on the
     west side is a 50-foot side wall.
 4
                     If you look at the elevations, the
 5
     elevations show articulation, but it's more of a faux
 6
     articulation because when you look at the floor plan
 7
     it's pretty much a straight line.
 8
                     And then they do need to ask for the
 9
     foundation enclosure, because our ordinance says 4 feet
10
     solid wall and then an 8-foot lattice wall. And there
11
     is -- it doesn't appear -- I can't tell because there
12
     isn't any size on those, but I can't really tell on
13
     that. But I believe that you-all need to give him
14
     relief from that, also. And that is -- generally, just
15
     about every plan needs that relief.
16
                  (Mr. Craver entered the room.)
17
                 MS. KENYON: Billy, we are doing Number 3
18
 19
     first.
                  MR. ROBINSON: I'm done.
 20
                  MR. WRIGHT: Is there any difference in
 21
 22
     the --
                 MR. McCRERY: Yes, sir. And I thank you for
 23
     that introduction.
 24
                  MS. KENYON: 3030 is first.
 25
 0007
                  MR. HERLONG: Yes, and now you have a
  1
      ten-minute presentation.
  2
                  MR. McCRERY: Thank you, sir. Thank you.
  3
      am sure I won't use all of that.
  4
                      The original application, ladies and
  5
      gentlemen, if you look on this second page of drawings
```

in the original application booklet you will see here 7 the accessory building as proposed, very, very, very 8 close to the principal building, okay, very close. 9 And in consultation with staff, after we 10 had made the submission for this hearing, we realized, 11 well, I guess maybe to the letter of the code that may 12 or may not be acceptable, but certainly in the spirit of 13 the code as it has been interpreted over the years here 14 that that would probably not be the most transparent or 15 the most, sort of, code compliant application that we 16 17 could make. So, with your permission, I have 1.8 circulated today this new booklet. And the key 19 difference in that new booklet is an accessory building. 20 And if you look on the very first page of the drawings 21 in that booklet, that site plan, you will see the 22 accessory building pulled then away from the principal 23 building, separated from the principal building by the 24 covered porch. 25 0008 So now we have, instead of only 3-1/2 1 inches of setback between the accessory building and the 2 principal building, we now have more than 8 feet of 3 distance between the accessory building and the 4 principal building, if that makes sense. 5 MR. HERLONG: However, there is called out a 6 10-foot front yard setback. That is for the accessory 7 8 portion? MR. McCRERY: For the accessory building, 9 yes, sir. So, in that sense, it still remains 10 compliant. And we are not looking for any variances at 11 all for any of the yard setbacks, front, rear or side. 12 So the accessory building then does 13 comply with its setback, and the principal building does 14 comply with its setbacks. 15 So with your permission then, we would 16 like to then make this sole substitution of information 17 18 as our application. MR. HERLONG: So that is the extent of the 19 changes in the two sets? 20 MR. McCRERY: Yes, sir. Now, that has some 21 implications on the Forms B and C because there is now, 22 instead of that 14 percent number that Randy was 23 discussing with you on Form C, that it is now a 24 25 18 percent number. 0009 MR. HERLONG: Right, under unimpervious 1 2 coverage. MR. McCRERY: Yes, sir, that's correct. 3 MR. HERLONG: Okay. 4 MR. McCRERY: So there are some implications 5 of that design change. None of them accumulate to a 6 7 request for variance. All of our requests -- in fact, what you 8 will have here are no requests at all for a hard 9 variance. We are, of course, as you know, from reading 10 Forms B and C, we are asking for a number of areas of 11

relief within the legislative zoning code. 12 One thing I think that should be known, 13 this is a primary residence for a family that is living 1.4 on the island now. They live in the historic district, 15 and they have always wanted and hoped to be able to find 16 creekside property. Having done so, they are going to 17 be relocating. This is not an investment property or 18 along those lines. That may or may not be of interest. 19 Again, no variances are requested. 20 There are several areas of relief. We are looking for 21 relief in those charts. Those charts show exactly what 22 we are looking for. And Randy's discussion, too, 23 illuminates what we are looking for. 24 We have had very good discussions with 25 0010 staff throughout this entire process. And the 11 square 1 feet I can personally guarantee and stipulate for the 2 record will work and we will fix that. It's not our 3 intent or hope or work to get anything under the -- we want to be perfectly transparent in this and have a very 5 successful project. So we will work with staff in the 6 event that this is approved. 7 On the foundation, the question of the 8 foundation design, if you turn to any of the elevation 9 drawings it was this architect's understanding of the 10 language that the piers, meaning a solid foundation 1.1 element, so I felt -- I exercised the freedom of 12 designing, because everything you see in that foundation 13 level below the FEMA line is some form of lattice that 14 water will flow through so that it's all break-away 15 construction. None of this is solid masonry. None of 16 it is stucco. 17 MR. HERLONG: I get the sense I'm seeing 18 brick. I don't know if I saw brick surrounding the 19 pier, but that is a different lattice style. Those are 20 two different lattice --21 MR. McCRERY: There are two different 22 lattice styles, yes, sir, in order to invoke that 23 arcaded foundation. 24 That's nice. MS. HARMON: 25 0011 MR. McCRERY: However, if it is still 1 desired that there be a different arcuation or a 2 different sort of breakup of the facades, I am happy to 3 work with that, too. Let's see. Please, if you have 4 any questions. 5 In terms of neighborhood compatibility, 6 my client, Pat Marr, has provided this map here. 7 Because I think we submit, I submit, that 8 architecturally and stylistically we worked diligently 9 to develop a design that is complementary of the 10 tradition here, and not only complementary of it, but 11 continues it and participates within the architectural 12 historic traditions here on the island. 13 So I think if we can -- or if I could 14 concentrate my discussion of neighborhood compatibility 1.5 really on size, because our areas of requested relief 16

```
really amount to trying -- the client's desire to build
17
     as reasonably as large a house as he can on this
18
19
    property.
                     The property is tending toward the small
20
           The creek digs into its rear corner, all which is
21
     side.
     fine. We can't control or blame the creek, but we are
22
    working with a comparatively small site.
23
                     Here on this document you see a listing
24
     of the comparable properties that are creekside and in
25
0012
     the immediate vicinity, all of his neighbors running
1
     along Conch Creek of the eastern property Number 10 in
 2
     the upper right-hand corner and the westernmost property
 3
     Number 1, just a few doors down from the subject
     property that is highlighted in red in your document, I
 5
     believe.
 6
                 MR, HERLONG: Or it looks -- made dark.
 7
                 MR. McCRERY: Made dark, yes.
 8
                     The square foot of the -- the property
 9
     address, as you can see on the left-hand margin, and
10
     their corresponding sizes in square foot -- in terms of
11
     square footage down the right-hand side.
1.2
                     So we are neither trying to be the
13
     smallest nor, certainly, not the largest house on Jasper
14
     Boulevard, but we are trying to build comparably, and to
15
     do so in an architectural manner, and in size and shape
16
     and massing that is complementary to the community. I
17
     submit myself to your questions.
1.8
                 MR. HERLONG: Okay. Just stand by and we
19
     have a couple of more processes. Is there any public
20
     comment? Anyone wanting to make a public comment? The
21
     public comment section is closed.
22
                     Randy, do you have any final comments?
23
                 MR. ROBINSON: No, I don't.
24
                 MR. HERLONG: So now it's up to the board to
25
0013
     ask questions. And maybe, Billy, do you have any
 1
     questions, have any comments?
 2
                 MR. CRAVER: First, to apologize. I can
 3
     report that our swing span bridge works slowly and
 4
     frustratingly. But, other than that, I think the plan
 5
     looks great and I don't have a problem with the --
 6
                 MS. KENYON: It's not that plan. It's the
 7
     one in the back.
 8
                 MR. CRAVER: I know. I just keep looking
 9
     back at this one.
10
                 MS. KENYON: You kept looking over there.
11
                 MR. CRAVER: I have the right one. But I
12
     think it looks great, and I don't have a problem with
13
     the allowances you are looking for.
14
                 MR. HERLONG: Jon?
15
                 MR. LANCTO: I agree. I think it looks
16
     fantastic and the allowances are no problem. I think
17
     the neighborhood compatibility is great, so -- I mean,
18
     it looks good.
19
                 MR. HERLONG: Betty?
20
                 MS. HARMON: I appreciate you being candid.
21
```

```
MR. McCRERY: Yes, ma'am.
22
                MS. HARMON: You were very forthright in
23
     your presentation. And with other houses on Jasper, I
24
     have no problem with this house. I think it fits in
25
0014
    with neighborhood compatibility, so I'm okay with it.
1
                MR. McCRERY: Thank you very much.
                MR. HERLONG:
                              Duke?
3
                MR. WRIGHT: I have a curious question.
 4
     Randy, this lot, is this the last buildable lot on
5
     Jasper between here and Lot 3 where the creek cuts in
 6
     there? I am trying to get just -- I am curious. Are we
7
     going to see any more applications for construction
8
 9
     along there?
                MR. CRAVER: Joe Riley's lot hasn't been
10
11
    built on.
                MR. ROBINSON: That's right, Joe Riley.
12
                MR. CRAVER: And Poulnot's lot hasn't been
13
14
     built on.
                 MR. WRIGHT: What numbers are those? I
15
     think 49, maybe, and 37?
16
                MR. HERLONG: I think 49 for sure.
17
                 MR. ROBINSON: 49, and then I believe it's
18
19
     40.
                 MR. WRIGHT: So we ultimately --
20
                MR. ROBINSON: 49 and 40. 40 is a buildable
21
     lot. It's skinny, but it could be built on. And 49 can
22
     also be built on. And 49 is a half-acre lot, so you
23
     will probably see a pretty good size house.
24
                 MS. HARMON: What about 37?
25
0015
                 MR. WRIGHT: The lot next door to it --
1
                 MS. HARMON:
 2
                 MR. WRIGHT: -- might be buildable, too.
 3
     Right now it has a dock on it.
 4
                 MR. ROBINSON: Yes. They actually had a
 5
     permit to build at one time. They just decided not to.
 6
                 MR. WRIGHT: So we will see that filled in
 7
     eventually, I'm sure. Okay. I have no further
 8
 9
     questions.
                 MR. HERLONG: And, again, I have no issues
10
     with the relief. I feel like this is a very important
11
     property because it's sort of close to one of the
12
     entrances on the island and going to be very visible.
13
                     And there is a -- an earlier spec house
14
     was built adjacent, I believe, to this property, and,
15
     unfortunately, it lacks a lot of style, and this, I
16
     think, is going to be a nice addition.
17
                     I think there is some nice thought into
18
     the treatment of materials, changes in materials, just
19
     interesting and unique features to the house that I
20
     think will make it very successful, a nice addition to
21
     that side of the island, so I am in favor.
22
                     Are there any other questions, or is
23
     there a motion?
24
                 MR. LANCTO: I make a motion that we approve
25
0016
```

```
as submitted with the addendum submittal.
 1
 2
                MS. KENYON: Which stage, preliminary or --
 3
                MR. LANCTO: Final approval.
                MS. KENYON: Final approval. Okay.
 4
 5
                MR. CRAVER: I second.
 6
                MR. LANCTO: And grant the requested
 7
     reliefs.
 8
                MR. CRAVER: I second that.
9
                MR. HERLONG: So is there any comments, any
    questions? So shall we vote? All in favor?
10
11
                MR. HERLONG: Aye.
12
                MR. WRIGHT: Ave.
13
                MS. HARMON: Aye.
                MR. LANCTO: Aye.
14
                MR. CRAVER: Aye.
15
                MR. HERLONG: And no opposed.
16
17
     approved.
18
                MR. McCRERY: Thank you all very much.
                MR. HERLONG: I'm recusing myself from the
19
     second part of the session.
20
21
                 (Mr. Herlong recused himself from the
    application of 2708 Goldbug.)
22
23
                MR. WRIGHT: Nancy, for the record, Duke
    Wright assumes the chair in the absence of Steve Herlong
24
    who has recused himself for the next item on the agenda,
25
0017
1
     2708 Goldbug Avenue.
 2
                     Randy, do you have anything to say?
 3
                MR. ROBINSON: 2708 Goldbug Avenue, they are
     coming to you-all for conceptual approval.
 4
                     This property has been to you-all many
 5
     times, as you-all are well aware of, and has had final
 6
     approval to build an existing house on this property or
 7
     to redo the existing house on the property.
 8
                     The applicant, I believe, has a contract
 9
     on this house and is coming to you-all to ask if she can
10
     change the -- it is a smaller house than what was
11
12
     proposed originally.
                     They are planning on moving the existing
13
     house forward on the lot, and by forward I mean to the
14
     Goldbug side, and build a house back behind it.
15
                     We have talked about elevations.
16
     are keeping it down low to the ground. And they are not
17
     asking for any relief. Let me look a second and make
18
19
     sure.
                     They are asking for 100 square feet of
20
     relief on the principal building coverage and a 5-foot
21
     setback, side setback, for the relocated cottage. And
22
23
     that is all I have.
                 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Jim, your presentation?
24
                 MR. HENSHAW: I am Jim Henshaw with Herlong
25
0018
     & Associates.
 1
                     Many of you know Jodi Novak who is here
     tonight, and Jodi has been an island resident for many,
 3
     many years. And as many of you may know, she has
 4
     undergone some pretty significant changes in her life
```

over the past couple of years.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 0019

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 0020

> 1 2

> > 3

4

5

6 7

8

10

She came to us after finding this property at 2708 Goldbug knowing that it was the property she wanted to live at and enjoy the rest of her life with her family.

She knows the issues that have been before the DRB. She knows the history of the submittals and the presentations and the approvals that have been given on the property.

When she came to us she said she wanted to keep it simple. She wanted to keep the house design simple, given the features of the lot and the history of the cottage. And she was very clear with us that she wanted to keep the eaves of the house, the roof lines, low. She wanted to keep the massing small, and she didn't want to maximize the lot coverage. So you will see in the packet we are not trying to maximize the lot coverage.

The first issue with this property, as it always is, is how to treat that cottage. You have

seen a lot of different proposals and presentations over the years about where to put this cottage that is currently here on the site. You have seen it move forward, you have seen it staying where it is, you have seen it rotated, a lot of different things.

Jodi brought in a sketch to our office that basically looked like this, where she wanted to move the cottage up towards Goldbug Avenue.

And I think you have heard in recent DRB meetings that some DRB members and neighbors, even Council members, have asked why we are not doing that. It makes sense to move that up to the street, lower it down a little bit closer to the ground because it's on a street now, and let people appreciate the history of that cottage.

And you can see in the elevations, I think they are in your packet, how high we are proposing that that existing cottage be off the ground.

But we wanted to move it up towards Goldbug, and we wanted to move it to one side so that we could gain entry to the lot, to the rest of the property. We chose the west side, mainly because there is a mound on this side, and we want to come past that mound -- the mound is over here, some vegetation -- come past that mound into the lot and into the additions to

the property, which was a real issue, how do we park under this house.

You have seen proposals that have had an attached garage, a detached garage. If you want to park under it you have to raise the house up high enough to get under it, which kind of creates a massing issue with the existing cottage.

So we talked to Randy, and Jodi talked to her neighbors a good bit. And because the lot is 9 relatively high on this property, we talked about going down a little bit into parking bays so that the first floor elevation of the additions in here is kept relatively low.

So if you see your elevations, we are coming down a couple of feet into the parking areas, keeping that first floor elevation relatively low in relation to the existing cottage.

Another thing we did as far as the parking was we wanted -- let me back up just a second. The entry of the additions, which is right here, we wanted to be visible from Goldbug Avenue. That is why we pulled the cottage over as far as we could, and we have it 15 feet from this side here.

If we had done the two-car garage, park under here, it would have moved this entry further into 25 0021

the interior of the lot and not as visible from Goldbug, so we split it up and have one parking bay over here. So you come in past the cottage into a parking bay here, but there is also one over here. So the mass of that garage is not evident as you come into that lot.

We are asking for some relief on the side setbacks. And the only area that we are asking for relief is right here, because that is 15 feet off the property line. This is 20 feet off the property line, and this is 20 off the property line here.

So the additions are designed to meet the ordinance. They are 40 feet total, 20 feet each side. But when you look at the additions with the existing cottage, which is 15, the total is only 35. the relief that the DRB can grant is up to 10 feet, I think, off of that 40 feet. So we are asking for 5 feet of that over here.

We have only started to study the elevations, but the idea is to break up the mass of the house with some porch details and simple forms.

The proposed home, even at this conceptual level, we feel is -- it's smaller, it's more compatible with the neighborhood, and it's more sensitive to the site than anything that has come before the board and been granted approval for this site. So

we feel we are doing all of the right things to move it along in this approval process.

As Randy said, Jodi is moving towards closing on the property, with hope to receive some positive feedback and conceptual approval so she can move forward with that closing.

I think -- Jodi, did you want to say

anything?

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 0022

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

MS. NOVAK. Yes, if I could. First of all, I appreciate you reopening discussions on this lot. know you have had several meetings in the past on bringing some new ideas in.

One of the changes I'm going through is 13 I'm going through a divorce. I live over on Atlantic in 1415

a house that is about 5,000 square feet, and both of my

kids are in college, so I want to downsize. 16 And when I grew up in Michigan my 17 grandparents had a little cottage out at Cavanaugh Lake 18 about four miles out of town, and once they both passed 19 away, my family would move out there for the summers. 20 And as we kids got older we would live in the cottage 21 temporarily, you know, between college years and 22 whatever, and that is the kind of thing I want to create 23 here with the cottage. 24 My son is a junior at William and Mary 25 0023 and has decided he wants to come home and work for 1 awhile before figuring out what he wants to do for 2 graduate school. There is never any intention of renting Both my kids want to end up on Sullivan's 5 Island, and I plan to leave the house to them. I like a 6 real beachy, casual, natural kind of home, so nothing 7 ostentatious or anything like that. 8 I have met with Jimmy and Aussie a few 9 times and talked about plans and move things around and 10 try to be neighborhood compatible, as well as taking 11 their concerns into consideration. 12 The mound is over on Jimmy's side, and 13 that is why we moved the cottage that way. There is a 14 mound over by -- right near Aussie's house, and so we 15 are trying to, you know, not move the whole house toward 16 her house, but keep it 20 and 20, and then the master 17 bedroom and the guest bedroom on Aussie's side are all 1.8 one story. The second floor doesn't start until over 19 the living room area, so she wouldn't be staring at a 20 21 house. The existing house is now set forward on 22 the lot, and I want to move my house back so that would 23 give Jimmy more view from his back porch, which is 24 important to them. So, hopefully, this can kind of meet 25 0024 everybody's needs and create a nice home for me and the 1 Thank you. 2 kids. MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Is there any public 3 Yes, sir? comment? MR. HIERS: I am Jimmy Hiers. I am next 5 door at 2714 Goldbug, and I would like to thank the 6 board for all its patience and effort on this property, 7 and hopefully this house is going to be the pay-off. 8 I think it's much more compatible in terms of size and 9 10 mass. And one question that we still have is 11 that on the existing house that is there, it's going to 12 be attached to the new structure, and our one request 13 that we have made, and I don't know if you-all have been 14 able to accommodate it, was that we wanted to see the 15 cottage attached, heated square footage to heated square 1.6 footage, so that in the future, if the house possibly 17 changed ownership, that the existing cottage would not 18 be a separate structure able to be offered for sale as a

condominium unit. And I wondered if you could address

19

20

that heated square footage to heated square footage 21 Thanks. issue, Jim. 22 MR. HENSHAW: Do you want me to do that now? 23 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. 24 MR. HENSHAW: We have attached it by heated 25 0025 square footage. I think if you -- I know this plan is 1 small, but this is the existing cottage with a porch added on, or a refurbished porch. We are connecting it 3 with a stairwell and this bathroom from the guest bath 4 5 here. The reason we -- I hope both of you can 6 see that. One of the details that we wanted to create 7 is a porch here, not to separate the structures, 8 necessarily, but to give it some more relief rather than 9 1.0 mass there. So we are connected by heated square 11 footage to the cottage, it's just done in a little bit 12 unusual way just because of the configuration of the 13 14 lot. MS. GEER: So you have to leave the cottage 15 and go onto this porch to get into the main house? 16 MR. HENSHAW: That is right. This is a 17 heated stairwell that is added onto the house. 18 MS. GEER: But then you exit from the 19 stairwell to the porch? 20 Across the porch, right. MR. HENSHAW: 21 MS. GEER: Before you can enter the house? 22 That's right. MR. HENSHAW: 23 MS. GEER: Okay. 24 MR. HENSHAW: And, Randy, I don't know if 25 0026 you wanted to address any of that either. 1 MR. ROBINSON: I was going to say something 2 about that, that is addressed in the code, when it came 3 my time. 4 MR. WRIGHT: Any other public comment? 5 MS. GEER: I also appreciate all the time 6 that you have spent meeting with Jimmy and I. And, here 7 again, I appreciate bringing down the size of the house 8 and everything that you have done. 9 The cottage will be on our side, on 2702 10 Goldbug. It will be on our side of the property line 11 with the house coming down, which is fine, but I do have 12 real concerns about having the cottage not hooked heated 13 to heated. 14 And going out on a porch is not heated 15 to heated; that if another owner had the house that 16 could easily be closed off right there, shut down. And 1.7 you have got a structure that may share a wall, but you 18 enter from the outside. That would put two separate 19 structures, a rental unit, a condo, whatever, on the 20 21 property. Our neighborhood is all single-family 22 dwellings, they are all small, and we do have -- I mean, 23 it could potentially set up, because there is a condo 24 situation on the other side of Mr. Hiers, we could wind 25

```
0027
    up, in theory, with five families living on three lots
1
    that total less than an acre and a half, and that does
    give me concern as far as the future.
 3
                     I also request -- I can appreciate the
     reasoning behind it, but I request that given -- I feel
 5
     a large structure can fit inside the property setbacks,
 6
     and that the cottage, if it is moved forward, can still
 7
     go within the 20-foot setback on my side and allow room
 8
     for the drive and all to come into the garage without
     touching the dune that is next to Mr. Hiers on his side
10
     so that having a larger house next door, which I'm
11
     expecting to have anyway, I at least have setbacks left
12
     in place. Thank you.
1.3
                 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Anything further?
14
15
     Paul?
                 MR. BOEHM: I'm Paul Boehm. I understood
16
     that when the Town passed this ordinance about adding
17
     another structure to a historic house structure that
1.8
     there was already a deed restriction that was placed on
19
     the properties that prevented them from being
20
     condominiumized. Is that not true, Randy?
21
                                                I mean, there
                 MR. ROBINSON: Yes, there is.
22
     is an ordinance that says it can't be condominiumized,
23
     but that is not to prevent two people from owning the
24
     same piece of property. We can't control that.
25
0028
                 MR. BOEHM: Right. But in terms of a
 1
     condominium, you could not form a condominium
 2
     association with this property if you add another
     structure to the lot, right?
 4
                 MR. ROBINSON: And how could we control
 5
 6
     that?
                 MR. BOEHM: I understood the Town ordinance
 7
     controls it.
 8
                 MR. ROBINSON: Well, it does, but it still
 9
     can't dictate -- we still can't dictate ownership. So
10
     if two persons wanted to buy this property, and they had
11
     equal ownership, and one wanted to live in one and one
12
     wanted to live in the other, that is possible.
13
                 MR. BOEHM: That can happen with any house
14
     on the island.
15
                 MR. ROBINSON: Yes, that is always possible.
16
                 MR. BOEHM: But in terms of a condominium
17
     association, that could not be formed with this
18
19
     structure?
                 MR. ROBINSON: Not according to Town of
20
     Sullivan's Island law, but it still could be done.
21
                 MR. BOEHM: A condo or just joint ownership?
22
                 MR. ROBINSON: Joint ownership. Not a
23
     condo, but joint ownership.
24
                 MR. BOEHM: Thank you.
25
0029
                 MR. WRIGHT: Any further public comment?
 1
 2
     Yes, sir?
                  MR. HAYNES: Ashley Haynes. I live at 2720
 3
     Goldbug, a couple of doors down. I think it makes
```

perfect sense for most of the reasons that Jim said to preserve the look of that older cottage, moving it forward. It makes perfect sense. I support and approve of it.

MR. WRIGHT: Any further public comment?

MR. HENSHAW: If I could just address one more time about the configuration of the cottage, the placement of that cottage 15 feet away from the property

1.8

kitchen?

line.

I think having it 15 feet away, and having the rest of the house articulated the way it is on your side, and the cottage being up front on the lot doesn't do a whole lot of impact on your lot because of where it is.

I think that the important thing for the overall site here, the overall property, is to open it up a little bit so that you get the whole house compatible with the neighborhood.

And by pushing the cottage to 15 feet, we can see the entry and we can approach that whole structure now in a way that is a lot better than having

it hidden by the cottage. It gives it more of an entry.

And I think that is one of the main issues of neighborhood compatibility that we tried to address here with Jodi and talking to Jimmy and Aussie. But we tried to configure the site in a way that was very compatible with the neighborhood.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Any further public comment? Public comment section is closed.

Randy, do you have further comments?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes, I do have one comment about the heated space. I wouldn't consider this as sharing heated space as our ordinance says, but our ordinance does have a section in it that allows this type of treatment.

It says additions that do not share heated space with the principal building are allowed provided no kitchen facilities are allowed in the section that is separated and a deed restriction is placed on the property prohibiting rental as a separate dwelling. So that would have to be done with the small structure up front since it doesn't share a flowing heated space.

I mean, what the ordinance intended was to have heated connected to heated so you never leave the heated space to go into the other section. But

there is a provision in our ordinance that allows that, provided there is a deed restriction placed on the property prohibiting rental as a separate dwelling unit and it does not have a kitchen.

MS. HARMON: And it does not have what, a

MR. ROBINSON: A kitchen.

MS. HARMON: Okay, no kitchen.

MR. ROBINSON: And I do have a question on

my plan. You-all might have refined this by now. It 10 doesn't look like this guest bedroom -- there just isn't 11 any doors in it. I presume there will be doors. 1.2 MR. HENSHAW: It's very conceptual right 13 now. And, honestly, there could be more of a heated 14 space connection to the additions from the cottage, 15 there could be. It creates a longer corridor from that 16 main body of space, which is up by the marsh. 17 You can do it, but I think it affects 18 the architecture and the exterior and the interior flow 19 of the house. But it's an option, if that was the 20 defining point. It wastes a lot of square footage which 21 would normally be used on making this smaller -- we are 22 trying to create a smaller house. 23 MR. WRIGHT: I understand that. Randy, are 24 you done? 25 0032 MR. ROBINSON: I'm done. 1 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thanks, Jim. 2 board, any discussion? Billy? 3 MR. CRAVER: Gee, this has been here so many 4 different times I would have to reach into my brain to 5 try to figure out which file and which set of plans it's 6 7 in. I actually really like this. I think 8 that it's not trying to overdo it. You know, as long as 9 we add the no kitchen and the deed restriction, if they 10 want to set it up that way, I mean, nobody is going to 11 rent that as a separate dwelling. If they do, and it 12 has a kitchen in it, well, that is easy enough to stop. 13 So, you know, that is just a matter of dealing with it 14 if it happens. 15 I would start off with the assumption 16 that it's not going to happen any time, that anybody is 17 going to have to worry about it. 18 There are two good size oak trees there 19 that are right between you-all's house and where the 20 cottage will be. You know, until seven, eight years 21 ago, whenever we put the new ordinance in place, we had 22 10-foot side setbacks. 23 And I'm looking at the elevations here, 24 and I'm not good at this, but it does sort of work with 25 0033 what appears to be the mound over there next to your 1 house for the cottage to be where it is. 2 So, you know, I mean, I am probably okay 3 with the whole thing like it is. I would love to not have to see this one come back for conceptual approval. 5 I don't mind it coming back for final approval, hearing about all of these neat materials that will be used and 7 all of that to make it have the good Sullivan's Island feel. That has a really nifty feel to me, which beats 9 some of the other plans we have seen for this, so I'm 10 good with it. 11 MR. WRIGHT: Jon? 12 MR. LANCTO: This is so much better than the 13 other plans we have looked at for this property. If we

14

```
had to pick one or the other, I would pick this one
15
     hands above the other design that we have seen.
16
                     And, also, just briefly on the side
17
    setback, I think offsetting the cottage to the side
18
     gives it more identity on its own, better preserving the
19
     look and the feel of that cottage within its own context
20
     than sliding it in front of the main house.
21
                     So I would like to see it kept over on
22
     that side. I think it's going to be more
23
     neighborhood -- have better neighborhood compatibility
24
     slid over like it is right now than slide it even 5 feet
25
0034
     back. So I would like to see this go forward the way it
 1
 2
     is.
                 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. Betty?
 3
                 MS. HARMON: Nobody has asked the $64,000
 4
     question. What happens if you move this historic
 5
     structure and it collapses?
 6
                 MR. CRAVER: You end up with a reproduction
 7
     instead of a historic structure, but it will look just
 8
     like it's supposed to look.
 9
                 MR. LANCTO: It's going to have to be
10
     rebuilt the exact same way.
11
                 MS. HARMON: The exact same way.
12
                 MR. CRAVER: And we have other ones that, I
13
     mean, that are historic structures that --
14
                 MS. HARMON: That have been renovated.
15
                 MR. CRAVER: Well, they are really
16
     reproductions because there isn't anything original
17
18
     left.
                 MS. HARMON: Well, that is why we kind of
19
     changed the ordinances around a little bit so not so
20
     much could be done to them, so that they are remodeled
21
     or refurbished or just new little houses covered over.
 22
                     And I am also very, very concerned about
 23
     the heated space. I just don't like the fact that it's
 24
     not continuous heated space. I'm just worried that
 25
 0035
     something is going to happen there that will -- finances
  1
     change, and people have to do things they wouldn't
  2
      ordinarily do, and then they may have to rent this out.
  3
                      And I just -- and the neighborhood is a
      quiet -- it's a unique little section there of the
  5
      island that is unlike any other part of the island.
  6
      I think, if we are not careful, we are going to take
  7
      away the integrity of the serenity of the street and the
  8
      little houses, and that is what I'm most worried about.
  9
                      And while this is a nice house -- it is
 10
      a big house between the two smaller houses. And I think
 11
      to say, okay, well, we won't let -- you don't have to
 12
      heat it doesn't really set really well with me. I think
 13
      it should be heated.
 14
                  MR. LANCTO: It is going to be heated.
 15
                  MR. CRAVER: It is heated. The issue is
 16
      that --
 17
                  MS. HARMON: Well, it was covered. It's
 1.8
      not -- it's really not.
 19
```

```
MR. CRAVER: Yeah, it's heated.
20
                MS. HARMON: The porch is covered, he says.
21
                MR. LANCTO: This is all heated space, and
22
     that is heated space and that is heated space.
23
                MR. CRAVER: And it meets the requirement,
24
     but they can't have a kitchen and they have to put a
25
0036
    deed restriction that it can't be rented.
 1
                 MS. HARMON: But he was just saying you
 2
     could heat it from this end, right? There was an
 3
 4
     option?
                MR. LANCTO: Well, they would have to extend
 5
     this heated walkway into this covered porch.
 6
                 MS. HARMON: From here to here?
 7
                MR. LANCTO: They would have to extend the
 8
     stairway in, and then you have a different dynamic as
 9
     far as the elevations.
1.0
                 MS. HARMON: And, another thing, we don't
11
     have any direct way to get from the cottage to the new
12
     house without going outside.
13
                 MR. LANCTO: Right.
14
                MR. CRAVER: Well, that is the issue. That
15
     is the issue that they have raised. And the way that
16
     that issue is taken care of is -- the concern is that,
17
     okay, so you can rent the cottage out. But you can't
18
     rent the cottage out if you don't have a kitchen, and
19
     you can't rent the cottage out if there is a
20
     restriction, a deed restriction that says you can't rent
21
     the cottage out.
22
                     If they do rent it out, then the
23
     neighbors can go to Town of Sullivan's Island and say
24
     they are renting it out and violating the deed
25
0037
     restriction. So there are protections there.
 1.
                 MR. LANCTO: They are protected in two
 2
     different ways.
 3
                 MR. CRAVER: I mean, I personally would
 4
     rather, if I were doing it, I might jiggle it around so
 5
     that it was connected so I could have a kitchen down
 6
     there because it's remote.
 7
 8
                 MS. HARMON: Right.
                 MR. CRAVER: But I don't want to impose my
 9
     taste on their plan, and I think we are protected
10
     against the rental issue. I mean, I don't have that
11
     concern. You can't rent it out without a kitchen.
1.2
                 MS. HARMON: I can't remember what I was
13
     looking at. Is there a mound on Aussie's side with a
14
15
     setback?
                 MR. HENSHAW: There is near the existing
16
     house, and we are trying to --
17
                 MS. HARMON: But not down at this end where
18
     you are putting the cottage?
19
                 MR. HENSHAW: I don't think so. Is there,
20
21
     Aussie?
                 MR. WRIGHT: It's flat. The mound is on the
22
     marsh side. Facing the marsh, it's on the left.
23
                 MS. HARMON: It's at the back, right, out on
24
```

```
25
    the left. But it doesn't -- the closer you get to
0038
    Goldbug, the flatter it gets, right?
1
                MR. HENSHAW: In that area. The mound is on
     the other side.
3
                MS. HARMON: Right, right. All right.
    Well, against my better judgment, I guess I will say I
5
     could approve it, but I surely don't like the fact that
 6
     it doesn't have heated to heated space. That worries
7
8
                MR. WRIGHT: Is that it? Is that your --
9
                MS. HARMON: That is my speech.
10
                MR. WRIGHT: Your speech.
11
                    Randy, I am still a bit confused
12
     regarding who and when is the deed restriction imposed?
13
     Who does that, and when is it done to preclude this from
14
     being rented?
15
                MR. ROBINSON: Generally it's done before
16
     they get a CO on the property, somewhere during the
17
18
     building process.
                 MR. WRIGHT: So who issues that? Do you
19
20
     issue that deed?
                 MR. ROBINSON: Deed restriction, no. They
21
     take the restriction -- or they make up the deed
22
     restriction, generally will have me approve it, I will
23
     look at it, I will have our Town attorney look at it,
24
     and then they take it and record it with the deed.
25
0039
                 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Further, one other
1
     question in my own mind. I will have to go back to read
 2
     the ordinance. I am not clear on who and when you can
 3
     rent an accessory structure or part of a house on
 4
     Sullivan's Island to other than a family member?
 5
                 MR. ROBINSON: You can't. You are not
 6
     supposed to anyway. We have a single-family residential
 7
     island and it's supposed to be one family.
 8
                 MR. WRIGHT: Well, isn't that another reason
 9
     why we should not be concerned about it being rented?
10
                 MR. ROBINSON: Well, you would look at the
11
     definition of family.
12
                 MS. HARMON: That can broaden out.
13
                 MR. CRAVER: You know --
14
                 MR. WRIGHT: I need a lawyer.
15
                 MR. CRAVER: I really -- I just don't see
16
     that as an issue. I mean, it's just not set up to be
17
     rented. I mean, it would be one thing if there was a
18
     kitchen in there. And if they put a kitchen in, then
19
     they violated what they have been permitted to put.
20
     They have violated the ordinance, and they can be
21
     required to take it out.
22
                 MR. WRIGHT: Do I hear a motion? I think
23
     the motion should include specifically clear language
     regarding what we have discussed.
25
0040
                              So are we giving -- are they
                 MR. CRAVER:
 1
     asking for preliminary?
                 MR. ROBINSON: Conceptual.
```

```
MR. WRIGHT: Conceptual.
4
                MR. CRAVER: Conceptual approval. I never
5
    get these right, but I will try.
6
                    I move we grant conceptual approval to
7
    the plans as submitted, and that the approval is
8
    conditioned on compliance with the ordinance that says
9
    that there will be no kitchen in the relocated cottage
10
    and that a deed restriction would be put in place prior
11
    to the final -- to the CO being issued, and the deed
12
    restriction in compliance with the ordinance that it not
1.3
    be rented out.
1.4
                MS. HARMON: Could I just say one other
15
     thing while we are doing this?
16
                MR. CRAVER: Yes.
17
                MS. HARMON: You could rent this out and
18
     have a bed and breakfast. You wouldn't have to have a
19
20
     kitchen.
                 MR. CRAVER: You can't have a bed and
21
    breakfast on Sullivan's Island.
22
                MS. HARMON: I know you can't, but a lot of
23
     things happen on Sullivan's Island.
24
                MR. LANCTO: Well, they could do that at
25
0041
     your house, too.
 1
                 MR. CRAVER: Right. And I --
 2
                 MS. HARMON: But I don't have this
 3
     opportunity. I don't have a separate cottage that is
     attached and all I would have to do is go outside and go
 5
     in. I don't have that on my property.
 6
                 MR. CRAVER: I could open Billy's Bar and
 7
     Grill under our house and have an oyster roast and sell
 8
     beer and oysters.
 9
                 MS. HARMON: Well, it's something to think
10
             I mean, it just --
1.1
     about.
                 MR. CRAVER: Somebody would come along and
12
     say, wait a second, Craver, you ain't got a license, you
13
     are not zoned for it and you don't get to do it, and I
14
     think that is what would happen here.
15
                     If all of a sudden we had families
16
     living in there, somebody is going to say something and
17
18
     it will stop.
                 MS. HARMON: I just really, really don't
19
     like the fact that it's not all heated as one unit
20
     heated back to back. I think that --
21
                 MR. LANCTO: They might be hearing you and
22
     they might make some adjustments within the rest of the
23
     planning process, too.
24
                 MR. WRIGHT: Do we have a motion?
25
0042
                 MR. CRAVER: That was my motion. Does
 1
     anybody want to second it?
 2
                 MR. LANCTO: I second.
 3
                 MR. ROBINSON: Billy, you might want to add
     a little something to your motion.
  5
                 MR. CRAVER: I am wide open.
  6
                 MR. ROBINSON: That this conceptual approval
  7
     is specific to this actual plan, not any one part of the
```

```
plan, but the whole plan together.
                     My fear is that something may happen to
10
     Jodi before this comes to happen. You know, you never
11
     know what is going to happen. You want to make sure
12
     that you haven't just given approval to move this house
13
     up to the front of the lot by itself.
14
                 MR. CRAVER: Got you.
15
                 MR. ROBINSON: So try to be --
1.6
                 MS. HARMON: Very specific.
17
                 MR. ROBINSON: -- pretty specific that it
1.8
     includes -- the only reason you are allowing this move
19
     is --
20
                 MR. CRAVER: So it's conceptual approval to
21
     move the whole plan as a whole plan.
22
                 MR. WRIGHT: I would like for you to restate
23
     the motion completely, please.
24
                 MR. CRAVER: Okay.
25
0043
                 MR. WRIGHT: We get these motions that are
     all over the room, and it gets confusing to everybody.
 1
 2
                 MR. CRAVER: I will put quotation marks on
 3
 4
     it.
                  MR. WRIGHT:
                               Sorry.
 5
                              That's okay.
                  MR. CRAVER:
                      I make a motion that we grant conceptual
  6
  7
      approval to the plan for 2708 Goldbug Avenue that has
     been submitted in total as set forth for the whole plan
 8
  9
      and not any piece of the plan separately, and that the
 10
      conceptual approval and any future approval is subject
 11
      to compliance with the ordinance that says there will be
 12
      no kitchen in the cottage and that there will be a deed
 13
      restriction put in place, and that that deed restriction
 14
      would be put in place prior to the CO being granted on
 15
      the new property.
 16
                  MS. HARMON: And the rent.
 17
                  MR. CRAVER: That is what the deed
 18
      restriction is.
                  MS. HARMON: Well, let's -- would you put it
 19
 20
      in?
 21
                                The deed restriction that the
                  MR. CRAVER:
 22
      cottage can't be rented out separately.
 23
                                Okay. Do I hear a motion?
                  MR. WRIGHT:
 24
                                That was the motion.
                   MR. LANCTO:
 25
  0044
                                The motion is on the floor.
                   MR. WRIGHT:
  1
       I'm sorry.
                                I will second the --
                   MR. LANCTO:
   3
                               Second the motion?
                   MR. WRIGHT:
   4
                                Second the motion.
                   MR. LANCTO:
   5
                   MR. WRIGHT: All in favor?
   6
                   MS. HARMON:
                                Ave.
   7
                                Aye.
                   MR. LANCTO:
   8
                                Aye.
                   MR. WRIGHT:
   9
                                Aye.
                   MR. CRAVER:
  10
                                Unanimous.
                   MR. WRIGHT:
  11
                   MR. LANCTO: May I be excused?
  12
                                Thank you, Jon.
                   MR. WRIGHT:
  13
```

```
(Mr. Lancto left the meeting.)
14
                 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Herlong is back and Mr.
15
     Lancto is gone.
16
                 MR. HERLONG: Item 4, staff approval.
17
18
     Randy?
                 MR. ROBINSON: We have none.
19
                 MR. HERLONG: So the meeting is adjourned.
20
                 (The meeting was concluded at 7:00 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
0045
     STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
 1
                               )
 2
     COUNTY OF CHARLESTON
                              )
 3
        I, Nancy Ennis Tierney, Certified Shorthand Reporter
     and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at
 4
     Large, do hereby certify that said meeting was taken at
     the time and location therein stated; that the meeting
     was recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
     transcribed by computer-aided transcription; and that
     the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of the
     meeting.
 7
        I certify that I am neither related to nor counsel
 8
     for any party to the cause pending or interested in the
     events thereof.
 9
        Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official
10
     seal this 28th day of April, 2010, at Charleston,
     Charleston County, South Carolina.
11
12
13
14
 15
 16
17
18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
                      Nancy Ennis Tierney
                      CSR (IL)
 24
                      My Commission expires
                      April 6, 2014
 25
```

THE DECISIONS OF THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD SHALL BE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE CERTIFICTE OF APPROPRRIATNESS. THESE MINUTES WILL BE USED AS AN OFFICIAL RECORD TO THE DECISIONS MADE UPON RATIFICATION.

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED THIS DAY OF MAY, 2010

STEVE HERLONG, VICE-CHAIRMAN

DUKE WRIGHT, SECRETARY