In The Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island

Sullivans Island Design Review Board May 15, 2013

A. William Roberts, Jr. and Associates
We're About Service...Fast, Accurate and Friendly
(800) 743-DEPO
www.scheduledepo.com



A. William Roberts, Jr. & Associates

court reporting | videography | trial presentation nationwide scheduling www.scheduledepo.com | (800)743-DEPO

Original File Design_Review_Board_Sullivan's_Island_-_130515.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	PAT ILDERTON, CHAIRPERSON	
4	MARK HOWARD, BOARD MEMBER	
5	RHONDA SANDERS, BOARD MEMBER	
6	JON LANCTO, BOARD MEMBER	
7	BILLY CRAVER, BOARD MEMBER	
8	RANDY ROBINSON, ACTING ZONING ADMINISTRATOR	
9	KAT KENYON, PERMIT TECH	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

25

historic structure.

4 1 MR. ILDERTON: Great. MR. ROBINSON: And that's all I have. 2 I 3 will save any comments for later. 4 MR. ILDERTON: All right. Applicant? 5 MR. SELVITELLI: Good evening. I'm 6 James Selvitelli. I'm a project architect with 7 Herlong & Associates, and I am here to present our project for 2061 Pettigrew, which is falling over. 8 But it is for our clients, Pete and 9 10 Carol Budko, who are here with us, at the back row, this evening. 11 12 Pete and Carol have this property 13 currently under contract, but their contract is 14 contingent on them getting some of the square footage released, and thereby going before you 15 16 tonight. 17 One of the reasons, the main reason they are asking for some of this relief is that they have 18 19 a large family. They have five children who are basically young adults now, and they want to make 20 21 sure that they can use this home extensively for 22 vacations and holidays and family gatherings. 23 As Randy said, it is a traditional 24 island resource, and so we are utilizing the

50 percent exemption that we are able to through the

25

ordinance.

We are also seeking another 20 percent increase in principle building coverage, a 7 percent increase in impervious lot coverage, and a 6 percent increase in principle building square footage, which is what we are here before you tonight asking.

We're basically here at a level of conceptual review, and we are working continuing on the elevations as we go, but what we'd really like is to put before you the reason for looking for this relief, and hope that we can get some deliberations on that so that Pete and Carol can go forward with their purchasing the property.

DRB is able to grant up to 25 percent increases in impervious lot coverage and principle building square footage, but we're really not trying to max out those categories. The reason we are going to the full 20 percent that you guys can grant for principle building coverage is because the existing structure is entirely a one-story structure. So it's not very efficient. If you stack the floors, you would have a lower ratio of building coverage.

So the addition we are doing, would say a story and a half, or one story, story on the roof,

I'm trying to fit that second floor in under the roof lines of the new addition and hold it back from the beachside, and also hold it back as best we can from the street.

Then in trying to make that principle building coverage is why we went to a story and a half, to be a little more efficient about how we're constructing it. The design of this addition is just for bedrooms, additional bedrooms for their children.

Let's see. The proposal intends to leave the house, the existing structure, in its current position and really not do too, too much with the structure.

We're asking for a gable on the south that brings more light into the existing living room, which is kind of hidden back behind the porch. We're adding a bay in the master suite, a bay at the breakfast room, which is under that existing roof structure of the porch, south-facing porch. Including a bay in here. And these two side bays are in the lines of existing walkable open porch spaces on each side.

We are doing a small additional on the front. Asking for a bathroom. Little porch here.

And creating the little entry foyer to get into the house. But the main addition is via connector here. Doing this length addition space connection. It's a one-story. Trying to do a real low roof, and kind of pulling a little bit further away from the street.

The house is visible on Pettigrew. It's the last house on Pettigrew. This would be the school lot. So really, the view into this, if you were approaching, is really looking this way. So by holding the addition to the west, we're able to preserve some views of what the existing structure was.

Per zoning, we can have a 25-foot setback from the street. We're about 46 feet here to the porch and another 6 feet, so 52 feet from the street to the face of the structure. So we're trying to hold it back.

We obviously also want to build along the west side because they get some great views.

It's kind of a unique lot, that they have those views on the west side instead of just on the south. They're also trying to maintain their distance from their neighbors on the east, their only residential neighbor, nextdoor neighbor, that is.

So we're preserving the ability to leave the driveway exactly as it is. Remember, no changes to the curb cut coming down the driveway to maintain -- there's an existing garage space under the northeast corner of the house. And then trying to get a couple more garage spaces under the new addition.

Let's see. I'd like to go through some exterior elevations, if I could. And I apologize, because of the time constraints that Pete and Carol have on sort of moving this contract forward with their real estate agreement, we have still been working on some of the elevations.

On the bigger changes, I'll show you on the next series of elevations, is how we're treating the addition.

This would be the south elevation, the beachside of the house. You can see that's the breakfast room. It falls under this existing roof line. This is the new gable dormer we're trying to put in to get some light into that living space, which is -- at the porch, there's about 12 feet deep, so it's further in more.

And you know, it's fairly low slung. We're following the same kind of roof lines and

shallow roof pitch as the existing main gable of the house and the windows. The maximum could be about -- I think, that's the ceiling in that interior space is about 12 feet. So we're really, you know, the top of those windows has to fall within that structure. We're not trying to add some second floor space into this house. It's to get some light into the center.

Let's see. This is the east elevation.

Again, we're doing a small bay here. If you've seen or when I showed the west elevation, there are a number of little bays with shed roofs on it, which just project out a couple of feet, so we're not falling suddenly from the existing vocabulary of the house.

And this is the connection to the addition, so it's set back. Obviously, we're looking from the east, so this is much further forward than the connection than this house. This would be the garage, and this is where we have stairs going up to the second floor area.

What we tried to do, we're following a wraparound porch at the new addition, picking up on some of the wrapping porch, the vocabulary of the guardrail, handrails on the porch above, similar

square post for this simple detailing. Not trying to make anything, you know, not too assuming.

We are still looking at the roof lines of the house. In the other elevations we'll show you, we've been going to a straight roof, rather than doing this curved form, and that's something I think Pete and Carol are looking for us to do more. And see where that goes in the next...

So here, these are the northwest elevations, north being the street side. This is the addition in here. That's part of the existing structure that's set considerably further back. So again, as you're approaching, you'd look in, you'll be able to see into this sort of courtyard, if you will, feeling. The wraparound porch, the real structure of -- it's on the site plan -- back in here is about 24 feet wide. So this roof line is falling, you know, just a nice, long, shallow gabled roof, and that's the form you're seeing here, is this. Trying to make that look like a dormer built into the roof line of the house.

So trying to keep it scaled down, keep it scaled down from the street so that roof, what you're seeing is the dominant roof form, is making its way back to a ridge. So it starts out well with

the one-story porch level and continues back.

It also, you know, the gable is going the same way as the main gable of the house beyond it, and we are -- by going -- as opposed to that previous drawing I had of the side elevation, by going to the shallower pitch next to the pitch of the main gable of the existing house, we bring that roof flap down a little bit, and it also sort of falls in line with the roof line, more or less, of the existing house. So we're trying to really keep the scale of this down.

From the beachside, again, you know, beach being way up here, you're looking up, probably won't see as much of that house from the beach. So we think it's really important that we're trying to keep this. And the Budkos really wanted us to sort of keep that historic structure on the beach, that nice, low slung porch, and try to keep the size of it, and scale it down.

From what you had in your packets, we had been showing on the west side, full porch across the back, open porch on all three of these bays, and reduced that by changing the roof form, just having this one walkout porch on the second floor. So we're trying to scale that back down, and again,

make this from the street more symmetrical.

Sorry, you can't --

You'd probably seen, not sure, in some drawings, but that was in here, and that west elevation used to be porch all the way across here. And we just reduced it by taking the roof down all the way outside of the porch instead. It's down there. So that's where we're going with the elevating.

Again, this connection, the pool would fall in here. It's a raised pool. This structure, that would be behind -- that would look like the typical poured concrete here with wood slats, but the pool would be up here on this deck. And the low connecting piece is what we're trying to sort of differentiate in some way of what is the new addition and what was the existing structure, so that still holds its form.

We are lowering the floor level of the addition. You can see here this is sort of the existing deck level of the existing house. We're dropping about a foot down, and so this garage is about nine feet, so we're not up as high. And again, trying to just keep that scale down. So we're trying to do a number of things to keep this

from getting too high and not looking like a full-blown two-story structure.

In doing the design, we have been looking through the ordinances' list of standards of neighborhood compatibility, and I'd just like to run through those items now.

Some photos of the lot. That will just give you what these are. This is from the ocean, that's 2061 Pettigrew. From that corner, the McCarthy residence right there. I'm not sure if that's the Lewis house. All of the other structures along the beach on Pettigrew are either one and a half or two-story structures at this point. This one has actually had further work on it, and has more prominent porch lines on it. We're trying to keep, again, that low slung look from the beach.

Here's the aerial photos. Here's what we're doing in here. This is the site for the school. McCarthy's house. What we found, the compatibility, neighborhood compatibility standards that I guess the Board is supposed to be reviewing when talking about this relief.

Asked about the setbacks. Again, what you're seeing along the street is a lot of the main structures are about 40 feet back or so from the

street where there might be some smaller ancillary structures closer to the street.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, we could be at 25 feet by the zoning setbacks, but we're trying to hold that structure back in here and along that side, so we're not up on top of the street and not going further back so encroaching it further on views from people coming down the street.

Next item on that is massing and orientation. I've been through that. We're really trying to keep the mass down, again, not making a real massive house up close to the beach. Our office in the past moved the house that was existing and turned it towards the back of this side and then built a slightly larger structure up front. There's other projects like that going on right now. We know it's possible, but again, Peter and Carol really want to say, let's keep the historic house as much as we can, do some alterations and work on -and some work on it, really, to keep that scale down, and then try to do an addition towards the back or some more -- not really the center of the lot, but not right up to the beach and not right up to the street.

It also talks about penetration and

doorway placement. It's fairly consistent with a lot of the houses on the island. We're using double hung windows and pairs of French doors, and just taking as much of the views as we can across the south elevation. And this lot, a lot of views coming across the west that will be afforded because of their view across the school. So we're keeping there.

Talks about placement and use of the porches. Again, we have what's really a wrapping porch on the back half of the building here. We're trying to set up some of that same vocabulary towards the street and some smaller porches along the way. A series of these lower bays that can be seen in the existing elevations that look like sort of small additions over time, which is what would seem to have been done along this house.

I've already talked about placement, alignment of driveways. We're not changing the driveway. It will still be exactly as it comes in now, just kind of turn off and gain a little bit more of that driveway to get into the garage of the existing house. We're not trying really to mess with how it is on the street.

Roofs and roof pitches, again, we're

really trying to stick with some of those low slung roofs. Work with simple detailing. A lot of it is just one by wood and, you know, simple trim along the columns that are square post, but, so a little bit of trim. They're not trying to make this ostentatious house on the beach. And we think we're falling within a lot of the architectural styles that work within the neighborhood. And again, that sort of says other factors. I would say, you know, for us, a lot of it is just maintaining that house feel on the beach. And that's my presentation.

MR. ILDERTON: Thank you.

MR. SELVITELLI: Thank you.

MR. ILDERTON: Is there any public comment to this application? Yes, ma'am?

MS. WEBB: My name is Mary Webb, and we own 2062 Pettigrew, which is behind this structure. This is Barbara Foote, another one of the owners. And we wanted to come today because it is right in front of us, and our house is also one of the historic houses, which is very probably one of the few left on the island that hasn't really been changed at all. And we want to be good neighbors. You know, we know that these will be great neighbors to have. But our concern is especially with looking

at the plans, I'll just be honest, it's about
25 feet of how far it's going to come back is to the
pool area? And we just -- this is really hard
because we've had that house for 55 years, and we
don't want to take a position that makes them not
buy this house. They seem like good residents of
the island, but this is a major -- it would be a
major difference from the street.

And I am reassured by the fact that they said they have a large family because we were worried about the parking. This is a dead end.

This is a dead end in that area in front of the school. So with the number of bedrooms that were planned for this particular house, we were concerned with how would there ever be any parking because of the outside parking would be slight with the coverage of the lot that this house would have.

I just didn't know if there was any possible way not to run the entire line. I mean, this house is going to run the line a good bit. Is there any leeway with that 25 feet that's going to be the pool area, for that to be shifted? Or I mean not, you know, for the pool to be shifted to a different location so that that house wouldn't run the entire line and come so close back onto us,

because we have a very simple little structure, you know, just one story. The Markses are behind us, not directly behind us, but one over. Their house is almost like a mirror of ours. The Hazeltons, which was next to us, was destroyed in Hugo, and Bob Prenter has done a good job of rebuilding that.

But it's difficult. It's difficult because we're the ones that are going to be affected by the school, which we did not object to. We've never come in here objecting to the school, and we also don't want to object to the point of somebody losing the sale of their property. But I'm just wondering if there's any leeway in either height or in running that entire line back down the property, that 25 feet where the pool is between the main house, the old house, and the new house. That's pushing that structure back onto us.

Does that make sense?

MR. ILDERTON: Right, right.

MS. WEBB: It's not -- it's going to put it further towards the street than we are, or the Prenters are next to us, or even the house in front. I mean, I would love to see the addition switched to the other side because, I mean, frankly, I think this is one of the best pieces of real estate on the

```
1
    Eastern Seaboard. This is a phenomenal piece of
2
    real estate. You have 180 degrees. Nobody else on
3
    the island has that. And in a perfect world, that
4
    addition would be switched to the other side, and
    you could still see. I don't think I'm going to --
5
6
    I mean, I'm smart enough to know that we're not
7
    going to get that, but is there any work that can be
    done to push that addition a little bit, I mean,
8
9
    back off the street so it didn't run so close up,
10
    because it's --
                MR. ILDERTON: We're 40 feet now from
11
    the lot line?
12
13
                MR. SELVITELLI: Forty-six from the
    porch --
14
15
                MR. ILDERTON: Forty-six feet from the
    back of the house to the street side of the lot?
16
                MR. SELVITELLI:
17
                                 Yes.
                MS. WEBB: I think it's about 63 feet.
18
19
                MS. FOOTE: In addition to 63 feet.
                MS. WEBB: When you include the pool
20
21
    area.
                MR. ILDERTON: Right, but it's 46 from
22
23
    the lot line. And then so -- I mean, I'm just
    wondering, I'm just asking, the back of the house,
24
    as designed, is 40 feet from the lot line; is that
25
```

1 correct?

MR. SELVITELLI: Forty-six, yes, from the lot line to the columns of the porch. There's a wraparound porch, and then steps back another 6 feet to the actual first floor walls and what you see up above this border.

MS. WEBB: But we're not even, I mean, I'm just saying that we're not going to see the wraparound. What we -- the bulk of the living areas is in front of us, because the wraparounds go to the pool and they go to the water side. So the bulk of the living area is actually, you know, we're not looking at porches. We can probably see a little bit of the side of the porch.

MR. SELVITELLI: No, it's --

MS. WEBB: Unless you changed it.

MR. SELVITELLI: No, this is what we submitted. This is all porch right here. This is you're across the street --

MS. WEBB: Right. But on the top floor there is no porch, correct?

MR. SELVITELLI: There's not a porch.

There's a dormer up here, and you'll see this roof
line coming back. Instead of seeing porch columns
in a two-story porch all the way, we actually

```
1
    brought that volume down on the back side.
2
                MS. WEBB:
                           So that it's not this one?
3
                MR. SELVITELLI: It's not. See where
4
    this porch now is going away, built a diversion.
                                                       So
5
    you're going to see more roofs like that, but that
6
    roof is glancing back at about a, you know --
7
                MS. WEBB: Is it going to be even with
8
    the front?
9
                MR. SELVITELLI: Yeah.
                                        It's going to
    be -- it's going to match this side. So you're
10
11
    mirroring this on this side. You won't see columns,
    you won't see that porch, which actually would have
12
    been out in front of it. So we're cutting that back
13
    further.
14
15
                MS. WEBB: Any help with pushing it
           I mean, is it a -- is it a --
16
    back?
                MR. HOWARD: When you're talking about
17
    just really this hallway section that entails the
18
19
    hallway into the swimming pool, you're talking at
    that point there, it would back up?
20
                MS. WEBB: Yeah. If the hallway and the
21
    swimming pool were not there, then the entire thing
22
    would push 25 feet towards the -- and I know they
23
    need a pool, but I was just wondering, is there any
24
    other way to have it, because it will affect --
25
```

we're going to have the school on one side of this, and we have a dead end here. And we're going to be -- it's going to be like a box.

MR. HOWARD: And you're directly across the street?

MS. WEBB: We're right behind. We are exactly behind and right next to the school. So I mean, it's a Catch 22, because I don't want a good buyer to not be on the island, but it will impact us if that addition is far -- that far back. Is there any other way to conform that?

MR. ILDERTON: Yeah, I mean, I don't know if it is or not. I mean, it seems to be 46 feet from the lot line is a good ways away already. I mean, from the lot line, then of course, there's another 40 feet of street before you get to your property, and then whatever your property setback is, whatever it is, 20, 30 feet. I mean, there's -- I mean, I don't know, I'm not there, I haven't walked your property and all. But it seems to me it's well off -- I mean, it's well off the street -- I mean back the way it's designed. I mean, it's 46 feet off the property line, which is a long ways. I'm not trying to say, I'm just trying -- and maybe I'm just trying to say, it may not be as bad as you

could imagine. I don't know, you know.

If somebody put out stakes or something there on the property or even high stakes so that you can see that and envision, you know, where, from your porch, where it's going to be, could it help.

But I -- you know, I don't know.

Let's go on. Is there any other public comment to this section, to this application? We know the objection or the possible objection.

MS. WEBB: Right. I mean, it is -- I mean, it is an objection. I'd like to try to work -- I mean, I'd like to try to see if there's anything that can be...

MR. HOWARD: It's a concern.

MS. WEBB: It's a concern.

MR. ILDERTON: Right. Jon -- Randy, you want to add anything to this?

MR. ROBINSON: I do. You know, in looking over the application, I was looking over the compliance worksheet, and things are okay with principle building coverage and principle building square footage. But then I get to the impervious coverage, and I was running some numbers, and I went back to the Request for Review Form, and looked at the numbers under 21-26 Impervious Coverage. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when I add those numbers up, I come up with 5,424 square feet and -- but then at the bottom here it says 6,146 or 4,988. What is -- we're just not -- I don't know. MR. HEINLEN: We're taking off the 1,158 square feet for the historic exemption. We're getting to the 61, and then we're subtracting the 1,158 for the historic counts. MR. ROBINSON: Okay. So --MR. HEINLEN: That's how we got to that number. MR. ROBINSON: So where did the 6,146 come from? MR. HEINLEN: 6,146 is the -- that's the actual, and then we just took the exemption off at the end.

MS. SANDERS: On the second page where it says lot coverage, impervious coverage, where you have 4,651, it should actually be 6,146, and then?

MR. ROBINSON: And then it doesn't -- it doesn't make sense, because I did the lot coverage calculations on 30 percent, I came up with 4,439. I added in the exemption that you get, and it comes up with 5,597. What I'm getting at here is, you can't get any more impervious surface exemption, unless

```
1
    that exemption is -- consists solely of materials
2
    such as grass, pavers are employed are that allow
3
    vegetative material such as grass to permeate the
4
    surface, giving the appearance of grassed areas.
5
                So you don't get any impervious surface
6
    coverage other than the historical exemption.
7
                MR. HEINLEN: That's right. And the
8
    exemption is basically 2,300 square feet. So we're
9
    only counting half of that, and that's where the
10
    1,158 came off.
11
                MR. ROBINSON: Correct. And so your
    total allowed is 5,597. So you're not increasing
12
    above 5,597, are you?
13
                MR. HEINLEN: We're bringing it back
14
15
    down to 49 --
16
                MR. ROBINSON: 4,988.
                MR. HEINLEN: Yeah.
17
                MR. ROBINSON: Okay.
18
19
                MR. HEINLEN: That's how we got to that.
20
                MR. ROBINSON: I just want you to be
    well aware. I mean, you know, the Design Review
21
    Board can grant all this, I just don't want to come
22
23
    up with permitting, and all of the sudden go, oh,
24
    no, here we are with something that can't be
25
    permitted, so...
```

1 Right, right. We're MR. HEINLEN: basically starting with the assumption or the hope 2 3 that we're going to take the 50 percent historic exemption on all three categories. And then we're 4 going with what the DRB can approve from there. One 5 6 is the full 25 percent, and then we go on 6 and 7 7 percent, I think. 8 MR. SELVITELLI: Seven percent was due 9 to the principle building coverage because of the 10 inefficiency of the existing one-story historic 11 structure. 12 MR. ROBINSON: But you're only using 6 13 percent of the exemption, is that --MR. SELVITELLI: Six percent of the 14 15 potential that the DRB can grant beyond that. MR. ROBINSON: No, they can't grant any 16 17 beyond that is what I'm telling you. 18 MR. SELVITELLI: Steve --19 MR. ROBINSON: Steve might be wrong. 20 MR. SELVITELLI: I thought he had a meeting with you, and basically said that those 21 22 grants, we can get the 50 percent historic and then to further --23 MR. ROBINSON: No, sir. No, sir. 24 Not 25 unless it consists of materials such as grass

```
1
    pavers, you know.
2
                MR. HEINLEN: Just on the impervious or
3
    on all three categories?
4
                MR. ROBINSON: Just on impervious.
                                                     You
    know, you can get 25 percent, but it's just on
5
6
    impervious. I mean, just on grass pavers, not on an
7
    impervious surface. So you might have to rethink
8
    that 6 percent.
9
                MR. HEINLEN: That's on 2,126?
10
                MR. ROBINSON: Correct.
11
                MR. HEINLEN: Okay. So it's 6 percent
12
    that we would need to squeeze out of the impervious?
13
                MR. ROBINSON: Correct.
14
                MR. HEINLEN:
                              Right.
15
                MR. SELVITELLI: While some of that
    is -- would that kind of be added driveway that was
16
17
    gravel driveway?
                MR. ROBINSON: Well, the gravel driveway
18
19
    would be impervious surface, so I mean...
20
                MR. HEINLEN: We were -- I mean, when we
21
    did the calculations, I think we -- you know, we
    counted all the stairs and the -- I don't know if
22
    there's a five-foot rule coming up the stairs, I
23
24
    wasn't clear about this.
25
                MR. ILDERTON: Assuming that's going to
```

be adjusted in your plans, let's go on.

Jon, you want to start this?

MR. LANCTO: Well, I have a hard time with the change from the street. I feel like we're drastically changing the street view of a historical structure. I know we've had a precedent for this in the past. We had a house down here on Middle that we did that not too long ago, but I felt like that that was a positive change because I wasn't really thrilled with the street view of the house as it was.

In this case, I really like this house from the street. Again, I have a much harder time totally dominating the existing structure with a two-story structure from the street.

The other thing is that I'm really disappointed in the applicants' presentation here of not having everything for us, understanding what the impervious rules were coming into the meeting, and the nextdoor neighbor not having accurate drawings to review before we sat down here tonight.

I would like to see this all work out, not -- I think there's some ways, but I'm just not comfortable with those different items being a last minute application, basically.

MR. ILDERTON: Mark?

MR. HOWARD: I could almost ditto what Jon said in everything. But what I would like to report, when I visited the site, I did see two very large deer on the lot.

MS. SANDERS: Are you kidding?

MR. HOWARD: No. Very large. But anyway, I do think something can be worked out, but I do have a lot of problems with the numbers, and I also have a lot of problems with the drawing. I've never seen what you presented to us tonight. It's not in here. I think the roof line is a problem. Like I say, the entire coverage thing is a bit of a problem. Conceptually, I'd like to see something work, but I'm not seeing it here.

MR. ILDERTON: Rhonda?

MS. SANDERS: My first thought and suggestion is to minimize what you're requesting, first of all. And minimizing, I think it would help separate the historic and the new structure if this hallway, which is totally inefficient, is not a hallway. It's just a porch breezeway and it's separate. Maybe you'd have a roof, maybe you'd have -- but you just have air go through it instead of heated walls and windows and heated space. It

doesn't really make sense to me. I mean, especially if you're -- it doesn't, you know, there's not a kitchen over here. It doesn't look like it's a separate unit. It's for family and...

MR. SELVITELLI: Well, the idea was, you know, to keep it protected from the elements and have it directly connected, and so that sort of feeling like it's a secondary quest house.

MS. SANDERS: Well, no, I wasn't saying guest -- I mean, it's still connected, but I think that would break it up, so it doesn't look like one long house.

MR. SELVITELLI: Okay.

MS. SANDERS: And I think you would help the breezeways for neighbors and themselves, and I don't know. Yeah, and it does look like it takes up a lot of impervious coverage, without redoing Randy's calculations or what have you.

MR. ILDERTON: Well, for my part, I guess I'm not worried about the coverage because Randy is going to take care of that, and y'all can work that out, and that's not really -- my concern, anyways, is what it looks like.

In the plans you showed, I thought it looked fairly workable to me because they showed a

detached two-story or story-and-a-half structure that doesn't impact the historical house too much, because it is a pretty important house.

And the dormer on the front really complements that. I think that's not -- even though it's a direct look from the beach, I don't think that's going to take away from it.

So I think -- and maybe if y'all did drive some high stakes out on the property to show the back of the property where the -- what the new drawings or these drawings here reflect, maybe the neighbors might see that it's far enough away that its not going to be offensive and all, I don't know. But I think it's workable with your adjustments. So I think it's -- I think it's workable enough where y'all -- is this the final or?

MR. SELVITELLI: This is conceptual.

MR. ILDERTON: I think this is workable enough. But for me to give approval for it conceptual, knowing that things are going to have to get worked out better, a few points, but that's the way I'm thinking. So, anyway.

MR. BUDKO: Can I make a comment to address some of this?

MR. ILDERTON: Sure.

MR. BUDKO: I'm Pete Budko. This is my wife Carol. I guess we're the applicants. In regards to the time, in terms of maybe not having everything completely done, we did enter into contract fairly recently. We've got a relatively short time frame. You know, the initial school of thought was, you've got a big family, you need a big house, jack it up, move it, add onto it, build a big McMansion as much as the rules could allow, and you know, do what seem to be what a lot of other folks had done. We were adamantly opposed to that.

We wanted to keep the character of the house. We love the house. We're keeping probably 90 plus percent of it intact and in view. One of the reasons we didn't want to simply attach the addition to it, it's a fairly unique U-shape from the street, and it's very difficult to try to just bolt something onto that. It really wouldn't look proper. And so a lot of the time was, frankly, the resistance of listen, just go the route and build a, you know, big house. We're coming from the Isle of Palms and we've seen enough of those. We really want to keep the character. So that's a little bit of the time.

In regards to the connector, we've

got -- James mentioned -- we've got five kids and a growing brood of grandkids.

MS. SANDERS: That's why you want to have a bigger connector over there.

MR. BUDKO: Finally, that's the grandkids', you know, whatever you want to call it, bunk house. And you know, my first thought was exactly like yours, let's just build a breezeway to connect that thing. But then I couldn't imagine, you know, grandkids on Christmas morning slopping through, you know, blowing rains, everything, in pajamas, coming into the main house. So that's one of the reasons we wanted to keep that.

So again, I just want to throw it out there that the number one concern of ours was to frankly maintain as much of an old Sullivan's cottage as possible and, you know, work with these guys to try to get that done.

MR. ILDERTON: I think you're making a good point, because the fact that you're leaving the house intact, in place where it is, is very historic, whereas other places we're working on right now have been -- even though we've preserved the property, we've taking them and turned it around and we've replied on taking them out of their

historical contact. What you're doing is much better for that house where it sits.

MR. BUDKO: And I think it's a good idea to stake it, because we actually have done a little bit of that. And when you come in, because you don't drive in from the dead end side, from the school side, so that view is not changed at all, because there was never a view there to begin with. The view is coming in on Pettigrew. And as you make that corner turn into the driveway, you still see much of the same existing house in the roof line sticking up there, which is why we wanted to put that addition sort of as much as we could to the side, to maintain that historic view.

MR. LANCTO: That is a good point. I hadn't really stopped to consider that maybe enough, that you approach that house as on a dead end street, so your approach would be more of a angular approach.

MR. SELVITELLI: You really are. If we, you know, pulled it over, you're not -- you're going to block Sullivan's Island view.

MR. LANCTO: Right, you do. On that basis, I guess the two-story front structure has less of an impact on trying to preserve a historical

structure.

MR. SELVITELLI: Again, as I said, not trying -- you know, we are here conceptual, and what we are just trying to get through is some grant on the relief. And we could go back and refigure our numbers for the lot coverage. I think what we want to do is make sure that Pete and Carol can get to a place where they feel that they can go forward with their sale. We can work with you on the elevations in scaling that back and staking out the lot, as Pete suggested.

MR. ILDERTON: Great.

MR. HOWARD: I think you're working conceptually, I think. Is there any chance on this pool, that this pool is on a deck, then there's a deck in the backyard, could it be put on the backyard deck? I haven't even seen the backyard.

MR. BUDKO: What's he calling the backyard? The beachside?

MR. HOWARD: Yeah.

MR. BUDKO: No. It's already too close to build it there.

MR. HOWARD: But you have a deck back there. If this pool can be placed on the side deck, you got any place on the back deck?

MR. BUDKO: Doesn't make much sense.

MR. SELVITELLI: That's roofed over.

This porch and the pool needs to be out in air, and it's actually narrower than where we're showing the pool. It's a small pool, but that space in there, I think, would be a little narrow for it. It would change the form of the pool. To do those pool walls on the beach side, they have to be pretty heavy and made of concrete to stand up to wave action. I think we'd be looking at a fairly slim pool.

MR. HOWARD: Like I said, I didn't look at the beachside or walk back there, but I was curious because the pool was the issue, and I'm wondering where, you know, where the pool might have to go.

MR. HEINLEN: In fact, whether there's a pool there at the connector or not, we've intentionally separated the historic structure from the new structure trying to -- trying to have them read separately instead of one mass. And that was part of our approach to try to keep the scale down.

MS. SANDERS: And my first thought about making that breezeway instead of what to do would save you some heated square footage and impervious square footage if you needed to, and it kind of

looks tight. That was just, you know, where my math --

MR. LANCTO: The impervious wouldn't change in that case.

MR. ILDERTON: Can I get a motion on this application?

MR. LANCTO: I would have just one more question for the applicant, and that would be, in order to address the neighborhood compatibility and the neighbors' concerns. Based on what you heard here tonight, are you prepared to go back and work with the neighbors to try to reduce -- to try to reduce the --

MR. SELVITELLI: We can look at that. I mean, we'll have to sit and talk with Pete and Carol. What we haven't heard from is, we have the other neighborhood, who's their nextdoor neighbor on the east. And his house is right in next to here, and he sent us a letter today. He came in our office. He's actually a past client of ours.

But, you know, he's happy that we haven't tried to set the house back further and put a big project up in the front because we'd block even more of his views or be right on top of his side.

So you know, if we start shifting this around, we're changing the driveway, we're changing the curb cut, we're changing the mass, the view from the street, and we're affecting a different neighbor.

So we're trying, by holding this back, again, nearly the 21 feet beyond what the setback requirement is, trying to be a good neighbor to our neighbors across the street as well. The main view is on the west side. They're obviously paying a premium for this lot. You know, it sounds fair, but they're paying to do this lot. They want to take advantage of the view. If you buy a -- you know, you want to put your house where the view is. And they're trying to stay away from their neighbors, I understand it.

MS. WEBB: There's no chance of moving the addition to the other side?

MS. FOOTE: I'm Barbara Foote. I'm on 2062 Pettigrew, behind them. Speaking of the views, we have a small view now. If there's a two-story addition in the back, then our view will be about this.

MR. McCARTHY: This is Ean McCarthy. I live next door, and I went to review the plans

today, and I think as the applicant said, they've been very good at conserving the existing structure, not raising the existing structure, which they could do quite easily. But they've narrowed the amount that's going to actually be raised one and a half. It's a very small structure there.

So I think for the -- with respect to

So I think for the -- with respect to the neighbors behind them, they have the view across the old house just as they do today, with a very small amount of intrusion. They could have gone in and taken the whole house up to that height, and they would be quite entitled to do that. So I give them a lot of credit for keeping the view from the height.

MS. FOOTE: Our only view is from the side of the house.

MR. McCARTHY: Plus, you also have the whole other view to the side across the school.

MS. FOOTE: And we can't see over there.

MR. ILDERTON: I think it is a good point, that coming down the street on Pettigrew, you can see the old house with this plan. That's because it's open to the street this way, whereas there's no view going this way because it's a dead end. So you can see the existence of the old

structure there from the street, as far as a pedestrian or whatever else, as far as keeping -- keeping the compatibility or the idea of an old Sullivan's Island, who's still somewhat in the consciousness of anybody that wants to look at it. So that would be negated somewhat if the whole thing was moved to this side, as you -- nobody would hardly ever see that, because it would be -- because there's nobody over there. There's a school that's over there.

So I mean, I just think that's a point to consider as far as when we look at these structures, part of the -- part of the idea of why this Board is here is just how the street scape feels, as well as what this house looks like from the ocean and everything else. How any pedestrian, whether you live here or not, sees these structures. And that's just a point I wanted to underline.

Yes, sir?

MR. PRESSON: Everett Presson. I'm representing the buyer on the sale of this property. But I think the main thing, the main viewpoint from this house is from the ocean, it's from the beach, because not that many people are going down Pettigrew because it's a dead end street. But what

they wanted to preserve was the look of that house from the beach. And it is iconic. And they did say that, unlike most of the other people on the front beach where they made huge changes to the front of their house in order to make them bigger.

So they went kind of the opposite way, instead of adding height and size to the front where they could have enhanced their views. In order to preserve that house and how it looks from the ocean, they went to the back. So in order to add onto that house, if you're going to allow them to do that, that's really the best way to go, other than picking up the house, moving it to the front, and putting a big addition on the front, like this Board has allowed other folks to do. So I think as far as being -- what they're doing is minimally, minimally invasive to the look of the house, so...

MR. ILDERTON: All right. Thank you.

MR. HOWARD: The problem I'm having in moving on this is that, like, I don't -- I don't actually have the plans that you have in front of me. I haven't. The plans you showed tonight, I do not have.

MR. SELVITELLI: You have the exact site plan that we showed tonight. We have been working

on the exterior elevations. The square footages and the relief we're looking for haven't changed. In doing that drawing, what we've been doing is trying to scale back the roof lines and make as many changes as we can to recline it.

Again, because our clients are under constraints, we wanted to get before you and say, here's what we're doing, we are here conceptual, and we can continue to work with you on scaling back the elevations or making some changes. But what we're hoping for is to get the relief so that Pete and Carol can know, yeah, we can get the five-bedroom house that our family needs, we should go forward with this purchase and sale. That's what we're hoping to get to this evening, and that's up to you.

MR. ILDERTON: Yes, sir?

MR. WEBB: Rutledge Webb, 2062

Pettigrew. We're the house behind it. I was wondering about kind of scaling back the massing just a little bit. There's a second floor porch on the west side. You know, my experience is with this kind of porch is they're never used. Is there a way we can get that roof line a little smaller by not having that porch? And also, the back porch on the Pettigrew side kind of wraps around. Is that really

necessary?

I'm a real estate agent. I fully understand the use of the land. I mean, somebody who's paying this much money for land has got to put a house that's up to the value of the land. I mean, the numbers just have -- they just have to do that to make it work. But how many bedrooms is the house actually going to have? Are you going to lose the bedrooms under the house on the ground floor? Are those bedrooms going to go away? And bedroom -- the room that's marked as TV room, is that actually going to be a TV room or is that going to be a bedroom?

MR. SELVITELLI: It's a TV room.

MR. WEBB: Okay. I mean, is there -are they going to have a TV in the main -- in the
play area room that overlooks the pool and the TV in
the TV room, or is that TV room going to become a
bedroom?

MR. SELVITELLI: It's not the intention to make another bedroom on that deck. The -- you were talking about exterior elevation on the second floor porch, and that's one of the things the members were just explaining to me about. We have added drawings. We scaled back that porch so that

the roof line is the same on both sides of that north elevation, so that you do get a...

MR. WEBB: And I came in late. I got caught by the bridge.

MR. SELVITELLI: You were looking from the street elevation a good ways.

MR. WEBB: Okay.

MR. SELVITELLI: And but having a porch here, and what was a porch all the way across the back. This is what we're showing now. This roof line comes all the way down, so that you have, again, this roof that comes down, and comes down to one-story. This being the porch in here, we're trying to pick up on some of the wraparound porches that you see across the street and the other houses around the island, it's just you see the wrapping porches. You know, we are trying just to keep scaling it down to get to a place that makes sense. But as you said, they're paying a premium for it and want to --

MR. WEBB: I understand. I just don't want to look at a -- I mean, we're going to -- we've got a little view now. And eventually, as the Maritime Forest, you know, gets older and older, we're going to probably lose most of our views as

those trees start pushing further and further out.

I just want to make sure that the massing is no modulus. No, you know -- kind of scale is right.

MR. SELVITELLI: This is the existing.

These are what's going up along the beach, gets
larger and larger houses. It's going to be the only
remaining house on the ocean side of Pettigrew, is
one story from the beach. We're trying to keep that
mass down and not overdone.

This is an example of the O'Shaughnessy residence, which I believe is 10th and Middle. The original house is way back there. They did what is much more of a two-story addition all the way back. It's a lot higher than where we're putting our garage, but it's somewhat similar.

Our roof line would come all the way down, wrapping porch. And this is something we looked. At, and we looked at it on Google and, you know, the volume, what you're not seeing is this massive addition going all the way back. It's a lot closer to the street than what we're trying to do.

Again, there's some examples right along the beach, of houses that were historic, where they've been turned and moved back. There's another one going on right now, you know, a number of

```
different firms have presented, and we've met at our
1
2
    office, but we think we're really trying to keep the
3
    scale of the beach as best we can, so ...
4
                MR. ILDERTON: All right.
5
                MS. SANDERS: I agree with Pat. I think
6
    y'all have a lot -- I mean, I think you have a good
7
    plan to work with. I don't -- I think I'm speaking
8
    for a lot of people here that we would like to vote
    on the plans that we have in front of us. I think
9
10
    you're going to get there. I can't make a motion.
                MR. HOWARD: I can't.
11
12
                MR. LANCTO: I make a motion that we
    approve for conceptual comments stated by the Review
13
    Board, and grant up to whatever the limit is that
14
15
    actually works out mathematically.
16
                MR. ILDERTON: All right.
17
                MS. SANDERS: I can't do that.
                MR. ILDERTON: No second?
18
19
                MR. HOWARD: No.
                MS. SANDERS: I think next meeting
20
    you'll get it, but I don't think you're there.
21
                MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I would like to see
22
    more of the conceptual firm before we approve it
23
24
    conceptually.
```

MR. ILDERTON: Well, as the Chairman, I

25

```
1
    can't second or I'd second, but I can't. So we
    don't have any motion on the Board. The motion is
2
    not carried.
3
4
                So do I hear a motion from anybody?
5
    We've got to have something.
6
                MR. HOWARD: Oh.
7
                MR. ILDERTON: We could add more.
                                                    Jon
8
    could restate his motion to add more conditions in
9
    that motion that might make the other Board members
10
    more comfortable in their -- in their -- a few more
    conditions, because this is just -- this is
11
12
    preliminary. This is not saying they can do it.
13
                MR. LANCTO: That's right. That's
14
    right.
15
                MR. ILDERTON: So that, I mean, if they
16
    come in with stuff that's still not acceptable to
    the Board members, it can be voted down. It doesn't
17
    mean it's got to be approved the next time.
18
19
```

MR. LANCTO: Right. And if the neighbors come in on the next meeting and say they've not made any changes, addressed any of our concerns, we can stop the process at any point along the way here. We've got two more chances.

MR. ILDERTON: Because this is conceptual.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANCTO: Well, what we're doing by allowing a conceptual approval, is to allow these people to complete their transaction and to work through some of these drawings and design issues that -- that you have a concern about.

I know that the drawings weren't exactly what you wanted to see coming in here, and it was not great to hear that there was a problem with this impervious coverage as well. But I think that, you know, if you put yourselves in these people's shoes, they would like to get going with this project.

MS. SANDERS: I'm sorry, but a lot of people do, and we've got to maintain some consistency. We have seen more complete -- more complete plans set in front of us that we have said to go back to the drawing board, we have not approved conceptually even so.

And I just -- you know, I'm sorry, I can't do that. I think it's fine. I think y'all will work it out, but I don't think it's worked out today. I don't think we have a good set of conceptual plans to approve, so...

MR. HOWARD: How long -- you got to understand, I agree. I think we've got a conceptual, that I think you're going to get

```
something done here, but there's just a lot left.
1
2
    But with that knowledge of whatever, I guess they
    can approve a conceptual approval. But like I say,
3
    we need to see a lot more than what we're getting
4
5
    here.
6
                MR. ILDERTON: What you're saying is
7
    conditional on --
8
                MR. HOWARD: Conditional with everything
    that we -- with all the concerns here.
9
10
                MR. ILDERTON: All right. Do we have a
11
    proper motion?
12
                MR. LANCTO: My motion still stands as
13
    stated then.
14
                MR. ILDERTON: Okay. Do I hear a
15
    second?
             Second.
16
                MR. HOWARD: Yeah, right.
17
                MR. ILDERTON: All into favor?
18
                MR. LANCTO: Aye.
19
                MR. ILDERTON: All opposed?
20
                MS. SANDERS:
                              Aye.
21
                MR. HOWARD: Aye.
22
                MR. ILDERTON: Okay. A little more work
23
    to do. Thank you very much.
24
                MR. ILDERTON: 2213, I don't think,
25
    Randy, we can hear that, can we?
```

```
1
                Sorry.
                Right, because I have to recuse myself;
2
3
    is that not correct?
4
                MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, you don't have a
    majority of the Board here.
5
6
                MR. ILDERTON: Right, right. Don't have
7
    the majority.
8
                MR. SMITH: Am I off the hook?
9
                MR. ROBINSON: You got a notice to
    bring?
10
11
                MR. ILDERTON: You're recused.
                                                 Sorry
    about that, Doug.
12
13
                MR. SMITH: No worries, man.
14
                MR. ILDERTON: 2420 Goldbug. Randy,
15
    what do we got?
16
                MR. ROBINSON: Okay. Hang on just a
    second. Be right with you.
17
18
                Okay. 2420 Goldbug. This is not a
19
    historical structure, it's not in a historical
20
    district. Wanting to do an addition to the second
    floor family room. Porch is in place, and existing
21
22
    sun deck in the rear of the house, and removing the
    base at the second floor, increasing the square
23
24
    footage by 288 square feet. What they're asking for
25
    is a 7.5 percent increase in principle building
```

square footage.

You know, I really don't have any problems with the design or anything. I just, you know, don't have the numbers on the neighborhood houses, and of course, this is all increases are based on neighborhood compatibility without knowing what the other neighbors are. It's kind of hard. I did bring that up to Beau before the meeting, and he said he would present some numbers, so...

MR. ILDERTON: All right.

MR. CLOWNEY: I don't think we have numbers. We have photographs.

MR. ROBINSON: You got photographs?
MR. CLOWNEY: And we have images to

state, that show compatibility, basically.

MR. ROBINSON: Okay.

MR. FLEMING: Yeah. Our -- our argument for compatibility is -- is based more on the fact that because of the way that this street is, the house is on the street, which we've got an aerial photo here. This is 2420 Goldbug here. Raven Drive runs along here. What we're proposing is a expansion on the second floor, adding a family room on what is now an existing sun deck. So it wouldn't be -- we wouldn't be increasing the footprint of the

house at all. It would be going over an existing screened porch, but you know, our neighborhood compatibility argument in this particular case has to do with the visibility of this, and all the properties along this street here from Raven Drive.

I mean, the house is basically not visible from the street. And when you're on that property, you're not aware of your neighbor's property either. It's just so heavily vegetative. So, you know, we feel like what we're adding is -- I mean, this house was built, I'm not sure exactly when, but, you know, like late '80s, '90s. So it's a fairly common rear elevation, the existing elevation.

We feel like what we're doing is, you know, making a change. We're adding, you know, some more stylized, you know, elevation now. It's got a lot more detail. It's more characteristic of Sullivan's Island, a lot of architecture. And so you can see this is that second floor family room addition here. There will be two small porches on either side. And again, this is, you know, now currently, what you're seeing here is this railing. This is existing second floor sun deck, which is exactly where that's going to be going.

```
MR. CLOWNEY: And I'm Beau Clowney.
1
2
    just wanted to say one other thing too, is that, you
3
    know, we are allowed, I believe, 25 percent overage.
    We're asking for 7 percent. And so it's really 300
4
5
    square feet on an existing sun deck, basically. And
6
    it's really not visible from the street. We feel
7
    like all the things that we're doing are going to
    embellish the mood and sphere of the house when
8
9
    you're on the property. And it just -- it stacks.
10
    So we're not asking for any more additional land.
11
                MR. ILDERTON: I know this house, and
12
    it's -- you hardly notice an addition at all.
13
                MR. LANCTO: No, no.
14
                MR. CLOWNEY: That's really all we want
15
    to say.
16
                MR. HOWARD: I was a little curious as
    to -- the dormers are being removed just to get flat
17
18
    wall?
19
                MR. FLEMING: Yeah.
                                      The house,
    currently, if you look at this floor plan, we're
20
21
    getting rid of these bays here. And then these bays
22
    on the side, when you're in this existing second
23
    floor, I mean, there's so many ins and outs, and
24
    drives and everything, we're just trying to
    regularize this plan a little bit more and make it
25
```

```
more functional. Clean it up a little bit, I guess.
1
2
                MR. ILDERTON: Randy, anything else to
    add on this?
3
                MR. ROBINSON: Not a thing.
 4
                MR. ILDERTON: Applicant?
 5
                Rhonda, you want to start on it?
6
 7
                MS. SANDERS:
                              I'm not mean, I swear.
 8
                MR. ILDERTON: You do what you did,
9
    don't worry about it. Remember the last time, I
10
    voted opposite you and everybody was cool with that,
    or last meeting I was at.
11
                MS. SANDERS: If the guy didn't have
12
13
    such an attitude, he might not have had a problem.
14
                MR. ILDERTON:
                               Mark?
                MR. HOWARD: No, this is fine.
15
16
    by there. You're right, it will improve it.
17
    don't see a problem. Although, do we have a
18
    conceptual? I guess we still have to deal with this
19
    neighborhood compatibility --
20
                MR. ROBINSON: We don't have to.
21
    mean, that's what everything is based on. But I
22
    mean, if you feel it is not going to increase the
23
    mass and scale of the house, let him go.
24
                MR. HOWARD: Well, it doesn't, I mean,
25
    but you're only asking for conceptual anyway?
```

```
MR. FLEMING: Right.
1
2
                MR. CLOWNEY: We'd like to get final, if
    we could.
3
                MR. ILDERTON: I know this neighborhood
4
    pretty good. You're not going to affect anything in
5
6
    this neighborhood.
7
                MR. CLOWNEY: I don't know that you need
8
    this to come back, but this just shows that we're
9
    not doing anything that's egregious to the
10
    neighborhood.
11
                MR. HOWARD: Well, that's what I'm
12
    saying.
13
                MS. SANDERS: I think it's an
14
    improvement. I like that.
15
                MR. ILDERTON: Yeah, it is an
16
    improvement.
17
                MR. HOWARD: We could authorize final.
18
    I guess we have this neighborhood, whatever.
19
                MR. LANCTO: I would move we approve for
    final.
20
21
                MR. ILDERTON: Okay. Do I have a
22
    second?
23
                MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I second it.
                MR. ILDERTON:
24
                                Everybody in favor?
25
                MEMBERS OF THE BOARD:
                                        Aye.
```

```
MR. ILDERTON: Billy, a senior member
1
 2
    just showed up came. I'm going to designate you
 3
    Chair, and I'm going to recuse myself.
 4
                MR. CRAVER: 2213-C Middle Street, is
 5
    that it?
 6
                MR. HOWARD: Yes.
 7
                MR. ROBINSON: Okay. 2213-C Middle
    Street s coming before y'all. They want to extend
 8
9
    the porch on the front of this building. The porch
10
    roof basically comes out, and it's kind of an eave
    over the deck, and they want to bring that porch all
11
12
    the way out to the front part of that deck so the
13
    whole deck gets covered.
14
                MS. SANDERS: Makes sense to me.
15
                MR. ROBINSON: Now, that's what was
    asked for in the application, but when I start
16
17
    looking at the plans, I started seeing a handicap
    lift and a change to the handicap ramp. Is that
18
19
    going to happen or is that just -- was that just
20
    part of the conceptual plan?
21
                MR. SMITH: It's part of a conceptual.
    I mean, I think we're asking for final approval.
22
    am at a little bit of a loss. I was asked to come
23
    in at the last minute, and I was going to present --
24
25
    I couldn't make it, but it's my understanding that
```

```
1
    if the ramp is abandoned and we put a lift in at the
 2
    end.
 3
                MS. SANDERS: Do you need a whole bunch
    of kids running up and down that ramp?
 4
 5
                MR. ROBINSON: So you're basically
 6
    asking for that also?
 7
                MR. SMITH: Yes, yes.
 8
                MR. HOWARD: But you can make the porch
 9
    bigger?
10
                MR. SMITH: No.
11
                MR. ROBINSON: Well, it would.
                MR. HOWARD: Right here, right now,
12
    there's a handicap ramp here.
13
14
                MR. SMITH: Well, yeah. I guess that
15
    the floor space under the roof would be like a gas
16
    mat.
17
                MR. ROBINSON: I don't think that's an
18
    issue.
19
                MS. SANDERS: No, I think that's an
20
    improvement. A ramp is nothing but a kid thing.
21
                MR. CRAVER: So that was the applicant's
22
    presentation?
23
                MR. SMITH: No, it went well. Kind of.
                MR. CRAVER: Randy, did you have any?
24
25
                MR. ROBINSON:
                               Well, I'm going to have
```

```
something after he talks.
 1
 2
                MR. CRAVER: Okay. Yeah. All right.
 3
                MR. SMITH: And there really isn't much
    because it's really self-evident. You know, we're
 4
 5
    not increasing the footprint, we're not increasing
 6
    impervious area, and no changes to use or parking or
 7
    anything. So all we're doing is we're covering the
 8
    existing footprint deck with a roof.
 9
                MS. SANDERS: Will the pitch of the roof
    be changing? I was trying to -- I couldn't tell. I
10
    mean, I don't see -- I don't think you can just take
11
    it straight out.
12
13
                MR. SMITH: No.
                                 It's going to be -- I
    believe it's going to be a little less of a pitch
14
    coming out of the roof, like most roofs do that on
15
16
    the porch.
17
                MR. LANCTO: So that the impervious
    coverage would increase if they put that lift in?
18
19
                MR. ROBINSON: I believe it's over
20
    concrete there anyway.
21
                MR. LANCTO: Yeah.
                                    Okay.
22
                MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, I think this is
    concrete there. I don't think there's an increase
23
24
    in impervious.
25
                MR. LANCTO: All right.
```

```
MR. CRAVER: I think that would be a
 1
 2
    real improvement.
 3
                Okay. Anything? No? Any public
 4
    comment? Do you want to be our public? Say
 5
               Randy, any final comments?
    anything?
 6
                MR. ROBINSON: The only final comment I
 7
    have is, I'm going to ask Doug to put a wheel stop
         Remember where we talked about putting a wheel
 8
    in.
    stop where the walkway should go through there?
 9
10
                MR. SMITH: Yeah.
11
                MR. ROBINSON: Somehow, the wheel stop
12
    disappeared.
13
                MR. SMITH: I wonder who took that?
14
                MR. ROBINSON: I wonder.
15
                MR. SMITH: Yeah, we have some wheel
16
    stops.
17
                MR. ROBINSON: If you could put that
18
    wheel stop in so it would extend that, that walkway.
19
                MR. SMITH: Oh, that's our intention, is
    for that walkway to be continuous in front of all of
20
21
    those commercial buildings.
                MR. HOWARD: Well, while you're doing
22
23
    that, you may want to do something there for
    blocking the handicap ramp from people getting too
24
25
    close to that and running into that.
```

```
1
                MR. LANCTO: Well, that would be going
2
    away.
3
                MR. SMITH: Yeah, the handicapped space
    would then be moved to the other side.
4
5
                MR. HOWARD: I'm not -- I meant the
6
    elevator, that you need to plan something to block
7
    traffic from the elevator.
8
                MR. SMITH: Absolutely.
9
                MR. LANCTO: It would be a great place
10
    for a handicap parking spot, right?
11
                MR. HOWARD: Right there, yes.
12
                MS. SANDERS: Can you put the handicap
13
    where the ramp is, the thing?
                MR. HOWARD: I'd actually recommend it
14
15
    if you're not planning it.
                MR. SMITH: That's?
16
17
                MR. HOWARD: To put the handicap parking
18
    right there in front of the new ramp.
19
                MR. SMITH: Lift, absolutely, yes.
20
    new space would move to -- into beside the lift.
21
                MR. CRAVER:
                             Okay.
22
                MR. HOWARD: I was actually suggesting
23
    putting the lift where the ramp is.
24
                MR. SMITH: Oh.
                MS. SANDERS: Because the restaurant
25
```

```
1
    would use more of the deck space that would be
2
    blocked. And where Elizabeth is, for the ramp
3
    there's no -- there's no --
4
                MR. SMITH: I can agree to that.
5
                MR. HOWARD: I don't think there would
6
    be enough room. It's going on the side, right?
7
                MS. SANDERS: No. Taking the ramp out
8
    the right.
9
                MR. SMITH: Yes.
10
                MS. SANDERS: Yeah. Anyway, just a
11
    thought.
12
                MR. CRAVER: All right.
13
                MR. HOWARD: I think it would be more
    user friendly for the tenants. It's none of my
14
15
    business.
16
                MR. CRAVER:
                             No, no, it's --
17
                MR. HOWARD:
                             Rhonda, you can say it.
                             You done?
18
                MR. CRAVER:
19
                MR. LANCTO:
                             Yes.
20
                MR. CRAVER: You done?
                                         Rhonda?
21
                MS. SANDERS: I'm done.
                MR. HOWARD: No, I think we've covered
22
    it. We're fine.
23
                MR. LANCTO: We're good.
24
25
                MR. CRAVER: You're done. You're done.
```

Sullivans Island Design Review Board - May 15, 2013

		62
1	MS. SANDERS: Motion to approve final.	
2	MR. HOWARD: Motion to approve as final.	
3	MR. CRAVER: Final approval.	
4	MR. LANCTO: I second.	
5	MR. CRAVER: All in favor?	
6	MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Aye, aye.	
7	MR. CRAVER: Thank you very much.	
8	(The Hearing was concluded at 7:10 PM.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Maria D. Dempsey, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing

I further certify that I am neither related
to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or

interested in the events thereof.

transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 28th day of May, 2013, at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

Maria D. Dempsey, RPR My Commission expires: October 13, 2019

<u> </u>	31:14	8:9	60:2	begin (1)
A	adults (1)	appearance (1)	Aye (7)	34:8
	4:20	25:4	3:16;49:18,20,21;	behind (10)
bandoned (1)	advantage (1)	Applicant (4)	55:25;62:6,6	6:17;12:12;16:17;
57:1	38:13	4:4;37:8;39:1;54:5		18:2,3;22:6,7;38:2
bility (1)	aerial (2)	applicants (1)	В	39:8;42:18
8:1	13:17;51:20	32:2		beside (1)
able (4)	affect (2)	applicants' (1)	back (59)	60:20
4:25;5:14;7:11;	21:25;55:5	28:17	4:10;6:2,3,17;7:18;	best (4)
10:14	affected (1)	applicant's (1)	9:17;10:12,16,25;	6:3;18:25;41:12;
above (3)	18:8	57:21	11:1,22,25;13:25;	46:3
9:25;20:6;25:13	affecting (1)	application (6)	14:5,7,14,22;15:11;	better (2)
4bsolutely (2)	38:4	16:15;23:8,19;	17:2,25;18:14,17;	31:21;34:2
60:8,19	afforded (1)	28:25;37:6;56:16	19:9,16,24;20:4,24;	beyond (4)
cceptable (1)	15:6	approach (4)	21:1,6,13,16,20;	11:3;26:15,17;38:
47:16	Again (22)	34:17,18,19;36:21	22:10,22;23:24;	big (6)
accurate (1)	9:10;10:13;11:12,	approaching (2)	25:14;31:10;35:5,10,	32:7,7,8,21;37:23
28:20	25;12:10,24;13:16,	7:10;10:13	23,25;36:12;37:11,	41:14
20.20 across (12)	23;14:3,11,17;15:10,	approval (6)	22;38:6,22;41:10;	bigger (4)
11:21;12:5;15:4,6,	25;16:8;28:13;33:14;	3:10;31:19;48:2;	42:4,9,19,24;43:25;	8:14;33:4;41:5;
7;20:19;22:4;38:9;	35:2;38:7;42:6;	49:3;56:22;62:3	44:10;45:12,13,20,	57:9
	44:12;45:22;52:22	approve (9)	24;48:16;55:8	Billy (1)
39:8,18;44:9,15	agenda (1)	3:12;26:5;46:13,	backyard (4)	56:1
action (1)	3:10	23;48:22;49:3;55:19;		bit (14)
36:9	agent (1)	62:1,2	bad (1)	7:5;11:8;15:21;
actual (2)	43:2	approved (2)	22:25	16:5;17:20;19:8;
20:5;24:15	ago (1)	47:18;48:17	Barbara (2)	20:14;29:13;32:23
actually (15)	28:8	architect (1)	16:18;38:19	34:5;42:20;53:25;
13:14;20:12,25;	agree (3)	4:6	base (1)	54:1;56:23
21:12;24:19;34:4;	46:5;48:24;61:4	architectural (1)	50:23	block (3)
36:4;37:20;39:5;	agreement (1)	16:7	Based (4)	34:22;37:23;60:6
41:21;43:8,11;46:15;	8:12	architecture (1)	37:10;51:6,18;	blocked (1)
60:14,22	air (2)	52:19	54:21	61:2
adamantly (1)	29:24;36:3	area (8)	basically (11)	blocking (1)
32:11	alignment (1)	9:21;17:3,12,22;	4:20;5:7;25:8;26:2,	59:24
add (8)	15:19	19:21;20:12;43:17;	21;28:25;51:15;52:6;	blowing (1)
9:6;23:17;24:1;	allow (4)	58:6	53:5;56:10;57:5	33:11
32:8;41:10;47:7,8;	25:2;32:9;41:11;	areas (2)	basis (1)	Board (14)
54:3	48:2	20:9;25:4	34:24	3:4,16;13:21;
added (3)	allowed (3)	argument (2)	bathroom (1)	25:22;40:14;41:14
24:23;27:16;43:25	25:12;41:15;53:3	51:17;52:3	6:25	46:14;47:2,9,17;
adding (5)	allowing (1)	around (4)	bay (4)	48:16;50:5;55:25
6:18;41:7;51:23;	48:2	33:24;38:2;42:25;	6:18,18,21;9:10	62:6
52:10,16	almost (2)	44:16	bays (6)	Bob (1)
addition (31)	18:4;29:2	Associates (1)	6:21;9:12;11:22;	18:5
3:23,24;5:24;6:2,8;	along (12)	4:7	15:14;53:21,21	bolt (1)
7:2,3,11;8:7,16;9:17,	7:19;13:12,24;	1		32:18
23;10:11;12:17,20;	14:5;15:13,17;16:3;	assuming (2)	beach (18)	1
14:21;18:23;19:4,8,	45:5,22;47:22;51:22;	10:2;27:25	11:13,14,17;13:12,	border (1) 20:6
19;22:10;32:16;		assumption (1) 26:2	16;14:12,23;16:6,11;	both (1)
34:13;38:18,22;	52:5		31:6;36:8;40:23;	44:1
41:14;45:13,20;	alterations (1)	attach (1) 32:15	41:2,4;45:5,8,23;46:3 beachside (5)	
50:20;52:21;53:12				bottom (1) 24:2
additional (3)	Although (1) 54:17	attendance (1) 3:5	6:3;8:18;11:12;	
6:9,24;53:10			35:19;36:12	box (1)
additions (1)	amount (2)	attitude (1)	Beau (2)	22:3
15:16	39:4,10	54:13	51:8;53:1	break (1)
address (2)	ancillary (1)	authorize (1)	become (1)	30:11
31:24;37:9	14:1	55:17	43:18	breakfast (2)
addressed (1)	angular (1)	aware (2)	bedroom (4)	6:19;8:19
47:21	34:18	25:21;52:8	43:10,13,19,21	breezeway (3)
adjusted (1)	anyways (1)	away (8)	bedrooms (6)	29:22;33:8;36:23
28:1	30:23	7:5;21:4;22:14;	6:9,9;17:13;43:7,9,	breezeways (1)
adjustments (1)	apologize (1)	31:7,12;38:15;43:10;	10	30:15

				May 15, 2013
bridge (1)	39:22,25;42:5,9,12,	35:21;59:25	connect (1)	17:17;23:21,23,25;
44:4	12,23;46:3;47:12,17,	closer (2)	33:9	24:18,18,21;25:6;
bring (4)	22;49:3,25,25;52:20;	14:2;45:21	connected (2)	26:9;29:13;30:17,20;
11:7;50:10;51:8;	57:8;58:11;60:12;	CLOWNEY (7)	30:7,10	35:6;48:9;58:18
56:11	61:4,17	51:11,14;53:1,1,	connecting (1)	covered (2)
bringing (1)	care (1)	14;55:2,7	12:15	56:13;61:22
25:14	30:21	columns (4)	connection (4)	covering (1)
brings (1)	Carol (10)	16:4;20:3,24;21:11	7:3;9:16,19;12:10	58:7
6:16	4:10,12;5:12;8:10;	comfortable (2)	connector (4)	CRAVER (14)
brood (1)	10:7;14:17;32:2;	28:24;47:10	7:2;32:25;33:4;	56:4;57:21,24;
33:2	35:7;37:16;42:12	coming (13)	36:17	58:2;59:1;60:21;
brought (1)	carried (1)	8:3;14:8;15:6;	consciousness (1)	61:12,16,18,20,25;
21:1	47:3	20:24;27:23;28:19;	40:5	62:3,5,7
Budko (9)	case (3)	32:21;33:12;34:9;	conserving (1)	creating (1)
4:10;31:23;32:1,1;	28:12;37:4;52:3	39:21;48:7;56:8;	39:2	7:1
33:5;34:3;35:18,21;	Catch (1)	58:15	consider (2)	credit (1)
36:1	22:8	comment (5)	34:16;40:12	39:13
Budkos (1)	categories (3)	16:15;23:8;31:23;	considerably (1)	curb (2)
11:16	5:17;26:4;27:3	59:4,6	10:12	8:3;38:3
build (5)	caught (1)	comments (3)	consistency (1)	curious (2)
7:19;32:8,20;33:8;	44:4	4:3;46:13;59:5	48:14	36:13;53:16
35:22	ceiling (1)	commercial (1)	consistent (1)	current (1)
building (12)	9:3	59:21	15:1	6:13
5:3,5,16,19,23;6:6;	center (2)	common (1)	consists (2)	currently (3)
15:11;23:21,21;26:9;	9:8;14:22	52:13	25:1;26:25	4:13;52:23;53:20
50:25;56:9	Chair (1)	compatibility (10)	constraints (2)	curved (1)
buildings (1)	56:3	13:5,20,20;37:9;	8:10;42:7	10:6
59:21	Chairman (1)	40:3;51:6,15,18;	constructing (1)	cut (2)
built (4)	46:25	52:3;54:19	6:8	8:3;38:3
10:20;14:15;21:4;	chance (2)	complements (1)	contact (1)	cutting (1)
52:11	35:14;38:17	31:5	34:1	21:13
bulk (2)	chances (1)	complete (3)	contingent (1)	
20:9,11	47:23	48:3,14,15	4:14	\mathbf{D}
bunch (1)	change (6)	completely (1)	continue (1)	
57:3	28:4,9;36:7;37:4;	32:4	42:9	dead (7)
bunk (1)	52:16;56:18	compliance (1)	continues (1)	17:11,12;22:2;
33:7	changed (4)	23:20	11:1	34:6,17;39:24;40:25
business (1)	16:23;20:16;34:7;	conceptual (16)	continuing (1)	deal (1)
61:15	42:2	5:8;31:17,20;35:3;	5:8	54:18
buy (2)	changes (7)	42:8;46:13,23;47:25;	continuous (1)	deck (18)
17:6;38:13	8:2,14;41:4;42:5,	48:2,22,25;49:3;	59:20	12:14,21;35:15,16,
buyer (2)	10;47:21;58:6	54:18,25;56:20,21	contract (4)	17,23,24,25;43:21;
22:9;40:21	changing (7)	Conceptually (4)	4:13,13;8:11;32:5	50:22;51:24;52:24;
	11:23;15:19;28:5;	29:14;35:14;46:24;	cool (1)	53:5;56:11,12,13;
C	38:2,2,3;58:10	48:17	54:10	58:8;61:1
	character (2)	concern (6)	corner (3)	deep (1)
calculations (3)	32:12,23	16:25;23:14,15;	8:5;13:9;34:10	8:23
24:22;27:21;30:18	characteristic (1)	30:22;33:15;48:5	cottage (1)	deer (1)
call (1)	52:18	concerned (1)	33:17	29:5
33:6	children (2)	17:14	counted (1)	degrees (1)
calling (1)	4:19;6:10	concerns (3)	27:22	19:2
35:18	Christmas (1)	37:10;47:22;49:9	counting (1)	deliberations (1)
came (5)	33:10	concluded (1)	25:9	5:11
24:22;25:10;37:19;	Clean (1)	62:8	counts (1)	Design (6)
44:3;56:2	54:1	concrete (4)	24:8	3:4;6:8;13:3;
can (49)	clear (1)	12:13;36:9;58:20,	couple (2)	25:21;48:4;51:3
4:21;5:11,12,18;	27:24	23	8:6;9:13	designate (1)
6:3;7:14;8:18;12:20;	client (1)	conditional (2)	course (2)	56:2
14:19;15:4,14;19:7;	37:20	49:7,8	22:15;51:5	designated (1)
20:13;23:4,13;25:22;	clients (2)	conditions (2)	courtyard (1)	3:21
26:5,15,22;27:5;	4:9;42:6	47:8,11	10:14	designed (2)
29:8;30:21;31:23;	close (5)	conform (1)	coverage (21)	19:25;22:22
35:7,8,9,24;37:5,14;	14:12;17:25;19:9;	22:11	5:3,4,15,19,23;6:6;	destroyed (1)
		i	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 · v · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

		I		Way 15, 2015
18:5	17;47:17;57:4	19:2;40:2,16;54:2	39:25	feet (30)
detached (1)	drastically (1)	embellish (1)	existing (33)	7:15,16,16;8:22;
31:1	28:5	53:8	5:20;6:12,16,19,	9:4,13;10:17;12:23;
detail (1)	drawing (4)	employed (1)	22;7:12;8:4,19;9:1,	13:25;14:3;17:2,21;
52:18	11:5;29:10;42:3;	25:2	14;10:11;11:7,10;	18:15;19:11,15,18,
detailing (2)	48:16	encroaching (1)	12:17,21,21;14:13;	19,25;20:4;21:23;
10:1;16:2	drawings (7)	14:7	15:15,23;26:10;	22:14,16,18,23;24:2,
difference (1)	12:4;28:20;31:11,	end (9)	28:14;34:11;39:2,3;	6;25:8;38:7;50:24;
17:8	11;43:25;48:4,6	17:11,12;22:2;	45:4;50:21;51:24;	53:5
different (4)	DRB (3)	24:16;34:6,17;39:25;	52:1,13,24;53:5,22;	felt (1)
17:24;28:24;38:4;	5:14;26:5,15	40:25;57:2	58:8	28:8
46:1	drive (4)	enhanced (1)	expansion (1)	few (3)
differentiate (1)	31:9;34:6;51:21;	41:8	51:23	
12:16	52:5	enough (7)	experience (1)	16:22;31:21;47:10
difficult (3)	drives (1)			final (10)
		19:6;31:12,15,19;	42:21	31:16;55:2,17,20;
18:7,7;32:17	53:24	32:22;34:16;61:6	explaining (1)	56:22;59:5,6;62:1,2,3
direct (1)	driveway (9)	entails (1)	43:24	Finally (1)
31:6	8:2,3;15:20,22;	21:18	extend (2)	33:5
directly (3)	27:16,17,18;34:10;	enter (1)	56:8;59:18	fine (3)
18:3;22:4;30:7	38:2	32:4	extensively (1)	48:19;54:15;61:23
disappeared (1)	driveways (1)	entire (5)	4:21	firm (1)
59:12	15:19	17:19,25;18:14;	exterior (3)	46:23
disappointed (1)	dropping (1)	21:22;29:13	8:9;42:1;43:22	firms (1)
28:17	12:22	entirely (1)	, , ,	46:1
distance (1)	due (1)	5:20	F	first (5)
7:23	26:8	entitled (1)	-	20:5;29:17,19;
district (2)	20.0	39:12	face (1)	33:7;36:22
3:22;50:20	E	entry (1)	7:17	
ditto (1)	15	7:1		fit (1) 6:1
	Fan (1)		fact (4)	1
29:2	Ean (1)	envision (1)	17:9;33:20;36:16;	five (2)
diversion (1)	38:24	23:4	51:18	4:19;33:1
21:4	easily (1)	especially (2)	factors (1)	five-bedroom (1)
dominant (1)	39:4	16:25;30:1	16:9	42:12
10:24	east (4)	estate (4)	fair (1)	five-foot (1)
dominating (1)	7:24;9:9,18;37:18	8:12;18:25;19:2;	38:11	27:23
28:14	Eastern (1)	43:2	fairly (7)	flap (1)
done (13)	19:1	even (9)	8:24;15:1;30:25;	11:8
15:17;18:6;19:8;	eave (1)	18:22;20:7;21:7;	32:5,16;36:10;52:13	flat (1)
32:4,11;33:18;34:4;	56:10	23:3;31:5;33:23;	fall (2)	53:17
49:1;61:18,20,21,25,	efficient (2)	35:17;37:24;48:17	9:5;12:11	FLEMING (3)
25	5:21;6:7	evening (3)	falling (4)	51:17;53:19;55:1
door (1)	egregious (1)	4:5,11;42:15	4:8;9:14;10:18;	floor (18)
38:25	55:9	eventually (1)	16:7	6:1;9:7,21;11:24;
doors (1)	either (4)	44:23	falls (2)	12:19;20:5,20;42:20;
15:3	13:12;18:13;52:9,	Everett (1)	8:19;11:9	43:9,23;50:21,23;
		40:20		
doorway (1)	22	1	family (9)	51:23;52:20,24;
15:1	elements (1)	Everybody (3)	4:19,22;17:10;	53:20,23;57:15
dormer (4)	30:6	3:15;54:10;55:24	30:4;32:7;42:13;	floors (1)
8:20;10:20;20:23;	elevating (1)	exact (1)	50:21;51:23;52:20	5:22
31:4	12:9	41:24	far (8)	folks (2)
dormers (1)	elevation (12)	exactly (7)	17:2;22:10,10;	32:10;41:15
53:17	8:17;9:9,11;11:5;	8:2;15:20;22:7;	31:12;40:1,2,12;	following (2)
double (1)	12:5;15:5;43:22;	33:8;48:6;52:11,25	41:15	8:25;9:22
15:2	44:2,6;52:13,14,17	example (1)	favor (4)	foot (1)
Doug (2)	elevations (10)	45:10	3:15;49:17;55:24;	12:22
50:12;59:7	5:9;8:9,13,15;10:4,	examples (1)	62:5	footage (8)
down (30)	10;15:15;35:9;42:1,	45:22	feel (7)	4:15;5:5,16;23:22;
8:3;10:22,23;11:8,	10	excuse (1)	16:11;28:4;35:8;	36:24,25;50:24;51:1
	elevator (2)	3:6	52:10,15;53:6;54:22	footages (1)
		1 2.0	,	
11,19,25;12:6,7,22,		exemption (10)	feeling (2)	Ι Δ'7•Ι
11,19,25;12:6,7,22, 24;14:8,11,21;18:14;	60:6,7	exemption (10)	feeling (2)	42:1 Foote (6)
11,19,25;12:6,7,22, 24;14:8,11,21;18:14; 21:1;25:15;28:7,21;	60:6,7 Elizabeth (1)	4:25;24:6,15,23,	10:15;30:8	Foote (6)
11,19,25;12:6,7,22, 24;14:8,11,21;18:14;	60:6,7			

		•		May 15, 2013
footprint (3)	gets (3)	59:24;60:10,12,17	hold (4)	30:5;34:3;40:3,13
51:25;58:5,8	44:24;45:5;56:13	handicapped (1)	6:2,3;7:18;14:4	ILDERTON (54)
Forest (1)	giving (1)	60:3	holding (2)	3:2,5,15,17;4:1,4;
44:24	25:4	handrails (1)	7:11;38:6	16:12,14;18:19;
form (7)	glancing (1)	9:25	holds (1)	19:11,15,22;22:12;
10:6,19,24;11:23;	21:6	Hang (1)	12:18	23:16;27:25;29:1,16;
12:18;23:24;36:7	goes (1)	50:16	holidays (1)	30:19;31:18,25;
Forty-six (3)	10:8	happen (1)	4:22	33:19;35:12;37:5;
19:13,15;20:2	Goldbug (3)	56:19	home (1)	39:20;41:18;42:16;
forward (5)	50:14,18;51:21	happy (1)	4:21	46:4,16,18,25;47:7,
5:12;8:11;9:19; 35:8;42:13	Good (18) 4:5;16:23;17:6,20;	37:21 hard (3)	honest (1) 17:1	15,24;49:6,10,14,17,
55.8,42.15 found (1)	18:6;22:8,14;33:20;	17:3;28:3;51:7	hook (1)	19,22,24;50:6,11,14; 51:10;53:11;54:2,5,8,
13:19	34:3,15;38:8;39:2,	harder (1)	50:8	14;55:4,15,21,24;
foyer (1)	20;44:6;46:6;48:21;	28:13	hope (2)	56:1
7:1	55:5;61:24	hardly (2)	5:11;26:2	images (1)
frame (1)	Google (1)	40:8;53:12	hoping (2)	51:14
32:6	45:18	Hazeltons (1)	42:11,15	imagine (2)
frankly (3)	grandkids (2)	18:4	house (88)	23:1;33:9
18:24;32:19;33:16	33:2,10	hear (5)	6:12;7:2,7,8;8:5,	impact (3)
Freedom (1)	grandkids' (1)	3:10;47:4;48:8;	18;9:2,7,15,19;10:4,	22:9;31:2;34:25
3:8	33:6	49:14,25	21;11:3,7,10,14;	impervious (21)
French (1)	grant (7)	heard (2)	12:21;13:11,19;	5:4,15;23:22,25;
15:3	5:14,18;25:22;	37:10,16	14:12,13,18;15:17,	24:18,25;25:5;27:2,4,
friendly (1)	26:15,16;35:4;46:14	Hearing (1)	23;16:6,10,20;17:4,6,	6,7,12,19;28:19;
61:14	grants (1)	62:8	14,17,20,24;18:3,16,	30:17;36:24;37:3;
front (23) 6:25;14:15;16:20;	26:22	heated (3) 29:25,25;36:24	16,16,22;19:16,24; 28:7,10,12;30:8,12;	48:9;58:6,17,24 important (2)
17:12;18:22;20:10;	grass (4) 25:2,3;26:25;27:6	heavily (1)	31:2,3;32:8,13,13,21;	11:15;31:3
21:8,13;31:4;34:24;	grassed (1)	52:9	33:7,12,21;34:2,11,	improve (1)
37:23;41:3,4,7,13,14,		heavy (1)	17;37:18,22;38:14;	54:16
21;46:9;48:15;56:9,	gravel (2)	36:8	39:9,11,16,22;40:15,	improvement (4)
12;59:20;60:18	27:17,18	height (4)	23;41:1,5,9,11,13,17;	55:14,16;57:20;
full (3)	Great (6)	18:13;39:11,14;	42:13,18;43:5,7,9;	59:2
5:18;11:21;26:6	4:1;7:20;16:24;	41:7	45:7,12;50:22;51:20;	include (1)
full-blown (1)	35:12;48:8;60:9	HEINLEN (14)	52:1,6,11;53:8,11,19;	19:20
13:2	ground (1)	24:5,10,14;25:7,14,	54:23	Including (1)
fully (1)	43:9	17,19;26:1;27:2,9,11,	houses (6)	6:21
43:2	growing (1)	14,20;36:16	15:2;16:21;44:15;	increase (7)
functional (1)	33:2	help (4)	45:6,23;51:5	5:3,4,5;50:25;
54:1	guardrail (1)	21:15;23:5;29:19;	Howard (40)	54:22;58:18,23
further (13)	9:25	30:14	3:6,14;21:17;22:4; 23:14;29:2,7;35:13,	increases (2) 5:15;51:5
7:5;8:23;9:18; 10:12;13:14;14:6,7;	guess (9) 13:21;30:20;32:2;	Here's (3) 13:17,17;42:8	20,23;36:11;41:19;	increasing (5)
18:21;21:14;26:23;	34:24;49:2;54:1,18;	Herlong (1)	46:11,19,22;47:6;	25:12;50:23;51:25;
37:22;45:1,1	55:18;57:14	4:7	48:23;49:8,16,21;	58:5,5
	guest (2)	hidden (1)	53:16;54:15,24;	inefficiency (1)
G	30:8,10	6:17	55:11,17,23;56:6;	26:10
	guy (1)	high (4)	57:8,12;59:22;60:5,	inefficient (1)
gable (6)	54:12	12:23;13:1;23:3;	11,14,17,22;61:5,13,	29:21
6:15;8:20;9:1;11:2,	guys (2)	31:9	17,22;62:2	Information (1)
3,7	5:18;33:18	higher (1)	huge (1)	3:8
gabled (1)		45:14	41:4	initial (1)
10:18	H	historic (15)	Hugo (1)	32:6
gain (1)	116 (6)	3:21,25;11:17;	18:5	ins (1)
15:21	half (6)	14:18;16:21;24:6,8;	hung (1)	53:23
garage (6) 8:4,6;9:20;12:22;	5:25;6:7;13:13; 15:11;25:9;39:5	26:3,10,22;29:20; 33:22;34:14;36:18;	15:3	instead (7) 7:22;12:7;20:24;
15:22;45:15	hallway (5)	45:23	I	29:24;36:20,23;41:7
gas (1)	21:18,19,21;29:21,	historical (8)	1	intact (2)
57:15	22	3:22;25:6;28:5;	iconic (1)	32:14;33:21
gatherings (1)	handicap (7)	31:2;34:1,25;50:19,	41:2	intends (1)
4:22	56:17,18;57:13;	19	idea (4)	6:11
			<u> </u>	L

*								
intention (2)	6:17;7:4,21;8:25;	20:3,24;22:14,15,23;	love (2)	25-1-26-25				
43:20;59:19	15:21;27:16;36:25;	29:12;34:11;42:23;	18:23;32:13	25:1;26:25 math (1)				
intentionally (1)								
	41:6;42:19,22,25;	44:1,11;45:16	low (6)	37:2				
36:18	45:3;51:7;56:10;	lines (6)	7:4;8:24;11:18;	mathematically (1)				
interior (1)	57:23	6:2,22;8:25;10:3;	12:14;13:16;16:1	46:15				
9:4	kitchen (1)	13:15;42:4	lower (2)	max (1)				
into (17)	30:3	list (1)	5:22;15:14	5:17				
6:16;7:1,9;8:21;	knowing (2)	13:4	lowering (1)	maximum (1)				
9:7,8;10:14,21;	31:20;51:6	listen (1)	12:19	9:2				
15:22;21:19;28:19;	knowledge (1)	32:20		May (3)				
32:4;33:12;34:10;	49:2	little (23)	M	3:3;22:25;59:23				
49:17;59:25;60:20		6:7,25;7:1,5;9:12;	112	maybe (7)				
intrusion (1)	L	11:8;15:21;16:4;	ma'am (1)	22:24;29:23,23;				
39:10	<u> </u>	18:1;19:8;20:13;	16:15	31:8,11;32:3;34:16				
invasive (1)	Lamata (22)							
	Lancto (22)	32:23;34:4;36:6;	main (12)	McCarthy (4)				
41:17	3:7;28:3;34:15,23;	42:20,23;44:23;	4:17;7:2;9:1;11:3,	13:10;38:24,24;				
Island (12)	37:3,7;46:12;47:13,	49:22;53:16,25;54:1;	7;13:24;18:15;33:12;	39:17				
3:3,20;4:24;15:2;	19;48:1;49:12,18;	56:23;58:14	38:9;40:22,22;43:16	McCarthy's (1)				
16:22;17:7;19:3;	53:13;55:19;58:17,	live (2)	maintain (5)	13:19				
22:9;34:22;40:4;	21,25;60:1,9;61:19,	38:25;40:17	7:23;8:4;33:16;	McMansion (1)				
44:16;52:19	24;62:4	living (4)	34:14;48:13	32:9				
Isle (1)	land (4)	6:16;8:21;20:9,12	maintaining (1)	mean (45)				
32:21	43:3,4,5;53:10	location (1)	16:10	17:19,22;18:23,24;				
issue (2)	large (4)	17:24	major (2)	19:6,8,23;20:7;				
36:13;57:18	4:19;17:10;29:5,7	long (5)	17:7,8	21:16;22:8,12,13,15,				
issues (1)	larger (3)	10:18;22:23;28:8;	majority (2)	18,19,21,22,22;				
48:4	14:15;45:6,6	30:12;48:23	50:5,7					
			,	23:10,11,12;25:21;				
item (1)	last (5)	look (18)	makes (3)	27:6,19,20;30:1,10;				
14:9	7:8;28:24;54:9,11;	10:13,20;12:12;	17:5;44:18;56:14	37:15;40:11;43:3,5,				
items (3)	56:24	13:16;15:15;30:3,11,	making (6)	15;44:22;46:6;47:15,				
3:9;13:6;28:24	late (2)	16;31:6;32:18;36:11;		18;52:6,11;53:23;				
	44:3;52:12	37:14;40:5,12;41:1,	36:23;42:10;52:16	54:7,21,22,24;56:22;				
J	later (1)	17;44:22;53:20	man (1)	58:11				
-	4:3	looked (4)	50:13	meant (1)				
jack (1)	leave (2)	23:24;30:25;45:18,	many (4)	60:5				
32:8	6:12;8:1	18	40:24;42:4;43:7;	meeting (8)				
James (2)	leaving (1)	looking (16)	53:23	3:3,9;26:21;28:19;				
4:6;33:1	33:20	5:10;7:10;9:18;	Maritime (1)	46:20;47:20;51:8;				
job (1)	leeway (2)	10:3,7;11:13;13:1,4;	44:24	54:11				
18:6	17:21;18:13	16:25;20:13;23:19,	Mark (5)	member (1)				
Jon (5)	left (2)	19;36:10;42:2;44:5;		56:1				
3:7;23:16;28:2;		1	3:6,6,7;29:1;54:14					
	16:22;49:1	56:17	marked (1)	members (7)				
29:3;47:7	length (1)	looks (4)	43:11	3:5,16;43:24;47:9,				
17	7:3	30:23;37:1;40:15;	Markses (1)	17;55:25;62:6				
K	less (3)	41:9	18:2	mentioned (1)				
	11:9;34:25;58:14	lose (2)	Mary (1)	33:1				
keep (20)	letter (1)	43:8;44:25	16:16	mess (1)				
10:22,22;11:10,16,	37:19	losing (1)	mass (5)	15:23				
17,18;12:24,25;	level (4)	18:12	14:11;36:20;38:3;	met (2)				
13:16;14:11,18,20;	5:7;11:1;12:19,21	loss (1)	45:9;54:23	3:9;46:1				
30:6;32:12,23;33:13;	Lewis (1)	56:23	massing (3)	Middle (4)				
36:21;44:17;45:8;	13:11	lot (44)	14:9;42:19;45:2	28:7;45:11;56:4,7				
46:2	lift (6)	3:23;5:4,15;7:9,21;	massive (2)	might (7)				
keeping (5)	56:18;57:1;58:18;	13:7,24;14:23;15:2,5,	14:12;45:20	14:1;26:19;27:7;				
15:7;32:13;39:13;	60:19,20,23	5;16:2,7,10;17:17;	master (1)	31:12;36:14;47:9;				
40:2,3	light (3)	19:12,16,23,25;20:3;		54:13				
kid (1)	6:16;8:21;9:8	22:14,15;24:18,21;	mat (1)	minimally (2)				
57:20	limit (1)	29:5,9,10;30:17;	57:16	41:16,16				
kidding (1)	46:14	32:10,19;35:6,10;	match (1)	minimize (1)				
29:6	line (23)	38:11,12;39:13;	21:10	29:18				
kids (2)	8:20;10:17,21;	45:14,20;46:6,8;	material (1)	minimizing (1)				
33:1;57:4	11:9,9;17:19,20,25;	48:12;49:1,4;52:18,	25:3	29:19				
kind (15)	18:14;19:12,23,25;	19	materials (2)	minute (2)				
•	<u></u>	J	<u> </u>					
T. #1 #1 #2 #2 # 1 #2		DMC ID A LOCOCIL	TEG (000) = 10 DEDO	(m) 4				

necessary (1) 43:1	0	45:10 ostentatious (1)	44:19 pedestrian (2)	placement (3) 15:1,9,18
nearly (1) 38:7	9,12	45:12 O'Shaughnessy (1)	paying (4) 38:10,12;43:4;	placed (1) 35:24
narrower (1) 36:4	23:23,25;24:1; 29:9;35:6;43:6;51:4,	14:10 original (1)	pavers (3) 25:2;27:1,6	33:21;35:8,25; 44:18;50:21;60:9
39:4	numbers (9)	orientation (1)	3:5;46:5	place (6)
narrowed (1)	24:11;33:15;45:25	13:4	Pat (2)	15:25
36:6	9:12;12:25;17:13;	ordinances' (1)	14:13;28:7;37:20	pitches (1)
10:10 narrow (1)	number (6)	5:1	17:14;52:3 past (3)	pitch (5) 9:1;11:6,6;58:9,14
name (1) 16:16	notice (2) 50:9;53:12	37:9;41:5,8,10 ordinance (1)	particular (2)	18:25
	10:9	order (4)	40:13,13;56:12,20,21	pieces (1)
N	northwest (1)	41:6;54:10	10:11;30:19;36:21;	12:15;19:1
·	8:5	opposite (2)	part (8)	piece (2)
50:2;56:3	northeast (1)	11:4;32:11;49:19	60:10,17	9:23;41:12
myself (2)	10:10;44:2	opposed (3)	17:11,15,16;58:6;	picking (2)
58:3;62:7	north (2)	6:22;11:22;39:23	parking (6)	44:14
34:1,11,13;36:1; 43:4;45:13;49:23;	none (1) 61:14	18;41:10 open (3)	Palms (1) 32:22	13:7,17 pick (1)
31:2;32:9;33:16;	19:2;40:7,9	17:25;18:17;32:8,	33:12 Polma (1)	photos (2)
14:19;15:4;28:13;	Nobody (3)	onto (5)	pajamas (1)	51:12,13
6:13;9:18;11:14;	12:23	59:6	15:3	photographs (2)
much (18)	nine (1)	39:15;45:6;54:25;	pairs (1)	51:21
20	10:18;11:18	7:24;25:9;26:12;	page (1) 24:17	photo (1)
moving (4) 8:11;38:17;41:13,	7:25;28:20;37:17 nice (2)	26:10;44:13 only (7)	11:20 page (1)	phenomenal (1) 19:1
60:4	nextdoor (3)	5:20;7:4;11:1;	packets (1)	42:18,25;45:7
14:13;40:7;45:24;	47:18,20	one-story (5)		38:20;39:21;40:25;
moved (4)	37:18;38:25;46:20;	18:8	P	13:9,12;16:17;34:9;
32:8;55:19;60:20	14:9;18:5,22;22:7;	ones (1)		3:18,19;4:8;7:7,8;
move (3)	8:15;10:8;11:6;	43:23;45:8,25;53:2	16:18	Pettigrew (15)
9;49:11,12;62:1,2	next (12)	36:20;37:7;39:5;	owners (1)	14:17
46:10,12;47:2,2,4,8,	20	32:14;33:12,15;	16:17	Peter (1)
motion (14) 3:11,12;37:5;	12:16;18:16;29:20; 31:10;36:19;60:18,	21;18:2,3,25;21:2; 22:1;26:5;30:11;	43:17 own (1)	10:7;32:1;35:7,11; 37:15;42:11
41:3;44:25;58:15	6:2;8:6,20;9:23;	13:12,14;16:3,18,20,	overlooks (1)	4:9,12;5:12;8:10;
most (3)	new (11)	4:17;5:25;11:24;	45:9	Pete (10)
33:10	52:8	One (26)	overdone (1)	25:23
morning (1)	neighbor's (1)	44:24,24	53:3	permitting (1)
61:1,13	37:10	older (2)	overage (1)	25:25
18,18;53:10,25;54:1;	neighbors' (1)	22,25;40:3	58:19	permitted (1)
49:4,22;51:18;52:17,	51:7	18:16;33:16;39:9,	40:9,10;52:1;56:11;	3:8
45:13;46:23;47:7,8, 10,10,23;48:14,14;	38:9,15;39:8;47:20;	14:13;37:20;46:2 old (6)	34:21;36:2;39:19;	Permits (1)
24:25;34:18;37:7,24; 45:13:46:23:47:7.8	7:24;16:23,24; 30:15;31:12;37:12;	office (3)	4:8;15:16;18:3; 23:19,19;30:3;33:4;	permeate (1) 25:3
14:22;15:22;21:5;	neighbors (11)	31:13	over (15)	19:3
11:9;12:1;13:15;	54:19;55:4,6,10,18	offensive (1)	12:7;17:16	perfect (1)
6:7,16;8:6,23;10:7;	17;51:4,6;52:2;	50:8	outside (2)	32:14;50:25;53:3,4
more (35)	13:5,20;16:8;37:9,	21,23;24:5,15;25:10;	53:23	14,22;27:5,8,11;
53:8	neighborhood (13)	15:21;19:9;22:21,	outs (1)	24:22;26:3,6,7,8,13,
43:4 mood (1)	7:25,25;28:20; 37:17;38:5,8	41:9;45:7 off (9)	56:10,12;58:12,15; 61:7	percent (21) 4:25;5:2,3,4,14,18;
money (1)	neighbor (6)	13:8;40:16,23;	46:15;48:20,20;	7:14
45:3	40:6	ocean (5)	25;35:10;36:3;45:1;	Per (1)
modulus (1)	negated (1)	7:19;9:17;38:10	30:22;31:9,21;33:14,	48:10
21:11	36:3;42:13	obviously (3)	27:12;28:22;29:8;	people's (1)
mirroring (1)	needs (2)	23:9,9,11	10:25;21:13;23:2;	46:8;48:3,13;59:24
mirror (1) 18:4	needed (1) 36:25	18:10 objection (3)	out (25) 3:21;5:17;9:13;	people (7) 14:7;40:24;41:3;
3:4,10,13	49:4;55:7;57:3;60:6	objecting (1)	18:4;33:15;37:20	14:25
minutes (3)	21:24;27:12;32:7;	18:9,11	ours (3)	penetration (1)
• 4 (3)				

				May 15, 2013
places (1)	preliminary (1)	public (4)	5:9,16;6:13;7:9,10;	40:21
33:22	47:12	16:14;23:7;59:3,4	9:4;11:10,15,16;	Request (1)
plan (8)	premium (2)	pulled (1)	14:10,18,20,22;	23:24
10:16;39:22;41:25;	38:11;44:19	34:21	15:10,23;16:1,22;	requesting (1)
46:7;53:20,25;56:20;	Prenter (1)	pulling (1)	17:3;21:18;28:9,12,	29:18
60:6	18:6	7:5	16;30:1,22;31:4;	requirement (1)
planned (1)	Prenters (1)	purchase (1)	32:18,22;34:16,20;	38:8
17:14	18:22	42:14	41:12;42:25;46:2;	residence (2)
planning (1)	prepared (1)	purchasing (1)	51:2;53:4,6,14;58:3,4	13:10;45:11
60:15	37:11	5:13	rear (2)	residential (1)
plans (10)	present (3)	push (2)	50:22;52:13	7:24
17:1;28:1;30:24;	4:7;51:9;56:24	19:8;21:23	reason (3)	residents (1)
38:25;41:21,22;46:9;	presentation (3)	pushing (3)	4:17;5:10,17	17:6
48:15,22;56:17	16:11;28:17;57:22	18:17;21:15;45:1	reasons (3)	resistance (1)
play (1) 43:17	presented (2) 29:11;46:1	put (17)	4:17;32:15;33:13	32:20
plus (2)	preserve (4)	3:23;5:10;8:21; 18:20;23:2;34:12;	reassured (1) 17:9	resource (2) 3:20;4:24
32:14;39:17	7:12;34:25;41:1,9	35:16;37:22;38:14;	rebuilding (1)	respect (1)
PM (1)	preserved (1)	43:4;48:10;57:1;	18:6	39:7
62:8	33:23	58:18;59:7,17;60:12,	recently (1)	restate (1)
point (9)	preserving (1)	17	32:5	47:8
13:13;18:11;21:20;	8:1	putting (4)	recline (1)	restaurant (1)
33:20;34:15;39:21;	PRESSON (2)	41:13;45:14;59:8;	42:5	60:25
40:11,18;47:22	40:20,20	60:23	recommend (1)	rethink (1)
points (1)	pretty (3)		60:14	27:7
31:21	31:3;36:8;55:5	Q	recuse (2)	Review (7)
pool (26)	previous (1)		50:2;56:3	3:4;5:8;23:24;
3:24;12:10,11,14;	11:5	quite (2)	recused (1)	25:21;28:21;38:25;
17:3,22,23;18:15;	principle (9)	39:4,12	50:11	46:13
19:20;20:11;21:19,	5:3,5,15,19;6:5;		redoing (1)	reviewing (1)
22,24;35:15,15,24;	23:21,21;26:9;50:25	R	30:17	13:21
36:3,5,5,7,7,10,13,14,	probably (6)		reduce (2)	Rhonda (5)
17;43:17	11:13;12:3;16:21;	railing (1)	37:12,13	3:6;29:16;54:6;
porch (51)	20:13;32:13;44:25	52:23	reduced (2)	61:17,20
6:17,20,20,22,25; 7:16;8:22;9:23,24,	problem (6)	rains (1)	11:23;12:6	rid (1)
25;10:15;11:1,18,21,	29:12,14;41:19; 48:8;54:13,17	33:11	refigure (1) 35:5	53:21
22,24;12:5,7;13:15;	problems (3)	raised (2) 12:11;39:5	reflect (1)	ridge (1) 10:25
15:11;19:14;20:3,4,	29:9,10;51:3	raising (1)	31:11	right (53)
14,18,21,22,24,25;	process (1)	39:3	regards (2)	3:2,17;4:4;13:10;
21:4,12;23:5;29:22;	47:22	ramp (11)	32:3,25	14:16,23,23;16:19;
36:3;42:20,22,24,24;	project (5)	56:18;57:1,4,13,	regularize (1)	18:19,19;19:22;
43:23,25;44:8,9,13;	4:6,8;9:13;37:23;	20;59:24;60:13,18,	53:25	20:18,20;22:6,7;
45:17;50:21;52:2;	48:11	23;61:2,7	relatively (1)	23:10,16;25:7;26:1,
56:9,9,11;57:8;58:16	projects (1)	Randy (8)	32:5	1;27:14;33:23;34:23;
porches (6)	14:16	4:23;23:16;30:21;	released (1)	37:18,24;41:18;45:3,
15:10,13;20:13;	prominent (1)	49:25;50:14;54:2;	4:15	22,25;46:4,16;47:13,
44:14,17;52:21	13:15	57:24;59:5	relief (6)	14,19;49:10,16;50:2,
position (2)	proper (2)	Randy's (1)	4:18;5:11;13:22;	6,6,17;51:10;54:16;
6:13;17:5	32:19;49:11	30:18	35:5;42:2,11	55:1;57:12,12;58:2,
positive (1)	properties (1)	rather (1)	remaining (1)	25;60:10,11,18;61:6,
28:9	52:5	10:5	45:7	8,12
possible (4)	property (16)	ratio (1)	Remember (3)	ROBINSON (37)
14:17;17:19;23:9;	4:12;5:13;18:12,	5:22 Bayon (2)	8:2;54:9;59:8	3:19;4:2;23:18;
33:17 post (2)	14;22:17,17,20,23; 23:3;31:9,10;33:24;	Raven (2) 51:21;52:5	removed (1) 53:17	24:9,12,20;25:11,16, 18,20;26:12,16,19,
10:1;16:4	40:21;52:8,9;53:9	read (1)	removing (1)	24;27:4,10,13,18;
potential (1)	proposal (1)	36:20	50:22	50:4,9,16;51:13,16;
26:15	6:11	real (8)	replied (1)	54:4,20;56:7,15;57:5,
poured (1)	proposing (1)	7:4;8:12;10:15;	33:25	11,17,25;58:19,22;
12:13	51:22	14:12;18:25;19:2;	report (1)	59:6,11,14,17
precedent (1)	protected (1)	43:2;59:2	29:4	roof (35)
28:6	30:6	really (38)	representing (1)	5:25;6:2,19;7:4;
	<u> </u>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

8:19,25;9:1;10:3,5,	scaled (3)	8:15;15:14	11:18;41:7	4:14;5:5,16;10:1;
17,19,21,23,24;11:8,	10:22,23;43:25	set (6)	slats (1)	16:4;23:22;24:2,6;
9,23;12:6;15:25;	scaling (4)	9:17;10:12;15:12;	12:13	25:8;36:24,25;42:1;
20:23;21:6;29:12,23;	35:10;42:9,19;	37:22;48:15,21	slight (1)	50:23,24;51:1;53:5
34:11;42:4,23;44:1,	44:18	setback (3)		squeeze (1)
10,12;45:16;56:10;	scape (1)	7:15;22:17;38:7	slightly (1)	27:12
57:15;58:8,9,15	40:14	setbacks (2)	14:15	stack (1)
roofed (1)	school (12)	13:23;14:4	slim (1)	5:22
36:2	7:9;13:19;15:7;	Seven (1)	36:10	stacks (1)
roofs (5)	17:13;18:9,10;22:1,	26:8	slopping (1)	53:9
9:12;15:25;16:2;	7;32:6;34:7;39:18;	shallow (2)	33:10	stairs (3)
21:5;58:15	40:9	9:1;10:18	slung (4)	9:21;27:22,23
room (14)	screened (1)	shallower (1)	8:24;11:18;13:16;	stake (1)
6:17,19;8:19;	52:2	11:6	16:1	34:4
43:11,11,12,14,17,18,	Seaboard (1)	shed (1)	small (8)	stakes (3)
18;50:21;51:23;	19:1	9:12	6:24;9:10;15:16;	23:2,3;31:9
52:20;61:6	Second (23)	shifted (2)	36:5;38:21;39:6,10;	staking (1)
route (1)	3:14;6:1;9:7,21;	17:22,23	52:21	35:10
32:20	11:24;24:17;42:20;	shifting (1)	smaller (3)	stand (1)
row (1)	43:22;46:18;47:1,1;	38:1	14:1;15:13;42:23	36:9
4:10	49:15,15;50:17,20,	shoes (1)		standards (2)
rule (1)		48:10	smart (1) 19:6	
27:23	23;51:23;52:20,24; 53:22;55:22,23;62:4			13:4,20
	1	short (1)	SMITH (20)	stands (1)
rules (2)	secondary (1)	32:6	50:8,13;56:21;	49:12
28:19;32:9	30:8	show (4)	57:7,10,14,23;58:3,	start (5)
run (5)	section (2)	8:14;10:4;31:9;	13;59:10,13,15,19;	28:2;38:1;45:1;
13:5;17:19,20,24;	21:18;23:8	51:15	60:3,8,16,19,24;61:4,	54:6;56:16
19:9	seeing (8)	showed (6)	9	started (1)
running (4)	10:19,24;13:24;	9:11;30:24,25;	solely (1)	56:17
18:14;23:23;57:4;	20:24;29:15;45:19;	41:22,25;56:2	25:1	starting (1)
59:25	52:23;56:17	showing (3)	somebody (3)	26:2
runs (1)	seeking (1)	11:21;36:4;44:10	18:11;23:2;43:3	starts (1)
51:22	5:2	shows (1)	Somehow (1)	10:25
Rutledge (1)	seem (3)	55:8	59:11	state (1)
42:17	15:17;17:6;32:10	side (37)	somewhat (3)	51:15
-	seems (2)	3:23;6:21,23;7:20,	40:4,6;45:15	stated (2)
S	22:13,20	22;10:10;11:5,21;	Sorry (6)	46:13;49:13
• (A)	sees (1)	14:5,14;18:24;19:4,	12:2;46:17;48:12,	stay (1)
sale (4)	40:17	16;20:11,14;21:1,10,	18;50:1,11	38:15
18:12;35:9;40:21;	self-evident (1)	11;22:1;34:6,7,14;	sort (10)	steps (1)
42:14	58:4	35:24;36:8;37:25;	8:11;10:14;11:8,	20:4
same (5)	SELVITELLI (29)	38:10,18;39:16,18;	16;12:15,20;15:15;	Steve (2)
8:25;11:3;15:12;	4:5,6;16:13;19:13,	40:7;42:21,25;45:7;	16:9;30:7;34:13	26:18,19
34:11;44:1	17;20:2,15,17,22;	52:22;53:22;60:4;	sounds (1)	stick (1)
Sanders (27)	21:3,9;26:8,14,18,20;	61:6	38:11	16:1
3:6,12;24:17;29:6,	27:15;30:5,13;31:17;	sides (1)	south (4)	sticking (1)
17;30:9,14;33:3;	34:20;35:2;36:2;	44:1	6:15;7:22;8:17;	34:12
36:22;46:5,17,20;	37:14;41:24;43:14,	similar (2)	15:5	still (11)
48:12;49:20;54:7,12;	20;44:5,8;45:4	9:25;45:15	south-facing (1)	8:12;10:3;12:18;
55:13;56:14;57:3,19;	senior (1)	simple (4)	6:20	15:20;19:5;30:10;
58:9;60:12,25;61:7,	56:1	10:1;16:2,3;18:1	space (11)	34:10;40:4;47:16;
10,21;62:1	sense (6)	simply (1)	7:3;8:4,21;9:4,7;	49:12;54:18
sat (1)	18:18;24:21;30:1;	32:15	29:25;36:5;57:15;	stop (5)
28:21	36:1;44:18;56:14	sit (1)	60:3,20;61:1	47:22;59:7,9,11,18
save (2)	sent (1)	37:15	spaces (2)	stopped (1)
4:3;36:24	37:19	site (4)	6:23;8:6	34:16
saying (5)	separate (3)	10:16;13:18;29:4;	Speaking (2)	stops (1)
20:8;30:9;47:12;	29:20,23;30:4	41:24	38:20;46:7	59:16
49:6;55:12	separated (1)	sits (1)	sphere (1)	story (6)
scale (10)	36:18	34:2	53:8	5:25,25,25;6:6;
11:11,19,25;12:24;	separately (1)	six (2)	spot (1)	18:2;45:8
14:20;36:21;42:4;	36:20	3:5;26:14	60:10	story-and-a-half (1)
45:3;46:3;54:23	series (2)	size (2)	square (16)	31:1
	i .	İ.	I .	Ì

straight (2)	19	towards (5)	unlike (1)	47:17;54:10
10:5;58:12	swear (1)	14:14,21;15:13;	41:3	
street (48)	54:7	18:21;21:23	up (40)	W
3:23;6:4;7:6,15,17;	swimming (2)	traditional (2)	5:14;9:21,23;	
10:10,23;12:1;13:24;	21:19,22	3:20;4:23	11:13,13;12:14,23;	walk (1)
14:1,2,6,8,24;15:13,	switched (2)	traffic (1)	14:6,12,15,23,23;	36:12
24;17:8;18:21;19:9,	18:23;19:4	60:7		
			15:12;19:9;20:5,23;	walkable (1)
16;20:19;22:5,16,21;	symmetrical (1)	transaction (1)	21:20;24:1,1,22,23;	6:22
28:4,5,10,13,15;	12:1	48:3	25:23;27:23;30:11,	walked (1)
32:17;34:18;38:4,9;		treating (1)	16;32:8;34:12;36:9;	22:20
39:21,23;40:1,14,25;	T	8:15	37:23;39:11;41:13;	walkout (1)
44:6,15;45:21;51:19,		trees (1)	42:15;43:5;44:14;	11:24
20;52:5,7;53:6;56:4,	talk (1)	45:1	45:5;46:14;51:8;	walkway (3)
8	37:15	tried (2)	54:1;56:2;57:4	59:9,18,20
tructure (35)	talked (2)	9:22;37:22	use (5)	wall (1)
3:25;5:20,21;6:12,	15:18;59:8	trim (2)	4:21;15:9;43:3;	53:18
14,20;7:12,17;9:6;				
	talking (4)	16:3,5	58:6;61:1	walls (3)
10:12,16;11:17;	13:22;21:17,19;	try (9)	used (2)	20:5;29:25;36:7
12:11,17;13:2;14:5,	43:22	11:18;14:21;23:11,	12:5;42:22	wants (1)
15;16:17;18:1,17;	talks (3)	12;32:17;33:18;	user (1)	40:5
26:11;28:6,14,15;	14:25;15:9;58:1	36:21;37:12,12	61:14	water (1)
29:20;31:1;34:24;	telling (1)	trying (44)	U-shape (1)	20:11
35:1;36:18,19;39:2,3,	26:17	5:16;6:1,5;7:4,18,	32:16	wave (1)
6;40:1;50:19	tenants (1)	23;8:5,20;9:6;10:1,	using (2)	36:9
tructures (6)	61:14	20,22;11:10,15,25;	15:2;26:12	way (28)
13:11,13,25;14:2;				7:10;10:25;11:3,
	terms (1)	12:15,24,25;13:15;	utilizing (1)	
40:13,17	32:3	14:4,11;15:12,23;	4:24	13;12:5,7,16;15:14;
tuff (1)	thereby (1)	16:1,5;22:24,24,25;		17:19;20:25;21:25;
47:16	4:15	34:25;35:3,4;36:19,	V	22:11,22;31:22;
tyles (1)	thinking (1)	19;38:6,8,15;42:3;		39:23,24;41:6,12;
16:7	31:22	44:14,17;45:8,21;	vacations (1)	42:22;44:9,11;45:12,
tylized (1)	though (2)	46:2;53:24;58:10	4:22	13,16,20;47:23;
52:17	31:5;33:23	turn (2)	value (1)	51:19;56:12
ubmitted (1)	thought (7)	15:21;34:10	43:5	ways (4)
20:18	26:20;29:17;30:24;	turned (3)	vegetative (2)	22:14,23;28:23;
ubtracting (1)				
	32:7;33:7;36:22;	14:14;33:24;45:24	25:3;52:9	44:6
24:7	61:11	TV (7)	via (1)	WEBB (22)
udden (1)	three (3)	43:11,12,14,16,17,	7:2	16:16,16;18:20;
25:23	11:22;26:4;27:3	18,18	view (22)	19:18,20;20:7,16,20;
uddenly (1)	thrilled (1)	two (5)	7:9;15:7;28:5,10;	21:2,7,15,21;22:6;
9:14	28:10	3:4;6:21;29:4;	32:14;34:7,8,9,14,22;	23:10,15;38:17;
uggested (1)	throw (1)	47:23;52:21	38:3,9,13,14,21,22;	42:17,17;43:15;44:3,
35:11	33:14	two-story (10)	39:8,13,15,18,24;	7,21
uggesting (1)	tight (1)	3:22,24;13:2,13;	44:23	weren't (1)
60:22	37:1	20:25;28:15;31:1;	viewpoint (1)	48:6
				1
uggestion (1)	today (5)	34:24;38:21;45:13	40:22	west (9)
29:18	16:19;37:19;39:1,	typical (1)	views (10)	7:11,20,22;9:11;
uite (1)	9;48:21	12:13	7:12,20,22;14:7;	11:21;12:4;15:6;
6:18	tonight (8)		15:4,5;37:24;38:20;	38:10;42:21
Sullivan's (5)	3:20;4:16;5:6;	U	41:8;44:25	what's (3)
3:3;33:16;34:22;	28:21;29:11;37:11;		visibility (1)	15:10;35:18;45:5
40:4;52:19	41:22,25	under (10)	52:4	wheel (5)
un (4)	tonight's (1)	4:13;6:1,19;8:4,6,	visible (3)	59:7,8,11,15,18
50:22;51:24;52:24;	3:9	19;23:25;42:6;43:9;	7:7;52:7;53:6	whereas (2)
53:5	took (2)	57:15	visited (1)	
		•		33:22;39:23
upposed (1)	24:15;59:13	underline (1)	29:4	whole (5)
13:21	top (4)	40:18	vocabulary (3)	39:11,18;40:6;
ure (7)	9:5;14:6;20:20;	unique (2)	9:14,24;15:12	56:13;57:3
4:21;12:3;13:10;	37:24	7:21;32:16	volume (2)	who's (3)
31:25;35:7;45:2;	total (1)	unit (1)	21:1;45:19	37:17;40:4;43:4
52:11	25:12	30:4	vote (1)	wide (1)
surface (5)	totally (2)	Unless (3)	46:8	10:17
24:25;25:4,5;27:7,	28:14;29:21	20:16;24:25;26:25	voted (2)	wife (1)

									.,										
4	4,439 (1) 24:22 4,651 (1)	24:19 4,988 (2) 24:3;25:16 40 (4)	13:25;19:11,25; 22:16 46 (4) 7:15:19:22:22:13,	23 49(1) 25:15	w	5,424 (1) 24:1 5,97 (3)	50(3) 4:25;26:3,22 50(1)	7:16 55(1) 17:4	9	6 (7) 5:4;7:16;20:4;26:6,	12;27:8,11 6,146 (4) 24:3,12,14,19	61 (1) 24:7 63 (2)	19:18,19	7 (3) 5:3:26:6:53:4	7.5 (1) 50:25 7:10 (1) 62:8	∞	80s (1) 52:12	6	90(1) 32:14 90s(1) 52:12
Z		,;25:10		. 🙃	19:2		25:8 20(3) 5:2 18:27:18		3:17,19;4:8;13:9 2062 (3)	88:20;42:17	21-26 (1) 23:25 22 (1)	22:8 2213 (1) 49:24	(2)	7 3) 4,18;51:21	.6;	25-foot (1) 7:14		7	300 (1) 53:4
32:2 windows (4) 9-2 5-15-3-09-25	within (3) 9:6;16:7,8 without (2) 30:17:51:6	wonder (2) 59:13,14 wondering (5)	18.15;19:24;21:24; 36:14;42:19 wood (2) 12:13;16:3	work (19) 13:14;14:19,20; 16:2,8;19:7;23:12; 28:27:29:15:30:27:	33:17;35:9;37:11; 42:9;43:7;46:7;48:3,	20;49:22 workable (4) 30:25;31:14,15,18 worked (3)	29:8;31:21;48:20 working (5) 5:8;8:13;33:22;		worksheet (1) 23:20 world (1)	19:3 worried (2)	worries (1) 50:13	worry (1) 54:9 wraparound (5)	9:23;10:15;20:4,9; 44:14 wraparounds (1)	20:10 wrapping (4) 9:24;15:10;44:16;	45:17 wraps (1) 42:25 wrong (1)	26:19	y'all (6)	46:6;48:19;56:8	17:4 young (1) 4:20