In the Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island v

In Re: Design Review Board

Sullivan's Island Design Review Board Meeting
March 15, 2017



A. William Roberts, Jr. & Associates

Court Reporting & Litigation Solutions www.scheduledepo.com | 800-743-DEPO

We're About Service ... Fast, Accurate and Friendly!











court reporting | trial presentation | document services | videography | nationwide scheduling

1	TRANSCRIPT OF TOWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND DESIGN REVIEW BOARD					
2	DESIGN REVIEW BOARD					
3	MEETING OF:	TOWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND				
4		DESIGN REVIEW BOARD				
5	DATE:	March 15, 2017				
6	TIME:	6:00 p.m.				
7 8	LOCATION:	Sullivan's Island Town Hall 2050 Middle Street Sullivan's Island, South Carolina				
9	REPORTED BY:	Jessica R. Dixon				
10						
11	A. WILLIA	M ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES				
12	Fast, Accurate & Friendly					
13						
14		Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC (843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376				
15		Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC (864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919				
16	(003) /31-3224	(004) 234-7030 (704) 373-3919				
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: March 15, 2017.				
2	Kat has asked me for the board members to state				
3	their names for the new court reporter. So please,				
4	Linda.				
5	MS. SANDERS: Rhonda Sanders.				
6	MS. BOHAN: Beverly Bohan.				
7	VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: Steve Herlong.				
8	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Patrick Ilderton.				
9	MS. PERKIS: Linda Perkis.				
10	MR. WICHMAN: Bunky Wichman.				
11	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. The				
12	preconditions terms have been met and received.				
13	The items in question for the approvals of				
14	February 2017. Is there a motion.				
15	VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: Motion to				
16	approve.				
17	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in favor?				
18	1121 Middle Street, 1A.				
19	MR. HENDERSON: This is Agenda Item C1.				
20	It is a historic property design review at				
21	1121 Middle Street. David Boatwright is here on				
22	behalf of the applicants. It is a final approval				
23	to modify a non-historic structure on the				
24	designated property. What this means is the				
25	property is located in Fort Moultrie Local and				

2.2

National Register Historic District, and this is also a historic structure on this property.

A little background on the property itself. The historic structure contains four nonconforming vacation rental units. This single-family home is located behind that. It is a highly altered structure. And so what the property owners are requesting to do is to improve the design of the structure and improving access to the structure by way of the front access and rear access. But there are no expansions of heated square footage. There is no expansion of recreational spaces and vents.

We looked at this during the last meeting and I explained it was of legal nonconforming use, and town staff came out of permanent expansion. So to go through the request and changes, they are requesting a roof modification and a covered front access and rear access. They are conducting some site modifications. They are changing the location of the driveway, and they are requesting to place a semi temporary trailer on the second story deck. So I will yield to the board members for any questions and the applicants present from the zone.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. David?

MR. BOATWRIGHT: I'm David Boatwright.

In fact, we are mitigating to some

I'm representing the property at 1121 Middle Street so you can see it is sort of a nondescript building. I think probably the original cottage. I think Joe described it pretty well. We are not

expanding anything.

degree the nonconforming of things here.

Eliminating those exterior stairs and that widow's walk, we are taking that down. We are having a similar structure, but it is much lower than that.

We are changing the gable roof to a shed roof which will come with solar panels. Which will be quite invisible to the street.

As far as cosmetic right here, I'm going to walk through these drawings here. But that section shows that we are not adding any volume by changing that shed roof. Those two shaded areas are equivalent, and that allows for, you know, the solar panels.

There is a new interior stairway there.

The vertical structure there on I guess -- that is the south side it is slatted, and that will be gaps to an inch, inch and a half. And it is really

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5

meant as an exterior stairway more than anything.

I think it is a good idea to have egresses from any house. Not sure what else to add.

I mean, I guess we are not addressing the interior floor plan at this meeting. Only if there are any questions regarding that.

MS. PERKIS: I've got one. What is the material that we use outside?

MR. BOATWRIGHT: The siding will be wood, matching the existing. I think it is called Dutch, shiplap, or German. And the whole exterior will be white painting and a new silver roof from the side galvalume roof. So the whole effect will be just white and silver.

There is an awning on the lower right there, which is -- by eliminating the exterior stairway. We made an entrance into the existing carport where you can use that stairway to come up to the first and second floors.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, David.

Is there any public comment to this application?

Joe, anything to add?

MR. HENDERSON: I would just reiterate the fact that this element here, the covered staircase, would need to remain open air. And

1	there is one example of kind of a sliding staircase
2	on the island, especially in 15 and 16.
3	MR. BOATWRIGHT: That was a structure I
4	designed several years ago. It is also on Middle
5	Street.
6	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Rhonda,
7	do you want to start?
8	MS. SANDERS: I'm good. I think we
9	resolved everything that was questionable last
10	time, and I like your designs.
11	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Beverly?
12	MS. BOHAN: I agree.
13	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Steve.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: And so I guess
15	we will make it a more distinctive property. Quite
16	honestly, I think you are doing everything you can
17	within this ordinance, which is very restrictive.
18	If the ordinance allowed a little more I think you
19	can do more to make it even more attractive.
20	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. I think it
21	is very state of the art. I like the design. Gives
22	that area a little pop. I think it is good. Duke?
23	MR. WICHMAN: I was not here. That is
24	fine. I like what I see now. So I'd leave it.
25	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Linda?

1	7 MS. PERKIS: I changed my mind. First
2	of all, you are going to be using corrugated metal?
3	And I kind of oh, but okay.
4	MR. WICHMAN: I think the previous
5	architect is the guy who could design a shoe box.
6	It looks great. I like it and look forward to
7	seeing the results. Is this the final?
8	MR. BOATWRIGHT: The final.
9	MR. WICHMAN: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everyone in favor
11	say aye?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: I.
13	SECRETARY WRIGHT: I.
14	MS. BOHAN: I.
15	MS. PERKIS: I.
16	MS. SANDERS: I.
17	MR. WICHMAN: I.
18	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right.
19	2 Citadel Street.
20	MR. HENDERSON: This is D1. This is a
21	non-historic property design located in Sullivan's
22	Island Local Historic and National Register.
23	Mr. Brian Wells is the applicant. He has requested
24	approval for this new home construction and the
25	modifications for principal building square

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

footage, principal building coverage, building foundation height, and also the second story side facade for side relief. And I can run through those very quickly for you.

For principal building coverage, he is requesting 14.5 percent principal square footage.

24.4 second story side setback relief and 100 percent on the south elevation, and then 6 inch increase on the foundation plan.

Yield to the board for any questions and defer to the application.

12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. Yes,
13 sir?

MR. ADRIAN: Thank you. My name is Joel Adrian, and I've worked with Brian on the house. I guess I'd like to start with the site plan for 2 Citadel. I'll just start with how we looked at the siding.

Obviously, front of the address faces

2 Citadel, and then we got the two sides there. We
put the larger side setback standing to the
right-hand side of the property where we have an
adjacent neighbor. We have a smaller siding
setback of 15 feet, which could help create even a
larger buffer between the two houses.

2.2

And then when you look at that side where Poe Street is. I think that house sits 30 feet from Poe, because of the large right of way. So we feel like the location of the house is good. It is not going to be overbearing on either -- any property that is in the area.

So as far as the height clearance, the only reason we are asking for the height clearance is because it is in the V Zone. And in order to get that, it requires clearance to the underside structure, which we needed that additional height.

We have an 8-foot underside structure, but that gives us just below the 2 feet to the underside of that first floor.

MR. WICHMAN: Having a little bit of trouble hearing you.

MR. ADRIAN: Do you want me to go over the height again? It's 6 inches, because it is in the V Zone. We have to have that clearance to the other side of the structure. So as far as its compatibility with the neighborhood -- I think Joe, if you can bring up the aerial view of the houses around.

And then we've got some nice 3-D of

Poe Street where you can see actually the proposed

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1 structure in relation to the adjacent neighbor.

But when you look at the location and the houses

3 there on Poe Street and really within two blocks of

4 | it, I think that the overall size is very

5 | compatible with what is in that area.

Certainly, with the most adjacent houses parked across the street, just to the right on Middle Street so I think that overall, you know, the balance being used are very standard or very typical for the island with the metal roof for that siding.

We lifted the elevations. There is an intentional order of how the pieces go together. You have the main mass of the house and there is a little height with relation to the master suite part, with that mass stepping down as it is swaying from left to right. But I don't know that I have anything else to add. Brian, do you want to?

MR. WELLS: Yeah. This is a house for me and my wife and family, and I work with Joel all the time. I did talk to the neighbor, Nate Eugene, about the project. He is the one that is to the right of that house. This one here. So it is basically the same mass. So he likes the project. He looked at the plans and feels good

2.2

about it. He is supportive of the project.

The former house used to face Citadel.

Just like the same or rotation we have here now.

Faced the park, just like we are proposing. So you can kind of see on this picture the alley here on Poe is very narrow. There are a lot of trees that go between the house and Poe. It kind of faces the backside. We are planning on leaving all of those.

So if you could -- on this photo, on the left-hand side, that's Poe. It is a very narrow street. And there is a lot of trees that are between our house and Poe Avenue. I think it is going to do a good job of screening it from the backs of those.

The other thing I mentioned I just want to make sure you got is, I talked to the neighbor, Nate Eugene, and he is in support of the project. And the former residence that was on this property did face the park the same way we are proposing to orientate this house.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Anything to add?

Joe, any questions. Open to public comment.

MR. HENDERSON: I want to add that the zoning ordinance requires that any new construction house to face the ocean on the one condition -- if

there was previously a house oriented otherwise. 1 And so it was a brick ranch. I believe it oriented 2 towards the park, is the ordinance. 3 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Steve? 4 VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: 5 Well, I 6 remember when the neighbor's house came before the 7 board and I think its first version is a very tall house and we recommended that we go to a one and a 8 half story style of home. And I think that has 9 10 been very successful over there on Middle Street. 11 And this house that you are proposing is similar. 12 It is a one-and-a-half-story-type 13 structure, which limits that vertical massing. The 14 only -- explain one thing. 15 You said that you needed an additional 16 height due to being in the V Zone, but it looks 17 like you are clear of the V Zone. I don't really 18 understand that reasoning. I mean, I'm wondering 19 if you can lower it slightly so you can still park 20 under the house, if anything. 21 I look at this image here, and it just 2.2 feels a little bit too tall at the latest areas. 23 So I'm just curious why you say it needs to be

24

25

MR. WELLS: Yeah, and again -- correct

higher because you are in the V Zone.

2.2

me if I'm wrong. My understanding is that for the V Zone house they want 2 feet of clearance to the underside of the structure. So I think I got 2 feet maybe 2 1/2feet, if you look at the elevation sheet. Look at the elevation sheet. You should see where we are at.

VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: I think if I'm not mistaken, the town has a Plus 1 rule.

MR. HENDERSON: That is correct. There is a 1 foot freeborn requirement, so that is a town regulation. Now, the zoning ordinance also limits the height of finished full elevation to go the higher than 3 feet. So what the applicants are requesting 3 feet 6 inches, and I think what Steve is saying is why does it have to have that 6 inches?

MR. WELLS: Right. If you look at that on the elevation sheet, you will see an 8 foot 1 1/2 dimension from the slab to the -- basically, the bottom floor. I feel like that is the lowest we can go and still have a functioning garage door on the side. I think if you bring it down a couple of inches and try to go 7 feet 6, but it is -- you start to lose the functionality of the driveway. And also, the other installations trying to make it

2.2

lower to where it goes a little bit from the outside of the house the first couple feet.

VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: Well, one detail -- you might try to take that sill detail between the house and the latest and maybe add some solid pieces to that sill detail which would visually lower the latest. And maybe I think that would improve the looks of the house. Just some changes to trim detail.

I understand exactly what you are saying about the garage, but in general I think it is a very attractive home. Very similar in its size to the neighboring home. They are asking, however, 24 percent increase -- 24.4 percent increase in the principal building square footage on the interior lot. It is available. I think the massing has been broken down. You got two separate masses of structures linked. All of those things reduce the mass. So I think I'm okay with it.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I think because it is broken up, that's probably why you need the extra percentage because the mass is broken up. Which is what we do know from what we see, as opposed to one big massive building. I can see why the extra percentage might be needed with those

1	houses and square footage. So I'm for it. Duke?
2	MR. WICHMAN: I'm okay with it.
3	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Linda?
4	MS. PERKIS: Well, first thing they ask
5	for is four modifications any place that asks
6	for four modifications, either the house is too big
7	or the lot is too small. I would prefer to see it
8	shrunk down so you don't have to ask for a
9	modification on this size. And you and your family
10	are going to live there?
11	MR. ADRIAN: Yes, ma'am.
12	MS. PERKIS: I hope you have a lot of
13	kids.
14	MR. ADRIAN: We do. We have a lot of
15	kids.
16	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Bunky?
17	MR. WICHMAN: I like it. I think it's
18	great. I think what Steve said if you can up on
19	the trim to drop the appearance of the height.
20	Also, it is showing it doesn't show any kind of
21	landscaping, any kind of plants, which would also
22	help bring it down as well. You are is it a
23	lapside; is that right?
24	MR. ADRIAN: Correct.
25	MR. WICHMAN: Is it all going to be all

1 | wood or --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. ADRIAN: We are going to be doing all hardy material on the siding.

MR. WICHMAN: All the way around. I think the house is great and I think as Steve pointed out the appearance and breaking the masses. It is great. Good luck with it. It's good.

MS. BOHAN: I agree with Steve. I think that it does feel a little taller. Maybe it is the AutoCAD on the lines. But maybe that could be brought down, but the sketches aren't really, really indicative of the actual size of it. I think sometimes they show more lines than actually. I'm good. I think you made a lot of improvements to the massing, and I think you've pushed to it the limit. I think you've done a good job.

MS. SANDERS: I like it too. I think it looks like the one behind, beside it, or on the corner. I do want to put out that on calculations where it says Total Coverage that it is over 29 percent maximum, which is fine. But you have several post things that are not included. But that is up to Joe in the future, I guess.

MR. ADRIAN: Thank you.

MR. HENDERSON: I want to clarify.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 2720 Goldbug.

This is another 1 MR. HENDERSON: Okav. 2 non-historic property design review. It is into Item D2. This property is located at 2720 Goldbug 3 Ms. Heather Wilson is presenting this 4 Avenue. exhibition. It is a single-family residence. 5 She is requesting modification to 6 7 principal building coverage, building foundation height is 1 foot and principal building square 8 9 footage of 10 percent. MS. WILSON: No, it is both of them. 10 11 MR. HENDERSON: Okay. So it is only 12 principal square footage. 13 MS. WILSON: I'm sorry. It is 10 14 percent. 15 MR. HENDERSON: Okay. So it is 10 16 percent requested for principal square footage and 17 principal building square footage, both within 10 18 percent, which is within your purview for granting 19 the modification. I'll go to you guys for any 20 questions. 21 MS. WILSON: This is the view from the 2.2 back and this is the view from the front. Sorry. 23 I'm used to holding paper and pointing. So it is a 24 small existing house that the owners have lived in 25 for a while. Two bedrooms, plus a small office

2.2

bedroom. They've got two kids. They would like to expand. And then the gable addition to the right is to add a larger functional kitchen and kid's bedrooms upstairs.

You can see -- if you go to the right there I have some photographs. I can come up there and show you. It is a street view that has two square houses on it. So in lifting that, we had the challenge to try to balance the two-story addition for that square house. We brought down, we did some tables. We did the addition in perpendicular fashion so that it is not overwhelming on the street. And have the wraparound portion on the corner to try to get the masses together.

Likewise, porches on the back, and there is a great view. So we want to capitalize on that. And the two 3-D's on the front and along the street there is a tremendous amount of vegetation. We also have an email support from the neighbor. We presented that plan and they are in favor of that addition.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Thank you.

HENDERSON: That email is under your

agenda. So I would also like to point out that it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

is the right elevation you are requesting the				
second story side setback relief in two areas. So				
one is here closer to the street, and one is on the				
back.				

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Go ahead.

MS. WILSON: One other thing is I have the elevations to hand to you on that porch where you see the elevation on the right. Just to give it a little more.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Is there any public comment? Yes, sir?

MR. BONE: I'm Paul Bone, and I own the house to the left with my son. I just want to say I wholeheartedly support this request. They need the room. They have two children. They need a couple of extra bedrooms.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Thank you.

Any other public comments? Bunky, you want to

start?

MR. WICHMAN: Sure. You are going to go on that back porch? And on the gable -- is that a change?

MS. WILSON: Yes.

MR. WICHMAN: Okay. Great. And you are? What side are you going to use?

MS. WILSON: Yes, the master of the
house.
CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Linda?
MS. PERKIS: I'm good.
CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Duke?
SECRETARY WRIGHT: I'm good. I think
it is a very good solution.
CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. I think it
will be an attractive initiative to the
neighborhood. So I'm for it. Steve?
MR: HERLONG: I think it fits well.
MS. BOHAN: It looks like it's been
there for a long time.
MS. SANDERS: Very nice. It's awesome.
MS. WILSON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion?
Everyone in favor say I?
VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: I.
SECRETARY WRIGHT: I.
MS. BOHAN: I.
MS. PERKIS: I.
MS. SANDERS: I.
MR. WICHMAN: I.
CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. 1730.
MR. HENDERSON: Okay. This is Agenda

Τ	Item El. It is a historic special exception
2	requested, 1730. This will be third time that we
3	review this. We reviewed it previously during our
4	last meeting on January 18, and February 15 and
5	during our last DRB meeting on the 15th. The DRB
6	voted unanimously to defer this design review.
7	That the applicant presents alternative designs for
8	the front porch of the historic porch.
9	Also considered alternative design of
10	the rear addition that came along in the Turn of
11	the Century, 1900s. And also the applicants give
12	the board a chance to walk around the property and
13	review the structure. So they have modified their
14	design, and I can flip through those as needed.
15	CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Thank you.
16	Yes, ma'am?
17	MS. BURTON: Well, I think that third
18	time is a charm. So I'm Rachel Burton from
19	Swallowtail, and what I would to do is start with
20	the site plan. Talk to you about the site plan,
21	and then I want to show you the design that I have

And what I have shown on this drawing is a hatched area that overlaps the porch and a

shown on this drawing. So I would like you to turn

to (C)1.2.

22

23

24

25

2.2

pool on the new home in the back. And the reason I'm bringing this to your attention is that it is really important for us to be able to develop this site as a residential site where the special exception is a 1200 square foot building and a new home. And if we keep the back part of that building we don't have enough to put a deck or a porch and a pool in the back.

So it is a significant amount of area we need to be working around. So I just wanted to graph to show you what it looked like. So I'm going to go back now to the elevations. In the last meeting you asked for several items that we considered and showed you what that looked like.

And I'm going to start with (A)2.0-A, and it is the elevations and all the elevations showing the design.

What I've done is changed the porch.

So we looked at the design for porch problems.

What the spacing and massing of the exposed draft entails. And what I like about this is that we have a shed at the front. It matches the shed roof or the door in the back.

It is a big enough porch that you could sit on it and watch the street. I feel like the

2.2

design of the porch is a little more -- I use the word, masculine. But I mean a little more tough as opposed to decorative which I think suits the building better and allows us to have a big -- in the back. So this is our liking scenario.

But you asked to see alternatives and the second page shows the first alternative and that is with the gable roof. So I used the same proportion of massing of the side elevation and made a gable roof in the front porch. I don't think it is a good solution for a historic structure to this roof.

I think it is the wrong shape and also doesn't match our shed doors in the back. And it is also not large enough that you could sit out on the porch in the back. And it is also not large enough that you could sit out on the porch and enjoy the street view. But I wanted to show you that would be what the massing is going to look like. And I've shown the side views as well.

The third page of elevations is addressing what happens at the back if we cannot demolish it.

And these are all options that we don't want to have for various reasons. So the top one is showing you the side view. If we need to keep the

later addition on the back, we have a very large massing behind the building. So I'm showing the left side elevation. And what I don't like about it is that you can see on the bottom elevation. It just takes up so much of the wall of the rear elevation.

So it means we can't have our doors across the back. So we can't have that little light. We can't easily have the bay front windows with those doors above. We blocked a lot of the light of the view of this new residential leading up to the backyard. And it is difficult to create a really, really great special exception, combined with the difficulty of then to meet our other goals to make this property a viable solution for a special exception for a new home if we have to keep that at the back.

But you asked to see what happens if we need to keep that at the back and I've shown you all the elevation options telling you that we need to keep all of it. And then the last sheet that I gave you is just our ideal site plan. And it is showing 1 1/2 story new home at the back. The new residence.

It is showing our special exception

2.2

historic structure where we really tried to address your design comments and use them constructively to improve the design. I'm showing a new garage. I honestly don't know if we want to use it, but wanted to include it in case we do, and I'm showing that we would like to demolish the rear piece. And you've been on our site. You've seen what it looks like.

In previous meetings I described where there is precedence on the island. Where the rear of a historic structure has had alterations and demolitions to facilitate the home growing residential requirements that are changing the industrial beast. So I welcome your comments.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Is there any public comments for this application?

The public section is closed. Beverly, you want to start.

MS. BOHAN: I see your point on the gable front porch. I understand. I'm sure the exercise is arduous. I see the point. I'm still struggling with the front porch, and something about it that I can't get past a little bit. I do feel like this rear addition structure probably is not to be considered historic.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I think preserving the front is more important. So I would say I would pass on improving the front porch. The rest of it I'm okay with.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Rhonda?

MS. SANDERS: I'm kind of the opposite, actually. I think there are a purpose of the back and I think there is creative things that can be done with it. I have some great thoughts, but I would consider it heated.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Steve?

VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG: When you look through the structure, yes. There are so many old timbers, but every vertical wall looks like it's been highly altered or new construction and non-historic. It is altered at best. In addition, on the back I'm much more comfortable agreeing with that I think it is probably regarding that front porch detail.

I think it is the drawing. Maybe you should -- the darkness of the shading of the roof, it is dark-colored. It may be graphics, but I think because of that, that a shed roof is the right style of roof to put on that side elevation. I'm in favor of this.

2.2

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yeah. I think it is fine. I think any improvement to that structure, as I mentioned is going to be good and in respect and I'd like a porch presence. I'd rather see some porch than no porch. So I do like a porch presence on the house. It is just a kind way of presenting itself to the street as well as to the people. So I'm for it, thank you.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Duke?

SECRETARY WRIGHT: I'm for it. I've experienced this in my past life where porches of a historic structure is taken off because of change, use, and new additions. So I'm in favor of removing the rear structure and I like the design that is on the screen now of the front, and I think you've done a good job on that.

MS. PERKIS: I've gone back and forth on this for three months. I've driven by. I walked by it. I know about more buildings than I ever wanted to know in my entire life. This is where I'm going in a conundrum. The back part was built in 1905 we think. The part we want to remove. We want to remove an old part so we can build something new, and that is the whole bottom line.

2.2

build a new one. And yet if we look back in the history of our island, it was a fort. That's our history. Also I did a little research. The officer's cars were born in 1905. The Band Stand was built in 1905. There were a lot of things happening. We are a fort community that's what we were. Yes, I like what you've done. I would like -- well, I'm not an architect and I would have to defer to the experts on that. I would like to keep that back part, even though I know I'm going to have it outvoted. And have it look like an open garage, but nicer.

I just want to be able to pull your cars in, pull them out. I understand with the rendering and how it would affect the little house that you want to do. So I'm open. I'm sure when the vote comes, I'll vote for it. I just think once we remove it we can't go back. That is the bottom line. And it is only a fort building.

George Washington didn't sleep there, and Abraham Lincoln didn't emancipate the slaves there, but it was part of the fort. And the more we lose, the more we won't have. That's the only thing and we can't save it.

2.2

SECRETARY WRIGHT: You gave us a site plan. I want to agree with what you are saying, but I think in the broader context of improving the environment, this property is going to move in the process. So looking at it in that dark sense given your concern about the removal of the addition, I know it is a historical part and it is important.

MS. BURTON: I really do appreciate your comments. I know it is a historical part, and there is just two points.

One is what Steve said. There is very little there that has some architectural and historical value. More importantly the site plan that is up shows that when you keep the back, even if it is an open garage, even if it is a pavilion, whatever it is. Also, what we can do is build a small footprint for the new home.

And I think it is really important that we look into a 1 1/2 story home, which means that it is a slightly larger front lift and mass, so that it is not towering over a historic structure. And it honestly means there is no space left over on the site and we can't ask for an exception. So if that piece on the back is just so such a big area and it doesn't have tremendous value even

1 | though the trusses were there in 1905.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Thank

3 you.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. PERKIS: I see exactly what you are saying. I get it.

6 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Bunky?

MR. WICHMAN: I think the gable roof look is ugly. The shed roof is nicer too. Did you change the pitch on the shed roof?

MS. BURTON: I did look at changing the pitch. I had adjusted where it hit on a slope. I adjusted where it made the wider choice spaces thicker and the space wider. And I changed the design of the columns so they were wider and just had less, so they were just a little more like medium. I thought that kind of plainness suited the massing.

MR. WICHMAN: I think it is an improvement and I think the screened in room is great. My opinion, with all due respect to the present owners, you know -- it wasn't part of the original structure. And it was, you know, it was an add on. And Steve and I inspected it there and -- yeah. I mean, it is well done. You can see where the dividers are, but it should be -- the

15 MR. ANDERESS: No. The stable was 16 further down the street where --

17 MS. SANDERS: I was just curious.

18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: -- we've got a

19 motion made?

20 MS. SANDERS: Second.

21 SECRETARY WRIGHT: Second.

2.2 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: The special?

23 Everybody in favor?

24 I. VICE CHAIRMAN HERLONG:

> SECRETARY WRIGHT: I.

25

```
33
 1
                  MS. BOHAN:
                               I.
 2
                  MS. PERKIS:
                                I.
                  MS. SANDERS:
 3
                                  I.
 4
                  MR. WICHMAN:
                                  I.
 5
                  CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great.
                                                 Thank you.
     All right. We are adjourned.
 6
 7
                  (The meeting was concluded at 7:00
 8
     p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

_

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Jessica R. Dixon and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of Ray Crews was taken by me and transcribed by me and is a true, accurate, and complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 15h day of March, 2017 at Mount Pleasant, South Carolina.



Jessica R. Dixon
Court Reporter
My Commission Expires:
01/27/2027

ii ke. Desigii kevie	w board			Maich 15, 2017
	3	adjourned 33:6	areas 12:22 20:2	bit 9:15 12:22 14:1
		adjusted	asks	26:23
(A)2.0-A	3	31:11,12	15:5	blocked
23:15	13:13,14	Adrian	attachment	25:10
(C)1.2	3-D	8:14,15 9:17	32:2	blocks
22:23	9:24	15:11,14,24 16:2,	attention	10:3
	3-d's	24 17:24	23:2	board
1	19:18	aerial	attractive	8:10 12:7 22:12
	30	9:22	14:12 21:9	BOATWRIGHT
1	9:2 17:1	affect	Autocad	7:8
13:8,10,19 18:8 25:23 30:19		29:16	16:10	BOHAN
	6	agenda	Avenue	7:14 16:8 17:19
1/2 13:19 25:23 30:19		19:25 21:25	11:12 18:4	21:12,20 26:19
	6	agree	awesome	33:1
1/2feet 13:4	8:8 9:18 13:14,15, 23 17:7	16:8 30:2	21:14	Bone
	23 17.7	agreeing	aye	20:12
10 18:9,13,15,17	7	27:17	7:11	born
100		ahead		29:5
8:7	7	20:5	В	bottom
1200	13:23	alley		13:20 25:4 28:24 29:20
23:5	7:00	11:5	back	
14.5	33:7	alterations	18:22 19:16 20:4,	box 7:5
8:6		26:11	21 23:1,6,8,12,23 24:5,14,16,22	breaking
15	8	altered	25:1,8,17,19,23	16:6
8:24 22:4		27:15,16	27:7,17 28:17,21	Brian
15th	8	alternative	29:2,11,19 30:14,	7:23 8:15 10:18
22:5	13:18	22:7,9 24:7	24 32:8	brick
1730	8-foot 9:12	alternatives 24:6	backs	12:2
21:24 22:2	9.12		11:14	bring
18	A	amount 19:19 23:9	backside 11:8	9:22 13:22 15:22
22:4	A	Anderess		bringing
1900	Abraham	32:6,10,15	backyard 25:12	23:2
32:14	29:22	appearance	balance	broader
1900s	actual	15:19 16:6	10:9 19:9	30:3
22:11	16:12	applicant	Band	broken
1905	add	7:23 22:7	29:5	14:17,21,22
28:22 29:5,6 31:1	10:18 11:21,23	applicants	basically	brought
	14:5 19:3 31:23	13:13 22:11	10:24 13:19	16:11 19:10
2	addition	application	bay	buffer
2	19:2,10,11,22 22:10 25:1 26:24	8:11 26:16	25:9	8:25
7:19 8:17,20 9:13	27:16 30:6	approval	beast	build
13:2,3,4	additional	7:24	26:14	28:24 29:2 30:16
24	9:11 12:15	architect	bedroom	building
14:14	additions	7:5 29:9	19:1	7:25 8:1,5 14:15, 24 18:7,8,17 23:5,
24.4	28:13	architectural	bedrooms	7 24:4 25:2 29:20
8:7 14:14	address	30:12	18:25 19:4 20:16	32:1
2720	8:19 26:1	arduous	Beverly	buildings
17:25 18:3	addressing	26:21	26:17	28:19
29	24:21	area	big	built
16:20	adjacent	9:6 10:5 22:25 23:9 30:25	14:24 15:6 23:24	28:22 29:6
	8:23 10:1,6	23.7 30.23	24:4 30:24	

TITTO: Design Nevic	W Board			141011 10, 2017
Bunky 15:16 20:18 31:6	closed 26:17		DRB 22:5	experienced 28:11
Burton 22:17,18 30:8	closer 20:3	D1	driven 28:18	experts 29:10
31:10	columns	7:20	driveway	explain
	31:14	D2 18:3	13:24	12:14
C	combined	dad	drop	exposed
calculations	25:13	32:11	15:19	23:20
16:19	comfortable 27:17	dark	due 12:16 31:20	extra 14:22,25 20:16
capitalize	comment	30:5	Duke	14.22,23 20.10
19:17	11:22 20:11	dark-colored	15:1 21:5 28:9	F
cars	comments	27:22	32:9,12	
29:5,15	20:18 26:2,14,16	darkness		facade
case 26:5	30:9	27:21	E	8:3
Century	community 29:7	deck 23:7	E1	face 11:2,19,25
22:11		decorative	22:1	Faced
CHAIRMAN	compatibility 9:21	24:3	easily	11:4
7:10,12,18 8:12	compatible	defer	25:9	faces
11:21 12:4,5 13:7	10:5	8:11 22:6 29:10	element	8:19 11:7
14:3,20 15:3,16 17:5,10,12,13,14,	concern	demolish	17:9	facilitate
16,17,23,25 19:23	30:6	24:22 26:6	elevation	26:12
20:5,10,17 21:3,5, 8,16,18,24 22:15	concluded 33:7	demolitions 26:12	8:8 13:4,5,12,18 20:1,8 24:9 25:3,4,	family 10:20 15:9
26:15 27:5,11,12	condition	design	6,20 27:24	fashion
28:1,9 31:2,6 32:3, 18,22,24 33:5	11:25	7:5,21 18:2 22:6,9,	elevations 10:12 20:7 23:12,	19:12
challenge	considered	14,21 23:17,19 24:1 26:2,3 28:14	16 24:21	favor
19:9	22:9 23:14 26:25	31:14	email	7:10 17:16 19:21 21:17 27:25 28:13
chance	construction 7:24 11:24 27:15	designs	19:20,24	32:23
22:12	constructively	22:7	emancipate	February
change	26:2	detail	29:22	22:4
17:10 20:22 28:12 31:9	context	14:4,6,9 17:11 27:19	enjoy 24:18	feel
changed	30:3	develop	entails	9:4 13:20 16:9 23:25 26:24
7:1 23:18 31:13	conundrum	23:3	23:21	feels
changing	28:21	difficult	entire	10:25 12:22
26:13 31:10	corner 16:19 19:14	25:12	28:20	feet
charm	correct	difficulty	environment	8:24 9:3,13 13:2,4,
22:18	12:25 13:9 15:24	25:14	30:4	13,14,23 14:2
children 20:15	corrugated	dimension 13:19	Eugene 10:22 11:17	filled 32:7,9
choice	7:2	dividers	exception	final
31:12	couple	31:25	22:1 23:5 25:13,	7:7,8
Citadel	13:22 14:2 20:16	door	16,25 30:23	fine
7:19 8:17,20 11:2	coverage 8:1,5 16:20 18:7	13:21 23:23	exercise 26:21	16:21 28:2
clarify 16:25 17:6	create	doors 24:14 25:7,10	exhibition	finished 13:12
16:25 17:6 clear	8:24 25:12		18:5	
12:17	creative	draft 23:20	existing	fits 21:11
clearance	27:8	drawing	18:24	flip
9:7,8,10,19 13:2	curious	22:22,24 27:20	expand	22:14
	12:23 32:17		19:2	
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	i .	1	1	i .

ili ke. Desigli kevie	w board			Maich 15, 2017
floor 9:14 13:20 32:11	Goldbug 17:25 18:3	16:25 17:6 18:1, 11,15 19:24 21:25	image 12:21	job 11:13 16:16 28:16
foot 13:10,18 18:8 23:5	good 9:4 10:25 11:13	HERLONG 7:12 12:5 13:7	important 23:3 27:2 30:7,18	Joe 9:21 11:22 16:23
footage 8:1,6 14:15 15:1 18:9,12,16,17	16:7,14,16 21:4,6, 7 24:11 28:3,16 32:6	14:3 17:10,13,17 21:11,18 27:12 32:24	importantly 30:13 improve	Joel 8:15 10:20
footprint 30:17	granting 18:18	higher 12:24 13:13	14:8 26:3	K
fort 29:3,7,20,23	graph 23:11	highly 27:15	improvement 28:2 31:19	kid's 19:3
forward 7:6	graphics 27:22	historic 7:22 22:1,8 24:11	improvements 16:14	kids 15:13,15 19:1
foundation 8:2,9 17:8,9 18:7	great 7:6 15:18 16:5,7	26:1,11,25 28:12 30:21	improving 27:3 30:3	kind 7:3 11:5,7 15:20,
6.2,9 17.8,9 18.7 free 32:1	19:17 20:24 25:13 27:9 31:20 33:5	historical 30:7,9,13	inch 8:8	21 27:6 28:6 31:16 kitchen
freeborn 13:10	growing 26:12	history 29:3,4	inches 9:18 13:14,16,23 17:7	19:3
front 8:19 18:22 19:18	guess 8:16 16:23	hit 31:11	include	L
22:8 23:22 24:10 25:9 26:20,22	guy 7:5	holding 18:23	26:5 included	landscaping 15:21
27:1,3,18 28:15 30:20	guys 18:19 32:7	home 7:24 12:9 14:12,13	16:22 increase	lapside 15:23
full 13:12	Н	23:1,6 25:16,23 26:12 30:17,19	8:9 14:14,15 indicative	large 9:3 24:15,16 25:1
functional 19:3	half	honestly 26:4 30:22	16:12 industrial	larger 8:21,25 19:3 30:20
functionality 13:24	12:9 hall	hope 15:12	26:14 initiative	latest 12:22 14:5,7
functioning 13:21	32:13 hand	house 8:16 9:2,4 10:14,	21:9 inspected	leading 25:11
future 16:23	20:7 happening	19,23 11:2,7,12, 20,25 12:1,6,8,11, 20 13:2 14:2,5,8	31:23 installations	leaving 11:8
G	29:7 hardy	15:6 16:5 18:24 19:10 20:13 21:2	13:25 intentional 10:13	left 10:17 20:13 25:3
gable	16:3 hatched	28:6 29:16 houses	interior 14:16	30:22 left-hand
19:2 20:21 24:8,10 26:20 31:7	22:25 hear	8:25 9:22 10:2,7 15:1 19:8	island 7:22 10:10 26:10	11:10 level
garage 13:21 14:11 26:3 29:13 30:15	17:12 21:16 32:4 hearing	I	29:3	32:8 life
gave 25:22 30:1	9:16 heated	ideal 25:22	issue 17:4	28:11,20 lift
general 14:11	27:10 Heather	ILDERTON 7:10,18 8:12 11:21	Item 18:3 22:1	30:20 lifted
George 29:21	18:4 height	12:4 14:20 15:3,16 17:5,12,14,16,23,	items 23:13	10:12 lifting
give 20:8 22:11	8:2 9:7,8,11,18 10:15 12:16 13:12 15:19 18:8	25 19:23 20:5,10, 17 21:3,5,8,16,24	J	19:8 light
goals 25:14	HENDERSON 7:20 11:23 13:9	22:15 26:15 27:5, 11 28:1,9 31:2,6 32:3,18,22 33:5	January 22:4	25:9,11 likes
		, ,		10:24

in Re. Design Revie	w board			Waiti 15, 2017
Likewise	make	28:18	options	17:20 21:4,21
19:16	11:16 13:25 17:1	motion	24:23 25:20	28:17 31:4 33:2
liking	25:15	17:5,12 21:16	order	perpendicular
24:5	masculine	32:4,19	9:9 10:13	19:12
limit 16:16	24:2 mass	move 30:4	ordinance 11:24 12:3 13:11	photo 11:9
limits	10:14,16,24 14:19,		orientate	photographs
12:13 13:11	22 30:20		11:20	19:6
Lincoln	masses	narrow	oriented	picture
29:22	14:18 16:6 19:15		12:1,2	11:5
Linda 15:3 21:3	massing	11:6,11	original	pictures
	12:13 14:17 16:15	Nate	31:22 32:1	32:13
lines	23:20 24:9,19 25:2	10:21 11:17	outvoted	piece 26:6 30:24
16:10,13	31:17	National	29:12	
linked	massive	7:22	overbearing	pieces 10:13 14:6
14:18	14:24	needed	9:5	
live	master	9:11 12:15 14:25	overlaps	pitch 31:9,11
15:10	10:15 21:1	22:14	22:25	
lived 18:24	match 24:14	neighbor 8:23 10:1,21 11:16 19:20	overwhelming 19:13	place 15:5
Local 7:22	matches	neighbor's	owners	plainness
	23:22	12:6	18:24 31:21	31:16
located 7:21 18:3	material 16:3	neighborhood 9:21 21:10	P	plan 8:9,17 19:21 22:20
location 9:4 10:2	maximum 16:21	neighboring 14:13	p.m.	25:22 30:2,13 planning
long	means	nice	33:8	11:8 plans
21:13	25:7 30:19,22	9:24 21:14	paper	
looked 8:18 10:25 23:11,	medium 31:16	nicer	18:23 park	10:25 plants
14,19 lose	meet 25:14	29:13 31:8 non-historic	11:4,19 12:3,19 parked	15:21 Poe
13:24 29:24 lot	meeting 22:4,5 23:13 33:7	7:21 18:2 27:16	10:7 part	9:2,3,25 10:3 11:6, 7,10,12
11:6,11 14:16 15:7,12,14 16:14	meetings 26:9	0	10:16 23:6 28:21, 22,23 29:11,23	point 19:25 26:19,21
25:10 29:6 lower	mentioned 11:15 28:3 32:9	ocean 11:25 office	30:7,9 31:21 pass	32:8 pointed
12:19 14:1,7 lowered	metal	18:25	27:2	16:6
	7:2 10:10	officer's	past	pointing
32:11 lowest	Middle 10:8 12:10	29:5 one-and-a-half-	26:23 28:11 Paul	18:23 points
13:20	mind	story-type	20:12	30:10
luck	7:1	12:12	pavilion	pool
16:7	mistaken	open	30:15	23:1,8
	13:8	11:22 29:12,17	people	porch
M	modification 15:9 18:6,19	30:15 opinion	28:8 percent	20:7,21 22:8,25 23:8,18,19,24 24:1,10,16,17
made 16:14 24:10 31:12 32:19	modifications 7:25 15:5,6	31:20 32:2 opposed	8:6,8 14:14 16:21 17:1 18:9,14,16,18	26:20,22 27:3,19 28:4,5,6
main	modified	14:24 24:3	percentage	porches
10:14	22:13	opposite	14:22,25	19:16 28:11
10.14	months	27:6	PERKIS 7:1,15 15:4,12	



In Re: Design Revie	w Board	March 15, 2017		
portion 19:14	18:18 pushed	removing 28:14	15,21 21:14,22 27:6 32:5,12,17,20	20:2,25 24:9,20,25 25:3 27:24
possibly	16:15	rendering	33:3	sides
32:14	put	29:16	save	8:20
post	8:21 16:19 23:7	request	29:25	siding
16:22	27:24 32:11	20:14	scenario	8:18,23 10:11 16:3
precedence		requested	24:5	significant
26:10	Q	7:23 18:16 22:2	28:15	23:9
prefer 15:7	question	requesting		sill
	32:5,6	8:6 13:14 18:6 20:1	screened 31:19	14:4,6
presence 28:4,6	questions	requirement	screening	similar 12:11 14:12
present	8:10 11:22 18:20	13:10	11:13	single-family
31:21	quickly	requirements	SECRETARY	18:5
presented	8:4	26:13	7:13 17:18 21:6,19	sir
19:21		requires	28:10 30:1 32:21,	8:13 20:11
presenting	R	9:10 11:24	25	sit
18:4 28:7	Doobal	research	section 26:17 32:10	23:25 24:15,17
presents	Rachel 22:18	29:4		site
22:7	ranch	residence	sense 30:5	8:16 22:20 23:4
preserving	12:2	11:18 18:5 25:24	separate	25:22 26:7 30:1, 13,23
27:1	rear	residential 23:4 25:11 26:13	14:17	sits
previous 7:4 26:9	22:10 25:5 26:6,		setback	9:2
	10,24 28:14 32:10	respect 28:4 31:20	8:7,21,24 20:2	size
previously 12:1 22:3	reason	rest	shading	10:4 14:13 15:9
principal	9:8 23:1	27:3	27:21	16:12
7:25 8:1,5,6 14:15	reasoning 12:18	results	shape	sketches
18:7,8,12,16,17		7:7	24:13	16:11
problems	reasons 24:24	review	shed 23:22 24:14 27:23	slab 13:19
23:19	recommended	18:2 22:3,6,13	31:8,9	slaves
process	12:8	reviewed	sheet	29:22
30:5	redesign	22:3	13:5,18 25:21	sleep
project 10:22,25 11:1,17	17:8	Rhonda 27:5	shoe	29:21
property	reduce	right-hand	7:5	slightly
7:21 8:22 9:6	14:19	8:22	show	12:19 30:20
11:18 18:2,3 22:12	reduction 17:7	roof	15:20 16:13 19:7 22:21 23:11 24:18	slope
25:15 30:4		10:10 23:22 24:8,	showed	31:11
proportion	Register 7:22	10,12 27:21,23,24	23:14	small
24:9	regulation	31:7,8,9	showing	15:7 18:24,25 30:17
proposed 9:25	13:11	room 20:15 31:19	15:20 23:16 24:25	smaller
proposing	relation	rotation	25:2,23,25 26:3,5	8:23
11:4,19 12:11	10:1,15	11:3	shown	solid
public	relief	rule	22:22,24 24:20 25:19	14:6
11:22 20:10,18	8:3,7 20:2	13:8		solution
26:15,17	remember	run	shows 24:7 30:14	21:7 24:11 25:15
pull 20.14.15	12:6	8:3	shrunk	son
29:14,15	removal 30:6		15:8	20:13
purpose 27:7	remove	S	side	south 8:8
purview	28:23 29:1,19	SANDERS	8:2,3,7,21,22 9:1,	
harview		7:16 16:17 17:2.	20 11:10 13:22	space

7:16 16:17 17:2,

ili ke. Desigli kevie	w board			Maich 15, 2017
30:22 31:13	suite	tough	21:18 27:12 32:24	work
spaces	10:15	24:2	view	10:20
31:12	suited	towering	9:22 18:21,22	worked
spacing	31:16	30:21	19:7,17 24:18,25	8:15
23:20	suits		25:11	working
25:20	24:3	town 13:8,10	views	23:10
special		13.8,10	24:20	
22:1 23:4 25:13,	Sullivan's	trees		wraparound
16,25 32:22	7:21	11:6,11	visually	19:14
square	support	tremendous	14:7	WRIGHT
7:25 8:6 14:15	11:17 19:20 20:14	19:19 30:25	vote	7:13 17:18 21:6,19
15:1 18:8,12,16,17			29:18	28:10 30:1 32:21,
19:8,10 23:5	supportive	trim		25
· ·	11:1	14:9 15:19	voted	
stable	Swallowtail	trimming	22:6	wrong
32:14,15	22:19	17:11		13:1 24:13
Stand	swaying	trouble	\mathbf{W}	
29:5	10:16	9:16		Y
standard	10.10		walk	
10:9		trusses	22:12	Yield
	T	31:1		8:10
standing		turn	walked 28:19	21-4
8:21	tables	22:10,22		
start	19:11	two-story	wall	Z
8:16,17 13:24	takes	19:9	25:5 27:14	
20:19 22:19 23:15	25:5		wanted	Zone
26:18	talk	typical	23:10 24:18 26:5	9:9,19 12:16,17,24
stepping		10:10	28:20	13:2
10:16	10:21 22:20			zoning
	talked	U	Washington 29:21	11:24 13:11
Steve	11:16			
12:4 13:14 15:18	tall	ugly	watch	
16:5,8 21:10 27:11	12:7,22	31:8	23:25	
30:11 31:23	taller	unanimously	Wells	
story	16:9	22:6	7:23 10:19 12:25	
8:2,7 12:9 20:2			13:17	
25:23 30:19	telling	underside	wholeheartedly	
street	25:20	9:10,12,14 13:3	20:14	
7:19 9:2,25 10:3,7,	thicker	understand		
8 11:11 12:10	31:13	12:18 14:10 26:20	WICHMAN	
19:7,13,19 20:3	thing	29:15	7:4,9,17 9:15 15:2,	
23:25 24:18 28:7	11:15 12:14 15:4	understanding	17,25 16:4 17:22	
32:16	20:6 29:25	13:1	20:20,24 21:23	
structure			31:7,18 33:4	
9:11,12,20 10:1	things	upstairs	wider	
12:13 13:3 22:13	14:18 16:22 27:8	19:4	31:12,13,14	
24:12 26:1,11,24	29:6		wife	
27:13 28:3,12,14	thought	V	10:20	
30:21 31:22	31:16		Wilson	
	thoughts	vegetation	18:4,10,13,21	
structures	27:9	19:19	20:6,23 21:1,15	
14:18	timbers	version	· ·	
struggling	27:14	12:7	windows	
26:22		vertical	25:9	
style	time	12:13 27:14	wondering	
12:9 27:24	10:21 21:13 22:2,		12:18	
successful	18	viable	wood	
12:10	top	25:15	16:1	
	24:24	VICE		
suggesting	Total	7:12 12:5 13:7	word	
17:2	16:20	14:3 17:10,13,17	24:2	
	10.20	[