THE DECISIONS OF THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD SHALL BE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE CERTIFICTE OF APPROPRRIATNESS. THESE MINUTES WILL BE USED AS AN OFFICIAL RECORD TO THE DECISIONS MADE UPON RATIFICATION.

SIGNED, SEALED AND DELIVERED THIS DAY OF NOVEMBER 15 2017

CHAIRMAN, STEVE HERLONG

SECRETARY, BUKE WRIGHT

In the Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island v. In Re: Design Review B

Design Review Board Hearing January 17, 2018



A. William Roberts, Jr. & Associates

Court Reporting & Litigation Solutions www.scheduledepo.com | 800-743-DEPO

We're About Service ... Fast, Accurate and Friendly!











court reporting |trial presentation | document services | videography | nationwide scheduling

1	·		
1			
2	NWOT	OF SULLIVAN'S ISLA	.ND
3	DESIG	N REVIEW BOARD HEAR	.ING
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	DATE:	January 17, 2018	
9	TIME:	6:00 p.m.	
10	LOCATION:	Town Hall of Sulli	van's Island
11		2056 Middle Street Sullivan's Island,	
12	REPORTED BY:	·	
13		Registered Profess	·
14			
15		a new months a transport or new	
16			
17			
18			
19	A. WILLIA	M ROBERTS, JR., & A	SSOCIATES
20	Fas	t, Accurate & Frien	dly
21		Hilton Head, SC (843) 785-3263	
22	, .	, .	()
23	Columbia, SC (803) 731-5224	Greenville, SC (864) 234-7030	Charlotte, NC (704) 573-3919
24	, ,	· ·	
25			

1	2	2
2	DESIGN REVIEW BOARD:	
3	STEPHEN HERLONG, Chairperson	
5	BEVERLY BOHAN	
6	RHONDA SANDERS	
7	DUKE WRIGHT	
8	STEVE HERLONG	
9	F.C. WICHMANN, JR.	
10	LINDA PERKIS	
11	PAT ILDERTON	
12	JOE HENDERSON, Zoning Administrator	
13	KAT KENYON, Permit Tech/DRB Applications	
14	JESSIE GRESS	
15	A The Land Strategy of the Land	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. HERLONG: So this is the January
2	17th, 2018 meeting of the Design Review Board.
3	It's 6 o'clock and the members in attendance are
4	Rhonda Sanders, Bunky Wichmann and Beverly Bohan,
5	Ron Coish, Linda Perkis and Duke Wright.
6	I guess the first thing we want to do
7	is
8	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I move that
9	we amend the agenda for the special night that we
10	want to recognize Kat Kenyon as a long and faithful
11	service to this board.
12	MR. WICHMANN: I would like to second
13	that motion.
14	MR. HERLONG: Okay. So we have a gift
15	for you, Kat. It's been so nice working with you.
16	You've been with the board since its inception, and
17	so we went over to the Sandpiper Gallery and gave
18	you a nice retirement gift.
19	The second item on the agenda are the
20	December 2017 minutes.
21	MR. WICHMANN: I make a motion that we
22	approve the minutes.
23	MR. HERLONG: All in favor?
24	(All board members said aye.)
25	MR. HERLONG: Any opposed? None

opposed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1712 Thompson Avenue

MR. HERLONG: So the first design item on the agenda is 1712 Thompson Avenue, historic restoration and site modification proposed by Landmark Structure.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir. Thank you members of the board. As Steve mentioned, this is a historic design review for 1712 Thompson Avenue. This is a historic landmark property by way of Survey Card 262. This is the old Fort Moultrie electric shop. The property owners have owned it for many years and they are doing a historic restoration of the property, bringing it back to more original materials; removing one non-original addition in the form of a carport; demolishing a structure on the back portion of the lot. addition to that they are also incorporating a pool and also a pool structure and removing a large amount of concrete.

What I would like to do is just show you the site here. We all know the site pretty well, I imagine. It's covered with concrete. I believe the survey reveals 97% of it is covered. They're going to selectively remove it; incorporate

some stormwater management swales on the property and have the parking around the back.

There are no coverage increases. This is simply a historic restoration approval request for the structure and also approval of the pool from the board.

With that, I will yield to you for any questions.

MR. HERLONG: Thank you. Are the applicants here? Do you have some time to help explain it to the board?

MR. HENDERSON: This is Mr. Josh Dunn.

MR. JOSH DUNN: I'm Josh Dunn. I'm a landscape architect. Actually my father is the contractor here, so that was how I got involved. I put together this set for the owners.

And Joe covered most of it. It started with the design to improve the home and, like Joe said, get it back to where it was previously with some more traditional materials. Mostly just the skin or the facade and then shutters.

As you can see, the drawings on Sheet A-2 shows most of those. And then further to that they want to take advantage of the property -- some of the side yard with some elbow space, a simple

1	open air pool shelter with a pool and a deck, and
2	they did want to include some parking. So ideally
3	what we would like to do is, if we can make the
4	grade work, actually carve that parking out of what
5	is there, the concrete that is there. It looks
6	like it would work. It still needs a little bit of
7	study, but that would be the goal. Again, in a
8	nutshell, to improve the structure itself and then
9	to add the outdoor space, the pool and the shelter
10	with a deck; raising the pool just a little bit
11	with the idea would be to keep it not too far
12	from existing grade.
13	So in general that's where we are. And
14	obviously reduce the impervious quite a bit in the
15	process. So that's my quick overview.
16	MR. HERLONG: Okay. So is there any
17	public comment?
18	MS. JANE ELLEN HERRON: I'm Jane Ellen
19	Herron and I live adjacent to the property. I was
20	wondering when the slabs are taken up, if it's
21	allowed when the concrete was taken away there
22	was considerable shaking on my property. I wonder
23	how that would influence not only their property
24	but mine as well when those slabs are removed.
25	I don't know if that's something you

can address, but it is a concern.

MR. HERLONG: Randy, since you happen to be here, you've probably run into this on projects before. Any suggestions? It's not the best DRB issue, but Randy may be able to give you a little insight on those issues.

RANDY ROBINSON: Like whenever you drive piles the same kind of problem. And Jane Ellen's house and this slab is continuous. It goes all the way from her house across this property as one huge slab that the military put in. And it's thick and it will cause probably some vibration. But that is something that we would handle from a building standpoint. Whoever is doing the demolition would need to probably hire maybe a contractor to monitor everything while that's going on and make sure she isn't adversely affected by this demolition.

The concrete does need to be sawn all the way through rather than just score it and break it off. But I see what is being done here.

MR. JOSH DUNN: Yes. I agree. In my mind that would be the next step after this meeting, and then we move forward for my father to work through some of those details. Probably a saw

1	cut. Carefully somehow make the separation and
2	work from there.
3	MR. HERLONG: I would guess I'm
4	assuming this is a conceptual presentation, and so
5	once this gets through to the review board then
6	they still have to go to the town for permits.
7	They would work with the neighbors on those type of
8	issúes.
9	MR. HENDERSON: That's correct. We can
10	handle this at permitting.
11	MR. HERLONG: Is there any other public
12	comment? So the public comment session is closed.
13	Joe, do you have any final comments?
14	MR. HENDERSON: No, sir, I do not.
15	MR. HERLONG: So there must be
16	anybody have any questions for Josh? Duke, do you
17	have any questions?
18	MR. WRIGHT: Well, no. I see you're
19	going to leave the existing windows. They appear
20	to be very old. Are those original windows and are
21	they functional? I would hope they are.
22	MR. JOSH DUNN: They are functional.
23	And I believe if you take a look at them they're
24	in good shape. I don't know if they're the
25	original windows.

1 MR. WRIGHT: They look old to me. 2 look good. 3 MR. HENDERSON: Several years ago I 4 worked with Josh's father, who is the contractor on 5 the job, and we took a look at the windows. are likely not the original windows; however, they 6 7 are old. And the owners wanted to attempt to 8 restore those and give some treatment to them, and 9 that's why we want to maintain what is there 10 currently. 11 However, the siding, I believe, is 12 vinvl. That's coming off. We want to do restoration under there but likely put back the 13 wood lap siding. From the survey card it looks 14 15 like the original siding is in pretty bad shape. 16 And the roof, I believe, is asphalt shingle, and 17 that's going to be standing seam metal. 18 MR. JOSH DUNN: Yes. 19 MR. WRIGHT: I think it's a good 20 solution to the problem. It's been there for many 21 years. 22 MR. HERLONG: Linda? 23 MS. PERKIS: I have some questions, 24 maybe of Joe. I see the pool shelter looks rather 25

large. Do we have any rules or recommendations on

10 1 how large the pool shelter can be? 2 MR. HENDERSON: The maximum size for an 3 accessory structure is 750 square feet. MS. PERKIS: Also, you say the pool is 4 5 going to be raised and that whole area is going to 6 be raised. How much is it going to be raised? 7 MR. JOSH DUNN: Well, right now I'm 8 showing it at 7.5. That existing grid there is, I 9 believe, around 5.5. So it's only about two feet. So it's not -- it's 7.5. I wanted to at least try 10 to get it up to around seven just to get it up --11 it's a little bit above. Seven is not very high in 12 13 my opinion. That whole site is at 5.5 right now. 14 But to answer your question, about two 15 feet. 16 MS. PERKIS: Yeah. We don't have a 17 drawing on that. 18 MR. HENDERSON: On the accessory 19 structure? 20 MS. PERKIS: Yeah. You just have it 21 broken down, the pool and shelter. 22 MR. HENDERSON: The height is not 23 identified, Josh? 24 MR. JOSH DUNN: I'm sorry. I didn't do 25 a section or anything with that. That was just a

standalone with how that would look. But I could do that. In fact, I would do that.

MS. SANDERS: This is conceptual; correct?

MR. JOSH DUNN: Yes.

MS. PERKIS: I personally would like to see one. Not so much the house, but what you're going to do in that area about the pool, the pool shelter, the decking.

I'm also concerned because I feel that neighbors are an important part of this, about Jane Ellen; that water runoff. And I know you're going to build ditches or dig ditches; however, I have seen Jane Ellen's house and her property. I have concerns about that.

MR. JOSH DUNN: Right. Well, the idea would be we would be improving that.

MS. PERKIS: I understand. Hopefully you will. You have a neighbor directly next door to you who is going to be impacted in many ways: Flooding, water drainage. Also construction noise in digging up that concrete, which because it was done by the government was probably -- I don't know how high that concrete is. Two feet?

MR. HENDERSON: It's very thick. But

1.7

the zoning ordinance does encourage the removal of impervious surfaces to pervious surfaces, and I think that we --

MS. PERKIS: However, we don't know that that is historical.

MR. HENDERSON: It's not identified on the survey card. The concrete is. Just the structure.

And so from a town staff perspective and having walked through the process of stormwater requirements for residential properties, staff is certainly in favor of the saw cutting and removing of all the concrete. It's going to be a huge effort for the contractors to get truckload after truckload of this material out of there.

And so as I mentioned to not one but two neighbors, this is going to benefit the stormwater problems over there. It may not be very much, but it will help a little bit. So that the landscaping and the swales along the property line surely will help a little bit.

As far as for resubmittal, do you have any recommendations for what we would present to you as far as elevations for this --

MS. PERKIS: Well, he hasn't told us

1	how high the pool shelter is going to be.
2	Conceptual approval, yes, but not final.
3	MR. WRIGHT: Joe, I think probably to
4	satisfy the concerns and I have the same
5	question. Maybe a cross-section through the site
6	showing the elevation of the pool and the shelter
7	and the height of the shelter.
8	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.
9	MR. HERLONG: Ron, do you have any
10	questions?
11	MR. COISH: I would conceptually ask
12	that I would like to see a cut-away for the
13	elevation of the height of the pool in relationship
14	to the house. Other than that it looks good.
15	MR. JOSH DUNN: May I add one thing?
16	I'm happy to do that; and in fact, I had it on the
17	list and just didn't get it for this submittal.
18	But to give you a reference to the
19	height of the pool, if you look at the existing
20	pictures of the house, the house is around nine or
21	9.1 elevation, that finished board of the house.
22	We're proposing the pool to be at 7.5.
23	So just for your reference, if you look
24	at the section of the pool shelter where the shadow

figures meet, that would be the finished floor of

the pool area, and that's about 18 inches, if I'm doing my math right, below the finished board of the existing home.

Does that make any sense? I can still do a section. I'm happy to do that. I just thought that would be worth mentioning for your reference.

MR. HERLONG: Okay. I have a couple of questions. So when I look at the site plan you submitted -- Joe, can you pull up the site plan?

So currently virtually the entire site is covered in concrete, but on that site plan you're going to remove it where I see the wall area. I assume where I see the wood decking that surrounds the pool and, of course, at the pool and really around the house — everything that's not part of the parking would become impervious on purpose.

You're significantly increasing the pervious coverage, which is a great benefit to the neighborhood.

MR. JOSH DUNN: That's correct. The only concrete that would remain based on these plans would be the driveway and the parking and then a small walk in the front. But the percentage

that I came up with is about 52. -- it went down.

It is significant reduction.

MR. HERLONG: I agree with you that raising the pool above the ground level -- that ground is so low back there extreme tides will interact with the pool water.

MR. JOSH DUNN: Yeah. My background is landscape architecture. The lawn and the landscape of the pool all work together. You don't need rails. You just need minimal steps. But raise it enough to help with what we just said.

MS. BOHAN: I think it's going to be an improvement to the neighborhood. It sounds like -- I have looked at every annotation, and it looks like that you are keeping as much as possible, maintaining the historic integrity of the house. That's commendable.

I do have some thoughts, as other board members, about the pool and just making sure it's compatible and to mass and scale, but other than that I'm good with it conceptually.

MR. WICHMANN: I agree completely with Beverly. The only change I really would have would be just what you've heard, just with the dimensions on the pool house is all I need.

1 I understand that you've got to raise 2 the pool. And again, I really commend you on what 3 you're doing there. I'm excited to see this 4 project come together. Well done. 5 MR. HERLONG: Rhonda? 6 MS. SANDERS: No. I think it's a great 7 project and conceptually I think exactly what 8 you're talking about. A complete application with 9 all the details I'm sure is fine with the board. 10 I'm good. 11 MR. HERLONG: Thank you. Anyone want 12 to try to make a motion? 13 MR. WICHMANN: Make a motion that we 14 approve it conceptually. 15 MR. COISH: Second. 16 MR. HERLONG: So we have the motion to 17 approve this conceptual submittal. All in favor? 18 (All board members said aye.) 19 MR. HERLONG: Any opposed? None 20 opposed. 21 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I think 22 Linda asked a very good point. On your next 23 submission showing where you are actually leaving 24 concrete as opposed to what may be left for

25

planting other than what you show on the lawn.

For

Sullivan's Island landmark structure known as the

greater school property. It's the third time we have reviewed this.

Just to give you a sequence of review and approval for this project, on December 20th, our last meeting, the applicants proposed a revised pool location. They withdrew that request to modify the plan.

On November 15, however, the board approved all of the hardscape proposed, and there was parking areas, patio areas and walkways; however, did not approve the pool.

Additionally, the applicants received approval from the board for all of the historic restoration plans. With that we have another design for a pool, and T-TI let the applicant present their reading on it.

PATRICK MARR: Patrick Marr. I live at 3030 Jasper on Sullivan's Island. I appreciate the opportunity to come back in front of you. I know that there are people on the board that were not here last time.

Just to kind of refresh a little bit, we've taken all structures except for the main building off the plan. So we had no cabanas or golf cart storage or special buildings. And that

was really the last one -- we made the pool smaller. We have come back and not only done that this time, but we have made the pool even smaller and hardscape significantly smaller. In fact, I instructed the team -- we have seen pictures of grass coming right up to the pool. Beautiful.

DHEC will not let us do that. We have to leave a four-foot border around because it is -- they deem it a commercial project. So there is all kinds of DHEC things that we have to do.

So what we have done is we have gone in four feet and we have actually mapped it out on the site. I don't know if you saw it. We started demolition of the interior of the building. But again, we appreciate what you let us do to the building. We think we're doing something that is beneficial, as somebody that is a resident on the island, and we're hoping that -- I am thrilled to see that there is other pools getting approved on the historic structures on the island, and we're looking for something that can help us regain some of the value that we're putting into this building.

So what we have done -- this is what it was. We have about 1,800 feet of hardscape. We had a pool of about 760 square feet. We have now

reduced that. The pool hardscape was really just four-foot wide, which is the minimal that we can do. I would like to have a little more hardscape so we could maybe put a couple chairs there that aren't making indentations into the grass. And the pool we reduced by about 30%. So the overall size has been reduced by a total of 60% between the hardscape and the pool. So it's really just become a dipping pool.

Again, these are going to be condos primarily for folks that are not going to be having lots of kids. The idea to have a pool is they might have some grand kids that come and use the pool.

The other thing you'll notice is that this pool is at grade. You're not going to even see this pool. With the kind of vegetation -- and we've hired Heather Estee. Unfortunately she's not here. She's in Florida for the winter. But the plan that she's done is so intricate you're not even going to see -- the whole focus is we have to try to hide the pool but allow the ability to see the building and the historic structure.

We think that by coming back that we have finally developed something that we think

MR. WRIGHT:

I assume that that pool

1.4

```
cannot be moved a little bit east to save that one tree that you take out. And I don't have a big problem with that. It was just a thought.
```

PATRICK MARR: We have looked at where we could try to place it. We have retained every other live oak on the property. That's the only one we have asked for.

MR. WRIGHT: The plan that you show here on the west side and along the Middle Street side, it would essentially hide the pool. I don't have any trouble with this pool going where it is. I think that's all we're talking about tonight is the swimming pool; correct?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: T'm okay with it.

MR. HENDERSON: And I would respond to your comment about the live oak. It is a 17-inch live oak, and so the tree commission will have to review and approve the removal of it. It is possible in their deliberations they may ask if the pool can be pushed --

MR. WRIGHT: Push it east along Middle Street?

PATRICK MARR: We were trying to conserve the great lawn in front of the lot.

```
1
                 MR. WRIGHT:
                              I see that and I agree
    with that, but that won't stop my -- okay.
2
3
                 MR. HERLONG:
                               Thank you. Linda?
4
                 MS. PERKIS: I had a question. I have
5
    your plans from the last meeting and you now have
6
    -- down near Middle Street you have a concrete area
7
     right here which you didn't have on the original.
8
                 PATRICK MARR:
                                That's a mistake.
9
     is no concrete area. I'm sorry. There is no
10
     concrete area. That's right under the tree.
11
                 By the way, the one thing I forgot to
12
    mention is that we have an accessory building out
13
     here for the pool pump. We have come up with
     another plan. We're going to be putting in a
14
15
     vault, so the pool system will be below ground.
16
     And we'll be doing that kind of at the corner of
     the house so you wouldn't see any of the pool
17
18
     equipment.
19
                 MS. PERKIS: The pool is not going to
20
     be raised?
21
                 PATRICK MARR:
                                Right.
22
                 MS. PERKIS: All right.
23
                 MR. HERLONG: Ron?
24
                 MR. COISH: I appreciate what you're
             I think you're doing a good job. I really
25
     doing.
```

1	appreciate the landscaping. It looks a little more
2	natural, but I just think that it's such a unique
3	structure that I just everything else that you
4	have done is beautiful and the landscaping will
5	what is happening here is taking the building up,
6	which is just my opinion you need to do that.
7	Someone needs to do that. But it's such a historic
8	and unique property, and the way it is right now
9	with all the landscaping and I understand you're
10	separating it with condos. And I feel like we're
11	losing a unique situation on the island. It's not
12	like it's a house down on the back side of the
13	island. It's right there front and center, and I
14	just don't think the pool belongs there.
15	PATRICK MARR: You've got it on the
16	record. Thank you.
17	MR. COISH: That's all I have to say.
18	MR. HERLONG: Well, I do think this
19	shows the pool smaller. There is more green lawn
20	than there was in the previous set. Less patio
21	space. And I thought it was appropriate
22	previously. I think it's appropriate now.
23	MS. BOHAN: I appreciate your tenacity
24	and thank you for allowing the board to have
25	varving opinions of the property. Because we're so

passionate about different historical properties on the island, we each have our thoughts on what we deem as appropriate.

I do appreciate listening to the board to reduce it by 75%. The hardscape around the pool, I think is as significant as reducing the pool by ten feet. I'm not sure that I still can see beyond the pool and why you're wanting to put it there. I think for compatibility -- I've read all the regulations, and I'm not sure that it's compatible. But I understand that there are many pools on historic properties. I rode around probably about a dozen over the weekend last weekend. So I understand your desire for that and appreciate that.

MR. WICHMANN: I think what you're wanting to do is make some changes to the piece of property. Changes can be viewed as painful sometimes, and it's sometimes difficult to get a true concept of what it's going to be like. I think many of us struggle with that.

The answer to that, you've gone through an awful lot of trouble and expense to provide the board what was requested each and every time. I, too, don't have a problem with the pool. I think

the pool in the way it was presented at the last meeting was good. I appreciate the fact that you took the board's concerns and addressed them and reduced it even further.

I think that as Beverly pointed out there are several properties or many properties on the island -- do we know how many properties have pools?

MR. HENDERSON: No.

MR. WICHMANN: But when it rains it's a lot more. It's something that I think that will enhance the property, I think, the way the landscape has been proposed. I think putting just a chainlink fence up has helped people visually get a feel for how the property is going to be more private than it's been before, which will maybe hide or cloak the pool a little bit.

What you're proposing -- the structure we have already approved. It is commendable and we're all very excited about it. I will be excited to see this proposal that you presented to us today approved and have it acted upon soon.

MR. HERLONG: Rhonda?

MS. SANDERS: And I like the changes and improvements. I wasn't here last time. It

1 looks much more to scale. I still don't think it should be -- I think that should be the front yard. 2 3 I don't think there should be a pool there. I'm a tree hugger, so I can't support it. Sorry. 4 5 MR. HERLONG: Any other comments? 6 MR. WICHMANN: I make a motion that we 7 approve it as submitted. 8 MR. HERLONG: You just made a motion. 9 Do we want to hear a second? 10 MR. WRIGHT: I second that. 11 MR. HERLONG: So do we have a motion on 12 the table to approve it as submitted? Any comments 13 on that? Discussion on the motion before we take a 14 break? 15 MS. SANDERS: I do have one suggestion. I understand water features. I think this property 16 17 is really incredible and what you're going to do is 18 amazing. And I think pools are a little bit 19 overrated. I think a really nice ground pineapple 20 fountain -- I know it's not the same, but a really 21 nice water feature maybe on both sides would ... 22 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I had one 23 thought that I'm sure has been considered. 24 wasn't at the last meeting, but what we're seeing is preservation of a structure that has to me a lot 25

```
more meaning than a small swimming pool.
1
                                               In a way
 2
     it's maybe a tradeoff. To proceed with this house,
     this building, preserved and put into the condition
 3
 4
     that they're talking about putting it in really has
 5
     a bearing on our decision to go with the pool.
 6
                 MR. HERLONG:
                               Anybody else have any
7
     comments before we vote on the motion? So the
8
     motion is to approve the pool as submitted. All in
 9
     favor?
10
                 (Board members said aye.)
11
                 MR. HERLONG: All opposed? Two board
12
     members opposed.
13
                 MS. PERKIS: I haven't made a decision.
14
     I like what you're doing with the building.
15
     what you're doing with the landscaping. I like
16
     everything. And I'll tell you that fence that is
17
     going up, to me it shrunk the property because now
18
     I see a boundary. Before it was wide open and you
19
     didn't see walls. You didn't see a fence. It's a
20
     construction fence.
21
                 PATRICK MARR: It's not going to be
22
     that height.
23
                 MS. PERKIS: I know, but I now see it.
24
     I just have mixed emotions also about losing that
25
     tree.
```

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

29

PATRICK MARR: Well, I think that's why
we're going to work with the commission.

MS. PERKIS: To me it's a parklike setting. It's just wide open and parklike and green. But that's my opinion.

PATRICK MARR: Well, I was looking for a little bit of help. I'm trying to go back and review some --

MS. PERKIS: I know.

MR. HERLONG: Well, what we have currently is a tie vote. What does that mean, Joe?

MR. HENDERSON: That means there is a

13 | motion in favor.

MS. SANDERS: Is there a way to -- I'm not a fan of the pool at all, but is there a way to do it and save the tree?

MS. PERKIS: Can we turn it sideways?

MR. PATRICK MARR: I personally do not care about -- it's more of we're trying to do an amenity. The problem with a tree is you have these roots that go on, and they're not just five feet. They're long and having leaves come down. We're going to be trimming the live oaks. And we need to talk with the tree commission in even moving that

tree.

1	We're not here to be tree killers.
2	That's not what we're trying to do. It's actually
3	hard to see the building now with the trees the way
4	they are. We are proposing to get rid of some
5	other trees that are not significant. And actually
6	that one with the pool, that kind of blocks a lot
7	of the building. We think the lighting is going to
8	help as well. And we'll make it even more open to
9	see the building without that tree there and have
10	the trees which are more in front along Middle
11	Street and along the curb.
12	MR. HENDERSON: Pat, would you be
13	willing to amend your request to modify the
14	location of the pool to save the tree? That would
15	help.
16	MR. PATRICK MARR: We can look at
17	possibly saving the tree or possibly relocating it,
18	if it makes sense.
19	MS. PERKIS: Move it to the other side.
20	Like divide the property almost in half, probably
21	where the columns are, where the porch is.
22	MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: The issue is
23	the orientation of the lot; correct?
24	MR. PATRICK MARR: See, right now we
25	cannot have a pool in the front yard.

1 MS. PERKIS: That's right. 2 MR. PATRICK MARR: This is the front 3 yard over here. In having it here -- this is the 4 front yard. But I don't know if that's a variance 5 or a DRB issue, Joe. 6 MS. BOHAN: Could you move the tree to the -- now where it shows? Could you move it to 7 8 this area here? 9 MR. PATRICK MARR: To be underneath the 10 tree, the existing tree? 11 MS. BOHAN: Can you move the tree 12 toward Middle Street? 13 MR. PATRICK MARR: Right now there is a 14 tree right here. Move it toward that tree? 15 MS. BOHAN: Can you move it --16

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: Just real quick. You've got a grand oak here, you have a grand oak here, and you have another oak here.

One of the things that we have discussed is if this tree goes away, there is a possibility of relocating it over to this area. But the other thing we were looking at, if you've seen the property between the other structure on the other side is somewhat barren, so the idea was with mitigation -- if the tree commission allows --

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're talking 17 inches, I think, on that tree.

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: What we would do is come back and plant live oaks -- probably three to equal 20 inches or so along here for an additional buffer barrier, but at the same time -- because the focus always has been for people to say -- to be able to come down Middle Street and look over to your left and see this gorgeous building.

So with doing that -- if you've seen these oaks, they haven't been trimmed back in years. We had the arborist over there. They said once we move these up it really -- it's going to fork all these trees.

So the idea is to have that view corridor from car sight if you're looking over and seeing that building and when you're walking down the street. So Pat is right. This tree -- if you look at it symmetrically on the lot, it was put in by somebody in the family way back when. It's almost kind of at a place that doesn't really fit, where you've got the beautiful trees up against the building.

So the idea is if we do it, could we look at moving it over in here somewhere possibly,

or the other idea is to try to build a better buffer on the side. Because the intent is not just to take the tree out and not pay the money to re-landscape --

MR. PATRICK MARR: We might be able to take it and move it kind of over here. So you have these here or something. I think we could -- we're not opposed to moving it, if possible. It's not inconsequential how much it costs to move a tree that size, but we're happy to meet the accommodation because it is a big issue for us, this pool to amenitize it.

MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE: And one of the other issues with moving it -- and Joe, you can say this. Because we do have a center line property line and we do have setbacks for accessory structures, which the pool is off the side. So shifting it over is a concern, too, correct, Joe, because of the setback off the property line?

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah. The physical setback for the buildable area of the house is 15 feet.

MR. PATRICK MARR: I think it's a lot easier to move the tree or plant new trees, whether it's here or here. I think you want to try to keep

1 as much of this to see the house, the structure. You know, we're taking off the asphalt shingles, 2 3 the asbestos shingles, and putting lap siding. 4 It's going to be beautiful. And I think you'll 5 want to see it. You can't even see it that well 6 right now, and taking this tree out will help -will fully foster growth here for this tree. But I 7 8 can see moving it, and that's not something that we 9 can -- we can come back next month and talk to the 10 arborist. We can certainly do that and come back. 11 MR. WICHMANN: I have a procedural 12 question. Is it in our power to approve or deny 13 based on location of trees? Is that something that 14 -- just a clarification for me, that our panel -- I 15 always thought that the tree commission dealt with 16 that. My question is that if we approve this as 17 is, the tree commission can come in and say: 18 Sorry, pal. You don't get to move that tree. 19 I think our concerns about the tree --

I think our concerns about the tree -it's not completely about the tree, but I think
it's partially about the tree. And I'm not sure if
that is the purview of what we are to address or
not. It's just a question, Joe, for you to help me
understand that.

MR. HENDERSON: I mean, I think that

20

21

22

23

24

25

the tree and the location of an existing tree is a site characteristic that could factor into your decision.

MR. HERLONG: Ron?

MR. COISH: Because the property line goes through the middle of the building do you still have to maintain the same setbacks on everything as you would if it was two individual lots? Even though it's two individual lots with one structure and the property line goes right through C unit, is that property line from the middle of C unit to each street? Does that go away or is that official property line that requires the setbacks?

MR. HENDERSON: Well, historically we have considered accessory structures as completely separate structures in having to meet the setbacks prescribed by the zoning ordinance. Even if you have a non-conforming structure like this that doesn't meet the setbacks, we still apply the required setbacks for the pool or the garage or whatever structure you're going to build.

So I think in this case you have a new construction going in. It would need to meet the minimum setback 15 feet from the property line.

1	MR. COISH: That answered my question.
2	MR. HERLONG: So Joe, my question would
3	be: We have a three-to-three vote, which does not
4	pass submittal. We can wait a month and see what
5	is resubmitted, or can a proposed adjustment to
6	move the tree be reintroduced as a motion tonight?
7	MR. HENDERSON: Yes.
8	MR. HERLONG: The applicant can likely
9	request that we let can we let them request that
10	as an option?
11	MR. HENDERSON: Yes. So Bunky's motion
12	would fail because it's a tie vote. Then you would
13	open it up for another motion.
14	MS. SANDERS: Don't we have seven
15	people here?
16	MR. HERLONG: Yes, but we only have six
17	to vote.
18	MS. SANDERS: So one abstains?
19	MR. HERLONG: So that is an option for
20	us to try to form a motion that either relocates or
21	saves the tree. We can take a vote on that.
22	MR. WICHMANN: Do we have
23	MR. PATRICK MARR: We would like to
24	make that request.
25	MR. WICHMANN: Try again. Make a

```
1
     motion that we approve as submitted with the
 2
     exception of either the replacement of the tree
 3
     that is a 17-inch oak where the pool is planned to
 4
     go, proposed to go at this point, or mitigation of
 5
     -- is it buffering trees buffering those?
 6
                 MR. PATRICK MARR:
                                    Buffering those.
 7
                 MR. WICHMANN: That would meet the tree
8
     committee's approval?
 9
                 MR. PATRICK MARR: Yeah; tree
     commission approval.
10
11
                 MR. HERLONG:
                               Just to clarify, you
12
     might explain how the mitigation works. Because we
     know it's a 17-inch tree, the potential is there to
13
14
     replace with three --
15
                 MR. HENDERSON: To replace those 17
16
     inches on site or to pay into the tree fund, is
17
     their other option. And those mitigation options
18
     are afforded to the applicant by the tree
19
     commission; however, I thought that the two options
20
     would be to either transplant the tree or redesign
21
     the pool to save the tree.
22
                 MS. SANDERS: You're an arborist;
23
     right?
24
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                 Yes.
25
                 MS. SANDERS: Can you transplant a
```

1	17-inch oak?
2	MR. HENDERSON: You can do it if you
3	have a big enough spade, but it can be done.
4	MR. HERLONG: I guess the question: Do
5	we have a motion and no second? Does anyone want
6	to maybe suggest a change to that motion?
7	MR. PATRICK MARR: Just one last thing.
8	I think the idea on mitigation with paying for it
9	is not something we want to do. What we would like
10	to do is explore the option to relocate the tree on
11	the lot or potentially add three six-inch live oaks
12	and only live oaks to the property.
13	So those are our two options on really
14	the things we can work with. And again, it may all
15	be for review on the next landscape submittal,
16	whether you think it's appropriate to move it or
17	put new trees in there. We are not willing to
18	mitigate through a financial
19	MR. WICHMANN: I think that the motion
20	did not have that in mind. It was more the
21	replanting mitigation.
22	MR. WRIGHT: I'm still not clear on the

motion on what you intend.

I am happy to restate $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}$ MR. WICHMANN: it, that we approve the plan as submitted with the

23

24

25

Т	exception of moving either, A, moving the
2	17-inch live oak that is placed on the property
3	that the tree commission would approve or mitigate
4	and approve replacement of, for example, three
5	six-inch live oaks on the property.
6	MR. WRIGHT: I second that motion.
7	MR. HERLONG: Does anybody have any
8	comments, thoughts, questions about the motion?
9	MR. COISH: To move the tree to another
10	part of the lot?
11	MR. WICHMANN: A or B. Correct. A is
12	to move the tree; B is to mitigate through planting
13	three six-inch thickness live oaks.
14	MR. HERLONG: Anybody else have any
15	questions about the motion? So let's take a vote
16	on the motion. All in favor of the motion?
17	MR. WICHMANN: Aye.
18	MR. HERLONG: So five in favor. All
19	not in favor of the motion? Two. Well, this
20	motion passed. Very good.
21	MR. HENDERSON: The chairman is
22	recusing. Duke, I think you're assuming the role.
23	I'm sorry. Beverly.
24	2219 MYRTLE BOULEVARD
25	MS. BOHAN: The next item on the agenda

is 2219 Myrtle Avenue, historic restoration. Can you put forth your information.

MR. HENDERSON: Yes. This is a conditional island resource. It's located at 2392 Jasper Boulevard. It's identified by Survey Card 219.

This is essentially a request to conduct a restoration of the existing structure. It's been modified over the years. These changes involve first removing the non-original awning that's on the front facade facing Myrtle Avenue; installing in its place a new replacement awning that's going to go over the front porch; replacing all of the non-original vinyl windows; keeping the design six-over-six light windows and filling in the foundation with wood lattice material.

There are no increases requested in this presentation. So it's just a historic design review. I have the elevations in front of you. I can also show you the review as well. I yield to you for any questions.

MS. BOHAN: The applicant?

MR. JOHN ROMANO: John Romano.

MS. BOHAN: The applicant's

presentation?

MR. JOHN ROMANO: John Romano with Herlog & Associates. We're the property architects for 2919 Myrtle.

I think Joe gave a pretty good introduction. I guess I'll just go over a couple of quick things from the historic survey card. The construction of the property is around the 1900s. There have been some alterations of the property. From the survey card I think the original structure was moved to the lot it's on. It wasn't shown on the 1917 plan, and then it shows up in 1920. And the north addition is just an additional rear of the property that is T1-11 siding added around 1955.

So like Joe said, typical lap siding, metal roof. There is lattice at the crawl space, which is really all sort of deteriorated away.

There are some areas that are remaining.

The best sheet to look at is probably 1800 of the existing renovations. I will just kind of talk about what we're doing. On Elevation No. 1, the existing rear elevation, this is the side opposite of Myrtle Avenue. This is the addition in 1955. We are removing all of the vinyl windows, which were probably put in after Hugo. We are

removing all of those and recladding that side with lap siding to match the existing house to the original house.

On the Station 22-1/2 side at Elevation No. 2 on the existing building, that's really saying essentially the same, to remove one of the doors that leads up to the back door porch, moving it to the right side of the house. So it wouldn't enter the addition; it would enter the original structure.

Elevation No. 3 is the south side. The only modification we are making there is to remove one of the gable ends. Replacement windows.

Removing the vinyl windows. And the biggest modification we are making is to Elevation No. 4, the side of the structure that faces Myrtle Avenue.

There is, like Joe said, an awning that's not original to the structure that we're going to be removing and then extending an awning -- well, actually the shed roof that is existing to the original structure, extending that across that main porch.

There is an existing HVAC stand on the front of the house facing Myrtle. We would be moving that around to the interior side of the lot.

like to start?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

43

- So all of that would be cleaned up. And in that 1 gable end where there is a single window being 2 shown we would be adding -- removing that window 3 and keeping the opening where it is as a single 4 5 door with just an awning window and to that side of 6 the house.
 - I think that's pretty much everything we're doing. I do have some photos of the existing structure. It shows the state it's in right now. It shows pretty clearly the addition.
 - MS. BOHAN: Is there any public comment? Public comment is closed. Joe, do you have any further comments?
 - MR. HENDERSON: Nothing further.
- 15 MS. BOHAN: Board? Duke, would you 16
- 17 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. First, I did not see 18 the historic survey sheets on this property. Do 19 you have them, Joe?
- 20 MR. HENDERSON: Yes. This project, the 21 address actually wasn't noted on the original 22 It had a description: Southwest corner 23 Station 22-1/2 Myrtle Avenue. So that was --
- 24 MR. WRIGHT: That was in the assessment 25 inventory?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January 17, 2018 44

1 MR. HENDERSON: Yes, but it wasn't in 2 your packet.

MR. WRIGHT: So essentially the house that we're looking at was original except for that addition on the south side?

MR. HENDERSON: That's correct. And also this element here in the front.

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah. Well, I think what you're doing is a significant improvement over what is there for certain. So I'm okay with it.

MS. PERKIS: I have one question. I like what you're doing. Are you going to get rid of that garage, too?

14 MR. JOHN ROMANO: Yes. We actually 15 might keep it. It is going to stay.

MS. PERKIS: So we hope it's going to be fixed up.

MR. JOHN ROMANO: It would.

MS. PERKIS: I'm fine.

MR. COISH: Looks good to me. It looks beautiful. I am very anxious to see you start and finish and move in.

> MS. BOHAN: Bunky?

MR. WICHMANN: I am confused a little bit, so bear with me and help walk me through this,

```
1
     if you would.
 2
                 You would want to -- the addition with
 3
     the T1-11 siding, you're going to take the windows
 4
     and remove all the windows and replace with just
 5
     siding; is that correct?
 6
                 MR. JOHN ROMANO: Correct.
 7
                 MR. WICHMANN: There would be no
8
    windows on that wall at all?
 9
                 MR. JOHN ROMANO: Right. That side
10
     faces the rear of the property.
11
                 MR. WICHMANN: And in Rendering No. 3
12
     on the south elevation you again will remove the
13
    window there and not have a replacement window
14
     there; is that correct?
15
                 MR. JOHN ROMANO: Correct.
16
                 MR. WICHMANN:
                                That building goes up
17
     against a fence line of the property on the corner
18
     -- is that Central?
19
                 MR. JOHN ROMANO: That side is on
20
     Myrtle. The neighbor's house is up against that
21
     elevation.
22
                 MR. WRIGHT: Bunky, I think -- looking
     at this drawing that's up there now, that elevation
23
24
     on the top left is really the west elevation.
25
                 MR. WICHMANN: That is the west
```

elevation. Right. That's why I was a little confused.

MR. WRIGHT: The one on the top right I think is the east elevation and the one on the bottom right is the north elevation, and the top left is the south elevation.

MR. WICHMANN: That's my confusion. I get my directions confused. So that helps me some.

The other question I've got for you is the west elevation, No. 4. You're going to remove the existing awning that's over that little portion now and -- you are going to extend that and add a shed roof which is going to completely cover that entire porch; is that correct?

MR. JOHN ROMANO: That's correct.

These elevations are all laid out on the next page,
8201, which shows that tying into that --

MR. WICHMANN: Yeah. Trying to compare both of them to each other. Okay. Yeah.

Again, I think it's a great and very worthy project. I'm very excited to see some big improvements. And if you ever wanted an opinion on whether or not to remove that building, that shed, I would approve removing of that shed. I believe it's ultimately a T1-11 site; correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

48

This is going to be a pool cabana. There is one request from the board being made, and that is an increase of 13% or two feet in height for the accessory structure. If you have an accessory structure elevated on the deck, it's still limited on the height limitations of the zoning ordinance, and that is 18 feet. So the DRB can grant an increase in that maximum height above to 20%, 3.7 feet. So all he's requesting is 13%, which is allowable; however, I don't see our applicant here tonight. So it's up to the board whether or not to hear his --MR. HERLONG: Has he tried to contact anybody? MR. HENDERSON: I have not heard from him. MR. HERLONG: Well, how would we go

MR. HERLONG: Well, how would we go about that other than just talking amongst ourselves and -- do we have enough information? I see a site plan with an existing house; is that correct?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes.

MR. HERLONG: We are dealing with a

25 | pool and outbuilding; is that correct?

In Re: Design Review B January 17, 2018 49 MR. WICHMANN: 1 Just the outbuildings. 2 MR. HENDERSON: That's correct. Let me 3 pull this up for you to get you oriented to the 4 lot. 5 So this is a unique property on this 6 street in that the front facade of the house 7 actually faces Goldbug instead of Myrtle. 8 MR. HERLONG: That's a large live oak 9 we have on that intersection. 10 MR. HENDERSON: So typically the houses 11 face the ocean or to the south. This one is 12 oriented towards Goldbug. The elevated deck and 13 the pool are coming off of this portion on the other side of the live oak here. So if we go to 14 15 the street view --16 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I recommend 17 we defer this until --18 MS. SANDERS: I agree. 19 MR. WRIGHT: -- until the owner and the 20 architect come to present it. 21 MR. HENDERSON: The board has that 22 option. 23 MR. HERLONG: It is a unique situation. 24 I think I see where they're going with the lot. So

25

we should -- since the applicant did not make it to

```
50
     the meeting should we just continue it until next
 1
 2
     month?
 3
                 MR. HENDERSON: We can just continue
 4
     it.
           Sure.
 5
                 MR. HERLONG: Will that require a
     motion?
 6
 7
                 MR. HENDERSON: It does not.
                                                Typically
 8
     we just have it placed on the agenda for next
 9
     month's agenda.
10
                 MR. HERLONG: So do I hear a motion?
11
     Is there anything else on the agenda?
12
                 MR. HENDERSON: No, there isn't.
13
                 MR. HERLONG: Do I hear a motion to
14
     close the meeting?
15
                 MR. WICHMANN: I think a motion to
16
     adjourn.
17
                 MR. HERLONG: All in favor?
18
                 (All board members said aye.)
19
                 MR. HERLONG:
                               Thank you.
20
                 (Hearing adjourned at 7:15 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

and complete record.

51

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

1

I, Patricia L. Thompson, Registered

Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the

State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify

that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate

8

9

10

11

7

I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

12

13

14

15

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed by official seal this 22nd day of January 2018 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Patricia L. Thompson Registered Professional Reporter My Commission Expires October 15, 2025

The Design Nevie				January 17, 2018
	2392	97%	air	area
1	40:4	4:24	6:1	10:5 11:8 14:1,14
	262		allowed	17:2 23:6,9,10
1	4:11	A	6:21	31:8,21 33:21
41:22	2919		allowing	areas
1,800	41:3	A-2		10.10.41.10
19:24 Town of S	ullivans Island v.	5:23	Design Review Board	Hearibestos
15 In Re: Des	ign Review B	ability	24:24 Design Review Board alterations January 1 41:8	7, 2078 34:3
18:8 33:21 35:25	J	20:22		asphalt
17	3	abstains	amazing 27:18	9:16 34:2
32:1 37:15	17:9 42:11 45:11	36:18		assessment
17-inch	30%	accessory	amend	43:24
22:17 37:3,13 38:1	20:6	10:3,18 23:12	3:9 30:13	Associates
39:2	3030	33:16 35:16	amenitize	Associates 41:2
1712	18:18	accommodation	33:12	
4:2,4,9		33:11	amenity	assume 14:14 17:2 21:25
17th	4	acted	29:20	}
3:2		26:22	amount	assuming
18	4	add	4:20	8:4 39:22
14:1	42:15 46:10	6:9 13:15 17:17	annotation	attempt 9:7
1800		38:11 46:12	15:14	
41:20	5	added	anxious	attendance
1900s		41:13	44:21	3:3
41:7	5.5	adding	applicant	AUDIENCE
1917	10:9,13	43:3	18:15 36:8 37:18	30:22 31:16 32:3 33:13
41:11	52	addition	40:22	
1920	15:1	4:16,18 41:12,23	applicant's	Avenue
41:11		42:9 43:10 44:5	40:24	4:2,4,9 40:1,11 41:23 42:16 43:23
1955	6	45:2	applicants	
41:14,24		additional	5:10 18:5,12	awful 25:23
**** *****	6	32:6 41:12	application	
2	3:3	Additionally	16:8	awning 40:10,12 42:17,19
<u> </u>	60%	18:12	apply	43:5 46:11
2	20:7	address	35:20	aye
42:5	— · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7:1 34:22 43:21	approval	3:24 16:18 28:10
20	7	addressed	5:4,5 13:2 18:4,13	39:17
32:5		26:3	37:8,10	
2017	7.5	adjacent	approve	В
3:20	10:8,10 13:22	6:19	3:22 16:14,17	
2018	75% 25:5	adjustment	18:11 22:19 27:7, 12 28:8 34:12,16	back
3:2	25:5	36:5	37:1 38:25 39:3,4	4:14,17 5:2,19
20th	750	advantage	46:24	9:13 15:5 18:19
18:4	10:3	5:24	approved	19:2 20:24 24:12 29:7 32:4,11,20
219	760	adversely	18:9 19:19 26:19,	34:9,10 42:7
40:6	19:25	7:17	22	background
22-1/2		affected	arborist	15:7
42:4 43:23	8	7:17	32:12 34:10 37:22	
2219	9201		architect	bad 9:15
39:24 40:1	46.47WILLIAM ROBE	RTS2√RL&& ASSOCIATES	(800):743-DEPO	
	TU.17	scheduledepo.com agenda	architects	barren 31:24
2302 17:22,24	9	3:9,19 4:4 17:23	41:2	
·	y	39:25	architecture	barrier 32:6
2303 17:20	9.1	agree	15:8	i
17.20	13:21	7:22 15:3,22 23:1		based 14:23 34:13
				14.23 34.13
	1	*	<u>'</u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>

have	1		I	January 17, 2018
bear 44:25	bringing 4:14	chainlink 26:14	complete 16:8	contractor 5:15 7:16 9:4
bearing 28:5	broken 10:21	chairman 3:8 16:21 27:22	completely 15:22 34:20 35:16	contractors 12:14
beautiful	buffer	39:21	46:13	corner
19:6 24:4 32:22	32:6 33:2	chairs	concept	23:16 43:22 45:17
34:4 44:21 Town of S In Re: De	uilvans Island v. sig erekoewe	20:4	20gesign Review Board	Hearing 7. 2018 ect
belongs	37:5,6	change	conceptual	8:9 11:4 14:22
24:14	build	15:23 38:6	8:4 11:3 13:2	17:5 22:13 30:23
beneficial	11:13 33:1 35:22	characteristic	16:17	33:18 39:11 44:6
19:17	buildable	35:2	conceptually	45:5,6,14,15 46:14,15,25
benefit 12:17 14:20	33:21	clarification	13:11 15:21 16:7, 14	corridor
	building	34:14	1	32:16
Beverly 3:4 15:23 26:5	7:14 18:24 19:14, 16,22 20:23 23:12	clarify 37:11	concern 7:1 33:18	costs
39:23	24:5 28:3,14 30:3,		concerned	33:9
big	7,9 32:9,17,23	cleaned 43:1	11:10	couple
22:2 33:11 38:3	35:6 42:5 45:16		concerns	14:8 20:4 41:5
46:21	46:23	clear 17:7,18 38:22	11:15 13:4 26:3	cover
biggest	buildings	cloak	34:19	46:13
42:14	18:25	26:17	concrete	coverage
bit	Bunky 3:4 44:23 45:22	closed	4:20,23 6:5,21	5:3 14:20
6:6,10,14 10:12 12:19,21 18:22		8:12 21:7 43:12	7:19 11:22,24 12:7,13 14:12,23	covered
22:1 26:17 27:18	Bunky's 36:11	Coish	16:24 17:3,13	4:23,24 5:17 14:12
29:7 44:25	30.11	3:5 13:11 16:15	23:6,9,10	crawl
blocks		23:24 24:17 35:5	condition	41:16
30:6		36:1 39:9 44:20	28:3	cross-section 13:5
blue	C-2	columns 30:21	conditional	
21:15,18	17:24		40:4	curb 30:11
board	cabanas	commend 16:2	condos	cut
3:2,11,16,24 4:8	18:24	commendable	20:10 24:10	8:1 17:3
5:6,11 8:5 13:21 14:2 15:18 16:9,18	car 32:16	15:17 26:19	conduct 40:8	cut-away
18:8,13,20 21:14		comment		13:12
24:24 25:4,24	card 4:11 9:14 12:7	6:17 8:12 21:6,7	confused 44:24 46:2,8	cutting
28:10,11 43:15	40:5 41:6,9	22:17 43:12	confusion	12:12
board's	care	comments	46:7	<u> </u>
26:3	29:19	8:13 21:13 27:5,12	conserve	D
Bohan 3:4 15:12 24:23	Carefully	28:7 39:8 43:13	22:25	doolt
31:6,11,15 39:25	8:1	commercial 19:9	considerable	dealt 34:15
40:22,24 43:11,15	carport	commission	6:22	December
44:23	4:16	22:18 29:2,24	considerably	3:20 18:4
border	cart	31:25 34:15,17	21:2	decision
19:8	18:25	37:10,19 39:3	consideration	28:5,13 35:3
bottom	carve	committee's	21:1	deck
46:5	6:4	37:8	considered	6:1,10
Boulevard	Case	compare	27:23 35:16	decking
39:24 40:5		RTS44R18& ASSOCIATES scheduledepo.com	(800) 11:21 28:20 35:24	11:9 14:14
boundary 28:18	center 24:13 33:15	compatibility	41:7	deem
	Central	25:9	continuous	19:8 25:3
break 7:20 27:14	45:18	compatible 15:20 25:11	7:9	deliberations
		15.20 25.11		22:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

In Re: Design Revie	ew B			January 17, 2018
demolishing	drawings	entire	fan	fork
4:16	5:22	14:11 46:14	29:15	32:14
demolition 7:15,18 19:14	DRB 7:5 31:5	equal 32:5	father 5:14 7:24 9:4	form 4:16 36:20
deny	drive	equipment	favor	Fort
34:12	7:8	23:18	3:23 12:12 16:17	4:11
description Town of S In Re: De 43:22	धlliyans Island v. sig म संरक्षकक्ष 14:24 17:2	essentially 17:10 22:10 40:7	28esigroReview Board 18,19 January 1	Hearing 7, tors vard 7:24
design 3:2 4:3,9 5:18 18:15 40:15,18	Duke 3:5 8:16 21:9	42:6 44:3 Estee	feature 27:21	foster 34:7
desire 25:14	39:22 43:15 Dunn 5:12,13 7:22 8:22	20:18 exception	features 27:16	foundation 40:16
details	9:18 10:7,24 11:5,	37:2 39:1	feel	fountain
7:25 16:9	16 13:15 14:22	excited	11:10 24:10 26:15	27:20
deteriorated 41:17	15:7 17:5,8	16:3 26:20 46:21 existing 6:12 8:19 10:8	feet 10:3,9,15 11:24 19:12,24,25 21:23	four-foot 19:8 20:2
developed 20:25	<u>E</u>	13:19 14:3 17:3, 11,12 31:10 35:1	25:7 29:21 33:22 35:25	front 14:25 18:19 22:25 24:13 27:2 30:10.
DHEC 19:7,10	easier 33:24 east	40:8 41:20,22 42:2,5,20,23 43:8 46:11	fence 26:14 28:16,19,20 45:17	25 31:2,4 40:11, 13,19 42:24 44:7
difficult	22:1,22 46:4	expense	figures	fully
25:19	effort	25:23	13:25	34:7
dig	12:14	explain	filling	functional
11:13	elbow	5:11 37:12	40:15	8:21,22
digging	5:25	explore	final	fund
11:22	electric	38:10	8:13 13:2 21:7	37:16
dimensions	4:12	extend	finally	G
15:24	element	46:12	20:25	
dipping	44:7	extending	financial	gable
20:9	elevation	42:19,21	38:18	42:13 43:2
directions	13:6,13,21 41:21,	extreme	fine	Gallery
46:8	22 42:4,11,15	15:5	16:9 44:19	3:17
directly 11:19 discussed	45:12,21,23,24 46:1,4,5,6,10 elevations	F	finish 44:22	garage 35:21 44:13
31:20 Discussion	12:24 40:19 46:16	facade	finished	gave
	Ellen	5:21 40:11	13:21,25 14:2	3:17 41:4
27:13	6:18 11:12	faces	fit	general
	Ellen's	42:16 45:10	32:21	6:13
11:13	7:9 11:14	facing	fixed	gift
divide	emotions	40:11 42:24	44:17	3:14,18
30:20	28:24	fact	Flooding	give
door	encourage	11:2 13:16 19:4	11:21	7:5 9:8 13:18 18:3
11:19 42:7 43:5	12:1	26:2	floor	goal
doors	end	factor	13:25	6:7
42:7 dozen	43:2	35:2 RTBillR., & ASSOCIATES	Florida 20:19 (800) 743-DEBO	golf 18:25
25:13 drainage	42:13	schedgledepo.com faithful	20:21 32:7	good 8:24 9:2,19 13:14
11:21	26:12	3:10	folks 20:11	15:21 16:10,22 23:25 26:2 39:20
drawing	enter	family	forgot	41:4 44:20
10:17 45:23	42:9	32:20	23:11	
	WILLIAM DODEDT		 	

January 17, 2018				
gorgeous	height	house	incorporate	issues
32:9	10:22 13:7,13,19	7:9,10 11:7,14	4:25	7:6 8:8 33:14
government	28:22	13:14,20,21 14:16	incorporating	item
11:23	helped	15:16,25 17:1,12	4:18	3:19 4:3 17:21,23
	26:14	23:17 24:12 28:2		39:25
grade		33:21 34:1 42:2,3,	increases	39:23
6:4,12 20:16	helps	8,24 43:6 44:3	5:3 40:17	ļ.
grand in Re: De:	ullivans tsland v. sign Review B	45:20	Design Review Board increasing January 1	Hearing J
20:13 31:17,18	HENDERSON	huge	14:19	, 2010
grass	4:7 5:12 8:9,14 9:3	7:11 12:13	incredible	Jane
19:6 20:5	10:2,18,22 11:25		27:17	6:18 7:8 11:11,14
	12:6 13:8 17:23	hugger	ì	January
great	21:8,18 22:14,16	27:4	indentations	3:1
14:20 16:6 22:25	26:9 29:12 30:12	Hugo	20:5	
46:20	32:2 33:20 34:25	41:25	individual	Jasper
greater	35:15 36:7,11	HVAC	35:8,9	18:18 40:5
18:1	37:15,24 38:2	42:23	influence	job
green	39:21 40:3 43:14,	.2.25	6:23	9:5 23:25
24:19 29:5	20 44:1,6			Joe
	Herlog	I	information	5:17,18 8:13 9:24
grid 10:8	41:2		40:2	13:3 14:10 21:7
	HERLONG	idea	insight	29:11 31:5 33:14,
ground	3:1,14,23,25 4:3	6:11 11:16 20:12	7:6	18 34:23 36:2
15:4,5 21:15,16	5:9 6:16 7:2 8:3,	31:24 32:15,24	installing	41:4,15 42:17
23:15 27:19	11,15 9:22 13:9	33:1 38:8	40:12	43:12,19
growth	14:8 15:3 16:5,11,	ideally	instructed	John
34:7	16,19 17:21 21:5,9	6:2	19:5	40:23 41:1 44:14,
guess	23:3,23 24:18	identified		18 45:6,9,15,19
3:6 8:3 38:4 41:5	26:23 27:5,8,11	10:23 12:6 40:5	integrity	46:15
3.0 0.3 30.1 11.3	28:6,11 29:10 35:4	imagine	15:16	
**	36:2,8,16,19 37:11	4:23	intend	Josh 5.12 12 7.22 9.16
Н	38:4 39:7,14,18		38:23	5:12,13 7:22 8:16, 22 9:18 10:7,23,24
116	Herron	impacted	intent	11:5,16 13:15
half 30:20	6:18,19	11:20	33:2	14:22 15:7 17:5,8
	· ·	impervious	interact	· .
handle	hide	6:14 12:2 14:17	15:6	Josh's 9:4
7:13 8:10	20:22 22:10 26:17	17:7,11		9:4
happen	high	important	interesting	
7:2	10:12 11:24 13:1	11:11	21:13	K
happening	hire	improve	interior	
24:5	7:15	5:18 6:8	19:14 42:25	Kat
	hired		intricate	3:10,15
happy	20:18	improvement	20:20	keeping
13:16 14:5 33:10		15:13 44:9		15:15 40:14 43:4
38:24	historic	improvements	introduction 41:5	Kenyon
hard	4:4,9,10,13 5:4	26:25 46:22		3:10
30:3	15:16 18:13 19:20	improving	inventory	
hardscape	20:23 24:7 25:12	11:17	43:25	kids
18:9 19:4,24 20:1,	40:1,18 41:6 43:18		involve	20:12,13
3,8 21:20,21,24	historical	inception 3:16	40:10	killers
25:5	12:5 25:1		involved	30:1
hear	historically	inches	5:15	kind
27:9	35:15	14:1 32:1,5 37:16	iolond	7:8 18:22 20:17
		RISCING ASSOCIATES	island	23:16 30:6 32:21
heard	5:18 14:3	scheduledepo.com	20 24:11,13 25:2	33:6 41:20
15:24 21:9		included	26:7 40:4	kinds
hearings	hope	17:13	_	19:9
21:12	8:21 21:2 44:16		issue	17.7
Heather	hoping	inconsequential 33:9	7:5 30:22 31:5	
20:18	19:18	33.9	33:11	

in Re: Design Revie	6M B	·		January 17, 2018
	38:11,12 39:2,5,13	mass	missed	neighbor's
L	located	15:20	21:11	45:20
	40:4	match	mistake	neighborhood
label	location	42:2	23:8	14:21 15:13
17:9	18:6 30:14 34:13	material		
laid	35:1	12:15 40:16	mitigate	neighbors
46:16 Town of S	ullivans Island v. sighted iew B		38:18 39:3,12 Design Review Board	8:7 11:11 12:17
In Re: De: landmark	sig IPR& view B	materials	Design Review Board mitigation January 1	7. 20 68
	3:10 29:22	4:15 5:20	31:25 37:4,12,17	3:15,18 27:19,21
4:6,10 17:25	looked	math	38:8,21	night
landscape	15:14 21:14 22:4	14:2	mixed	3:9
5:14 15:8 26:13	losing	maximum	28:24	·
38:15	24:11 28:24	10:2	modification	noise
landscaping	1			11:21
12:20 24:1,4,9	lot	meaning	4:5 42:12,15	non-conforming
28:15	4:17 22:25 25:23	28:1	modified	35:19
lap	26:11 27:25 30:6,	means	40:9	non-original
9:14 34:3 41:15	23 32:19 33:23	29:12	modify	4:15 40:10,14
42:2	38:11 39:10 41:10	meet	18:7 30:13	1
	42:25	13:25 33:10 35:17.	money	north
large	lots	20,24 37:7	33:3	41:12 46:5
4:19 9:25 10:1	20:12 35:9	(noted
larger	low	meeting	monitor	43:21
21:17	15:5	3:2 7:24 18:5 23:5	7:16	notes
lattice		26:2 27:24	month	17:17
40:16 41:16		MEMBER	34:9 36:4	notice
	M	30:22 31:16 32:3	motion	20:15
lawn	•	33:13	3:13,21 16:12,13,	
15:8 16:25 17:15	made	members	16 27:6,8,11,13	November
22:25 24:19	19:1,3 27:8 28:13	3:3,24 4:8 15:19	28:7,8 29:13 36:6,	18:8
leads	main	16:18 21:14 28:10,	11,13,20 37:1	nutshell
42:7	18:23 42:22	12	38:5,6,19,23 39:6,	6:8
leave	maintain		8,15,16,19,20	
8:19 19:7	9:9 35:7	mention		
		23:12	Moultrie	0
leaves 29:22	maintaining	mentioned	4:11	and:
	15:16	4:8 12:16	move	oak
leaving	make	mentioning	3:8 7:24 30:19	22:6,17,18 31:17, 18 37:3 38:1 39:2
16:23	3:21 6:3 7:17 8:1	14:6	31:6,7,11,14,15	
left	14:4 16:12,13	metal	32:13 33:6,9,24	oaks
16:24 32:9 45:24	17:6,18 25:17 27:6	9:17 41:16	34:18 36:6 38:16	29:23 32:4,11
46:6	30:8 36:24,25		39:9,12 44:22	38:11,12 39:5,13
	makes	middle	moved	official
level 15:4	30:18	17:20,22,24 22:9,	22:1 41:10	35:13
		22 23:6 30:10	1	onen
light	making	31:12 32:8 35:6,12	moving 29:24 32:25 33:8,	open 6:1 28:18 29:4
40:15	15:19 20:5 21:2	military	14 34:8 39:1 42:7,	30:8 36:13
lighting	42:12,15	7:11	14 34:8 39:1 42:7, 25	
30:7	management	mind		opening
	5:1	7:23 38:20	Myrtle	43:4
Linda 3:5 9:22 16:22	mapped		39:24 40:1,11	opinion
	19:12	mine	41:3,23 42:16,24	10:13 24:6 29:5
23:3		6:24	43:23 45:20	46:22
list	Marr	minimal		opinions
13:17	LO A VILTIANTROBE	RTS1 9R10828580CIATES scheduledepo.com	(800) 743-DERO	24:25
listening		scheduledepo.com minimum		
25:4	24:15 28:21 29:1,	35:25	natural	opportunity
	6,18 30:16,24		24:2	18:19
live	31:2,9,13 33:5,23	minutes		opposed
6:19 18:17 22:6,	36:23 37:6,9 38:7	3:20,22 21:12	neighbor	3:25 4:1 16:19,20,
17,18 29:23 32:4			11:19	24 28:11,12 33:8
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	
2 7 7 7 A	1400 - 1414		TEC (000) 740 DED	

opposite 41:23	patio 18:10 24:20	plans 14:24 17:22 18:14	preservation 27:25	public 6:17 8:11,12 21:6
option 36:10,19 37:17	Patrick 18:17 21:20,23	23:5	preserved 28:3	43:11,12 pull
38:10	22:4,24 23:8,21	32:4 33:24		Pull 14:10
options	24:15 28:21 29:1,	planting	pretty 4:22 9:15 41:4	 pump
37:17,19 38 Toyon of S	ulliv án k & i& Adlv6,24 ign \$ † ? ! \$ 9,\$ 33:5,23	16:25 39:12	43eşigmReview Board January 1	Hearing 13 7, 2018
ordinance	36:23 37:6,9 38:7	point	previous	purpose
12:1 35:18 orientation	pay	16:22 37:4	24:20	14:18
30:23	33:3 37:16	pointed 26:5	previously 5:19 24:22	purview 34:22
original	paying 38:8	pool	primarily	Push
4:15 8:20,25 9:6,	people	4:18,19 5:5 6:1,9,	20:11	22:22
15 23:7 41:9 42:3, 9,18,21 43:21 44:4	18:20 26:14 32:7	10 9:24 10:1,4,21 11:8 13:1,6,13,19,	private	pushed
outdoor	36:15	22,24 14:1,15	26:16	22:21
6:9	percentage 14:25	15:4,6,9,19,25 16:2 17:1,12,13,22	problem 7:8 9:20 22:3	put 5:16 7:11 9:13
overrated	Perkis	18:6,11,15 19:1,3,	25:25 29:20	20:4 25:8 28:3
27:19	3:5 9:23 10:4,16,	6,25 20:1,6,8,9,12,	problems	32:19 38:17 40:2 41:25
overview 6:15	20 11:6,18 12:4,25	14,16,17,22 21:3, 4,17,22,25 22:10,	12:18	putting
owned	23:4,19,22 28:13, 23 29:3,9,17 30:19	11,13,21 23:13,15,	procedural 34:11	19:22 23:14 26:13
4:12	31:1 44:11,16,19	17,19 24:14,19	proceed	28:4 34:3
owners	permits	25:6,7,8,25 26:1, 17 27:3 28:1,5,8	28:2	
4:12 5:16 9:7	8:6	29:15 30:6,14,25	process	QQ
P	permitting 8:10	33:12,17 35:21 37:3,21	6:15 12:10	question
	personally	pools	project 16:4,7 18:4 19:9	10:14 13:5 17:16 23:4 34:12,16,23
packet	11:6 29:18	19:19 25:12 26:8	43:20 46:21	36:1,2 38:4 44:11
44:2	perspective	27:18	projects	46:9
painful 25:18	12:9	porch 30:21 40:13 42:7,	7:4	questions
pal	pervious 12:2 14:20	22 46:14	properties 12:11 25:1,12	5:8 8:16,17 9:23 13:10 14:9 39:8,15
34:18	photos	portion	26:6,7	40:21
panel	43:8	4:17 46:11	property	quick
34:14	physical	possibility 31:21	4:10,12,14 5:1,24 6:19,22,23 7:10	6:15 31:17 41:6
parking 5:2 6:2,4 14:17,24	33:20	possibly	11:14 12:20 18:1	R
18:10	pictures 13:20 19:5	30:17 32:25	21:4 22:6 24:8,25	
parklike	piece	potential	25:18 26:12,15 27:16 28:17 30:20	rails
29:3,4	25:17	37:13	31:23 33:15,19	15:10
part 11:11 14:17 39:10	piles	potentially 38:11	35:5,10,11,13,25 38:12 39:2,5 41:2,	rains 26:10
partially	7:8	power	7,8,13 43:18	raise
34:21	pineapple 27:19	34:12	45:10,17	15:10 16:1
pass	place	prescribed	proposal 26:21	raised
36:4	22:5 32:21 40:12	35:18		10:5,6 23:20 raising
passed 39:20	plan A. WILLIAM ROBE	present RTS: dR: & ASSOCIATES scheduledepo.com	(800):543-80EP9026:13	6:10 15:4
passionate	14:9,10,12 18:7,24 20:20 22:8 23:14	scheduledepo.com presentation	50.55	Randy
25:1	38:25 41:11	8:4 40:18,25	proposing 13:22 26:18 30:4	7:2,5,7
Pat	planned	presented	provide	re-landscape 33:4
30:12 32:18	37:3	26:1,21	25:23	JJ.7

in Re: Design Revie	W D			January 17, 2018
read	removal	retained	22:1 29:16 30:14	shed
21:12 25:9	12:1 22:19	22:5	37:21	42:20 46:13,23,24
reading	remove	retirement	saves	sheet
18:16	4:25 14:13 42:6,12	3:18	36:21	5:22 17:9,11,16
real	45:4,12 46:10,23	reveals	saving	41:19
31:16	removed	4:24	30:17	sheets
rear In Re: De: 41:12,22 45:10	ullivans Island v. sign Réview B removing	review 3:2 4:9 8:5 18:3	Design Review Board sawn January 1 7:19	shelter
received 18:12	4:15,19 12:12 40:10 41:24 42:1, 14,19 43:3 46:24	22:19 29:8 38:15 40:19,20	scale 15:20 27:1	6:1,9 9:24 10:1,21 11:9 13:1,6,7,24 17:12
recladding	Rendering	reviewed	school	shifting
42:1	45:11	18:2	18:1	33:18
recognize 3:10	renovations 41:20	revised 18:5 Rhonda	score 7:20	shingle 9:16
recommendations	replace	3:4 16:5 26:23	seam	shingles
9:25 12:23	37:14,15 45:4	rid	9:17	34:2,3
record	replacement	30:4 44:12	section	shop
24:16	37:2 39:4 40:12	ROBINSON	10:25 13:24 14:5	4:12
recusing 39:22	42:13 45:13 replacing	7:7	selectively 4:25	show 4:21 16:25 22:8
redesign	40:13 replanting	25:12	sense	40:20
37:20		role	14:4 30:18	showing
reduce 6:14 25:5 reduced	38:21 request	39:22 Romano	separate 35:17	10:8 13:6 16:23 shown
20:1,6,7 26:4	5:4 18:6 30:13	40:23 41:1 44:14,	separating	41:10 43:3
reducing	36:9,24 40:7	18 45:6,9,15,19	24:10	shows
25:6	requested 25:24 40:17	46:15 Ron	separation 8:1	5:23 24:19 31:7 41:11 43:9,10 46:17
15:2	required 35:21	3:5 13:9 23:23 35:4	sequence 18:3 service	shrunk 28:17
13:18,23 14:7 17:16	requirements 12:11	roof 9:16 41:16 42:20 46:13	3:11 session	shutters 5:21
refresh	requires	roots	8:12 21:6	side
18:22	35:13	29:21		5:25 17:1 22:9,10
regain	resident	rules	5:16 24:20	24:12 30:19 31:24
19:21	19:17	9:25	setback	33:2,17 41:22
regulations	residential	run	33:19,21 35:25	42:1,4,8,11,16,25
25:10	12:11	7:3	setbacks	43:5 44:5 45:9,19
reintroduced	resource	runoff	33:16 35:7,14,17,	sides
36:6	40:4	11:12	20,21	27:21
relationship 13:13	respond 22:16 restate	S	sets 21:16	sideways 29:17
relocate 38:10	78:24 restoration	Sanders	setting 29:4	siding 9:11,14,15 34:3
relocates	4:5,14 5:4 9:13	3:4 11:3 16:6	shaded	41:13,15 42:2
36:20		26:24 27:15 29:14	17:10	45:3,5
relocating 30:17 31:21	restore 9:8	RTS, 9R., & ASSOCIATES Scheduledepo.com	(89 n)a(13√ 0EPO 13:24	sight 32:16 significant
remain	resubmittal	3:17	shaking	15:2 25:6 30:5
14:23	12:22	satisfy	6:22	44:9
remaining	resubmitted	13:4	shape	significantly
41:18	36:5	save	8:24 9:15	14:19 19:4
				1

January 17, 201			<u>, в</u>	in Re: Design Revie
9:8	team	study 6:7	staff 12:9,11	simple 5:25
tree	19:5	i		*
22:2,18 23:10 27:4 28:25 29:16,20,24	ten 25:7	submission 16:23 21:13	stand 42:23	simply 5:4
25 30:1,9,14,17	tenacity	submittal	standalone	single
31:6,10,11,14,20,	24:23	13:17 16:17 36:4	11:1	43:2,4
pard Hearing 32:1,18 33:3,9,	Design Review Board I	38:15	ullivans Island v. igh trading s	Sir Town of S
۳.۶ ۲۰۳ <u>۳ - ۲</u> ۳ 34:0,7,13,17,	7:12 11:25	submitted	9:17	4:7 8:14 21:8
18,19,20,21 35:1		14:10 27:7,12 28:8	standnalut	22:14
36:6,21 37:2,7,9,	thickness	37:1 38:25	standpoint 7:14	site
13,16,18,20,21	39:13	suggest		4:5,22 10:13 13:5
38:10 39:3,9,12	thing	38:6	start	14:9,10,11,12
	3:6 13:15 20:15	İ	43:16 44:21	19:13 21:14 35:2
1 ''	23:11 31:22 38:7	suggestion 27:15	started	37:16 46:25
33:24 34:13 37:5	things		5:17 19:13	situation
	19:10 31:19 38:14	suggestions	state	24:11
trimmed	41:6	7:4	43:9	six-inch
32:11	Thompson	Sullivan's	Station	38:11 39:5,13
trimming	4:2,4,9	17:25 18:18	42:4 43:23	•
29:23	thought	support	stay	six-over-six
trouble	14:6 22:3 24:21	27:4	44:15	40:15
19 22:11 25:23	27:23 34:15 37:19	surely		size
truckload	thoughts	12:21	step 7:23	10:2 20:6 33:10
	15:18 25:2 39:8	surfaces		skin
	three-to-three	12:2 17:7	stepping	5:21
true 25:20	36:3		17:14	slab
		surrounds	steps	7:9,11
turn	thrilled	14:15	15:10	slabs
29:17	19:18	survey	Steve	6:20,24
tying	tides	4:11,24 9:14 12:7	4:8	small
46:17	15:5	40:5 41:6,9 43:18,	stone	14:25 28:1
type	tie	22	17:14	
8:7	29:11 36:12	swales	stop	smaller
typical	time	5:1 12:20	23:2	19:2,3,4 21:2,22 24:19
3 41:15	5:10 18:1,21 19:3	swimming		
5	25:24 26:25 32:6	22:13 28:1	storage 18:25	solution
U	today	symmetrically		9:20 17:15
	26:21	32:19	stormwater	sort
ultimately	told	system	5:1 12:10,18	41:17
46:25	12:25	23:15	street	sounds
underneath	tonight		17:20,22,24 22:9,	15:13
31:9	22:12 36:6	T	23 23:6 30:11	south
		<u> </u>	31:12 32:8,18 35:12	42:11 44:5 45:12
understand 11:18 16:1 24:9	top 45:24 46:2 5	T1-11	35:12	46:6
25:11,14 27:16	45:24 46:3,5	41:13 45:3 46:25	structure	Southwest
34:24	total	table	4:6,17,19 5:5 6:8	43:22
unique	20:7	27:12	10:3,19 12:8 17:25	
24:2,8,11	town		20:23 24:3 26:18 27:25 31:23 34:1	space 5:25 6:9 24:21
	8:6 12:9	taking	35:10,19,22 40:8	41:16
unit 25.11.12	tradeoff	24:5 34:2,6	41.9 42.10 16 18	
35:11,12	28:2	talk	21 43 (9) 1144 BODE	spade
	traditional	talk RTS-jdRj4&gASSQÇIATES scheduledepo.com	etructures	38:3
v	5:20	talking	structures 18:23 19:20 33:17	special
		16:8 22:12 28:4	35:16,17	3:9 18:25
variance	transplant 37:20,25	32:1	*	square
31:4	•	tape	struggle	10:3 19:25
	treatment	21:15,16	25:21	
		,		
	treatment		25:21	10.3 19.23

III Ne. Design Nevie	W D		January 17, 2018
varying 24:25	46:10	yield 5:7 40:20	
vault	Wichmann 3:4,12,21 15:22	3.7 40.20	
23:15 vegetation	16:13 25:16 26:10 27:6 34:11 36:22,	Z	
20:17 Town of S	25 37:7 38:19,24 ullivans Islah7 44:24	zoning 12:1 35:18	Design Review Board Hearing
vibration In Re: De: 7:12	ign 程9 外9¥16,25 46:7,18	12.1 35.10	January 17, 2018
view 32:15	wide 20:2 28:18 29:4		
viewed 25:18	window 43:2,3,5 45:13		
vinyl 9:12 40:14 41:24 42:14	windows 8:19,20,25 9:5,6 40:14,15 41:24		
virtually 14:11	42:13,14 45:3,4,8 winter		
visited 21:14	20:19 withdrew		
visually 26:14	18:6 wondering		
vote 28:7 29:11 36:3, 12,17,21 39:15	6:20 wood 9:14 14:14 40:16		
W	work 6:4,6 7:25 8:2,7 15:9 29:2 38:14		
wait 36:4	worked 9:4		
walk 14:25 44:25	working 3:15		
walked 12:10	works 21:1 37:12		
walking 32:17	worth 14:6	,	
walkways 18:10	worthy 46:21		
wall 14:13 17:13 45:8	Wright 3:5,8 8:18 9:1,19		
walls 28:19	13:3 16:21 17:6,18 21:11,21,25 22:8,		
wanted 9:7 10:10 46:22	15,22 23:1 27:10, 22 38:22 39:6		
wanting 25:8,17	43:17,24 44:3,8 45:22 46:3		
water 11:12,21 15:6 27:16,21	Y		
ways 11:20	yarda. WILLIAM ROBE 5:25 27:2 30:25 31:3,4	RTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES scheduledepo.com	(800) 743-DEPO
weekend 25:13,14	years 4:13 9:3,21 32:12		
west 17:1 22:9 45:24,25	40:9		
	WILLIAM DODED	I P & ASSOCIA	TES (800) 743-DEPO Page 60