In the Matter Of:

Town of Sullivans Island Board of Zoning Appeals

Zoning Board of Appeals December 08, 2016



A. William Roberts, Jr. & Associates

Court Reporting & Litigation Solutions www.scheduledepo.com | 800-743-DEPO

We're About Service ... Fast, Accurate and Friendly!











court reporting | trial presentation | document services | videography | nationwide scheduling

1					
2					
3					
4	TOWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND				
5	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS				
6					
7					
8	COPY				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13	HEARING BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN ELIZABETH TEZZA			
14	DATE:	September 8, 2016			
15	TIME:	6:00 PM			
16	LOCATION: Sullivan's Island Town Hall 2056 Middle Street				
17		Sullivan's Island, SC			
18	REPORTED BY:	Priscilla Nay,			
19	REFORTED DI.	Certified Shorthand Reporte	er		
20	A WTT.T.TAI	M ROBERTS IR & ASSOCIATES	3		
21	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES Fast, Accurate & Friendly				
22		Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Be	each SC		
23		(843) 785-3263 (843) 83			
24	Columbia SC	Greenville, SC Charlot	te NC		
25	(803) 731-5224	(864) 234-7030 (704) 57	3-3919		

1	APPEARANCES:	2	
2	ELIZABETH TEZZA, CHAIRMAN SALLIE PRITCHARD, BOARD MEMBER		
3	PETER RICHARDSON, BOARD MEMBER JAMES ELLIOTT, BOARD MEMBER		
4	JODY M. LATHAM JOE HENDERSON, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR		
5	COURTNEY LILES, TOWN CLERK RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL		
7			
8	ALSO PRESENT:		
9	MARK HOWARD		
LO			
L1			
12			
13			
L4			
L5			
L6			
L7			
18			
L9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			•

LAWYER'S NOTES

Page	Line	

A. William Roberts, Jr., & Associates (800) 743-DEPO
Professionals Serving Professionals for 30 Years

1	THE CHAIRMAN: I'd like to call this
2	meeting to order. Courtney, have the Freedom of
3	Information Act requirements been met?
4	THE CLERK: They have.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I'll move
6	to the approval of the minutes from the
7	September 8th meeting.
8	Did you all receive the minutes?
9	Any questions?
10	Comments? I had some edits before the
11	final. I would entertain a motion to approve.
12	MS. PRITCHARD: I move to approve the
13	minutes of the September 8th meeting.
14	THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
15	MR. RICHARDSON: Second.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by
17	saying aye.
18	(Board members stated aye.)
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Like sign opposed?
20	(No response.)
21	THE CHAIRMAN: The minutes are
22	approved. We don't have a big audience today. So
23	I don't have to do all of my turn off your cell
24	phones.
25	2014 GULL AVENUE

THE CHAIRMAN: We will proceed quickly to the variance request for 2014 Gull Avenue.

Joe, please present for the town.

Sure.

Thank you,

Members of the Board. Can you hear me okay?

Agenda Item D-1 is a dimensional

variance request. This is a property located at

2014 Gull Avenue. Mr. Pat Ilderton, the property

owner and applicant, is requesting a variance from

MR. HENDERSON:

the RC-2 setbacks requirement.

This is a stated provision and these provisions are stated in Section 21-3 E.(1)(b). Essentially these provisions require that -- require that any structure over four and a half feet in height cannot be built any closer to the marsh than any house -- than the closest house built on that block. We've done this previously with several other variance requests.

This distance is measured from the center line of the right of way and I'll get into that a little bit more. This property is bound by a single family home, to the east and to the west, and a utility power station.

And so that's the subject of the variance. The applicant is making a case that that

is a hardship, that the power station is to the east and causes -- sorry -- to the west and causes some health problems, adverse health problems, if the house isn't moved away from it, closer to the marsh.

Town staff would recommend the variance meets the standards for granting dimensional variances and I can go through those as we deliberate if you want. I'll put up on the screen the zoning regulation and the words as stated in the Ordinance 21-23. And just to give you -- provide more of a graphic illustration of the variance -- let's see.

This is kind of hard to show. So if you look at the red line, that's the center line of the right-of-way. The ordinance prohibits that any new construction go past the build-to line essentially and that is set by the house located at 2002 Gull.

The proposal is approximately -- and this is my rendering, not the applicant's just for illustrative purposes -- is to go 30 feet beyond the build-to line up towards the marsh. We do have elevation renderings that show the massing of the structure and where this 30-foot encroachment --

1	what it's going to look like, the portion that goes
2	past the go build-to line. I can defer to Pat to
3	elaborate on the instruction or I can show
4	whichever elevation when
5	MR. ILDERTON: Yes. Do you want to
6	show this now?
7	MR. HENDERSON: Let's see. So I asked
8	the initially the applicants had a site plan
9	that showed this from a 2-D perspective. I asked
10	them to provide the encroachment which is created
11	by it's not showing up all that well.
12	THE CHAIRMAN: So the two-story section
13	is the marsh side of the house?
14	MR. ILDERTON: Yes, that's correct.
15	MR. HENDERSON: Exactly. So the dotted
16	line indicates the build-to line and then the
17	30 feet would be going towards the marsh.
18	MR. ILDERTON: My name is Pat Ilderton.
19	Thank you for considering my application.
20	I guess a bit from what I always
21	understood these with the BZA you had to have a
22	hardship and and I guess my hardship that I'm
23	proposing is that that the substation to the
24	left is immovable and been there for a long time

and I want to move the house as far away from the

substation as possible both for looks but also
for there have been some health studies both
here in the United States as well as in England on
the magnetic rays that things like that emit,
that is the closer you get to the substation
actually I actually measured it and you can
actually get a gas meter and read on the meters
that the increase of radiance it's like you
could walk into this building here with this meter
and it would jump up higher because of
every all the all the magnetic rays
running through the air an all.

So that's my proposed hardship, that as well as the looks of it, and just to get away from the immediacy of that with the house. So it's fairly obvious. I think probably the house to the right of it will eventually get demolished, if it's not demolished yet.

The house that's on the lot now is well below flood and it's a bit of -- I meanly generally it's not -- it's habitable because it's rented because but it's -- but it's not a long-term viable residence for Sullivan's Island. So --

THE CHAIRMAN: You're talking about this on the property?

1	MR. ILDERTON: The house that's there
2	now because it's so low. I guess in a nutshell
3	that's pretty much what I've got to say. Thank
4	you.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Joe, do you have
6	anything else to add?
7	MR. HENDERSON: No. I'll defer to you
8	all for questions.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions? Any
10	questions?
11	(No response.)
12	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Is there any
13	other public comment? Seeing none, I'll close
14	public comment and we may ask questions.
15	What say you? We've never had a
16	substation; so this is new. I do believe I do
17	believe it is a hardship.
18	MS. LATHAM: I do. I had two family
19	members who lived in a house, a farm, next to
20	stepdown transformers who both ended up with
21	50 percent two of the four people in the house
22	within two years of each other died of
23	neuroblastoma that the physicians and research
24	tracked back to the electromagnetic radiation.
25	I think it is real. So

1	MR. ELLIOTT: For the record, it
2	appears to be a hardship given the electrical
3	substation next to it.
4	MR. HENDERSON: Move a little closer to
5	the microphone.
6	MR. ELLIOTT: Can you hear me now?
7	THE COURT REPORTER: I can hear you
8	better.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: We just need to speak a
10	little closer to the microphone. It's a new day.
11	MR. ELLIOTT: Do we want to push the
12	button here if we don't want to be on here?
13	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. If the green light
14	is on you're being recorded.
15	MR. ELLIOTT: Got it.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Peter.
17	MR. RICHARDSON: I think there is
18	certainly a hardship. In light of the properties
19	the real question was is it a substantial detriment
20	to the other neighbors and nobody is here to say
21	anything and I don't think there is.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: That was my one
23	question. Have there been any questions raised by
24	the contiguous property owners?
25	MP HENDEPSON. I have spoken with both

```
of the neighbors. They inquired about the plan and
 1
 2
     I directed them to the website to look at the
 3
     elevations and the siting of the house. You know,
     for all I believe they did look at the plans and I
 4
     haven't heard from them since.
 5
 6
                 THE CHAIRMAN: So we add that.
 7
                 MR. ELLIOTT: How many houses are there
 8
     to the right looking at -- is it just the one?
 9
                 MR. HENDERSON: Just the one.
     single family home to the east and then one to the
10
     west sets the build-to line at 2002.
11
12
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                Sally.
13
                 MS. PRITCHARD:
                                 I am in agreement.
                                                      Ιt
14
     is a hardship.
                                Would anyone like to --
15
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                 MS. LATHAM: I'm assuming all other
16
17
     setbacks and variances and coverages are met.
18
     that correct?
                 MR. HENDERSON: This is a true half-
19
     acre lot, I believe --
20
21
                 MR. ILDERTON:
                                Yep.
                 MR. HENDERSON: -- if not more.
22
                                                   These
     are preliminary sort of conceptual plans and so
23
     Mr. Ilderton knows all the dimensions.
24
25
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                So we need to grant a
```

```
1
     specific variance.
 2
                 MR. RICHARDSON: So, Pat, can we say
     we did a variance with no more than a 30-foot
 3
 4
     extension?
 5
                 MR. ILDERTON: Yes, sir.
                                            That's
 6
     exactly right. Then we can come back for any
 7
     further extension for --
 8
                 THE CHAIRMAN: I was just looking for
 9
     how many feet because we need to be specific how --
10
                 MR. ILDERTON:
                                I think -- I think on
11
     the application I asked for 30 feet and that's --
12
     that's -- I didn't ask for 50 or 60. I mean, I
13
     think there's a 30-foot automatic setback from the
14
     marsh area anyway in our ordinance.
15
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                That's the critical
16
     line.
            So --
17
                 MR. ILDERTON: The critical line.
     That's not what I'm asking, even to that. I'm not
18
19
     even going to that. I'm probably another 20 or
20
     30 feet away from that point.
21
                 THE CHAIRMAN: The elevation.
                                                 Ι
22
     just --
23
                 MR. HENDERSON: Yeah, so the critical
24
     line follows right about where you follow the
25
     green --
```

12

- MR. ELLIOTT: Say that one more time.
- 2 MR. HENDERSON: So the critical line
- 3 | runs approximately kind of diagonally.
- 4 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay.
- 5 MR. HENDERSON: But the construction
- 6 | will have to be brought back 30 feet off of that.
- 7 | So the proposed encroachment, past the build-to
- 8 | line, is probably a good 30 feet.
- 9 MR. ILDERTON: Yeah. More. It's well
- 10 beyond that. Well beyond that. So I'm not
- 11 | approaching that build-to line. On another type of
- 12 | lot one could have built to that 30-foot point, but
- 13 | that's not what I'm asking for here.
- MR. HENDERSON: Exactly.
- 15 THE CHAIRMAN: We want to grant a
- 16 | specific variance of a specific number of feet from
- 17 | the center line. So --
- MR. HENDERSON: It's -- and I only have
- 19 | an approximate from the center line.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Pat, do you think 30
- 21 | feet will be sufficient from that -- where the red
- 22 | line is? 30 feet?
- MR. ILDERTON: Yes, I do. I mean, the
- 24 | lot is big enough and I don't -- I don't see -- I
- 25 | don't see having to push --

1	MR. ELLIOTT: It is 30 feet beyond the		
2	setback requirement. Is that right?		
3	MR. HENDERSON: That is right.		
4	MR. ILDERTON: Right.		
5	MR. RICHARDSON: Beyond this setback		
6	and the current build-to line, right?		
7	MR. HENDERSON: True.		
8	MR. ILDERTON: The build-to line set		
9	beyond that other house.		
10	THE CHAIRMAN: So it is 30 feet beyond		
11	the build-to line?		
12	MR. HENDERSON: 30 feet past the		
13	build-to line and I wasn't able to ask the		
14	applicants to get a surveyed marking from the		
15	center line to the build-to line. So I think that		
16	we can it's one in the same.		
17	THE CHAIRMAN: That will work. Okay.		
18	So that's the motion that we need is a variance		
19	from the 30 feet from the build-to line?		
20	MR. ELLIOTT: Joe, what is the setback?		
21	This variance is from the RC-2 setback requirement.		
22	What is the setback distance?		
23	Do they do we need to know that?		
24	MR. HENDERSON: Well, this is this		
25	is just a provision, kind of a stated provision in		

```
1
     the RC-2 setback requirements.
 2
                 MR. ELLIOTT:
                                Okay.
 3
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                 So, you know, we have
     two different -- we have two different setback
 4
 5
     requirements.
 6
                 We have one that requires a 30-foot
 7
     setback from the critical line. So that's the
     first one and then we have this additional
 8
 9
     provision that's intended to keep people from
10
     building homes and obstructing kind of your view
11
     shared from your property overlooking the marsh.
12
                 So there are two parts to it.
                                                 This
13
     is -- you know, this is the one that protects your
14
     view shared quarter. So it is kind of unique
15
     although it applies to the RC-1 as well.
16
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                But the 30-foot variance
17
     from the build-to line is not encroaching on the
     30-foot setback? Rear setback.
18
19
                 MR. HENDERSON: I'm sorry. Say that
20
     again.
21
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                The 30-foot variance
     from the build-to line does not encroach upon the
22
23
     30-foot --
24
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                 That's right.
                 THE CHAIRMAN: From the critical line.
25
```

```
15
                 MR. HENDERSON: From the critical line.
 1
 2
     Exactly.
 3
                 THE CHAIRMAN: Got it. So we're good
     there?
 4
 5
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                  Yes.
 6
                  (Board conferring.)
 7
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                  This is the site plan
 8
     that is included in the packets and it shows a side
     setback that would apply and then also a 50-foot
 9
10
     distance from the property line --
11
                 MS. LATHAM: Which is before the --
12
                 MR. HENDERSON: -- which is before the
13
     critical line and is going to --
14
                 MR. ELLIOTT: So this house would be
15
     50 feet from the property line?
16
                 MR. HENDERSON: That's right, from
17
     their property line and it had critical line out
18
     beyond that.
19
                  (Inaudible)
20
                 THE COURT REPORTER?
                                       I'm sorry.
                                                   Ι
21
     can't hear.
22
                                       You'll have to
                 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
23
     speak into the microphone.
24
                 MR. ELLIOTT: Yes.
                                      I'm sorry.
25
     move to grant Pat Ilderton's application for a
```

dimensional variance from the RC-2 setback requirements, Zoning Ordinance Section 21-23

E.(1)(B) for -- this may be the difficult part -- no more than 30 feet beyond the build-to line and the structure will be 50 feet from the property line.

THE CHAIRMAN: You just have to say 30 feet from the build-to line.

MS. LATHAM: Let's just leave it at that then, 30 feet from the build-to line and the variance being granted because there are extraordinary exceptional conditions pertaining to the property in the form of the electrical substation next door.

The condition doesn't generally apply to the other property in the vicinity and the conditions -- because of these conditions the application of the zoning ordinance to this particular piece of property would effectively prohibit or unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property for the construction of the home.

The authorization of the variance will not be a substantial detriment to adjacent property or to the public good and the granting of the variance will not harm the character of

```
17
 1
     the District.
 2
                 MS. LATHAM:
                               I second.
 3
                                 There is a motion and a
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
 4
     second.
              Any further discussion?
 5
                  (No response.)
 6
                 THE CHAIRMAN: All in favor, signify by
 7
     saying aye.
 8
                  (Board members stated aye.)
 9
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                 Like sign opposed?
10
                  (No response.)
                 THE CHAIRMAN: The variance is granted.
11
12
     Thank you, Mr. Ilderton.
13
                 MR. ILDERTON: Thank you.
14
                 THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any other
15
     business to come before the Board?
16
                 MR. HENDERSON:
                                  We do have -- I believe
17
     Courtney sent out some meeting dates for these.
18
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                 She did?
19
                 MR. HENDERSON: For 2017. And if I
20
     could just get your input on that.
21
                 THE CHAIRMAN:
                                 They were all the second
     Thursday of the month at six o'clock. Is there a
22
23
     motion to approved the meeting dates as --
24
                 MS. PRITCHARD:
                                  So approved.
25
                 MS. LATHAM:
                               I move to approve the
```

1	meeting dates as proposed.
2	MS. PRITCHARD: Second.
3	MR. RICHARDSON: Second.
4	THE CHAIRMAN: There is a motion and a
5	second. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
6	(Board members say aye.)
7	THE CHAIRMAN: Like sign opposed?
8	MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: The meeting dates are
10	approved. Oh. We do need to do we need to
11	reelect a Vice Chairman? We'll do that at our
12	January meeting.
13	MR. HENDERSON: I think I think we
14	usually do that at the first of the year. I'll
15	have to ask.
16	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If there's no
17	further business, do I hear a motion to adjourn?
18	MS. LATHAM: Motion to an adjourn.
19	MS. PRITCHARD: Second.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: It is not debatable.
21	The meeting is adjourned.
22	(The meeting was adjourned at 6:21 PM.)
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

3 I, Priscilla Nay, Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South Carolina, do 4 5 hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 19th day of December, 2016 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



Priscilla Nay, Court Reporter My Commission expires December 2, 2021

1				21 00, 2010
1	INDEX			20
2				
3		Page	Line	
4				
5	2014 GULL AVENUE	3	25	
6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	19	1	
7				
8				
9	ЕХНІВІ	T S		
10	(None Proffe	ered)		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				:
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

```
21
                   SIGNATURE OF DEPONENT
 1
 2
     DEPONENT:
                  Zoning Board of Appeals
     DEPOSITION DATE: 12/08/2016
 3
     AWR JOB #: 161208PAN
     CASE #:
 4
 5
      (Please return both Signature of Deponent pages)
 6
            I, the undersigned,
                                   Zoning Board of Appeals, do hereby
     certify that I have read the foregoing deposition
     and find it to be a true and accurate transcription
     of my testimony, with the following corrections, if
 8
     any:
 9
     PAGE
            LINE
                           CHANGE
                                                   REASON
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
  A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO
```

1 2	SIGNATURE OF DEPONENT (CONTINUED) DEPONENT: Zoning Board of Appeals			
	DEPOSITION DATE: 12/08/2016			
3	AWR JOB #: 161208PAN			
	CASE #:			
4				
5	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASO	N		
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12	Zoning Board of AppealsDate	_		
13	I certify, as the Court Reporter and Notar	y Public		
	for the State of South Carolina at Large, that the deponent			
14	was advised of his or her right to read and sign	-		
	said deposition both verbally and in writing.			
15	If the deponent fails to execute and return			
	foregoing Signature of Deponent pages within the			
16	thirty (30) days allowed pursuant to the Rules of			
	Civil Procedure, the original transcript may be filed			
17	with the Court.			
18				
19				
20	MARCH A SECULA A SANTINI			
21	MOTARY AUBLIC MOTARY AUBLIC			
22	AUBLIC MUSICAL MOST			
23	Thurst Carolina William			
24	Court Reporter			
	Notary Public			
25	5			
A	A. WILLIAM ROBERTS, JR., & ASSOCIATES (800) 743-DEPO			