2	
TC	OWN OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND
I	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
	CODY
	COPY
HEARING BEFORE:	ELIZABETH TEZZA, CHAIRPERSON
	May 14, 2015
The state of the s	6:02 PM
	Sullivan's Island Town Hall
	2050-B Middle Street Sullivan's Island, SC
REPORTED BY:	LORA L. McDANIEL, Registered Professional Reporter
A. WILI	LIAM ROBERTS, JR. & ASSOCIATES
	Fast, Accurate & Friendly
Charleston, SC	Hilton Head, SC Myrtle Beach, SC
(843) 722-8414	(843) 785-3263 (843) 839-3376
	Greenville, SC Charlotte, NC (864) 234-7030 (704) 573-3919
	HEARING BEFORE: DATE: TIME: LOCATION: REPORTED BY: A. WILL Charleston, SC (843) 722-8414 Columbia, SC

1	APPEARANCES:
2 3 4	ELIZABETH TEZZA, CHAIRPERSON CARLIN TIMMONS, BOARD MEMBER DELORES SCHWEITZER, BOARD MEMBER SUMMER EUDY, BOARD MEMBER BACHMAN SMITH, IV, BOARD MEMBER
5	RANDY ROBINSON, BUILDING OFFICIAL JOE HENDERSON, ZONING ADMINISTRATOR
6	ELLEN MILLER, TOWN CLERK
7	
8	Malaa
9	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	(INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)
17	
18	
19	A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	l
1	THE CHAIRPERSON: We're going to start.
2	Have the Freedom of Information Act requirements been
3	met?
4	MS. MILLER: They have.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: We need to approve the
6	minutes of the February 12th and March 12th meetings.
7	MS. TIMMONS: Read them on-line.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do I hear a motion for
9	approval of both sets of minutes?
10	MS. TIMMONS: Motion.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a second?
12	MR. SMITH: I'll second.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Bachman seconded. All
14	in favor, signify by saying aye.
15	(All board members stated aye.)
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: All opposed, like sign.
17	Minutes are approved.
18	We will now move to the
19	applicant/participant oath. If the applicant
20	appears, we will swear him in, when he does.
21	All those speaking before the board: Do
22	you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and
23	nothing but the truth, so help you God.
24	JOE HENDERSON
25	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

	4
1	THE CHAIRPERSON: Now we will go into
2	executive session for a very brief period of time.
3	Will staff come with us.
4	MS. MILLER: Do you want to make a motion?
5	MS. EUDY: Motion for executive session.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a second?
7	MS. TIMMONS: Second.
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor say aye.
9	(All board members stated aye.)
LO	THE CHAIRPERSON: Like sign opposed?
L1	(A recess transpired from 6:03 p.m. until
L2	6:10 p.m.)
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: The Board of Zoning has
L4	reconvened. During the executive session, we
L5	discussed a personnel matter.
L6	Joe, I will have you go ahead and present
L7	the administrative appeal.
L8	1509 MIDDLE STREET
L9	MR. HENDERSON: We just got word that the
20	applicant is not going to be here.
21	So our first agenda item is related to
22	1509 Middle Street. This is an administrative appeal
23	from Mr. Carl Jacobson, the property owner and
24	applicant. He's requesting an appeal from staff's
25	interpretation of several sections of the zoning

ordinance.

Section 21-138(A)(1) refers to accessory structures and the building requirements for accessory structures.

Section 21-139(B)(4) and (6) refer to fence regulations.

So just to give you a quick background relating to the time line of events, and I'll read directly from the staff report that I sent out to you. November 4, 2014, Randy Robinson, building official, identified some work had taken place without building permits. We investigated the site. Identified that a carport was built, and a six-foot high fence was constructed.

We contacted the contractor and notified them. Melody Nelson of Nelson Design was notified that we didn't have a building permit on file or a business license for her company to conduct work on Sullivan's Island.

She came in the following day, and we explained to her, instead of issuing a citation to her for doing this work, instead we agreed to work with them to a certain extent. We requested that they have an as-built survey drawn up for the property to identify whether the fence or the

1	structure had been constructed on the adjacent
2	property on the right-of-way because there wasn't a
3	current survey. That took some time for them to put
4	together.
5	We received the survey on January 28, 2015
6	and identified that the fence was non-compliant and,
7	according to our interpretation of the ordinance, the
8	carport was non-compliant as well.
9	March 4th we issued a violation notice to
10	the property owner, and we then received a BZA
11	application appeal and variance.
12	I can go into more relating to the actual
13	violations, if you would like.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Y'all have any questions
15	of Joe?
16	MS. EUDY: The fence is too high, and the
17	carport is out of the setback.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: I think their argument
19	is that the primary building's principal facade is
20	not on Middle Street. Did y'all go by the property?
21	MR. SMITH: Uh-huh.
22	MS. EUDY: Uh-huh.
23	MS. SCHWEITZER: Uh-huh.
24	MS. TIMMONS: Uh-huh.
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: It seems it does face

1 the street. Even though there's bamboo in front of 2 the house, that does not mean the house cannot face 3 Middle Street. The driveway is on 16. 4 My house is on Atlantic, and my driveway 5 is on 22-and-a-half. If you're a corner lot, your 6 driveway access is not usually in the front. 7 And I understand that, if we deny the 8 administrative appeal, they're requesting a variance. 9 MR. HENDERSON: That's right. I also have 10 some site photos here and some aerial photographs 11 that show the different yards of the property, if you want to take a look at that, and also a survey. 12 I do have a question. THE CHAIRPERSON: 13 14 They said that they constructed the fence to six feet because of privacy considerations. Did they give any 15 I don't understand that. 16 explanation of that? Their explanation was that, MS. TIMMONS: 17 in order to get a four-foot privacy -- the result of 18 a four-foot privacy fence, the houses were too close 19 So that's why they made it taller. 20 together. Four-foot fence wouldn't create privacy. 21 MS. SCHWEITZER: Joe, was there a fence 22 23 there before?

24

25

MR. HENDERSON:

MS. EUDY:

Not that I know of.

That house has asbestos siding.

1	I assume it's been there for a long time. Has the
2	driveway always been in that location?
3	MR. ROBINSON: (Moves head up and down.)
4	MR. HENDERSON: I think so. They amended
5	some materials. They added to the driveway. I guess
6	added some crush and run out there. But it was
7	always accessed on the front or from Station 16.
8	MS. EUDY: Side front. Front side. Side
9	front.
LO	MR. HENDERSON: The house is
L1	non-conforming in that it sits in the side setback.
12	The front corner of the house
L3	THE CHAIRPERSON: That house was probably
L4	built before the side setback.
L5	MR. HENDERSON: It's a historic structure.
L6	THE CHAIRPERSON: My house is in a side
L7	setback.
L8	MR. SMITH: Is the location of that house
L9	the reason why it's so close to the neighbor?
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: I would like to see I
21	really couldn't figure out how far away from the
22	fence the neighbor's house was.
23	MR. HENDERSON: Here is aerial.
24	MS. EUDY: They look like they're a little
25	right of center.

	Way 14, 201
1	9 MR. SMITH: Look at the plat. They're
2	right on the line. The fence is where
3	MS. TIMMONS: Probably five feet like the
4	Little Sergeant's quarters.
5	MR. SMITH: The house that is seeking the
6	appeal or variance is the one that is snuggled up
7	next to the fence, that he wants to maintain a
8	six-foot fence.
9	MR. HENDERSON: That's right.
10	MS. SCHWEITZER: It says in the
11	application their home is 18.1 feet from the
12	applicant's house, the neighbor.
13	MR. SMITH: I saw that.
14	MS. EUDY: Any idea how many houses on the
15	island are that close or what kind of percentage of houses
16	on the island sit that close to each other?
17	MR. HENDERSON: That are non-conforming,
18	encroaching on side setbacks? Not sure.
19	MS. EUDY: I didn't figure.
20	MR. HENDERSON: Lots of them, though.
21	Really in this particular part of the island, the
22	lots are much smaller.
23	MS. TIMMONS: All these ones near,
24	involved with the fort are right up against each
25	other.

Hearin May 14, 201
10 esting one.
e large
hat most
le yard.
illustrate
cear yard
nitted in
should
nded
contage.
or there
door is
Middle
ere the
erminative
on as to

1	MR. HENDERSON: Here's an interesting one.
2	Kind of view of officer's row here. And the large
3	houses and relatively small lots. I think that most
4	of these are probably encroaching into a side yard.
5	I wanted to show this simply to illustrate
6	how we have to identify a front yard and a rear yard
7	in our ordinance. And there's language submitted in
8	your packet that identifies that, on a new
9	construction, the orientation of the house should
10	face the ocean. Corner lots or the recommended
11	design is that the front door face street frontage.
12	Of course, there is no front door there
13	is no door on Station 16. So the only front door is
14	facing Middle Street. It's addressed off of Middle
15	Street. That's how we determined this is where the
16	front yard falls on this property.
17	MS. EUDY: Not that this is determinative
18	of anything, did the contractor give a reason as to
19	why she didn't seek a building permit which would've
20	prevented all of this in the first place?
21	MR. HENDERSON: I can't recall of a
22	reason.
23	MR. SMITH: To that end, when I went and
24	looked at it, my first thought was: Wow, not in a

million years would I have thought to go get a

building permit to build that thing.

MS. EUDY: Why?

MR. SMITH: Because it doesn't appear like something that it would occur to me that would need a building permit. The fence perhaps. The carport, not at all.

MS. TIMMONS: Two by four. Almost like a trellis. It has a roof.

MR. SMITH: Hey, I'm not arguing with you. You're telling me why. I'm giving you my answer. It looks like an inconsequential structure. I would not have -- I wouldn't have done it. Honestly, I'm thinking: All right, great. Now I know.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It isn't an extremely substantial structure, but it is a carport. I don't think it would meet hardship test to have a carport. That's not part of this discussion.

MS. EUDY: I would have been more likely to get a building permit for a carport rather than a fence. In either event, I think a licensed contractor would know that. I don't know. None of that matters. I was just kind of wondering why it happened like it happened.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I have a question about the fence to both Joe and Randy. Randy will probably

1	know more of these instances. In what instances, if
2	any I think I do remember one; wasn't the same
3	type of hardship have we allowed fences to be
4	built above four feet? I'm thinking of one on this
5	side of Middle Street next to the water station. And
6	we did allow them to go up to six feet, I think.
7	MR. ROBINSON: Actually, I think you
8	allowed them to go up to eight feet.
9	MS. EUDY: Where is that? I'm sorry.
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Near that little angled
11	street between Jasper and Middle. There's a house
12	there that backs up on to that. It's a water station
13	where we it was reverse osmosis. We used to go
14	get water there before we had city water. After the
15	storm.
16	We allowed them to go up because they had
17	a commercial-type facility behind them, and it had
18	been cleared of a lot of trees and brush. You could
19	see it.
20	MR. ROBINSON: Typically between a
21	residential and commercial lot. Our ordinance
22	actually provides a section that says you have to

back up to a commercial --

23

24

25

build a fence six to eight feet tall. That was the

reasoning behind that variance that this house did

1	THE	CHAIRPERSON:	Use.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROBINSON: -- use and, therefore, they should be able to build a fence like you would if you were backed up to a commercial.

MR. SMITH: Most of those houses on I'on back up to lots.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Have there been any variances granted strictly in the residential district?

MR. ROBINSON: Not that I know of.

MR. HENDERSON: This fence is also non-compliant in that it doesn't meet the open across-the-plane percentage of 25 percent. This is a solid stockade fence. And the ordinance requires 25 percent is a three-inch picket with a one-inch gap in between; 25 percent open.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's not a requirement for the commercial district?

MR. ROBINSON: It's not.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's a different requirement.

MR. HENDERSON: That's right. The commercial district allows that.

MS. SCHWEITZER: There were some that grandfathered in.

1 4

THE CHAIRPERSON: Do we have any more questions or start discussing it?

MS. SCHWEITZER: I guess I have one more, just as clarification about the orientation of the principal building. Obviously, somebody can't just randomly change the orientation of their house and say: I'm going to make my backyard my front yard.

I see that it says double frontage lots.

They have rules for that. Otherwise just as clarification, it would face Middle Street because there is no street behind their lot.

MR. HENDERSON: That's right.

MS. SCHWEITZER: They couldn't say that they're reorienting their lot towards the ocean because there is no street on that side.

MR. HENDERSON: That's correct. As stated in the purpose of 21-30, orientation principal building, it states that: Principal building must have its front doors oriented towards a street frontage.

There is a mechanism for deviating from that. The Design Review Board can determine that, in order to be more compatible with the neighborhood, they can allow that orientation to change. However, given the fact it's addressed off of Middle Street.

May 14, 201
There is no front door facing Station 16.
There's no street on the backside of the lot. It's
pretty evident it's the front.
THE CHAIRPERSON: The fact they enter the
house from Station 16 does not come into play because
every corner lot on this island I think, just about,
if you're a corner lot, your access is not normally
from the front; it is from the side.
MS. EUDY: Do we know why the applicant is
not here? Did he say why he's not coming?
MR. HENDERSON: Did he say why? We just
got him on the phone.
MS. MILLER: He said he had no idea the
meeting was tonight.
MR. HENDERSON: He submitted the
application.
MS. MILLER: He has two signs on his
property, but he doesn't think that it says the
meeting is tonight on there.
THE CHAIRPERSON: I rode by, and it does
say May 14th.
MR. HENDERSON: Let me double check that.
MR. SMITH: Irregardless, the BZA meeting

It's published.

THE CHAIRPERSON:

is a regular meeting.

	10
1	MR. HENDERSON: It's published in the
2	paper. It's identified on the sign in front of the
3	house. It's hard to see on this.
4	MS. EUDY: Was he at home? He could have
5	just come on down here.
6	We have questions when it comes to stuff
7	like this. I think it's important, barring some kind
8	of circumstance where the applicant can't be here for
9	him to be here, to me.
LO	MR. HENDERSON: I exchanged correspondence
L1	with him regarding our meeting tonight. He
L2	actually I asked him to revise his application
13	slightly and elaborate on some of the reasons for his
L 4	request for a variance, and he did that. He did so.
L5	I think we've given adequate public
L6	notice.
L7	MS. EUDY: Sure.
L8	THE CHAIRPERSON: What is the pleasure of
19	the board?
20	MS. EUDY: I think given where the house
21	is situated and where the driveway is situated,
22	having the carport in the front yard, I don't
23	necessarily have a problem with that just because
24	of historically how the lot is laid out.
25	I have a problem with the fact that it's

in the setback because there was no reason for it to be in the setback that I'm aware of unless I missed something in the application that somebody else saw. I mean, I absolutely hate to commit waste and tell somebody to tear something down, whether it be a fence, carport or anything else that's a nice structure but, I mean, they didn't do what they were supposed to do. They could've avoided all this by seeking a building permit.

And I think that if they did that and asked for a carport in the front yard, I don't think I would've had a problem with that, granting a variance for that.

The others, I get the argument about the privacy. But, I mean, how many other people are going to be in front of us making that same argument. That's something that needs to be addressed. I think it's better addressed with a change in the ordinance.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I will state, if he had come before us for a variance for a carport, that is -- there is not a hardship. Many houses on this island do not have garages.

MR. SMITH: There might be. We can't say it wouldn't be a hardship. I don't know whether -- for whatever reason why. His health precludes him

1.8

from getting in a steaming hot car. We can't definitely say that, but we don't have him here to answer those questions.

How would it change what we're looking at if the house were considered to be oriented facing the side, Station?

THE CHAIRPERSON: I think it would still be in the setback.

MS. TIMMONS: Still in the setback. Then it's in the side yard. He could get a five-foot fence all the way across the back instead of four foot and five foot.

MR. SMITH: Right. I'm just curious. How would -- would the only change -- he would still be in violation but he would be allowed to do a five-foot fence if, in fact, the house were considered to be oriented toward Station 16; is that correct?

MR. HENDERSON: Yes. If Station 16 were the front of the house and he could extend five-foot fence along the back, but he would still need to drop the fence down to four feet as he comes into that ten foot setback.

So the ordinance requires you to have a four-foot fence within a ten-foot setback of any

right-of-way. So on the Middle Street right-of-way, he would still have to drop that down to four feet.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Either way, his fence is out of compliance.

MR. HENDERSON: He would get one segment of about, from the front of the house here to about ten feet. He would get a five-foot extension and have to drop that down to four feet. That's only if we were to determine that this is the front of the house where there is no door.

MS. TIMMONS: It makes more sense.

MR. HENDERSON: It's six feet.

MR. SMITH: It's six feet anyway. I just want to know how it could change. You know, like you said, you don't want to create waste. You also try to want to be fair. You want to think how they're -- what they're thinking. The house is a little bit unique.

I'm in agreement, you can't start allowing people to act first, beg forgiveness later, we are getting ourselves in trouble. I don't want to do that.

MS. TIMMONS: When I look at the house, it's easier for me to say, okay, I can change the orientation from Middle Street to the backyard of the

4, 201

other	neighbo	or more	than	ı to	the	side	. Th	ne entry	is
over t	here.	That's	not	the	fror	nt of	the	house.	You
know.	that's	a stre	tch.						

I don't have a problem with the carport behind the bamboo. The bamboo may not always be there. The carport looks like something you could take down pretty easily. I can't imagine that it was a big investment, but the fence really does bug me being six foot.

And I don't care if that neighbor doesn't mind it. I wouldn't want to see other people coming in and wanting a six-foot fence between these close-together little houses. I don't like that at all.

MR. HENDERSON: About the bamboo, we've been notified by water and sewer department that their water and sewer improvements may be needed in that area and bamboo is right on top of it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It will come back.

MR. HENDERSON: Most of it is in the public right-of-way so you really can't lean on the fact that there's growth in the public right-of-way. It could be removed. Tomorrow.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Then the house would definitely look like it fronted on Middle Street.

MS. EUDY: I still wouldn't have a problem with the carport being there. I understand you're saying it's not a hardship not to have a carport.

Their driveway is there. It's always been there.

THE CHAIRPERSON: There are a lot of driveways on this island where, if we allow carport, a lot of people would want one. I could ask for one for my house. I'm completely in the setback.

MS. EUDY: I get that.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You're opening up a door. That's why we have to really think about these things.

MS. SCHWEITZER: Did you offer them the option of relocating it? I see there's so much more space on the back part of the lot. I don't understand why that wouldn't be an option, if they wanted a carport.

MS. EUDY: Is there a door in the back of the house?

MS. TIMMONS: Yeah, and there's a little porch and steps.

MR. HENDERSON: It looks like they could put the structure in the back yard and not have to cut down any large trees.

MS. TIMMONS: It's landscaped back there.

1	MS. EUDY: Yeah, I see. This is the back.			
2	I rode by. I didn't really look at these pictures.			
3	MR. SMITH: Let me ask a question about			
4	this. Joe, your letter, you tell him straight up it			
5	can be a five-foot fence in your letter to him. How			
6	did you come to that determination if you also			
7	determined that the house was oriented towards Middle			
8	Street?			
9	MR. HENDERSON: Well, I mentioned that I			
LO	think a portion of it could be five feet.			
L1	MS. SCHWEITZER: It says the fence:			
L2	Reduced to five feet in height, the fence segment			
13	that extends beyond the front facade of the house			
14	facing Middle Street would need to be reduced to four			
L5	feet in height.			
L6	MR. HENDERSON: Where it comes into the			
L7	front yard, it would need to be reduced to four feet.			
L8	But if, hypothetically, the Design Review Board were			
L9	to say the house faces Station 16, in an effort to be			
20	more compatible with the neighborhood, then I guess			
21	they could extend a little further that five-foot			
22	segment.			

MR. HENDERSON: And then drop down to four

23

24

25

feet once he got into the ten-foot setback.

THE CHAIRPERSON: They would have to go before the DRB for that.

MR. HENDERSON: Right.

MS. EUDY: I guess they can say you can have side doors. I mean, I technically enter my house from the side, sort of.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Your house is at an angle.

MR. SMITH: You enter from the door.

MS. EUDY: My house is all out of whack.

MR. SMITH: I'm inclined to uphold the zoning administrator's findings. That said, if that's what we're going to do, we need to give reasons why we're doing it. I think it's going to involve essentially some recitation of the code.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Right here on the front.

MR. HENDERSON: If you look at the second page behind the application, I put the worksheet for the appeal, noting the findings of fact. Just to keep up with your ideas.

And then behind that is the variance sheet. I have a highlighted section of the ordinance that I provided to you guys, if that's easier to work through.

So the first one is the accessory structures ordinance section. This is the provision that requires the 20 feet behind the front facade.

And then here is the fencing section that requires the height and also the openness requirement.

And then this last one is the orientation section of the ordinance that dictates how we need to call front yards front yards and rear yards rear yards.

MS. EUDY: I assume if we say we uphold the decision and we deny the request, if they then went to the DRB, and have the front yard deemed facing Station 16, they could then come back in front of us, based on that change.

MR. HENDERSON: They wouldn't have to for the fence. They could modify the height of the fence to five feet and modify it to create the opening, the 25 percent. And they would not have to come back to you.

However, they would still have to remove a portion of the shed, right, the carport. Because it encroaches into the ten-foot setback.

MS. EUDY: Or pick it up and just -- I mean.

THE CHAIRPERSON:

Okay.

Please do.

do it.

MR. SMITH: It might take some crafting to

I'd like to make a motion that we uphold the decision of the zoning official, zoning administrator based on the orientation of the principal building facing Middle Street despite the fact that a driveway accesses the property from Station 16, which in and of itself does not re-orient the principal building to Station 16 and, in fact, the building is oriented to Middle Street.

And as the building is oriented towards
Middle Street, the accessory structure being the
carport, which is loosely defined under Section
21-138(B) falls within the definition of accessory
structure which includes but is not limited to
cabana, garage, gazebo, and in this case a carport,
to go on quoting, the types of accessory structures
and any other structure having a roof such as this
carport. We find that it is an accessory structure.

As such, the accessory structure shall be located a minimum of 20 feet to the rear of the principal building's front facade and must meet a ten-foot setback from any property line.

Further considering the orientation of this structure as towards Middle Street -- the

principal building is towards Middle Street, no fence
shall exceed five feet in height in any district
except as provided for in CC, Community Commercial
District. Fences located forward of the principal
building shall be limited to four feet in height.
The second of th

Fences located to the side or rear of a principal building, if not within ten feet of a street right-of-way or public access to the beach shall be limited to five feet in height. And that too is in accordance with Section 21-139, under subsection (b).

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a second?

MS. EUDY: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Is there any further discussion? All in favor, signify by saying eye.

(All board members stated aye.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Like sign opposed.

The administrative appeal is denied, and the order of the zoning administrator is upheld.

1509 MIDDLE STREET

THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed to a variance request for 1509 Middle Street.

MR. HENDERSON: The same property and property owner is requesting variance of ordinance

Section 21-139, fence regulations. The applicant installed a six-foot fence. For a portion of that fence, he's requesting one foot variance in the height requirement for the segment that it is required to be five feet in height. And he's requesting a two-foot variance for the portion that is required to be four feet in height.

He's also requesting a variance for

Section 21-138, accessory structures. This structure currently encroaches into the ten-foot side setback. And further, it is located in the front yard of the home and, thus, it would vary from the section that requires structures to be 20 feet to the rear of the principal building's front facade.

Those are noted and highlighted in your staff report under each one of those sections.

MS. EUDY: Question. Since the fence does not have the requisite space between the --

MR. SMITH: Pickets.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Pickets.

MS. EUDY: Pickets, thank you. And you said it's non-conforming in that way, were they requesting a variance to that portion as well?

MR. HENDERSON: He didn't identify, and I'm not sure if I was -- I think at some point I

explained to him that it would have to be modified, but it wasn't part of his application. I think that that's --

THE CHAIRPERSON: That would have to be a condition, I think. He basically said he wants a variance to allow him to keep his six-foot fence.

MS. EUDY: If you're keeping it as-is, you have to have a variance as to that requirement as well.

MR. HENDERSON: I think the entirety of Section 21-139, which would include the height and also the openness provision, a percentage open.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Fortunately, we've gone through these issues very thoroughly. Then again, the applicant is not here to speak to any hardship.

I'm not sure that there are extraordinary and exceptional conditions for this particular lot that would not apply to other lots on the island or even in the vicinity.

I think there's some other -- in that area, the houses are closer together. For me, this just does not meet the hardship test.

MR. SMITH: If we're looking at that four-part test, it certainly doesn't -- the language -- unreasonably restrict all use of the

property or something along those lines.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Prohibit or unreasonably restrict utilization of the property.

MS. EUDY: And while I think that, like I said earlier, may be reasonable to have the carport where it is, it really doesn't meet the test. Like you said -- just like we've said, it's not a hardship not to have a pool, even though that's hard for me. I get it.

MS. TIMMONS: If the hardship can't be something that was created by the homeowner.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Correct.

MS. TIMMONS: The hardship now for him is that he's got to fix it, you know.

MS. EUDY: Well, if the driveway had been there for a long time and he bought it that way, I don't even see it as he created the hardship. If he was here and there was something, like Bachman said earlier, that he can tell us as to why it needed to be here, maybe it would be different. It could be different for me. But he's not here to say that. And it's on the facts that we have.

I think you're probably right. It doesn't meet hardship.

THE CHAIRPERSON: One of the things I want

to point out, it's not on the variance application, but it is on the administrative appeal application, a note from Joe: The property owner was given an option to modify the fence, and he was given an option to relocate the carport. They were told -- he was told that it was noncompliance and offered options and declined to take them.

MR. SMITH: He can still avail himself of those options to comply with the zoning ordinances as is his right.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Unfortunately because he doesn't have a building permit, and I understand the contractor didn't have a business license to do business.

MR. SMITH: I'm hearing Elizabeth stating, I'm thinking about the houses on each corner, on each corner of my lot, the driveways are on the Stations, off the Stations. And the houses are still oriented towards Jasper.

THE CHAIRPERSON: That's why there are not extraordinary exceptional conditions on this lot.

MS. TIMMONS: Join the club.

MR. SMITH: I think I can make a motion to deny the variance request because it does not meet -- neither of the requests meet the hardship test as

2 2

presented in the application that is in front of us. And so denying these variance requests, we are not unreasonably restricting the utilization of the property.

Our threshold for granting a variance request is that it must meet all four parts of the test. I think, without getting into all four parts, it has been demonstrated that it cannot meet two of those four parts. And for those reasons I would make a motion to deny the variance request that's before us in the application.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Any second?

MS. TIMMONS: Second.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Comment? Any further discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye.

(All board members stated aye.)

THE CHAIRPERSON: Like sign opposed? Variance request is denied.

I believe that brings us to the end of our meeting. I would like to say to Bachman we are going to miss you. You've gone out with a bang. Making most of our motions this evening. You've made a huge contribution to the BZA, and your attention to detail will serve you well on town council. And we look forward to all the good work that you're going to do

	Way 14, 201
1	there.
2	I will say the same thing to Sarah. Sarah
3	lives around the corner from me, so I will take her
4	some chicken soup or something. She's not feeling
5	well.
6	You will be missed and good luck on
7	Council. Congratulations again on your election.
8	We're losing our great BZA. You people cannot run
9	for council next time. Unfortunately, you and Sarah
10	are following Susan so we lose our good BZA members.
11	MR. SMITH: I want to say thank you and
12	make a motion to adjourn.
13	MS. SCHWEITZER: Second.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: All in favor, aye.
15	(All board members stated aye.)
16	(The meeting was concluded at 6:55 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

__

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Lora McDaniel, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify that the
foregoing transcript is a true, accurate, and
complete record.

I further certify that I am neither related to, nor counsel for, any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 26th day of May, 2015 at Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina.



Lora L. McDaniel, Registered Professional Reporter My Commission expires: September 18, 2016

1	INDEX		35
2		Page	
3	WITNESS/EXAMINATION		
4	1509 Middle Street	3	
5	1509 Middle Street	27	
6	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	34	
7			
8	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX		
9			
10	(No Information Requested)		
11			
12			
13			
14	EXHIBITS		
15			
16	(No Exhibits Proffered)		
17			
18			
19			;
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			